#### Supplementary Appendix

Supplement to: Bastos, ML et al. "Diagnostic accuracy of serological tests for COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis."

Search strategy (Medline- Ovid)	2
Table S1- Characteristics of included studies (N=40)	3
Table S2- Patient characteristics in included studies	5
Table S3 -Sero-diagnostic and reference test characteristics in included studies	7
Table S4- Commercial sero-diagnostic and reverse transcriptase PCR kits used in included studies	10
Figure S1- QUADAS assessment for individual studies, by test method	12
Table S5- Sensitivity and specificity of other sero-diagnostic test methods: fluorescent Immunoassay, enzyme Immunoassay, & liquid phase immunoassay	13
Figure S2- Summary receiver operating characteristic curves	14
Figure S3- Forest plots of sensitivity and specificity by sero-diagnostic test method and immunoglobulin detected	15
Supplement References	24

Search strategy (Medline- Ovid)

1. ((exp coronavirus/ or exp coronavirus infections/ or (betacoronavirus\* or beta coronavirus\* or coronavirus\* or corona virus\*).mp.) and (exp china/ or (china or chinese or hubei or wuhan).af.)) or (coronavirus\* or corona virus\* or betacoronavirus\* or beta coronavirus\*).ti,kf.

2. (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus or "SARS CoV-2" or cov2 or "sars 2" or COVID or "coronavirus 2" or covid19 or nCov or ((new or Novel) adj3 coronavirus\*) or ncp).ti,ab,kf. or ((exp pneumonia/ or pneumonia.ti,ab,kf.) and wuhan.af.)

- 3. 1 or 2
- 4. molecular diagnostic techniques/
- 5. (assay\* or detect\* or diagnos\* or immunoassay\* or kit? or screen\* or technique\* or test\*).ti,ab,kf.
- 6. exp Nucleic Acid Amplification Techniques/
- 7. (PCR or (Polymerase adj2 "Chain Reaction\*") or nucleic acid\*).ti,ab,kf.
- 8. Serologic Tests/
- 9. exp Antigens/ or exp Antibodies/
- 10. (antigen\* or antibod\*).ti,ab,kf.
- 11. exp Immunoglobulin Isotypes/
- 12. (IGG or IGA or IGM or immunoglobulin\*).ti,ab,kf.
- 13. ((virus\* or viral) adj2 (culture\* or rna)).ti,ab,kf.
- 14. (Virolog\* adj2 assess\*).ti,ab,kf.
- 15. (Specimen\* or sample\* or swab\*).ti,ab,kf.
- 16. ((clinical or respir\*) adj3 symptom\*).ti,ab,kf.
- 17. radiography, thoracic/ or exp Tomography, X-Ray Computed/ or (radiograph\* or tomograph\* or x ray\* or xray\* or chest ct or ct imag\* or ct scan\*).ti,ab,kf.
- 18. (imaging adj (feature\* or finding\*)).ti,ab,kf.
- 19. (rapid array\* or microarray\*).ti,ab,kf.
- 20. di.fs.
- 21. exp diagnosis/
- 22. or/4-21
- $23.\,3\,and\,22$
- 24. 2020\*.dt,ez,da.
- 25. 23 and 24
- -> No languages restrictions.

## Table S1- Characteristics of included studies (N=40)

Study	Peer reviewed	City, Country	Study Population	Population to assess specificity	Clinical setting	Study design
Cassaniti, Novazzi <sup>15</sup>	Yes	Pavia, Italy	COVID-19 patients and controls	Triage population: Individuals suspected to have COVID-19 but RT-PCR negative	Inpatient, emergency	Cohort & case control
				Hospitalized: Samples collected during COVID-19 epidemic in individuals not suspected to have COVID-19		
Gao, Li <sup>16</sup>	Yes	Shijiazhuang, China	COVID-19 patients	No controls	Inpatient, not specified	Case-control
Guo, Ren <sup>17</sup>	Yes	Wuhan, China	COVID-19 patients and controls	<u>Stratified:</u> -Confirmed with other virus infections - Samples collected prior to COVID-19 epidemic	Inpatient, not specified	Case-control
Liu, Liu <sup>18</sup>	Yes	Wuhan, China	COVID-19 patients and controls	Samples collected during COVID-19 epidemic in individuals not suspected to have COVID-19	Inpatient, not specified	Case-control
Zhang, Du <sup>19</sup>	Yes	Wuhan, China	COVID-19 patients	No controls	Inpatient, not specified	Case-control
Zhao, Yuan <sup>20</sup>	Yes	Shenzhen, China	COVID-19 patients and controls	Samples collected during COVID-19 epidemic in individuals not suspected to have COVID-19	Inpatient, not specified	Case-control
Xiao, Gao <sup>21</sup>	Yes	Shanghai, China	COVID-19 patients	No controls	Inpatient, not specified	Case-control
To, Tsang <sup>22</sup>	Yes	Hong Kong, China	COVID-19 patients	No controls	Inpatient, not specified	Case-control
Li, Yi <sup>23</sup>	Yes	China	COVID-19 patients and controls	Individuals suspected to have COVID-19 but RT-PCR negative	N/R	Cohort
Cai, Chen <sup>24</sup>	No	Chongqing, China	COVID-19 patients and controls	Confirmed with other virus infections	Inpatient, not specified	Case-control
Gao, Yuan <sup>25</sup>	No	Fuyang, China	COVID-19 patients	No controls	Inpatient, not specified	Case-control
Jia, Zhang <sup>26</sup>	No	Shenzhen, China	COVID-19 patients and controls	Individuals suspected to have COVID-19 but RT-PCR negative	N/R	Cohort
Lin, Liu <sup>27</sup>	No	Shenzhen, China	COVID-19 patients and controls	Mixed controls: Samples collected during COVID-19 epidemic in individuals not suspected to have COVID-19 and tuberculosis patients	Inpatient, not specified	Case-control
Liu, Liu <sup>28</sup>	No	Beijing, China	COVID-19 patients and controls	Samples collected during COVID-19 epidemic in individuals not suspected to have COVID-19	Inpatient, not specified	Case-control
Liu, Liu <sup>29</sup>	No	Wuhan, China	COVID-19 patients and controls	Individuals suspected to have COVID-19 but RT-PCR negative	Inpatient, (ICU and non- ICU), Outpatient	Cohort
Lou, Li <sup>30</sup>	No	Hangzhou, China	COVID-19 patients and controls	Samples collected during COVID-19 epidemic in individuals not suspected to have COVID-19	Inpatient, not specified	Case-control
Pan, Li <sup>31</sup>	No	Wuhan, China	COVID-19 patients	No controls	Inpatient, not specified	Cohort
Zhang, Gao <sup>32</sup>	No	Shenyang, Shijiazhuang China	COVID-19 patients and controls	Samples collected during COVID-19 epidemic in individuals not suspected to have COVID-19	N/R	Cohort
Zhao, Li <sup>33</sup>	No	Beijing and Wuhan, China	Controls	Samples collected prior to COVID-19 epidemic	Only controls samples	Case-control
Long, Deng <sup>34</sup>	No	Chongqing, China	COVID-19 patients	No controls	Inpatient (ICU and non-ICU)	Case-control
Chen, Zhang <sup>35</sup>	Yes	China	COVID-19 patients and controls	Individuals suspected to have COVID-19 but RT-PCR negative	N/R	Case-control
Infantino, Grossi <sup>36</sup>	Yes	Florence, Italy	COVID-19 patients and controls	Mixed of samples during and before epidemic. No stratified results	Inpatient, not specified	Case-control
Garcia, Perez Tanoira 37	No	Spain	COVID-19 patients, suspected COVID-19 patients and controls	Samples collected during COVID-19 epidemic in individuals not suspected to have COVID-19	N/R	Case-control
Zhong, Chuan <sup>38</sup>	Yes	Chengdu, China	COVID-19 patients and controls	Samples collected during COVID-19 epidemic in individuals not suspected to have COVID-19	N/R	Case-control
Jin, Wang <sup>39</sup>	Yes	Hangzhou, China	COVID-19 patients and controls	Individuals suspected to have COVID-19 but RT-PCR negative	Inpatient, not specified	Case-control
Xie, Ding <sup>40</sup>	Yes	Wuhan, China	COVID-19 patients and controls	Individuals suspected to have COVID-19 but RT-PCR negative	Inpatient, not specified	Cohort
Xiang, Wang <sup>41</sup>	Yes	Wuhan, China	COVID-19 patients and controls	Samples collected during COVID-19 epidemic in individuals not suspected to have COVID-19	Inpatient, not specified	Case-control

Freeman, Lester <sup>42</sup>	No	United States	COVID-19 patients and controls	Mixed of samples before epidemic and confirmed another virus infection	N/R	Case-control
Paradiso, De Summa <sup>4</sup>	<sup>3</sup> No	Bari, Italy	Suspected COVID-19 patients	Individuals suspected to have COVID-19 but RT-PCR negative	Inpatient, non-ICU only (hospitalized or emergency room)	Cohort
Imai, Tabata <sup>44</sup>	No	Saitama, Japan	COVID-19 patients and controls	Samples collected prior to COVID-19 epidemic	Inpatient, not specified	Case-control
Yangchun <sup>45</sup>	No	Wuhan, China	COVID-19 patients and controls	Individuals suspected to have COVID-19 but RT-PCR negative	N/R	Not clear
Qian, Zhou <sup>46</sup>	No	Hubei and six other provinces from China	COVID-19 patients and controls	Donors during the epidemic period	Inpatient, not specified	Case-control
Ma, Zeng <sup>47</sup>	No	Hefei, China	COVID-19 patients and controls	<u>Stratified:</u> -Individuals suspected to have COVID-19 but RT-PCR negative -Samples collected prior to COVID-19 epidemic	Inpatient (ICU and non- ICU)	Case-control
Perera, Mok <sup>48</sup>	Yes	Hong Kong, China	COVID-19 patients and controls	Samples collected prior to COVID-19 epidemic	Inpatient (ICU and non- ICU)	Case-control
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	No	United Kingdom	COVID-19 patients and controls	Samples collected prior to COVID-19 epidemic	Inpatient, not specified, outpatient	Case-control
Burbelo, Riedo 50	No	United States	COVID-19 patients and controls	Samples collected prior to COVID-19 epidemic	N/R	Case-control
Lassauniere, Frische <sup>51</sup>	No	Denmark	COVID-19 patients and controls	<u>Stratified:</u> - Samples collected during COVID-19 epidemic in individuals not suspected to have COVID-19 -Confirmed other virus infection	Inpatient, ICU	Case-control
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	No	San Francisco, USA	COVID-19 patients and controls	<u>Stratified:</u> -Samples collected prior to COVID-19 epidemic -Confirmed other virus infection	Inpatient (ICU and non-ICU), outpatient	Case-control
Hoffman, Nissen 53	Yes	Uppsala, Sweden	COVID-19 patients and controls	Samples collected prior to COVID-19 epidemic	N/R	Case-control
Dohla, Boesecke 54	Yes	German	COVID-19 patients and controls	Individuals suspected to have COVID-19 but RT-PCR negative	Outpatient	Case-control
Abbreviations: N/R: No	ot reported	d ICU: Intensive care unit				

#### Notes:

\*Samples before the epidemic: samples from patients with other condition diseases than not virus infection, or health donors. Sample during the epidemic: samples from patient not suspect of covid-19 or health donors. Includes studies where timing was unclear. Confirmed with other virus infection: samples from patients before or during epidemic period confirmed with virus infection, as further stratification not possible. Suspect covid-19 patients, patients with clinical features of COVID-19 but RT-PCR negative

#### Table S2- Patient characteristics in included studies

Study	N patients included	Age years	Male: Female	e Severity	Sample collection period
		(mean/median)			
Cassaniti, Novazzi <sup>15</sup>	50*	62 (range 33-97)	34:16	N/R	N/R
	60†	Cases: 74 (range 38-86) Controls: 39 (range 25-69)	36:24	Mild, Severe or critical	7 days (IQR 4-11) - after diagnosis
Gao, Li <sup>16</sup>	22	40 (range 4-72)	8:14	Mild, Severe or critical	1-24 days- after onset of symptoms
Guo, Ren <sup>17</sup>	317‡	N/R	N/R	Mild, Severe or critical	1-39 days- after onset of symptoms
Liu, Liu <sup>18</sup>	314	N/R	N/R	N/R	15 days (range 0-55)- after onset of symptoms
Zhang, Du <sup>19</sup>	16	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Zhao, Yuan <sup>20</sup>	173	48 (IQR 35,61)	84:89	Mild, Severe or critical	7 days (IQR 5-10 days) - after onset of symptoms
Xiao, Gao <sup>21</sup>	34	N/R	16:18	N/R	0-14 days- after onset of symptoms
To, Tsang <sup>22</sup>	16	N/R	N/R	Mild/severe	0-14 days- after onset of symptoms
Li, Yi <sup>23</sup>	525	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Cai, Chen <sup>24</sup>	443‡	48 (IQR 37,56)	151:127	N/R	2-27 days- after onset of symptoms
Gao, Yuan <sup>25</sup>	38	40.5 (IQR 31,50)	21:17	N/R	N/R
Jia, Zhang <sup>26</sup>	57	N/R	N/R	N/R	1-34 days-after onset of symptoms
Lin, Liu <sup>27</sup>	159	N/R	N/R	N/R	0-14 days- after onset of symptoms
Liu, Liu <sup>28</sup>	358	55 (IQR 38,65)	138:200	N/R	0-20 days-after onset of symptoms
Liu, Liu <sup>29</sup>	179	Cases: 76 (SD 21) Controls: 56 (SD 21)	98:81	Mild, Severe or critical	0-16 days -after onset of symptoms
Lou, Li <sup>30</sup>	80	55 (IQR 45,64)	49:31	Mild, Severe or critical	8 (IQR 6,10)- days after onset of symptoms
Pan, Li <sup>31</sup>	67	N/R	N/R	N/R	0-34 days-after onset of symptoms
Zhang, Gao <sup>32</sup>	163 samples not clear N of patients	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Zhao, Li <sup>33</sup>	257 samples not clear N of patients	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Long, Deng 34	63	N/R	N/R	N/R	2-23- days after onset of symptoms
Chen, Zhang <sup>35</sup>	19 samples not clear N of patients	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Infantino, Grossi <sup>36</sup>	125	Cases: 59 (SD 23) Controls: 49 (SD 17)	47:78	Mild, Severe or critical	12 days (range 8-17) days after onset of symptoms
Garcia, Perez Tanoira <sup>37</sup>	100	Cases: 62 (IQR 52,74) Controls: 55 (IQR 50,79)	55:45	N/R	11 days (range 7-23) days after onset of symptoms
Zhong, Chuan <sup>38</sup>	347	48 (range 18-82)	118:229	Mild, Severe or critical	N/R
Jin, Wang <sup>39</sup>	76	Cases: 47 (IQR 34,59) Controls: 31 (IQR 26,38)	39:37	N/R	16 days (IQR 9,20) days after onset of symptoms
Xie, Ding <sup>40</sup>	56	57 (IQR 49.3, 64.8)	24:32	Mild, Severe or critical	7-41 days after onset of symptoms

Xiang, Wang <sup>41</sup>	145	Cases: 51 (range 32, 65) Controls: 34 (range 29,51)	56:89	Mild, Severe	13-29 days after onset of symptoms
Freeman, Lester 42	694	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Paradiso, De Summa <sup>43</sup>	191	59	115:75	N/R	Asymptomatic and symptomatic from 0-15 days onset of symptoms
Imai, Tabata 44	112	67 (IQR 45,74)	64:48	N/R	5 (IQR 2,7) days after onset of symptomatic
Yangchun <sup>45</sup>	185	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Qian, Zhou <sup>46</sup>	2061	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R
Ma, Zeng <sup>47</sup>	699 samples not clear N of patients	N/R	N/R	Mild, Severe or critical	4-41 days after onset of symptoms
Perera, Mok <sup>48</sup>	263 samples not clear N of patients	N/R	N/R	Mild, Severe or critical	0-30 days after onset of symptoms
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	90	N/R	N/R	Mild, Severe or critical	13 (range 8-19) after onset of symptoms. Convalescent plasma 48 (31-62) after onset of symptoms
Burbelo, Riedo <sup>50</sup>	67§	61 (range 19-95)	20:15	Mild, Severe or critical	2-50 days after onset of symptoms
Lassauniere, Frische 51	112	N/R	N/R	Severe	0-21 days after onset of symptoms
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	80	53 (SD 15)	55:25	Mild, Severe or critical	1-20 days after onset of symptoms
Hoffman, Nissen 53	153	N/R	N//R	N/R	N/R
Dohla, Boesecke 54	49	46 (IQR 28-72)	25:24	N/R	N/R

Notes: \*Patients from emergency department, +Hospitalized patients, +Not clear how many controls, authors described number of samples for controls, and number of patients for cases. §Only information on 35 cases

Abbreviations: IQR: Interquartile range, N/R: Not reported, N: Number

			Index tests			<u>Reference test</u>									
Study	Method	Immunoglobulin	Antigens	Type Sample	Lab based or POC test	Commerc ial kit	Method	Sample type	PCR gene target	Commercial kit					
Cassaniti, Novazzi <sup>15</sup>	LFIA	lgG, lgM	S protein	Serum/whole blood	Unclear	Yes	RT-PCR	NPS, BAL	RdRp, E	Yes					
Gao, Li <sup>16</sup>	ELISA	lgG, lgM	S, N protein	Serum	Lab based	Yes	RT-PCR	Nasal and	ORFlab. N	Yes					
	CLIA	lgG, lgM	S, N protein	Serum	Lab based	Yes	-	pharyngeal swab							
	LFIA	lgG, lgM	S, N protein	Serum	Lab based	Yes									
Guo, Ren <sup>17</sup>	ELISA	lgG, lgM, IGA	N protein	Blood	Lab based	No	RT-PCR/ Deep sequence	Throat swabs	Not reported	Not reported					
Liu, Liu <sup>18</sup>	ELISA	lgG, lgM	N, S protein	Serum	Lab based	Yes	RT-PCR	OPS, NPS	Not Reported	Not reported					
Zhang, Du <sup>19</sup>	ELISA	lgG, lgM	SARSr-CoV Rp3-N protein	Serum	Lab based	No	RT-PCR	OPS	S	Yes					
Zhao, Yuan <sup>20</sup>	ELISA	IgG, IgM, Ab	S protein (IgM & Ab) N protein (IgG)	Blood	Lab based	Yes	RT-PCR	Respiratory samples	Not reported	Not reported					
Xiao, Gao <sup>21</sup>	CLIA	lgG, lgM	Not reported	Blood	Lab based	Yes	RT-PCR	N/R	Not reported	Not reported					
To, Tsang <sup>22</sup>	EIA	lgG, lgM	S, N protein	Serum	Lab based	No	RT-PCR	Saliva	RdRp	No					
Li, Yi <sup>23</sup>	LFIA	lgG, lgM	S protein	Serum/ plasma/ whole blood/ fingerpick	Unclear for most of the population	No	RT-PCR	Pharyngeal, sputum	Not reported	Not reported					
Cai, Chen <sup>24</sup>	CLIA	lgG, lgM	S	Serum	Lab based	No	RT-PCR	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported					
Gao, Yuan <sup>25</sup>	LFIA	lgG, lgM	Not reported	Serum	Lab based	Yes	RT-PCR	Throat swabs	ORF1ab, N	Yes					
Jia, Zhang <sup>26</sup>	FIA	lgG, lgM	Not reported	N/R	Lab based	Yes	RT-PCR	OPS, NPS	Not reported	Not reported					
Lin, Liu <sup>27</sup>	CLIA	lgG, lgM	N protein	Serum	Lab based	No	RT-PCR	Respiratory samples	ORF1ab, N	Yes					
Liu, Liu <sup>28</sup>	ELISA	lgG, lgM	N protein	Serum	Lab based	Yes	RT-PCR	OPS, NPS	ORF1ab, N	Yes					
Liu, Liu <sup>29</sup>	LFIA	lgG, lgM	Not reported	Serum	Lab based	Yes	RT-PCR	Nasal and pharyngeal swab	Not reported	Not reported					
Lou, Li <sup>30</sup>	ELISA	lgG, lgM, Ab	S, N	Serum	Lab based	Yes	RT-PCR	Not	Not	Not					
	LFIA	lgG, lgM, Ab	S, N	Plasma	Unclear			reported	Reported	reported					
	CLIA	Ab, IGM	S, N	Serum	Lab based										
Pan, Li <sup>31</sup>	LFIA	IgG, IgM	Not reported	Serum/ plasma	Unclear	Yes	RT-PCR	Throat swab	Not reported	Not reported					
Zhang, Gao <sup>32</sup>	LFIA	lgG, lgM	S protein	Serum	Lab based	No	RT-PCR	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported					

### Table S3 -Sero-diagnostic and reference test characteristics in included studies

Zhao, Li <sup>33</sup>	ELISA	Ab	S protein	Serum	Lab based	No	Frozen samples before epidemic <sup>4</sup>	Serum	N/A	N/A
Long, Deng <sup>34</sup>	CLIA	lgG, lgM	S, N protein	Serum	Lab based	Yes	RT-PCR	Nasal, pharyngeal swab	Not reported	Not reported
Chen, Zhang	LFIA	lgG	S, N protein	Serum	Lab based	No	RT-PCR	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Infantino, Grossi <sup>36</sup>	CLIA	lgG, lgM	N, S protein	Serum	Lab based	Yes	RT-PCR	OPS, NPS	Not reported	Not reported
Garcia, Perez Tanoira <sup>37</sup>	LFIA	lgG, lgM	Ν	Serum	Lab based	Yes	RT-PCR	NPS	Not reported	Not reported
Zhong, Chuan 38	ELISA	lgG, lgM	N, S protein	Serum	Lab based	No	RT-PCR	Not	Not	Not
	CLIA	lgG, lgM	N, S protein		Lab based	No		reported	reported	reported
Jin, Wang <sup>39</sup>	CLIA	lgG,	N, S protein	Serum	Lab based	Yes	RT-PCR	Oral swabs	Not reported	Not reported
Xie, Ding <sup>40</sup>	CLIA	lgG, lgM	E, N protein	Serum	Lab based	Yes	RT-PCR	Nasopharyngeal swab, Throat	ORF1ab, N	Yes
Xiang, Wang	ELISA	lgG, lgM	N protein	Serum	Lab based	Yes	RT-PCR	NPS, OPS	ORF1ab, N	Not reported
Freeman, Lester <sup>42</sup>	ELISA	lgG, lgM, pan-lg	S protein	Serum	Lab based	No	RT-PCR	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Paradiso, De Summa <sup>43</sup>	LFIA	lgG, lgM	S protein	Venous blood	Lab based	Yes	RT-PCR	NPS, OPS	E, RdRP, N	Yes
Imai, Tabata 44	LFIA	lgG, lgM	Not reported	Serum	Lab based	Yes	RT-PCR	NPS, OPS	Not reported	Not reported
Yangchun <sup>45</sup>	CLIA	lgG, lgM	Not reported	Not reported	Lab based	N/R	RT-PCR	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Qian, Zhou <sup>46</sup>	CLIA	lgG, lgM	N, S protein (fusion)	Serum	Lab based	No	RT-PCR	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Ma, Zeng 47	CLIA	IgA, IgG, IgM	N, RbD S protein	Serum	Lab based	No	RT-PCR	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Perera, Mok	ELISA	lgG, lgM	RbD S protein	Serum	Lab based	No	RT-PCR	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	ELISA	IgG, IgM	S protein	Plasma	Lab based	No	RT-PCR	NPS	Not	Not
	LIFA 1	lgG, lgM			Lab based	Yes			reported	reported
	LIFA 2	IgG, IgM			Lab based	Yes				
	LIFA 3	IgG, IgM			Lab based	Yes				
	LIFA 4	lgG, lgM			Lab based	Yes				
	LIFA 5	lgG, lgM			Lab based	Yes				
	LIFA 6	lgG, lgM			Lab based	Yes				
	LIFA 7	lgG, lgM			Lab based	Yes				
	LIFA 8	lgG, lgM			Lab based	Yes				
	LIFA 9	lgG, lgM			Lab based	Yes				

Burbelo, Riedo <sup>50</sup>	LPI	Not reported	N, S protein	Plasma/ serum	Lab based	No	RT-PCR	Nasal, throat swabs	Not reported	Not reported	
Lassauniere,	ELISA	lg	S protein	Serum	Lab based	Yes	RT-PCR	Respiratory samples	Not	Not	
Frische 51	ELISA	IgG, IgA	S protein		Lab based	Yes			reported	reported	
	LFIA	lgG, lgM	Not reported		Lab based	Yes					
	LFIA	lgG, lgM	Not reported		Lab based	Yes					
	LFIA	IgG, IgM	Not reported		Lab based	Yes					
	LFIA	lgG, lgM	Not reported		Lab based	Yes					
	LFIA	IgG, IgM	Not reported		Lab based	Yes					
	LFIA	lgG, lgM	Not reported		Lab based	Yes					
	LFIA	lgG, lgM	Not reported		Lab based	Yes					
	LFIA	lgG, lgM	Not reported	Lab based Yes							
	LFIA	IgG, IgM	Not reported		Lab based	Yes					
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	ELISA	IgG, IgM	S protein	Plasma/ serum	Lab based	No	RT-PCR	NPS, OPS	Not	Not	
Hiatt 52	ELISA	lgG, lgM	N protein		Lab based	Yes			reported	reported	
Hiatt <sup>52</sup> ELIS LFIA LFIA	LFIA	lgG, lgM	Rbd, S		Lab based	Yes					
	LFIA	lgG, lgM	N, S		Lab based	Yes					
	LFIA	lgG, lgM	Not reported		Lab based	Yes					
	LFIA	lgG, lgM	Not reported		Lab based	Yes					
	LFIA	lgG, lgM	Unclear		Lab based	Yes					
	LFIA	lgG, lgM	N, S		Lab based	Yes					
	LFIA	lgG, lgM	Not reported		Lab based	Yes					
	LFIA	lgG, lgM	Not reported		Lab based	Yes					
	LFIA	lgG, lgM	Not reported		Lab based	Yes					
	LFIA	lgG, lgM	Not reported		Lab based	Yes					
Hoffman, Nissen <sup>53</sup>	LFIA	lgG, lgM	N, S protein	Blood/ serum	Lab based	Yes	RT-PCR	Not reported	Not reported	Not Reported	
Dohla, Boesecke <sup>54</sup>	LFIA	lgG, lgM	Not reported	Blood (fingertip/ Serum)	POC mostly of population	Yes	RT-PCR	Throat swabs	E,S	Yes	

Abbreviations: LFIA: lateral flow immunoassay, ELISA: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, CLIA: chemiluminescent immunoassay, FIA: fluorescent Immunoassay, EIA: enzyme Immunoassay, LPI: liquid phase immunoassay, Ig: immunoglobulin, S protein: surface protein, N protein: nucleocapsid protein, POC: point of care, RT-PCR: reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction, BAL: bronchoalveolar lavage, OPS: oropharyngeal swab, NPS: nasopharyngeal swab, N/A: not applicable

Study ID	Commercial sero-diagnostic	Commercial RT-PCR
Cassaniti, Novazzi <sup>15</sup>	VivaDiagTM COVID-19 IgM/IgG Rapid Test (Viva check)	QIAsymphony (QIAGEN, Qiagen, Hilden)
Gao, Li <sup>16</sup>	Beier Bioengineering	2019-nCoV RNA Test Kit, Daan Gene
Liu, Liu <sup>18</sup>	Lizhu Company & Hotgen	N/R
Zhao, Yuan <sup>20</sup>	Beijing Wantai Biological Pharmacy Enterprise	N/R
Xiao, Gao <sup>21</sup>	Shenzhen YHLO Biotech	N/R
Gao, Yuan <sup>25</sup>	Innovita Biological Technology	Shanghai BioGerm Medical Biotechnology Company
Jia, Zhang <sup>26</sup>	Beijing Diagreat Biotechnologies	Daan, Sansure, BGI, Shanghai ZJ Biotech, GeneoDx, Biogerm
Lin, Liu <sup>27</sup>	N/A- in house kit	GeneoDX Company
Liu, Liu <sup>28</sup>	Lizhu	Daan Company
Lou, Li <sup>30</sup>	Beijing Wantai Biological Pharmacy Enterprise Company	N/R
Pan, Li <sup>31</sup>	Zhuhai Livzon Diagnositic	Biogerm
Long, Deng <sup>34</sup>	Bioscience Diagnostic	N/R
Infantino, Grossi <sup>36</sup>	Shenzhen YHLO Biotech	N/R
Garcia, Perez Tanoira <sup>37</sup>	AllTest COV-19 IgG / IgM kit (AllTest Biotech Company)	VIASURE SARS-CoV-2 Real Time PCR Detection Kit (Certest Biotech) & Allplex 2019-nCoV assay (Seegene)
Jin, Wang <sup>39</sup>	Shenzhen YHLO Biotech	N/R
Xie, Ding 40	YHLO Biological Technology	N/R
Xiang, Wang <sup>41</sup>	ELISA kits, Livzon	N/R
Paradiso, De Summa <sup>43</sup>	VivaDiagTM COVID-19 IgM/IgG Rapid Test (Viva check Company	Allplex 2019-nCoV assay (Seegene)
Imai, Tabata <sup>44</sup>	One Step Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) IgM/IgG Antibody Test (Artron)	N/R
Lassauniere, Frische <sup>51</sup>	ELISA: Wantai SARS-CoV-2 Ab ELISA (Beijing Wantai Biological Pharmacy Enterprise) & Anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG and IgA ELISAs (Euroimmun Medizinische Labordiagnostika, Lübeck LFIA: -2019-nCOV IgG/IgM Rapid Test (Dynamiker Biotechnology) -OnSiteTM COVID-19 IgG/IgM Rapid Test (CTK Biotech); -Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Rapid Test (AutoBio Diagnostics) -One Step Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) IgM/IgG Antibody Test (Artron) -2019-nCoV IgG/IgM Rapid Test Cassette (Acro Biotech) - AllTest COV-19 IgG / IgM kit (AllTest Biotech)	N/R
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	<u>ELISA</u> : Epitope Diagnostics, San Diego, CA, USA <u>LFIA</u> -BioMedomics Inc -Bioperfectus Technologies	N/R

Table S4- Commercial sero-diagnostic and reverse transcriptase PCR kits used in included studies

	-Decombio Biotechnology -DeepBlue Medical Technology -Innovita Biological Technology -Premier Biotech -Sure Biotech -UCP Biosciences -VivaChek Biotech Co	
	-Wondfo Biotech Co Ltd,	
Hoffman, Nissen 53	Zhejiang Orient Gene Biotech Company	N/R
Dohla, Boesecke 54	N/R	Altona diagnostics
Abbreviations: NR: Not reported N	/A: Not applicable	

#### Figure S1- QUADAS assessment for individual studies, by test method



Abbreviations: ELISA: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, LFIA: lateral flow immunoassay, LPI: liquid phase immunoassay, FIA: fluorescent Immunoassay, EIA: enzyme Immunoassay, CLIA: chemiluminescent immunoassay.

# Table S5- Sensitivity and specificity of other sero-diagnostic test methods: fluorescent Immunoassay, enzyme Immunoassay, & liquid phase immunoassay

					I	gМ						lgG							То	tal Ig	3	
Study	Method			S	ensitivity	Specif	icity		Sen	sitivit	/	Speci	ficity			Sensiti	vity		Specific	ity		
		ΤР	FN	E	Estimate	TN	FP	Estimate	ΤР	FN	Estimate	Т	N F	Р	Estimate	ТР	FN	Estimate	٦	٦N	FP	Estimate
Jia, Zhang <sup>26</sup>	FIA	1	9	5	72% (60%, 91%	) 1	.3	20   39% (25%, 56%	) 1	L <b>7</b>	7 71% (51%,	85%)	17	16	6 52% (35%,67%)	)	-	-	-		-	-
To, Tsang <sup>22</sup>	EIA anti-N	1	4	2	88% (60%, 98%)	)	-	_	- 1	L5	1		-			_	-	-	-		-	-
	EIA anti-Rbd	1	5	1	94% (67%; 100%)	)	-	-	- 1	16	0		-			_	-	-	-		-	-
Burbelo,	LPI anti-N		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			- 7	63	32 70% (60%	%, 79%)	32	2	0 100% (87%, 100%
Riedo 50	LPI anti-S		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			- 6	0 4	40 60% (50%	6, 70%)	32	2	0 100% (87%, 100%
Abbreviation	s: lg: immuno	glob	ulin,	ТΡ	: true positives, Tl	N: true	nega	tives, FP: false pos	itives	, FN: 1	false negatives,	FIA: f	uores	cent	Immunoassay,	EIA: en	zym	e Immunoa	ssay, L	PI: lic	uid ph	ase immunoassay,
N: nucleocan	sid protein Rh	nd∙r	ecent	or	binding domain	S <sup>.</sup> surfa	ce pr	otein														

#### Table S6- Sensitivity and specificity of sero-diagnostics that detect IgA or total Ig

			Total Ig														
Study	Method			Sensitivity	Spec	ific	city	Sens	siti	ivity		Specificity					
		TP FN	V E	Estimate	ΤN	FP	P Estimate	ΤР	FΝ	N Estimate		ΤN	FP	Estimate			
Lassauniere, Frische 51	ELISA	28	2	93.3% (77.9% to 99.2%)	) 76	5	6 92.7% (84.8% to 97.3%)		-	-	-						
Ma, Zeng 47	CLIA	213	3	98.6% (96.0% to 99.7%)	) 474	Ļ	9 98.1% (96.5% to 99.1%)		-	-	-						
Zhao, Yuan <sup>20</sup>	ELISA							161	1 1	12 93.1% (88.2% to 9	6.4%)	211	2	99.1% (96.7% to 99.9%)			
Lassauniere, Frische 51	ELISA	-	-	-		-		- 28	3	2 93.3% (77.9% to 9	9.2%)	82	2 0	100% (95.6% to100%)			
Lou, Li <sup>30</sup>	ELISA	-	-	-		-		80	)	2 97.6% (91.5% to 9	9.7%)	300	0 0	100% (98.8% to 100%)			
	CLIA							77	7	2 97.5% (91.2% to 9	9.7%)	298	3 0	100% (98.8% to 100%)			
	LFIA							78	3	2 97.5% (91.3% to 9	9.7%)	199	) 2	99.0% (96.5% to 99.9%)			
Abbreviations: lg: imm	unoglobulir	n. TP: tr	ue	positives. TN: true negative	s. FP:	fal	alse positives. FN: false neg	ativ	es.	. ELISA: enzyme-linked	immu	nos	orbent	assav. CLIA:			

chemiluminescent immunoassay, LFIA: lateral flow immunoassay





**Notes:** Summary ROC curves and prediction regions were estimated from bivariate random effects meta-analysis with a test-level random effect, using the mada package in R. A zero-cell correction of 0.5 was applied when necessary.

**Abbreviations**: SROC: summary receiver operating characteristic, ELISA: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, Ig: immunoglobulin, LFIA: lateral flow immunoassay, CLIA: chemiluminescent immunoassay.

#### Figure S3- Forest plots of sensitivity and specificity by sero-diagnostic test method and immunoglobulin detected

## ELISA IgG and/or IgM



ELISA IgM



ELISA IgG



#### LFIA IgG and/or IgM

Study	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)
Li, Yi <sup>23</sup>	88.7% (85.2%, 91.4%)	90.6% (84.3%, 94.6%)	Her	<b>⊢</b> ■(
Garcia, Perez Tanoira <sup>37</sup>	47.3% (34.7%, 60.2%)	100.0% (92.1%, 100.0%)	<b>⊢</b> (	<b>→</b>
Imai, Tabata <sup>44</sup>	43.2% (35.2%, 51.5%)	97.9% (89.1%, 100.0%)	F	
Whitman, Hiatt 52	64.8% (56.1%, 72.6%)	84.3% (77.8%, 89.1%)	<b>⊢</b> ∎1	<b>⊢</b> ■(
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	74.2% (66.0%, 81.0%)	91.3% (85.6%, 94.8%)	<b>⊢</b> ■1	<b>⊢</b> −−−1
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	67.5% (58.9%, 75.0%)	89.3% (83.5%, 93.2%)	<b>⊢</b> −■−-1	<b>⊢</b> _∎(
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	73.1% (64.9%, 80.0%)	80.6% (73.8%, 86.0%)	<b>⊢</b> ∎→1	<b>⊢</b>
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	56.9% (47.8%, 65.5%)	94.9% (89.8%, 97.5%)	<b>⊢</b> − <b>−</b> −1	<b>⊢</b> → <b>■</b> →
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	70.5% (62.2%, 77.7%)	96.9% (92.9%, 98.7%)	<b>⊢</b> ∎→1	<b>⊢</b>
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	56.9% (47.8%, 65.5%)	100.0% (97.7%, 100.0%)	<b>⊢</b> − <b>●</b> −−1	⊢•
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	61.5% (53.0%, 69.0%)	96.9% (92.9%, 98.7%)	<b>⊢−</b> →	<b>⊢</b> ■-1
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	65.3% (56.5%, 73.2%)	93.9% (88.8%, 96.8%)	<b>⊢</b> ∎→1	<b>⊢_</b> ∎-
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	69.0% (60.5%, 76.5%)	99.3% (96.2%, 100.0%)	<b>⊢</b> ∎→1	⊷-
Liu, Liu <sup>29</sup>	85.6% (76.8%, 91.4%)	91.0% (83.3%, 95.4%)	<b>⊢_</b> ∎_	<b>⊢</b> ■
Cassaniti, Novazzi <sup>15</sup>	83.3% (66.4%, 92.7%)	100.0% (88.6%, 100.0%)	<b>⊢</b> →	
Cassaniti, Novazzi <sup>15</sup>	18.4% ( 9.2%, 33.4%)	91.7% (64.6%, 98.5%)	<b>⊢</b> ∎−−−1	<b>⊢−−−−</b> −−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−
Zhang, Gao <sup>32</sup>	86.9% (79.8%, 91.4%)	100.0% (91.4%, 100.0%)	<b>⊢</b> ■-	<b>⊢</b>
Paradiso, De Summa <sup>43</sup>	30.7% (20.5%, 41.5%)	89.2% (82.3%, 93.6%)	<b>⊢</b> ∎−−1	<b>⊢</b>
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	54.5% (38.0%, 70.2%)	100.0% (94.0%, 100.0%)	<b></b>	<b>⊢</b>
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	60.5% (44.7%, 74.4%)	98.9% (94.0%, 100.0%)	<b>⊢</b>	<b>⊢</b> _■
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	63.6% (46.6%, 77.8%)	96.7% (89.6%, 99.1%)	<b>⊢</b>	
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	67.6% (51.5%, 80.4%)	96.7% (89.6%, 99.1%)	<b>⊢</b>	
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	61.3% (43.8%, 76.3%)	96.7% (89.6%, 99.1%)	<b>⊢</b>	<b>⊢</b>
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	64.5% (46.9%, 78.9%)	98.3% (91.1%, 100.0%)	<b>⊢</b>	<b>⊢</b>
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	69.7% (52.7%, 82.6%)	95.0% (86.3%, 98.0%)	<b>—</b>	⊢ <b>=</b> _
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	56.2% (39.3%, 71.8%)	100.0% (94.0%, 100.0%)	<b>⊢</b> ■ 1	<b>⊢</b> _+
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	55.0% (39.8%, 69.3%)	97.2% (93.0%, 98.9%)	<b>⊢</b> (	<b>⊢−−</b> +
Lassauniere, Frische <sup>51</sup>	90.0% (74.4%, 96.5%)	100.0% (89.3%, 100.0%)	<b>⊢</b> →	• • • • •
Lassauniere, Frische <sup>51</sup>	90.0% (74.4%, 96.5%)	100.0% (89.3%, 100.0%)	► ► I	+ <b>+</b>
Lassauniere, Frische <sup>51</sup>	93.3% (78.7%, 98.2%)	100.0% (89.3%, 100.0%)	F	<b>⊢</b>
Lassauniere, Frische <sup>51</sup>	83.3% (66.4%, 92.7%)	100.0% (81.6%, 100.0%)	⊢ <b>−</b> (	<b>⊢</b>
Lassauniere, Frische <sup>51</sup>	80.0% (37.6%, 96.4%)	80.0% (54.8%, 93.0%)	·	• • • •
Lassauniere, Frische <sup>51</sup>	100.0% (20.7%, 100.0%)	86.7% (62.1%, 96.3%)	++	F
Dohla, Boesecke <sup>54</sup>	36.4% (19.7%, 57.0%)	88.9% (71.9%, 96.1%)		<b>⊢</b> 1
			0 31 34 11 100	JZ 04 70 00 100

S18

#### LFIA IgM



#### LFIA IgG and/or IgM

Study	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)
Li Yi <sup>23</sup>	88 7% (85 2% 91 4%)	90.6% (84.3% 94.6%)	Hand I	<b>⊢_</b> ∎_,
Garcia, Perez Tanoira <sup>37</sup>	47.3% (34.7%, 60.2%)	100.0% (92.1%, 100.0%)		
Imai. Tabata <sup>44</sup>	43.2% (35.2%, 51.5%)	97.9% (89.1%, 100.0%)	<b>⊢</b> ∎−4	
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	64.8% (56.1%, 72.6%)	84.3% (77.8%, 89.1%)	<b>⊢</b> ∎−4	<b></b>
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	74.2% (66.0%, 81.0%)	91.3% (85.6%, 94.8%)	<b>⊢</b> ∎	<b>⊢</b>
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	67.5% (58.9%, 75.0%)	89.3% (83.5%, 93.2%)	<b>⊢</b> ∎→1	<b>⊢</b>
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	73.1% (64.9%, 80.0%)	80.6% (73.8%, 86.0%)	<b>⊢</b> ∎(	<b>⊢</b>
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	56.9% (47.8%, 65.5%)	94.9% (89.8%, 97.5%)	<b>⊢</b> ∎(	<b>⊢_</b> ■-1
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	70.5% (62.2%, 77.7%)	96.9% (92.9%, 98.7%)	<b>⊢</b> ∎(	<b>⊢</b> ∎4
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	56.9% (47.8%, 65.5%)	100.0% (97.7%, 100.0%)	<b>⊢</b> ∎(	
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	61.5% (53.0%, 69.0%)	96.9% (92.9%, 98.7%)	<b>⊢</b> −■−1	H
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	65.3% (56.5%, 73.2%)	93.9% (88.8%, 96.8%)	<b>⊢</b> ∎→1	F
Whitman, Hiatt <sup>52</sup>	69.0% (60.5%, 76.5%)	99.3% (96.2%, 100.0%)	<b>⊢</b> −■−-1	<b>⊢</b> ∎
Liu, Liu <sup>29</sup>	85.6% (76.8%, 91.4%)	91.0% (83.3%, 95.4%)	<b>⊢</b> − <b>●</b> −↓	<b>⊢</b>
Cassaniti, Novazzi <sup>15</sup>	83.3% (66.4%, 92.7%)	100.0% (88.6%, 100.0%)	<b>⊢</b>	<b>⊢</b>
Cassaniti, Novazzi <sup>15</sup>	18.4% ( 9.2%, 33.4%)	91.7% (64.6%, 98.5%)	<b>⊢</b> ∎−−−1	<b>⊢−−−−−</b> ↓
Zhang, Gao <sup>32</sup>	86.9% (79.8%, 91.4%)	100.0% (91.4%, 100.0%)	<b>⊢</b> ■-	<b>→</b>
Paradiso, De Summa <sup>43</sup>	30.7% (20.5%, 41.5%)	89.2% (82.3%, 93.6%)	<b>⊢_</b> ∎(	<b>⊢</b> ■(
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	54.5% (38.0%, 70.2%)	100.0% (94.0%, 100.0%)	<b>—</b>	<b>⊢</b> →
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	60.5% (44.7%, 74.4%)	98.9% (94.0%, 100.0%)	<b>⊢</b>	⊢ <b>_</b> ■
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	63.6% (46.6%, 77.8%)	96.7% (89.6%, 99.1%)	<b>—</b>	<b>⊢−−−</b> +
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	67.6% (51.5%, 80.4%)	96.7% (89.6%, 99.1%)	<b>⊢</b>	<b>⊢−−</b> −1
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	61.3% (43.8%, 76.3%)	96.7% (89.6%, 99.1%)	<b>⊢</b>	►
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	64.5% (46.9%, 78.9%)	98.3% (91.1%, 100.0%)		<b>⊢</b> ■
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	69.7% (52.7%, 82.6%)	95.0% (86.3%, 98.0%)		<b>⊢</b>
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	56.2% (39.3%, 71.8%)	100.0% (94.0%, 100.0%)	<b>⊢</b>	<b>⊢_</b> •
Adams, An <sup>49</sup>	55.0% (39.8%, 69.3%)	97.2% (93.0%, 98.9%)	<b>⊢</b>	<b>⊢−−</b> +
Lassauniere, Frische <sup>51</sup>	90.0% (74.4%, 96.5%)	100.0% (89.3%, 100.0%)	<b>⊢</b>	
Lassauniere, Frische <sup>51</sup>	90.0% (74.4%, 96.5%)	100.0% (89.3%, 100.0%)	►	
Lassauniere, Frische <sup>51</sup>	93.3% (78.7%, 98.2%)	100.0% (89.3%, 100.0%)	<b>⊢</b>	<b>⊢</b>
Lassauniere, Frische <sup>51</sup>	83.3% (66.4%, 92.7%)	100.0% (81.6%, 100.0%)	<b>⊢</b>	+ <b>+</b>
Lassauniere, Frische <sup>51</sup>	80.0% (37.6%, 96.4%)	80.0% (54.8%, 93.0%)	·	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Lassauniere, Frische <sup>51</sup>	100.0% (20.7%, 100.0%)	86.7% (62.1%, 96.3%)	+•	<b>⊢−−−−</b> +
Dohla, Boesecke <sup>54</sup>	36.4% (19.7%, 57.0%)	88.9% (71.9%, 96.1%)		<b>⊢</b>
			9 21 54 77 100	1 1 1 1   52 64 76 89 100
			0 31 34 11 100	

S20

## CLIA IgG and/or IgM



CLIA IgM



CLIA IgG



Supplement References

\*\*Numbering begins at # 15 in order to match referencing in the main article

15. Cassaniti I, Novazzi F, Giardina F, et al. Performance of VivaDiagTM COVID-19 lgM/lgG Rapid Test is inadequate for diagnosis of COVID-19 in acute patients referring to emergency room department. *Journal of Medical Virology* 2020; **30**: 30.

16. Gao HX, Li YN, Xu ZG, et al. Detection of serum immunoglobulin M and immunoglobulin G antibodies in 2019novel coronavirus infected cases from different stages. *Chinese Medical Journal* 2020; **26**: 26.

17. Guo L, Ren L, Yang S, et al. Profiling Early Humoral Response to Diagnose Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19). *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2020; **21**: 21.

18. Liu W, Liu L, Kou G, et al. Evaluation of Nucleocapsid and Spike Protein-based ELISAs for detecting antibodies against SARS-CoV-2. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2020; **30**: 30.

19. Zhang W, Du RH, Li B, et al. Molecular and serological investigation of 2019-nCoV infected patients: implication of multiple shedding routes. *Emerging Microbes & Infections* 2020; **9**(1): 386-9.

20. Zhao J, Yuan Q, Wang H, et al. Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients of novel coronavirus disease 2019. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2020; **28**: 28.

21. Xiao DAT, Gao DC, Zhang DS. Profile of Specific Antibodies to SARS-CoV-2: The First Report. *Journal of Infection* 2020; **21**: 21.

22. To KK, Tsang OT, Leung WS, et al. Temporal profiles of viral load in posterior oropharyngeal saliva samples and serum antibody responses during infection by SARS-CoV-2: an observational cohort study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2020; **23**: 23.

23. Li Z, Yi Y, Luo X, et al. Development and Clinical Application of A Rapid IgM-IgG Combined Antibody Test for SARS-CoV-2 Infection Diagnosis. *Journal of Medical Virology* 2020; **27**: 27.

24. Cai X, Chen J, Hu J, et al. A Peptide-based Magnetic Chemiluminescence Enzyme Immunoassay for Serological Diagnosis of Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). 2020.

25. Gao Y, Yuan Y, Li TT, et al. Evaluation the auxiliary diagnosis value of antibodies assays for detection of novel coronavirus (SARS-Cov-2) causing an outbreak of pneumonia (COVID-19). 2020.

26. Jia X, Zhang P, Tian Y, et al. Clinical significance of IgM and IgG test for diagnosis of highly suspected COVID-19 infection. 2020.

27. Lin D, Liu L, Zhang M, et al. Evaluations of serological test in the diagnosis of 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) infections during the COVID-19 outbreak. 2020.

28. Liu L, Liu W, Wang S, Zheng S. A preliminary study on serological assay for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in 238 admitted hospital patients. 2020.

29. Liu Y, Liu Y, Diao B, et al. Diagnostic Indexes of a Rapid IgG/IgM Combined Antibody Test for SARS-CoV-2. 2020.

30. Lou B, Li T, Zheng S, et al. Serology characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 infection since the exposure and post symptoms onset. 2020.

31. Pan Y, Li X, Yang G, et al. Serological immunochromatographic approach in diagnosis with SARS-CoV-2 infected COVID-19 patients. 2020.

32. Zhang P, Gao Q, Wang T, et al. Evaluation of recombinant nucleocapsid and spike proteins for serological diagnosis of novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). 2020.

33. Zhao R, Li M, Song H, et al. Serological diagnostic kit of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies using CHO-expressed full-length SARS-CoV-2 S1 proteins. 2020.

34. Long Qx, Deng Hj, Chen J, et al. Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in COVID-19 patients: the perspective application of serological tests in clinical practice. 2020.

35. Chen Z, Zhang Z, Zhai X, et al. Rapid and sensitive detection of anti-SARS-CoV-2 lgG using lanthanide-doped nanoparticles-based lateral flow immunoassay. *Analytical Chemistry* 2020; **23**: 23.

36. Infantino M, Grossi V, Lari B, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of an automated chemiluminescent immunoassay for anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgM and IgG antibodies: an Italian experience. *Journal of Medical Virology* 2020; **24**: 24.

37. Garcia FP, Perez Tanoira R, Romanyk Cabrera JP, Arroyo Serrano T, Gomez Herruz P, Cuadros Gonzalez J. Rapid diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection by detecting IgG and IgM antibodies with an immunochromatographic device: a prospective single-center study. 2020.

38. Zhong L, Chuan J, Gong B, et al. Detection of serum IgM and IgG for COVID-19 diagnosis. *Science China Life sciences* 2020; **63**(5): 777-80.

39. Jin Y, Wang M, Zuo Z, et al. Diagnostic value and dynamic variance of serum antibody in coronavirus disease 2019. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2020; **94**: 49-52.

40. Xie J, Ding C, Li J, et al. Characteristics of Patients with Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Confirmed using an IgM-IgG Antibody Test. *Journal of Medical Virology* 2020; **24**: 24.

41. Xiang F, Wang X, He X, et al. Antibody Detection and Dynamic Characteristics in Patients with COVID-19. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2020; **19**: 19.

42. Freeman B, Lester S, Mills L, et al. Validation of a SARS-CoV-2 spike ELISA for use in contact investigations and serosurveillance. 2020.

43. Paradiso AV, De Summa S, Loconsole D, et al. Clinical meanings of rapid serological assay in patients tested for SARS-Co2 RT-PCR. 2020.

44. Imai K, Tabata S, Ikeda M, et al. Clinical evaluation of an immunochromatographic IgM/IgG antibody assay and chest computed tomography for the diagnosis of COVID-19. 2020.

45. Yangchun F. Optimize Clinical Laboratory Diagnosis of COVID-19 from Suspect Cases by Likelihood Ratio of SARS-CoV-2 IgM and IgG antibody. 2020.

46. Qian C, Zhou M, Cheng F, et al. Development and Multicenter Performance Evaluation of The First Fully Automated SARS-CoV-2 IgM and IgG Immunoassays. 2020.

47. Ma H, Zeng W, He H, et al. COVID-19 diagnosis and study of serum SARS-CoV-2 specific IgA, IgM and IgG by a quantitative and sensitive immunoassay. 2020.

48. Perera RA, Mok CK, Tsang OT, et al. Serological assays for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), March 2020. *Euro Surveillance: Bulletin Europeen sur les Maladies Transmissibles = European Communicable Disease Bulletin* 2020; **25**(16).

49. Adams ER, An, R, et al. Evaluation of antibody testing for SARS-Cov-2 using ELISA and lateral flow immunoassays. 2020.

50. Burbelo PD, Riedo FX, Morishima C, et al. Detection of Nucleocapsid Antibody to SARS-CoV-2 is More Sensitive than Antibody to Spike Protein in COVID-19 Patients. 2020.

51. Lassauniere R, Frische A, Harboe ZB, et al. Evaluation of nine commercial SARS-CoV-2 immunoassays. 2020.

52. Whitman JD, Hiatt J, Mowery CT, et al. Test performance evaluation of SARS-CoV-2 serological assays. *medRxiv* 2020: 2020.04.25.20074856.

53. Hoffman T, Nissen K, Krambrich J, et al. Evaluation of a COVID-19 IgM and IgG rapid test; an efficient tool for assessment of past exposure to SARS-CoV-2. *Infect Ecol Epidemiol* 2020; **10**(1): 1754538.

54. Dohla M, Boesecke C, Schulte B, et al. Rapid point-of-care testing for SARS-CoV-2 in a community screening setting shows low sensitivity. *Public Health* 2020; **182**: 170-2.