Article title: Variation of rhizosphere bacterial community diversity in the desert ephemeral plant *Ferula sinkiangensis* across environmental gradients

Authors: Zhang tao[1], Wang Zhongke[2], Lv Xinhua[3], Dang Hanli[4], Zhuang Li[5]

Affiliations: Shihezi University[1]

Orcid ids: 0000-0001-5003-2519[1]

Contact e-mail: 1838856694@qq.com

License information: This work has been published open access under Creative Commons Attribution License <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Conditions, terms of use and publishing policy can be found at <https://www.scienceopen.com/>.

Preprint statement: This article is a preprint and has not been peer-reviewed, under consideration and submitted to ScienceOpen Preprints for open peer review.

Funder: Chinese National Basic Research Program

DOI: 10.14293/S2199-1006.1.SOR-.PPEUPDD.v3

Preprint first posted online: 08 October 2020

Keywords: *Ferula sinkiangensis*, rhizosphere bacterial community, high-throughput sequencing, soil depth, slope positions, soil physicochemical factors

Variation of rhizosphere bacterial community diversity in the desert ephemeral plant *Ferula sinkiangensis* across environmental gradients

Tao Zhang¹, Zhongke Wang¹, Xinhua Lv¹, Hanli Dang^{1*} and Li Zhuang^{1*}

¹ College of Life Sciences, Key Laboratory of Xinjiang Phytomedicine Resource Utilization, Ministry of Education, Shihezi University, Xinjiang Shihezi, 832003, China.

* Correspondence: Hanli Dang email: 3497404295@qq.com; Li Zhuang email: 3033573705@qq.com.

Abstract

Ferula sinkiangensis (*F. sinkiangensis*) is a desert short-lived medicinal plant, and its number is rapidly decreasing. Rhizosphere microbial community plays an important role in plant growth and adaptability. However, *F. sinkiangensis* rhizosphere bacterial communities and the soil physicochemical factors that drive the bacterial community distribution are currently unclear. On this study, based on high-throughput sequencing, we explored the diversity, structure and composition of *F. sinkiangensis* rhizosphere bacterial communities at different slope positions and soil depths and their correlation with soil physicochemical properties. Our results revealed the heterogeneity and changed trend of *F. sinkiangensis* rhizosphere bacterial community diversity and abundance on slope position and soil depth and found Actinobacteria (25.5%), Acidobacteria (16.9%), Proteobacteria (16.6%), Gemmatimonadetes (11.5%) and Bacteroidetes (5.8%) were the dominant bacterial phyla in *F. sinkiangensis* rhizosphere soil. Among all soil physicochemical variables shown in this study, there was a strong positive correlation between phosphorus (AP) and the diversity of rhizosphere bacterial community in *F. sinkiangensis*. In addition, Soil physicochemical factors jointly explained 24.28% of variation in *F. sinkiangensis* rhizosphere bacterial community structure. Among them, pH largely explained the variation of *F. sinkiangensis* rhizosphere bacterial community structure (5.58%), followed by total salt (TS, 5.21%) and phosphorus (TP, 4.90%).

Keywords: *F. sinkiangensis*; rhizosphere bacterial community; high-throughput sequencing; soil depth; slope positions; soil physicochemical factors

32 1 Introduction

33 *F. sinkiangensis* is a short-lived medicinal desert herb, which was included in the
34 pharmacopeia of the People's Republic of China in 1977¹. Currently, it is only
35 distributed in the yining region of xinjiang, China. *F. sinkiangensis* has important
36 medicinal values, such as anti-cancer^{2, 3}, antioxidant⁴, antibacterial^{5, 6},
37 anti-inflammatory⁷, anti-influenza^{5, 8} and anti-diabetes activities⁹. However, high
38 medicinal value of *F. sinkiangensis* leads to the excessive exploitation by people¹⁰. As
39 a result, the ecological environment of *F. sinkiangensis* habitat is severely damaged,
40 and the population size decreases sharply. In addition, pests¹¹ and the low natural
41 reproduction capacity of *F. sinkiangensis* (low seed yield and male sterility)¹² result in
42 weak population recovery, which leads to a shrinkage of the distribution area of
43 *F. sinkiangensis*, from 1400/ha in 1987 to 133/ha in 2012, and now the number of
44 individuals is still decreasing continuously¹³. Hence, the need to protect
45 *F. sinkiangensis* is imminent.

46 Soil microbes play a major role in the function of terrestrial ecosystems. This includes
47 decomposition of organic matter, nutrient cycling (carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus)
48 and pollutant conversion¹⁴⁻¹⁷. It is worth emphasizing that the rhizosphere microbes
49 which are closely related to plants are the most important among these soil microbes.
50 These rhizosphere microorganisms obtain the carbon source and energy needed for
51 survival from plant root exudates and litter¹⁸⁻²¹, but also provide many benefits for
52 plants. For example, regulating the morphology and physiology of plants^{22, 23},
53 promote plant growth and damage repair²⁴⁻²⁶, increase plant tolerance to biological
54 and abiotic stresses²⁷⁻²⁹, and improve plant ecological adaptability. Thus, the
55 rhizosphere microbiome is considered as the second genome to plant condition³⁰ and
56 serves on a highly evolved external functional environment for plants³¹, which are
57 conducive to plant survival and population recovery. Similarly, we believe that
58 rhizosphere microorganisms can also provide many benefits for the growth and
59 development of *F. sinkiangensis*, which is conducive to the population recovery of
60 *F. sinkiangensis*. Therefore, it is particularly important to understand the structure and
61 composition of soil microorganisms in the rhizosphere of *F. sinkiangensis*. However,
62 our knowledge no scholars have studied the rhizosphere microorganisms of
63 *F. sinkiangensis*, the distribution and structural composition of the rhizosphere soil
64 microbial community of *F. sinkiangensis* are unclear. Therefore, our primary purpose
65 in this study was to explore the structure composition and diversity of the bacterial
66 community in the *F. sinkiangensis* rhizosphere. In addition, *F. sinkiangensis* grows on
67 the hillside and receives different illumination time and intensity at different slope
68 positions⁴⁴. At the same time, the degree of rainwater erosion was different in
69 different slope positions, and the degree of nutrient redistribution was also different in
70 the erosion process^{42, 43}. Whether the difference caused by slope position will affect
71 the community structure and diversity of bacteria in the rhizosphere soil of *F.*
72 *sinkiangensis* is not clear, nor has it been reported by other scholars. As far as we
73 know, there are differences in nutrient content in different soil depths, whether these

differences will affect the rhizosphere bacterial community of *F. sinkiangensis* is not clear. Therefore, in this study, we investigated the structure composition and diversity of rhizosphere microorganisms in *F. sinkiangensis* under different slope positions and soil depths.

Similarly, researchers have found that environmental factors can directly and indirectly affect soil microbial communities. For example, changing water and nutrient availability can affect root exudates and regulate the rhizosphere microbial diversity^{35, 36}, soil pH also has a significant effect on the growth of microbial communities^{37, 38}, nitrogen deposition and precipitation can impact microorganisms³⁹ and increase nitrogen significantly affects fungal community, etc. We speculate that some physicochemical factors in the soil would also significantly affect the bacterial community in the rhizosphere soil of *F. sinkiangensis*. But we don't know exactly which factors might affect them. Unfortunately, no other researchers have conducted these studies. Therefore, in this study, we explored the relationship between some physicochemical factors and soil microbes in *F. sinkiangensis* rhizosphere. The purpose is to explore which of these soil physical and chemical factors are significantly related to soil microorganisms in *F. sinkiangensis* rhizosphere.

Giving the spatial heterogeneity of microorganisms³²⁻³⁴ and the influence of numerous soil physicochemical factors on microorganisms, we hypothesized that (1) the bacterial community structure and diversity of *F. sinkiangensis* rhizosphere are significantly different at various slope positions and soil depths and (2) there are only a few Soil physicochemical properties having dominant influence on the diversity and structure of the bacterial community in the *F. sinkiangensis* rhizosphere soil.

2 Results

2.1 Phylum and genus of dominant bacteria in *F. sinkiangensis* rhizosphere soil

All samples contained 2,360,809 raw tags in total, filtering out the low quality tags and removing the chimeric sequences to obtain the final 2,258,857 effective tags. On average, these tags were grouped into 4,546 bacterial Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) and contained a total of 76,585 taxon tags per sample (Supplementary Table S1). In addition, the results of the rarefaction curves drawn based on OTUs (Supplementary Figure S1) suggested that the curve representing each soil sample eventually tends to be flat. With the increasing Sequences number, it is rarely accompanied by the increase of the number of new bacteria OTUs, which reflects the reasonable amount of data we sequenced.

Sequence analysis identified 60 phyla and 901 genera of bacteria. Figure 1 showed the 10 bacterial phyla with the greatest abundance in the bacterial community in *F. sinkiangensis* rhizosphere soil. Among them, the total relative abundance of Actinobacteria, Acidobacteria, Proteobacteria, Gemmatimonadetes and Bacteroidetes was 71.30%, which occupies a dominant position in the rhizosphere soil bacterial

community of *F. sinkiangensis*. In addition, supplementary figure S2 showed the 30 bacterial genera with the highest abundance in the bacterial community in *F. sinkiangensis* rhizosphere soil. The sum of their relative abundance was 16.20% and the remaining 83.80% include genera outside the top 30 of the annotated list and the parts not annotated.

Figure 1 The relative abundance of the top ten phyla in the *F. sinkiangensis* rhizosphere. Legend: Distribution histogram is drawn based on R software (Version 2.15.3) ggplot2 package⁸⁸; E, R and S represent the top, middle and bottom of slope respectively; 1, 2 and 3 represent soil depths of 0-10cm, 10-25cm and 25-40cm respectively; Abscissa is the sample name; Ordinate indicates the relative abundance; Other indicate phylum outside the top 10 of the annotated list and the parts not annotated.

2.2 Relationships between slope position and soil depth and dominant rhizosphere bacteria

Two-way analysis of variance and LDA effect size analysis showed that the relative abundance of Proteobacteria, Cyanobacteria, Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Gemmatimonadetes, Thaumarchaeota and Verrucomicrobia were significantly affected by the slope position ($p < 0.05$), and showed different levels in different soil depths. In the 0-10cm soil layer, the relative abundance of Proteobacteria, Cyanobacteria, Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes in the middle of the slope was significantly higher than the top and bottom of the slope. However, the relative abundance of Actinobacteria and Gemmatimonadetes at the top and bottom of the slope was significantly higher than that of the mid-slope. In the 10-25cm soil layer, the relative abundance of Cyanobacteria and Verrucomicrobia at the mid-slope was significantly higher than the top and bottom of the slope. Interestingly, the relative

abundance of Firmicutes in the 10-25cm soil layer showed a trend of increasing as the slope position decreases, while the opposite was true in the 25-40cm soil layer (Figure 2 and Supplementary Table S2). In addition, the results of the two-factor analysis of variance (Table 1) based on the diversity indexes of each sample showed that the Chao1 and Shannon indexes, which evaluate the richness and diversity of bacterial communities in the rhizosphere soil of *F. sinkiangensis*, have significant differences between the main effect soil depth and slope position ($p < 0.05$). At the same time, the interactive effect of soil depth and slope position has no significant effect on the shannon and chao1 indexes. Specifically, the bacteria diversity and richness at the top of the slope were higher than that at the bottom of the slope; Diversity and richness of bacterial community in the soil layer of 0-10cm was significantly higher than that in the soil layer of 10-25cm and 25-40cm.

Figure 2 Results of intergroup LDA effect size (LEfSe) analysis revealed the specific bacteria phylum and genus distributed in the *F. sinkiangensis* rhizosphere under different slope positions and soil depths. Legend: LEfSe analysis diagram drawn based on LEfSe online software (<https://huttenhower.sph.harvard.edu/galaxy/>)⁸⁴; In cladograms, the circle radiating from inside to outside represents the classification level from the Phylum to the species. Each small circle at different classification levels represents a classification at that level, and the diameter of the small circle is proportional to the relative abundance; Species with no significant differences are uniformly colored in yellow; Different species Biomarker follows the group for coloring.

162 **Table 1** Inter Subject Effect test of the impact of slope position and soil depth on Shannon and Chao1 index.

	Dependent variable	Type III sums of squares	Degree of freedom	Mean square	F	Statistical significance
Model modification	Shannon index	37.319 ^a	8	4.665	2.905	0.029
	Chao1 index	31700036.6 ^b	8	3962504.580	6.491	0.000
Intercept	Shannon index	1991.162	1	1991.162	1240.048	0.000
	Chao1 index	393690702.7	1	393690702.7	644.892	0.000
Soil depth	Shannon index	14.882	2	7.441	4.634	0.024
	Chao1 index	11511392.39	2	5755696.197	9.428	0.002
Slope position	Shannon index	16.611	2	8.306	5.173	0.017
	Chao1 index	13356100.35	2	6678050.176	10.939	0.001
Soil depth * Slope position	Shannon index	5.826	4	1.457	0.907	0.481
	Chao1 index	6832543.892	4	1708135.973	2.798	0.057

163 Legend: Two-factor analysis was drawn based on SPSS (SPSS 19.0; IBM Inc., Armonk, USA)⁸⁵.

2.3 Relationship between slope position, soil depth and *F. sinkiangensis* rhizosphere soil physicochemical properties

Spearman correlation analysis showed that the available phosphorus (AP) was significantly positively correlated with the total organic carbon (TOC), total nitrogen (TN) and total potassium (TK); TOC was significantly positively correlated with TN, AP and total salt (TS); Ammonium nitrogen (AN) was negatively correlated with the total phosphorus (TP) ; There was a significant negative correlation between pH and TS. However, there was no significant correlation between most soil physicochemical variables (Table 2). In addition, multivariate analysis of variance showed that slope position was significantly correlated with most soil physicochemical properties including TOC, TP, TK, NN, AN, AP, and TS. However, only a few soil physicochemical properties, including AP, TS and pH, had a significant correlation with depth. Specifically, TOC, TP, TK, AP, and TS were significantly higher at the top than the middle of slope. The contents of TP and AP at the top of slope were significantly higher than those at the bottom and middle of slope. Interestingly, the content of AN was significantly higher at the bottom than at the top and middle of slope. In terms of depth, the content of AP and pH in 0-10cm soil were significantly higher than those in 25-40cm soil (Supplementary Table S3).

Table 3 The spearman correlation analyses between the α -diversity index and soil physicochemical properties.

	Shannon index		Chao1 index	
	r	P	r	P
TOC	0.234	0.240	-0.037	0.854
TN	0.185	0.356	-0.152	0.449
TP	0.459	0.016*	0.114	0.571
TK	0.405	0.036*	0.225	0.259
NN	0.060	0.766	-0.102	0.613
AN	-0.312	0.113	0.078	0.698
AP	0.538	0.004**	0.240	0.228
TS	0.027	0.892	-0.035	0.862
pH	0.317	0.498	-0.190	0.343

Legend: Spearman correlation table is drawn based on SPSS (SPSS 19.0; IBM Inc., Armonk, USA)⁸⁵; r-value represents spearman correlation coefficient, between -1 and 1, r<0 is negative correlation, r>0 is positive correlation * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$.

2.4 pH largely explained the variation of *F. sinkiangensis* rhizosphere bacterial community structure

Across all samples, soil AP showed a significant positive correlation with α -diversity of the bacterial community($r=0.538$, $p<0.01$). Soil TP and TK and the α -diversity

displayed a significant positive correlation (Shannon index, $r = 0.495$ and 0.405 , respectively, $p < 0.05$, Table 3). In addition, distance-based redundancy analysis (db-RDA) also showed a correlation between soil physicochemical properties and the distribution of bacterial communities in the rhizosphere of *F. sinkiangensis* (Figure 3). All the soil physicochemical factors explained 24.28% of the variation in the rhizosphere bacterial community structure of *F. sinkiangensis*. The pH explained 5.58% of variation, TS 5.21%, TP 4.90%, NN 3.89% and AP explained 3.60%. Among them, pH, TS and TP explained the largest proportion of the variation of the bacterial community structure in the rhizosphere of *F. sinkiangensis* (Supplementary Table S4).

Figure 3 Ordination diagram (samples-environment biplot) of db-RDA depicting environmental drivers of rhizosphere bacterial community composition of *F. sinkiangensis*. Legend: db-RDA figure is drawn based on Canoco Software (Version 5.0)⁸⁷; E, R and S represent the rhizosphere region of the top, middle and bottom of the slope respectively; Numbers indicate root depths, 1, 2 and 3 representing depths of 0-10 cm, 10-25 cm and 25-40 cm, respectively.

2.5 Spearman correlation analysis between relative abundance of dominant bacteria (phyla and genera) and soil physicochemical properties

Table 4 showed the relationship between the top ten dominant bacteria phyla and soil physicochemical properties. Specifically, TN, AP and TS have a significant positive correlation with the relative abundance of Actinobacteria; AP and TS showed significant positive relationships with Chloroflexi; pH showed significant positive relationships with Bacteroidetes; AN has significant positive relationships with Verrucomicrobia. Conversely, NN and TS significantly negative correlated with the relative abundances of Gemmatimonadetes; TN and AP showed significant negative

relationships with Cyanobacteria. Interestingly, Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Planctomycetes and Acidobacteria were not significantly related to any variables (Table 4). Moreover, the relationship between the relative abundance of the first thirty-five bacterial phyla and the physicochemical properties of the soil was shown in supplementary figure 3. In addition, correlation analysis between relative abundance of bacteria genera and soil physicochemical properties showed that pH and NN were significantly correlated with most bacteria genera. For example, pH has significant positive correlation with the relative abundance of *Adhaeribacter*, *Altererythrobacter*, *Gemmatimonas*, *Hymenobacter*, *Massilia*, *Opitutus*, *Rubellimicrobium* and *Sphingomonas* (Figure 4A). NN has significant positive correlation with *Blastococcus*, *Opitutus*, *Flavisolibacter*, *Rubrobacter* and *Rubellimicrobium* (Figure 4B).

Figure 4 The spearman correlation between pH (A), NN (B) and dominant bacteria. Legend: Spearman correlation figure was drawn based on R Software (Version 2.15.3)⁸⁶; “+” indicates positive correlation; “-” indicates negative correlation * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$.

233 **Table 2** Spearman correlation coefficients between soil physicochemical properties across all samples.

	TOC	TN	TP	TK	NN	AN	AP	TS	pH
TOC	1.000								
TN	0.792**	1.000							
TP	0.163	0.193	1.000						
TK	0.171	0.333	0.067	1.000					
NN	0.356	0.277	-0.149	-0.079	1.000				
AN	-0.200	-0.269	-0.448*	0.012	0.031	1.000			
AP	0.568**	0.639**	0.271	0.472*	0.069	-0.230	1.000		
TS	0.411*	0.232	0.004	0.125	0.287	-0.031	0.073	1.000	
pH	0.038	0.149	0.002	0.129	0.077	0.047	0.213	-0.390*	1.000

234 Legend: Spearman correlation table is drawn based on SPSS (SPSS 19.0; IBM Inc., Armonk, USA)⁸⁵ ; TS, total salt content; AP, available
235 phosphorus content; AN, ammonium nitrogen content; NN, nitrate nitrogen content; TP, total phosphorus content; TN, total nitrogen content; TK,
236 total potassium content; TOC, total organic carbon content; r-value represents Spearman correlation coefficient, between -1 and 1, r<0 is
237 negative correlation, r>0 is positive correlation * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$.

238 **Table 4** The spearman correlation analysis between relative abundance of dominant bacteria and soil physicochemical properties.

	Actinobacteria	Firmicutes	Proteobacteria	Bacteroidetes	Planctomycetes	Acidobacteria	Gemmatimonadetes	Chloroflexi	Verrucomicrobia	Cyanobacteria
pH	-0.355	-0.003	0.054	0.434*	0.106	0.13	0.027	-0.263	0.183	0.21
TOC	0.346	-0.026	-0.101	0.049	0.026	0.026	-0.377	0.311	-0.049	-0.291
TN	0.420*	-0.122	0.087	-0.035	-0.224	-0.096	-0.151	0.349	-0.035	-0.384*
TP	-0.026	-0.279	0.314	0.278	0.012	0.12	0.178	-0.065	-0.125	-0.12
TK	0.246	-0.114	0.026	0.071	-0.006	0.3	-0.007	0.337	-0.089	-0.302
NN	0.018	-0.083	-0.004	0.351	-0.04	0.028	-0.564**	0.007	0.094	0.123
AN	0.226	-0.024	-0.162	-0.137	0.174	0.049	0.067	0.196	0.395*	0.073
AP	0.445*	-0.206	0.187	0.201	-0.156	-0.002	-0.012	0.411*	-0.123	-0.492**
TS	0.443*	-0.169	-0.238	-0.206	-0.09	0.027	-0.394*	0.394*	0.031	-0.119

239 Legend: Spearman correlation table is drawn based on SPSS (SPSS 19.0; IBM Inc., Armonk, USA)⁸⁵; r-value represents spearman correlation
240 coefficient, between -1 and 1, r<0 is negative correlation, r>0 is positive correlation **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01.

242 One noteworthy result of our study was that the diversity and richness of the bacterial
243 community in the rhizosphere of *F. sinkiangensis* were significantly different at
244 different slope positions and soil depths (Table 1). This result supported our first
245 hypothesis on the bacterial diversity and abundance of rhizosphere bacteria in
246 *F. sinkiangensis* being sensitive to slope and soil depth variation; importantly, the
247 spatial resolution of bacterial communities was detectable on a small spatial scale;
248 These found were consistent with the results of Qi Sun et al.⁴⁰ in exploring the
249 microbial diversity of steep slope soils in the semi-arid Loess Plateau and also
250 Martina et al.⁴¹ who explored the small-scale spatial diversity of temperate forests.

251 The variation in diversity and richness of bacterial communities along the slope might
252 be attributed mainly to two aspects. The first aspect was redistribution of nutrients
253 down the slope during rainfall erosion^{42, 43}, causing differences in soil properties
254 (Supplementary Table S3). As far as we know, there were a significant correlation
255 between soil physicochemical properties and bacterial communities. For example, TN,
256 AP, AN, NN and TS were significantly correlated with dominant bacteria phyla in *F.*
257 *sinkiangensis* rhizospheric soil (Table 4). NN and pH were significantly correlated
258 with most bacterial genera (Figure 4). Meanwhile, other physicochemical factors were
259 also significantly correlated with dominant bacterial genera (Supplementary Figure 3).
260 This means that the diversity and composition of bacterial community in *F.*
261 *sinkiangensis* rhizosphere soil were greatly different, which may be caused by the
262 different distribution of soil physicochemical properties. The second aspect was the
263 illumination time and intensity different at various slope positions⁴⁴. For example,
264 there was a longer illumination time at the top of the slope than at the bottom, which
265 was conducive to increased photosynthetic formation of organic compounds by plants,
266 thus increasing the input of TOC into soil. As far as we know, there were significant
267 correlations between TOC and some other physicochemical properties in soil. For
268 example, there was a significant positive correlation between TOC and TN, AP and
269 TS (Table 2). This means that the difference of TOC input can lead to the difference of
270 other soil physicochemical properties, and finally affect the structure composition and
271 diversity of the bacterial community in the soil.

272 Stratification differences in the diversity and richness of bacterial communities with
273 soil depth (Table 1) were similar to those in the studies of Fierer et al. and Eilers et
274 al.^{45, 46} mainly due to spatial heterogeneity of nutrients (Supplementary Table S3). The
275 topsoil has greater contents of nutrients than the deeper soil layers, with a consequent
276 increase in microbial α -diversity⁴⁷. Secondly, the topsoil microorganisms have higher
277 activity than the deep soil microorganisms. Microbial decomposition and metabolic
278 processes result in a large number of metabolites (including extracellular enzymes),
279 contributing to topsoil nutrient accumulation and thus growth of bacteria.

280 Soil pH is considered as the best predictor of bacterial community structure and
281 diversity at different spatial scales and soil types⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰, and this was consistent with the
282 results we found in this study regarding the composition and variation of the
283 rhizosphere bacterial community in the rhizosphere of *F. sinkiangensis* (Figure 3 and
284 Supplementary S4). However, the mechanism behind this model is little known, and
285 we propose three relevant hypotheses. 1. Bacteria have a certain range of tolerance to
286 pH, beyond which they cannot survive. The intracellular pH of many microorganisms
287 is close to neutral⁵¹. Therefore, any degree of pH change exerts pressure on some

bacterial groups with narrow pH tolerance and inadaptability, interfering with their growth, affecting their competitiveness⁵², and eventually leading to changes in the composition of bacterial communities. 2. The pH may not directly affect the structure of bacterial communities, but it may affect the physicochemical properties of soils directly and indirectly. For example, there was a significant negative correlation between pH and salt content (Table 2); in addition, pH affects the availability of nutrients and heavy metal ions in soil^{53, 54}. Therefore, pH can be used as an integrated variable to combine with other factors in influencing the community structure of bacteria. 3. Singh et al. showed that some plants actively affect soil bacterial communities by changing soil pH through root exudates⁵⁵. In addition, studies have shown that plant growth was passively influenced by pH, regulating the amount and composition of root secretions^{54, 56}, which further influences nutrient availability, enzyme activity and microbial abundance^{57, 58}. Of course, pH, whether actively or passively, plays an important role in influencing the structure of bacterial communities.

Interestingly, we found in this study there was a significant correlation between AP (but not pH) and *F. sinkiangensis* rhizosphere bacterial diversity. This suggested that pH was not always a universal predictor of bacterial community diversity. Depending on habitat environment and soil type, there might be factors that explain bacterial diversity better than pH. The reason why AP has a significant relationship with bacterial community diversity was a relationship between C mineralization and P availability. Previous studies showed that in the absence of P, the retention time of litter was longer and the mineralization of unstable C was inhibited. This even had a negative effect on the carbon sequestration in cultivated lands^{58, 59}. However, C is the main source of energy for microorganisms and interferes with their growth⁶⁰. The response of C mineralization to P availability directly impacts the biodiversity.

Microorganisms can be closely involved in soil nutrient cycle, improved soil nutrient status and optimized soil structure⁶⁰⁻⁶². Provide suitable soil environment for plant growth. Considering the important role of microorganisms in plant growth and development, Enkatchalam et al. put forward the "Belowground Solutions to an Aboveground Problem" viewpoint⁶³. That is, by trying to solve some of the problems faced by plants on the ground by relying on microbes in the soil below. Meanwhile, the research of some scholars also confirmed this viewpoint. For example, Hoflich et al. stimulated plant growth by inoculation with symbiotic and associative rhizosphere microorganisms⁶⁴, and the microorganisms discovered by Horace et al. regulated plant growth by producing various secondary metabolites⁶⁵. In addition, some scholars applied phosphate, potash and nitrogen fertilizers and organic matter to alter the microbial community diversity and influence plant growth^{66, 67}. Therefore, we hope that the discovery of pH, AP, TP and TK significantly influencing the bacterial community diversity and structure in the rhizosphere of *F. sinkiangensis* (Table 3 and Supplementary Table S4) can be applied in conservation and commercial cultivation of *F. sinkiangensis*.

4 Conclusion

Although *F. sinkiangensis* population continues to decline, the research conducted on *F. sinkiangensis* is still focused mainly on medicinal properties; in contrast, the research on habitat ecology, plant physiology and soil is rare, restricting the capacity

to conserve *F. sinkiangensis*. In this study, we explored the diversity and structural composition of the rhizosphere bacterial community in the rhizosphere of *F. sinkiangensis*. However, bacterial communities are made up of a wide variety of bacteria, some of which were reported in other plant-microbe interaction patterns as beneficial to plant growth and development, some of which are neutral, and some of which are not conducive to plant growth and development. This requires us to conduct further characterization in subsequent studies, to identify the bacterial populations conducive to the growth and development of *F. sinkiangensis*.

The spatial heterogeneity of microorganisms has been shown, but there are few studies on sloping land and soil depth. Our study revealed trends in bacterial community diversity at different slopes and soil depths, which will contribute to our understanding of the microbially-mediated carbon cycle in soil. Our study demonstrated the universality of pH prediction of bacterial community structure, but also showed that pH effects on bacterial community diversity might be limited under the influence of specific habitats and soil types. In addition, the dominant effects of pH, AP, TK and TP on the bacterial community were identified, and we considered using them in the conservation and commercial culture of *F. sinkiangensis*. By adjusting pH value and applying phosphate and potassium fertilizers, the diversity and structure of bacterial community might be affected, and soil structure might be improved to provide suitable soil environment for the growth of *F. sinkiangensis*. Exploring the optimal amount and proportion of fertilizers needs to be done in the future studies.

5 Materials and methods

5.1 Site description and sampling

Since *F. sinkiangensis* is a short-lived plant, we chose to study it during its growing season (April-May). We set the place of Byshidun, Yili, Xinjiang, China as the research plot (desert gray calcareous soil, slope: 43°, longitude: 82.083359, latitude: 43.723121). On the slopes where *F. sinkiangensis* grew, we designed rectangular plots of 10m×5m at the top, middle and bottom of the slope respectively. Riley and Barber shaking methods⁶⁸ were used to collect rhizosphere soil samples in 10m×5m plots circled at the top, middle and bottom of the slope. Taking the slope top as an example, 3 *F. sinkiangensis* with the same growth potential were randomly selected in the sample plot of 10m×5m. Then the rhizosphere soil samples were collected by shaking⁶⁸ at the roots of 0-10cm, 10cm-25cm and 25cm-40cm of each *F. sinkiangensis*. Collected soil was immediately placed in a 5 ml test tube with sterile tweezers, and then marked on a tube and placed in a liquid nitrogen tank for storage. A total of 9 soil samples were collected at the top of the slope. Specifically, 3 depths (0-10 cm, 10 cm-25 cm and 25 cm-40 cm) ×3 replicates. We explored three different plots of top, middle and bottom, with a total of 27 soil samples (3×9). In addition, we used a mixed labeling system to label the samples. The first group of letters was used to represent the position of the slope, rhizosphere (E, R and S represent the rhizosphere region of the top, middle and bottom of the slope respectively). The second number indicates the depth (1, 2, and 3 represent 0-10cm, 10-25cm, and 25-40cm depth, respectively) and the third number indicates the number of repetitions. For example, S2.1 represents

the first replicate soil sample with a root depth of 10-25cm in the roots of *F. sinkiangensis* at the bottom of the slope (Supplementary Table S5).

5.2 Soil analysis

Obtained soil samples were naturally air-dried, sieved to 2mm, and plant impurities were removed for determining physical and chemical properties. Organic matter contents were determined by wet digestion⁶⁹. Nitrogen content was determined by the perchlorate-sulfuric acid digestion method (1035 automatic nitrogen determination apparatus, FOSS)⁷⁰. Total phosphorus contents were assayed by the molybdenum method (Cary 60 UV-Vis spectrophotometer, Agilent)⁷⁰. Total potassium was measured using the acid dissolution - atomic absorption method (Atomic Absorption Spectrometer, Thermo Fisher Scientific). pH measurements were performed with pH-meter (FiveEasy Plus, Mettler-Toledo). Available phosphorus was determined by molybdenum inverse colorimetry after extraction with sodium bicarbonate. Nitrate and ammonium nitrogen was determined by the 0.01M calcium chloride extraction method (Flow analyzer, BRAN+LUEBBE). Total salt was determined by using dry-residue method (Supplementary Table S6).

5.3 DNA extraction, amplification and library generation

DNA was isolated from each sample using a Centrifugal Soil Genomic DNA Extraction Kit, and the purity and concentration of the DNA were measured by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. An appropriate amount of DNA sample was placed in a sterile centrifuge tube and diluted to 1 ng/μL with sterile water. Partial 16S rDNA-based high-throughput sequencing was used to determine the bacterial diversity and community composition according to Caporaso et al⁷¹. Using diluted DNA as a template, PCR amplification of the V4 region of 16S rRNA gene was performed using barcode-specific primers 806R (5'-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3') and 515F (5'-GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3'). The V3-V4 region was used because the primers 515F-806R retrieve the greatest diversity of the bacterial domain at the phylum level⁷². Phusion High-fidelity PCR Master Mix with GC buffer from New England Biolabs was used to ensure the efficiency and accuracy of amplification. PCR products were detected by electrophoresis with 2% agarose gel, and target bands between 200-300bp were cut for further experiments. PCR products were mixed at equal density ratios. The PCR products were purified using Qiagen Gel Extraction Kits (Qiagen, Germany). The recovered purified products were placed in a 1.5 mL sterile centrifugal tube in a dry ice box and sent to Beijing Compass Biotechnology Co., Ltd for high-throughput sequencing. The library was constructed using a TruSeq DNA PCR-Free Sample Preparation Kit. The constructed library was quantified by Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Scientific) and Q-PCR (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After the library was qualified, it was sequenced using HiSeq2500 PE250.

5.4 Sequence analysis

After barcode sequences and primer sequences were cut off, the tags of each sample were spliced with a fast and accurate analysis tool FLASH⁷³ to obtain the raw tags.

Raw tags were carefully filtered⁷⁴ to get clean tags of high quality using Qiime (V1.9.1)⁷⁵. Tags quality control process comprised the following operations: a) Tags intercept: raw tags with low quality value (default quality threshold was ≤ 19) of the set length (default length value was 3) were truncated; b) Tags length filtering: the tagged data set obtained after interception of tags was further filtered out of the continuous high quality tags whose base length was less than 75% of the tags length. The tag sequences obtained after the above treatment are compared with the Gold database by UCHIME Algorithm⁷⁶ to detect chimeric sequences that were removed⁷⁷, to obtain the final effective tags.

Uparse software (Uparse v7.0.1001)⁷⁸ was used to cluster the effective tags of all samples, with 97% sequence identity. Grouping sequences into Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs). The sequences with the highest occurrence frequency in OTUs were selected as representative of OTUs by using the Mothur method. SILVA⁷⁹ SSUrRNA database⁸⁰ was used for OTUs annotation to represent species sequence analysis (setting threshold of 0.8-1) and acquire information on taxonomy. The MUSCLE (Version 3.8.31) software⁸¹ was used for fast multi-sequence alignment to obtain the system relationship of all OTUs representative sequences. Finally, the sample with the smallest amount of data was homogenized. Subsequent alpha diversity (α -diversity) and beta diversity (β -diversity) analyses were both based on the homogenized data. The raw sequence tags have been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) with BioProject accession number PRJNA667321 (<https://dataview.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/object/PRJNA667321?reviewer=npa1ot26ika639ulfdgqid7p6u>).

5.5 Data analysis

QIIME software (Version 1.9.1) was used to calculate the Observed-species, Chao1, Shannon, and Goods-coverage indices. Chao1, Shannon and Goods-coverage indices can be used to evaluate the richness, diversity and sequencing depth of samples respectively⁸². In addition, R software (Version 2.15.3) was used to draw the dilution curve to reflect the rationality of sequencing data volume. β -diversity analysis was performed on weighted and unweighted unifac with the QIIME software (version 1.7.0)⁸³. The latter software was used as a hierarchical clustering method to interpret the distance matrix using average link, and arithmetic mean (UPGMA) clustering was used for the unweighted pair method. The linear discriminant analysis (LDA) effect size (LEfSe)⁸⁴ was used to identify statistically significant differences between groups.

Two-way ANOVA (SPSS 19.0; IBM Inc., Armonk, USA)⁸⁵ was used to assess differences in bacterial relative abundance or diversity between samples. Spearman correlation analysis⁸⁶ was performed to assess the interrelationship between soil properties and the dominant bacterial species. In addition, distance-based redundancy analysis (db-RDA) and variance partitions were performed to study the relationship between the composition of the rhizosphere, soil properties and non-soil parameters. This was carried out with the Canoco statistical software (version 5.0) and default parameters (vegan package)⁸⁷.

463 **References**

- 464 1. Qian, Z.Z., Dan, Y., Liu, Y.Z. & Peng, Y. Pharmacopoeia of the People's
465 Republic of China (2010 Edition):A Milestone in Development of China's
466 Healthcare. *Chinese Herbal Medicines* **02**, 157-160 (2010).
- 467 2. Sesquiterpene Lactones from the Roots of *Ferula varia* and Their Cytotoxic
468 Activity. *Journal of Natural Products* **70**, 1915-1918 (2007).
- 469 3. Znati, M., Jannet, H., Cazaux, S. & Bouajila, J. Chemical Composition,
470 Biological and Cytotoxic Activities of Plant Extracts and Compounds Isolated
471 from *Ferula lutea*. *Molecules* **19**, 2733-2747 (2014).
- 472 4. Kartal, N. et al. Investigation of the antioxidant properties of *Ferula orientalis*
473 L. using a suitable extraction procedure. *Food Chemistry* **99**, 584-589 (2007).
- 474 5. El-Razek, M.H.A., Ohta, S. & Hirata, T. Terpenoid Coumarins of the Genus
475 *Ferula*. *Cheminform* **34**, 0-0 (2003).
- 476 6. Matejić, J.S. et al. Total phenolic content, flavonoid concentration, antioxidant
477 and antimicrobial activity of methanol extracts from three *Seseli* L. taxa.
478 *Central European Journal of Biology* **7**, 1116-1122 (2012).
- 479 7. Motai, T. & Kitanaka, S. Sesquiterpene chromones from *Ferula fukanensis*
480 and their nitric oxide production inhibitory effects. *Journal of Natural*
481 *Products* **67**, 432-436 (2004).
- 482 8. Influenza A (H(1)N(1)) Antiviral and Cytotoxic Agents from *Ferula*
483 *assa-foetida*. *Journal of Natural Products* **72**, 1568-1572 (2009).
- 484 9. Bagheri, S.M. Effect of *Ferula assa-foetida* oleo-gum-resin on renal function
485 in normal Wistar rats **26**, 419-422 (2016).
- 486 10. E., Zhang & H. Spatially-explicit sensitivity analysis for land suitability
487 evaluation. *APPLIED GEOGRAPHY –SEVENOAKS* **45**, 1-9 (2013).
- 488 11. Zhu J, Li XJ, Jia XG: A preliminary study on the ecological habit of the pest
489 of *Ferula sinkiangensis* -- longicorn longicornis with white hair. *Proceedings*
490 *of the 9th national symposium on natural drug resources* **3**, 41 (2010).
- 491 12. Tan GY, He S, Yao F: Male sterility and morphological observation of *Ferula*
492 *sinkiangensis*. *70th anniversary annual meeting of Chinese botanical society* **1**,
493 0-0 (2003).
- 494 13. Xie, C. et al. Resource Investigation for Endangered Wild *Ferula sinkiangensis*
495 Based on Low Altitude Remote Sensing. *Modernization of Traditional*
496 *Chinese Medicine and Materia Materia-World Science and Technology* **16**,
497 2480-2486 (2014).

- 498 14. Rodríguez, H. & Fraga, R. Phosphate solubilizing bacteria and their role in
499 plant growth promotion. *Biotechnology Advances* **17**, 319-339 (1999).
- 500 15. Bardgett, R.D., Freeman, C. & Ostle, N.J. Microbial contributions to climate
501 change through carbon cycle feedbacks. *Isme Journal* **2**, 805-814 (2008).
- 502 16. Schimel, D.S. Terrestrial ecosystems and the carbon cycle. *Global Change*
503 *Biology* **1**, 77-91 (2010).
- 504 17. Thiele-Bruhn, S., Bloem, J., Vries, F.T.D., Kalbitz, K. & Wagg, C. Linking
505 soil biodiversity and agricultural soil management. *Current Opinion in*
506 *Environmental Sustainability* **4**, 523-528 (2012).
- 507 18. Bais, H.P., Weir, T.L., Perry, L.G., Gilroy, S. & Vivanco, J.M. The role of
508 root exudates in rhizosphere interactions with plants and other organisms.
509 *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **57**, 233-266 (2006).
- 510 19. Hiltner, L. Über neuer Erfahrungen und Probleme auf dem Gebiet der
511 Bodenbakteriologie unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Gründüngung und
512 Brache. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **32**, 1405-1417 (1904).
- 513 20. De Deyn, G. Plant functional traits and soil carbon sequestration in contrasting
514 biomes. *Ecology Letters* **11**, 516-31 (2010).
- 515 21. Bulgarelli, D. et al. Structure and Function of the Bacterial Root Microbiota in
516 Wild and Domesticated Barley. *Cell host & microbe* **17**, 392-403 (2015).
- 517 22. Huang, X.L., Chen, Y. & Shenker, M. Rapid whole-plant bioassay for
518 phosphorus phytoavailability in soils. *Plant & Soil* **271**, 365-376 (2005).
- 519 23. Matiru, V.N. & Dakora, F.D. Xylem transport and shoot accumulation of
520 lumichrome, a newly recognized rhizobial signal, alters root respiration,
521 stomatal conductance, leaf transpiration and photosynthetic rates in legumes
522 and cereals. *New Phytologist* **165**, 847-855 (2005).
- 523 24. Gull, M., Hafeez, F.Y., Saleem, M. & Malik, K.A. Phosphorus uptake and
524 growth promotion of chickpea by co-inoculation of mineral phosphate
525 solubilising bacteria and a mixed rhizobial culture. *Australian Journal of*
526 *Experimental Agriculture* **44**, 623 (2004).
- 527 25. Rodriguez, R.J. et al. Stress tolerance in plants via habitat-adapted symbiosis.
528 *Isme Journal* **2**, 404 (2008).
- 529 26. Liu, L., Liu, S., Chen, F., Yang, X. & Zhao, J. Effect of endophytic bacteria
530 inoculation on cadmium uptake in *Solanum nigrum* L. *Acta entiae*
531 *Circumstantiae* **33**, 3368-3375 (2013).
- 532 27. Mayak, S., Tirosh, T. & Glick, B.R. Plant growth-promoting bacteria confer
533 resistance in tomato plants to salt stress. *Plant Physiology & Biochemistry* **42**,
534 565-572 (2004).

- 535 28. Mayak, S., Tirosh, T. & Glick, B.R. Plant growth-promoting bacteria that
536 confer resistance to water stress in tomatoes and peppers. *Plant ence* **166**,
537 525-530 (2004).
- 538 29. Improved plant resistance to drought is promoted by the root-associated
539 microbiome as a water stress-dependent trait. *Environmental Microbiology* **17**
540 (2014).
- 541 30. Berendsen, R.L., Pieterse, C.M.J. & Bakker, P.A.H.M. The rhizosphere
542 microbiome and plant health. *Trends in Plant Science* **17**, 478-486 (2012).
- 543 31. Lakshmanan, V., Selvaraj, G. & Bais, H.P. Functional Soil Microbiome:
544 Belowground Solutions to an Aboveground Problem. *Plant Physiology* **166**,
545 689-700 (2014).
- 546 32. Franklin, R.B. & Mills, A.L. Multi-scale variation in spatial heterogeneity for
547 microbial community structure in an eastern Virginia agricultural field. *Fems*
548 *Microbiology Ecology* **44**, 335-346 (2003).
- 549 33. Stephanie et al. TRADE-OFFS, SPATIAL HETEROGENEITY, AND THE
550 MAINTENANCE OF MICROBIAL DIVERSITY. *Evolution* **67**, 599-608
551 (2012).
- 552 34. Choi et al. Spatial heterogeneity and stability of bacterial community in the
553 gastrointestinal tracts of broiler chickens. *Poultry Science* **93**, 1942-50 (2014).
- 554 35. Howard, A.G., Comber, S.D.W., Kifle, D., Antai, E.E. & Purdie, D.A. Arsenic
555 Speciation and Seasonal Changes in Nutrient Availability and Micro-plankton
556 Abundance in Southampton Water, U.K. *Estuarine Coastal & Shelf ence* **40**,
557 435-450 (1995).
- 558 36. Vanessa, N.K. et al. Water Regime Influences Bulk Soil and Rhizosphere of
559 *Cereus jamacaru* Bacterial Communities in the Brazilian Caatinga Biome. *Plos*
560 *One* **8**, e73606 (2013).
- 561 37. Stephenson, S.S.L. Myxomycete Biodiversity in Four Different Forest Types
562 in Costa Rica. *Mycologia* **92**, 626-637 (2000).
- 563 38. Lacerda, N.A. et al. Sewage Sludge Microbial Structures and Relations to
564 Their Sources, Treatments, and Chemical Attributes. *Frontiers in*
565 *Microbiology* **9**, 1462 (2018).
- 566 39. Treseder, K.K. Nitrogen additions and microbial biomass: a meta-analysis of
567 ecosystem studies. *Ecology Letters* **11**, 1111-1120 (2010).
- 568 40. Qiqi, S. et al. Spatial variations of soil respiration and temperature sensitivity
569 along a steep slope of the semiarid Loess Plateau. *Plos One* **13**, e0195400
570 (2018).

- 571 41. Martina, Š., Jiří, B., Hana, Š. & Petr, B. Small-scale spatial heterogeneity of
572 ecosystem properties, microbial community composition and microbial
573 activities in a temperate mountain forest soil. *Fems Microbiology Ecology*, **92**,
574 185 (2016).
- 575 42. Lal, R. Soil erosion and carbon dynamics. *Soil & Tillage Research* **81**,
576 137-142 (2005).
- 577 43. Yi, C., Shao-Shan, A.N. & Yun-Fei, M.A. Soil Microbial Biomass and
578 Enzymatic Activities in the Loess Hilly Area of Ningxia under Different Slope
579 Positions. *Research of Soil and Water Conservation* **17**, 148-153 (2010).
- 580 44. Chunyan, G., Jinchuan, L., Jianying, Y., Shengquan, Y. & Xiang, W. Diurnal
581 changes in the photosynthetic characteristics of two high yield and high
582 quality grasses during different stages of growth and their response to changes
583 in light intensity. *Acta Ecologica Sinica* **33**, 1751-1761 (2013).
- 584 45. Fierer, N., Schimel, J.P. & Holden, P.A. Variations in microbial community
585 composition through two soil depth profiles. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **35**,
586 167-176 (2003).
- 587 46. Eilers, K.G., Debenport, S., Anderson, S. & Fierer, N. Digging deeper to find
588 unique microbial communities: The strong effect of depth on the structure of
589 bacterial and archaeal communities in soil. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **50**,
590 58-65 (2012).
- 591 47. Voříšková, J., Brabcová, V., Cajthaml, T. & Baldrian, P. Seasonal dynamics
592 of fungal communities in a temperate oak forest soil. *New Phytologist* **201**,
593 269-278 (2013).
- 594 48. Rousk, J. et al. Soil bacterial and fungal communities across a pH gradient in
595 an arable soil. *Isme Journal* **4**, 1340-1351 (2010).
- 596 49. Bartram, A.K. et al. Exploring links between pH and bacterial community
597 composition in soils from the Craibstone Experimental Farm. *FEMS*
598 *microbiology ecology* **87**, 403 (2013).
- 599 50. Fierer & Noah Embracing the unknown: disentangling the complexities of the
600 soil microbiome. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **15**, 579-590 (2017).
- 601 51. Golyshina, O. V. , Golyshin, P. N. , Timmis, K. N. , & Ferrer, M. The 'ph
602 optimum anomaly' of intracellular enzymes of ferroplasma acidiphilum.
603 *Environmental Microbiology* **8**, 416-425 (2016).
- 604 52. Fierer, N. & Jackson, R.B. The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial
605 communities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of sciences of the United*
606 *States of America* **103**, p.626-631 (2006).
- 607 53. Harter, R.D. Effect of Soil pH on Adsorption of Lead, Copper, Zinc, and
608 Nickel. *Soil .soc.am.j* **47**, 47-51 (1983).

- 609 54. Buckman, Harry O. , and N. C. Brady . The Nature and Properties of Soils.
610 *Journal of Range Management* **5**, 333 (1922).
- 611 55. Singh, G. & Mukerji, K.G. Root Exudates as Determinant of Rhizospheric
612 Microbial Biodiversity. *Microbial Activity in the Rhizosphere* **7**, 39-53 (2006).
- 613 56. Ibekwe, A.M., Poss, J.A., Grattan, S.R., Grieve, C.M. & Suarez, D. Bacterial
614 diversity in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) rhizosphere in response to salinity,
615 soil pH, and boron. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **42**, 567-575 (2010).
- 616 57. Hong, C.Q. & Nie, Y.L. Effects of root exudates on plant nutrition. *Journal of*
617 *ecological environment* **12**, 508-511 (2003).
- 618 58. Xiao-Liang, Z. et al. Effects of Cotton Root Exudates on Available Soil
619 Nutrition, Enzyme Activity and Microorganism Quantity. *Acta Botanica*
620 *Boreali-Occidentalia Sinica* **29**, 1426-1431 (2009).
- 621 59. Cruz, A.F., Hamel, C., Hanson, K., Selles, F. & Zentner, R.P. Thirty-seven
622 years of soil nitrogen and phosphorus fertility management shapes the
623 structure and function of the soil microbial community in a Brown Chernozem.
624 *Plant and Soil* **315**, 173-184 (2009).
- 625 60. Eilers, K.G., Lauber, C.L., Knight, R. & Fierer, N. Shifts in bacterial
626 community structure associated with inputs of low molecular weight carbon
627 compounds to soil. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **42**, 896-903 (2010).
- 628 61. N.J. Grünwald, Hu S , Bruggen A H C V . Short-term Cover Crop
629 Decomposition in Organic and Conventional Soils: Soil Microbial and
630 Nutrient Cycling Indicator Variables Associated with Different Levels of Soil
631 Suppressiveness to *Pythium aphanidermatum*. *European Journal of Plant*
632 *Pathology* **106**, 51-65 (1997).
- 633 62. Webster, E.A. et al. The Relationship between Microbial Carbon and the
634 Resource Quality of Soil Carbon. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **30**,
635 147-50 (2001).
- 636 63. Lakshmanan V, Selvaraj G, Bais HP: Functional Soil Microbiome:
637 Belowground Solutions to an Aboveground Problem. *Plant Physiology* **166**,
638 689-700 (2004).
- 639 64. Susana, C.S., Yoav, H., Yaacov, O. & Edouard, J. Effects of inoculation with
640 plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on resident rhizosphere microorganisms.
641 *Fems Microbiology Letters* **276**, 1-11 (2007).
- 642 65. CUTLER & HG. UNUSUAL PLANT-GROWTH REGULATORS FROM
643 MICROORGANISMS. *Crc Crit Rev Plant Sci* **6**, 413-444 (1988).
- 644 66. Bourke, R. Influence of nitrogen and potassium fertilizer on growth of sweet
645 potato (*Ipomoea batatas*) in Papua New Guinea. *Field Crops Research* **12**,
646 363-375 (1985).

- 647 67. Sarathchandra, S. et al. Effects of phosphate fertilizer applications on
648 microorganisms in pastoral soil. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* **31**,
649 641-648 (1993).
- 650 68. Riley, D. & Barber, S.A. Salt Accumulation at the Soybean (Glycine Max. (L.)
651 Merr.) Root-Soil Interface1. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **34**,
652 154-155 (1970).
- 653 69. Enders, A. Comparison of Wet-Digestion and Dry-Ashing Methods for Total
654 Elemental Analysis of Biochar. *Communications in Soil Science & Plant*
655 *Analysis* **43**, p.1042-1052 (2012).
- 656 70. Lu, R.K. Soil and agro-chemistry analytical methods [M]. *Beijing: Agriculture*
657 *Science and Technology Press of China* **15**, 223-227 (1999).
- 658 71. Caporaso, J.G. et al. Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of
659 millions of sequences per sample. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
660 *Sciences of the United States of America* **108**, p.4516-4522 (2011).
- 661 72. Peiffer, J.A. et al. Diversity and heritability of the maize rhizosphere
662 microbiome under field conditions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
663 *Sciences* **110**, 6548-6553 (2013).
- 664 73. Tanja, Mago?, Steven, L. & Salzberg. FLASH: fast length adjustment of short
665 reads to improve genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **27**,
666 2957-2963 (2011).
- 667 74. Bokulich, N.A. et al. Quality-filtering vastly improves diversity estimates from
668 Illumina amplicon sequencing. *Nature Methods* **10**, 57-59 (2013).
- 669 75. J Gregory Caporaso, Justin Kuczynski, Jesse Stombaugh, et al. QIIME allows
670 analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nature Methods* **7**,
671 335-336 (2010).
- 672 76. Edgar et al. UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection.
673 *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2194-2200 (2011).
- 674 77. Haas, B.J. et al. Chimeric 16S rRNA sequence formation and detection in
675 Sanger and 454-pyrosequenced PCR amplicons. *Genome Research* **21**,
676 494-504 (2011).
- 677 78. Edgar, R.C. UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial
678 amplicon reads. *Nature Methods* **10**, 996 (2013).
- 679 79. Christian, Q. et al. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project:
680 improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nuclc Acids Research*, **41**,
681 D590-6 (2013).
- 682 80. Wang, Q. Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences
683 into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol* **73**, 5261-7 (2007).

- 684 81. Edgar, R.C. Local homology recognition and distance measures in linear time
685 using compressed amino acid alphabets. *Nuclc Acids Research* **32**, 380-385
686 (2004).
- 687 82. Li, B., Zhang, X., Guo, F., Wu, W. & Zhang, T. Characterization of
688 tetracycline resistant bacterial community in saline activated sludge using
689 batch stress incubation with high-throughput sequencing analysis. *Water*
690 *Research* **47**, 4207-4216 (2013).
- 691 83. Lozupone, C.A., Hamady, M., Kelley, S.T. & Knight, R. Quantitative and
692 Qualitative Diversity Measures Lead to Different Insights into Factors That
693 Structure Microbial Communities. *Applied & Environmental Microbiology* **73**,
694 1576-1585 (2007).
- 695 84. Segata, N., *et al.* Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. *Genome*
696 *Biology* **12**, R60 (2011).
- 697 85. Starkings, S. IBM SPSS Statistics 19 Made Simple by Colin D. Gray and Paul
698 R. Kinnear. *International Statistical Review* **80**, 333-334 (2012).
- 699 86. Algina, J. & Keselman, H.J. Comparing squared multiple correlation
700 coefficients: Examination of a confidence interval and a test significance.
701 *Psychological Methods* **4**, 76-83 (1999).
- 702 87. Koutecky & Petr. MorphoTools: a set of R functions for morphometric
703 analysis. *Plant Systematics & Evolution* **301**, 1115-1121 (2015).
- 704 88. Lisa, et al. A rapid throughput approach identifies cognitive deficits in adult
705 zebrafish from developmental exposure to polybrominated flame retardants.
706 *Neurotoxicology* **43**, 134-142 (2014).

707 **Acknowledgements**

708 In this study, we would like to thank professor LZ for his guidance, all the authors for
709 their joint efforts, and *F. sinkiangensis* research enthusiasts who provided guidance
710 services in this experiment.

711 **Author Contributions**

712 T.Z.: Methodology, Data curation, Investigation, Writing-Original draft preparation.
713 Z.W.: Validation, Investigation. X.Lv.: Validation, Investigation. H.D.: Supervision,
714 Methodology, Validation, Investigation. L.Z.: Supervision, Project administration,
715 Conceptualization, Writing-Reviewing and Editing. All authors have read and agreed
716 to the published version of the manuscript.

717 **Conflicts of Interest**

718 We declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or
719 financial relationships.

720 **Availability of materials and data**

721 We declare that all experimental data involved in the manuscript have been added to
722 the manuscript and supplementary materials. If readers need further data related to the
723 manuscript, please feel free to contact our corresponding author at any time.
724 $r > 0$ is positive correlation $*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$.