

1

Effects of physical therapy delivery via home video telerehabilitation on functional and health-related quality of life outcomes [62]	Population does not receive surgery. Doesn't follow all inclusion criteria.
Is It Time for Telerehabilitation to Go Mainstream? [63]	Critical commentary on a TR article. Doesn't follow all inclusion criteria.
Telerehabilitation store and forward applications: a review of applications and privacy considerations in physical and occupational therapy practice [64]	Descriptive article. Doesn't follow all inclusion criteria
Effectiveness of telemedical applications in postoperative follow-up after total joint arthroplasty [65]	Satisfaction measures and medical visits. No clinical outcomes. Doesn't follow all inclusion criteria.
A smart virtual glove for the hand telerehabilitation [60]	Descriptive article. Doesn't follow all inclusion criteria.
A telerehabilitation model for victims of polytrauma [70]	Population does not receive surgery. Doesn't follow all inclusion criteria.
Health technology assessment of a homecare device for telemonitoring and telerehabilitation for patients after hand transplantation [59]	Descriptive article. Doesn't follow all inclusion criteria.
Virtual reality-based orthopedic telerehabilitation [71]	Descriptive article. Doesn't follow all inclusion criteria.
Efficacy of a 12-month, monitored home exercise programme compared with normal care commencing 2 months after total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial [72]	No telerehabilitation intervention.
Orthopedic rehabilitation using the "Rutgers ankle" interface [73]	Population does not receive surgery. Doesn't follow all inclusion criteria.

2

3

4

5

6

7REFERENCES:

8

962. Levy CE, Silverman E, Jia H, Geiss M, Omura D. Effects of physical therapy
10 delivery via home video telerehabilitation on functional and health-related quality
11 of life outcomes. *J Rehabil Res Dev* [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2016 Jul
12 18];52[3]:361–70. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26230650>
1363. Spangehl MJ, Ramos N, Wang E, Karia R, Hutzler L, Lajam C, et al. Is It Time
14 for Telerehabilitation to Go Mainstream? *J Bone Jt Surg Am*. The American
15 Orthopedic Association; 2015;97[14]:1717–22.
1664. Peterson C, Watzlaf V. Telerehabilitation store and forward applications: a review
17 of applications and privacy considerations in physical and occupational therapy
18 practice. *Int J telerehabilitation* [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2016 Jul 18];6[2]:75–84.
19 Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25945231>
2065. Sharareh B, Schwarzkopf R. Effectiveness of Telemedical Applications in
21 Postoperative Follow-Up After Total Joint Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*.
22 2014;29[5]:918–922.e1.
2366. Bendixen RM, Levy C, Lutz BJ, Horn KR, Chronister K, Mann WC. A
24 telerehabilitation model for victims of polytrauma. *Rehabil Nurs* [Internet]. [cited
25 2016 Jul 18];33[5]:215–20. Available from:
26 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18767403>
2767. Vuorenmaa M, Ylinen J, Piitulainen K, Salo P, Kautiainen H, Pesola M, et al.
28 Efficacy of a 12-month, monitored home exercise programme compared with
29 normal care commencing 2 months after total knee arthroplasty: A randomized
30 controlled trial. *J Rehabil Med* [Internet]. 2013 Feb [cited 2016 Jul
31 18];46[January 2008]:166–72. Available from:
32 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24241606>
3368. Girone M, Burdea G, Bouzit M, Popescu V, Deutsch JE. Orthopedic
34 rehabilitation using the "Rutgers ankle" interface. *Stud Health
35 Technol Inform* [Internet]. 2000 [cited 2016 Jul 18];70:89–95. Available from:
36 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10977590>
- 37