Article title: Policy learning from influenza and the preparedness of the public health sector: A Latvian case study

Authors: Ieva_Daniela Beinarovica[1]

Affiliations: Department of political science, University of Latvia, Lauvas iela 4, Riga Latvia, LV-1019.[1]

Orcid ids: 0000-0002-7119-1882[1]

Contact e-mail: i.beijnarowitz@gmail.com

License information: This work has been published open access under Creative Commons Attribution License <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Conditions, terms of use and publishing policy can be found at <https://www.scienceopen.com/>.

Preprint statement: This article is a preprint and has not been peer-reviewed, under consideration and submitted to ScienceOpen Preprints for open peer review.

DOI: 10.14293/S2199-1006.1.SOR-.PPXG57D.v1

Preprint first posted online: 18 April 2021

Keywords: policy learning, policy making, crisis management, emergency management, influenza

Policy learning from influenza and the preparedness of the public health sector: A Latvian case study

Ieva Daniela Beinarovica

Abstract: Due to globalization and continuous development and mutation and development of various pathogens, infectious diseases have transcended the public health sector and become issues of Global Public Health Security. Influenza – an infectious disease that is both a cyclical, yearly occurrence and the cause of several deadly global pandemics – regularly challenges the public health sector thus providing opportunities for policy learning and evidence based policy change. By applying the Algorithm for continuous analysis of policy learning and change, this publication investigates whether and how policy learning and change took place in Latvia after the 2006/2007 influenza season, when a record number of infection cases was registered. Although the conclusions of this publication cannot be generalized, it provides valuable insights for future research, especially for the analysis of the management of the Covid-19 pandemic in Latvia.

Key words: policy learning, evidence based policy making, emergency management.

Introduction

The Covid-19 global pandemic exposed the many weaknesses and vulnerabilities of public health sectors all over the world. In this respect Latvia was no exception: hospitals were understaffed and stretched to their limits; the medical professionals – overworked and underpaid; there were no reserves of PPE; infection rates in state social care facilities housing some of the most vulnerable societal groups soared. The media regularly published warnings expressed by representatives of the medical community about the healthcare system being on the brink of collapse. Although the onset of the Covid-19 pandemic was quite rapid, the problems and vulnerabilities it exposed were constructed over the three decades since Latvia had regained its independence after the collapse of the Soviet Union.

Covid-19 pandemic just like the countless emergencies before and since create opportunities for policy learning and change. Whether these opportunities are embraced by policy makers and lessons are formalized into policy documents is a question worth exploring both from the perspective of emergency management and evidence based policy making. The processes of policy learning and change can be explored through each of several theoretical frameworks: Mays's theory of learning from policy failure (May, 1992); Etheredge's theory of government learning (Etheredge, 1985); Heclo's theory of political learning (Heclo, 1974);

Rose's theory of lesson-drawing (Rose, 1991); Hall's theory of social learning (Hall, 1993); Sabatier's Advocacy Coalition framework and theory of policy-oriented learning (Sabatier & Jenkins-Smith, 1993), or by applying Birkland's Model of Event-Related Policy Change (Birkland, 2006) which combines elements of all of the above.

To gain a better understanding of successes or failures of managing any emergency it is important to understand both *if* policy learning and change took place after a specific incident or similar incidents in the past and *why* it did or did not happen. Only then evidence based solutions can be formulated and successfully applied. Birkland's Model of Event-Related Policy Change offers a solid framework for evaluating policy learning and change, however it aimed at evaluating *prima facie* policy learning. Even though emergencies of large scale and magnitude – “catastrophes” (Birkland, 2006; Faulkner, 2001) or “extreme events” (Kapucu & van Wart, 2006) are rare, various emergencies (crises, disasters, accidents) are part of our daily lives and cycles of nature, and might expose various vulnerabilities that policymakers might not be aware of. Modern technologies allow us never before seen access to information thus offering a continuous stream of data for vulnerability and risk analysis and evidence based approach to policy making.

This article aims to analyse the capability of Latvia's public administration institutions to perform policy learning and behavioural change after emergencies in the public health sector and understanding the specific context which lead to successes or failures regarding the formalization and implementation various policies thus offering valuable lessons to policy makers both locally and internationally. This article makes an important contribution to understand policy learning and change in the context of emergency management in the public health sector in Latvia, based on a case study of the 2006/2007 influenza season. In the context of current global events this article is also expected to contribute to future analysis of the management of the Covid-19 pandemic by introducing a new analytical tool.

The rest of the article is structured in the following way: first I outline the theoretical framework of the study, research questions and methodology. Next I provide the reasoning behind this case study and a brief overview of the 2006/2007 influenza season in Latvia. Next I will discuss various agendas that are crucial in fostering policy learning and change as well as (potential) critical failures of the case. Finally, I apply the Algorithm for continuous analysis of policy learning and change to the case and discuss the results of policy learning and change, contributing factors and future directions for research.

Policy learning – a never ending cycle

Birkland created the Model of Event-related policy learning to 1) explain and illustrate the process of policy learning and change after focusing event in a cause-to-effect fashion, drawing from various theories on the actions of stakeholders involved in the policymaking process, and 2) to “suggest the data needed to understand a given event”. (Birkland, 2006, p. 17). Birkland’s model is based on the definition of (policy) “learning” as given by Busenberg: *“process in which individuals apply new information and ideas to policy decisions”*. (Busenberg, 2001, p. 173) This definition will also be applied in the context of this publication, because it points to a crucial factor that differentiates policy learning from any other type of learning – application of information and ideas to *policy decisions*. Although in every theory (summarized in Table 1) used by Birkland individuals of various affiliations are the ones *who* learn, unless this new knowledge is formalized in a policy document there can be no discussion of policy learning (and subsequent change). This must be emphasized in order to minimize the risk of research becoming individual-focused and only evaluating the increases of individual knowledge, competencies or the perception thereof, because these do not automatically lead to improved or changed policies. An idea for policy change must be wetted and formalized in a policy document via an established and agreed upon policymaking process to make it legally binding to a wider public be it an organization or institution, municipality, state or country. To ensure this, said idea needs support – an institution, organization or advocacy coalition that has

the necessary resources and knowhow – which then can be used as a tool for successfully transforming individual knowledge into a legally binding norm.

Birkland's Model of Event-related policy learning offers six prepositions that suggest the necessary data for analysis: 1) Only few events will gain the most attention; 2) Focusing events create group mobilization; 3) Events create opportunities for discussing (existing) ideas; 4) Only few ideas issues are completely new; 5) meaningful discussion of ideas and the resulting policy learning and change after focusing events is not guaranteed – policy change can occur without learning; and 6) (policy) learning decays over time. (Birkland, 2006, pp. 18–20) Thus the following primary data sources are: media publications/news; data bases of policy documents and laws, broadcasts or meeting minutes or relevant working groups, interest groups and institutions. This leads to data collection being partially structured – one source leads to another, e.g., a news publication mentions an obscure interest group or a project of a policy document that would be interesting only to individuals with specialized knowledge.

By combining Birkland's Model of Event-related policy learning and its six prepositions with elements from the Taxonomy of organizational learning from rare events (Carley & Harrauld, 2001, p. 120) and Deming's cycle (Project Management Institute, 2008) and with practices of business process management, an algorithm (e.g. a standard¹ against which the information acquired is to be compared) can be constructed that potentially allows to make judgements about more than just the fact *prima facie* policy learning (Figure 1). The conclusions, just like in the case of Birkland's model, are context specific for each case study and cannot be generalized, however the algorithm helps to decrease the subjective nature of said judgements by demanding an objective assessment of indicators against the identified critical failures.

¹ Evidence for assessing policy learning is derived by collecting data, applying context to said data thus converting the data into information and comparing the information to a standard or reference value. (Dammann, 2019) Thus said standards can be, e.g., ISO, STANAG, policy documents, value statements, election programs or theoretical models.

<i>Name of the theory</i>	<i>The essence of learning</i>	<i>Who learns?</i>	<i>Learns what?</i>	<i>What does the learning result in?</i>	<i>Evidence</i>
Government learning (Etheredge)	The improvement of information and knowledge.	Executives Intelligence services	The analysis of the components of a situation and events	Changes in policies, that reflect the increase of knowledge and effectiveness.	(increase in knowledge and information about the specific issue and its context)
Political learning (Heclo)	Adjusting policy.	Political institutions Interest groups Administrative institutions Experts	To adapt to new circumstances	Changes in policies; Paradigm change.	Changes in policy – changed instruments or aims
Lesson-drawing (Rose)	Deliberate definition of political choices via analysis of the actions of other institutions.	Adjusting of policy networks (officials, bureaucrats, politicians, interest groups, academic environment and other stakeholders)	Policy instruments in other public administration organizations – their advantages, disadvantages and whether they are applicable to in a particular situation.	Change in policies/ programs.	Changes in policy – changed policy instruments
Social learning (Hall)	Deliberate attempt to adjust policy goals or methods, by reacting to past experience or new information.	Policy communities, (officials, bureaucrats, politicians, academic environment).	Understanding ideas – views on social reality and the causal relations in it.	Change of policy paradigm.	1) Adjustments of existing policy instruments based on experience/past events; 2) Change of policy instruments; 3) Change in policy goals.
Learning from policy failure – instrumental learning (May)	Policy elites in a specific policy domain learn from direct or indirect experience with a specific policy.	Policy elites (officials, bureaucrats, politicians).	Evaluation of policy instruments – are the existing policy instruments working satisfactory in the particular policy domain.	Change in existing or implementation of policy instruments.	Change in policy implementation instruments.
Learning from policy failure – social learning (May)	Policy elites in a specific policy domain learn from direct or indirect experience with a specific policy.	Policy elites (officials, bureaucrats, politicians).	Understanding ideas – do the existing ideas about the social construction of the problem conform to reality.	Change in fundamental policy aspects (rights, target groups, goals).	Redefinition of policy goal
Learning from policy failure – political learning	Advocacy coalitions in a specific policy domain learn how to improve strategy in order to better advocate for a policy idea or issue.	Advocacy coalitions (interest groups, unions, etc.).	Construct strategies of how to better advocate ideas and advance policy problems. The understanding of the feasibility of the policy is also improved.	Improved arguments.	Changes in advocacy coalition strategy.
Policy-oriented learning (Sabatier)	Adjusting policy over time.	Advocacy coalitions	Adjusting ideas – implementation of own policy ideas and achievement of goals.	Adjusting existing policy ideas.	(Changes in existing policy ideas)*

Table 1 Theories of policy learning – Summary (Baumgartner, 2013; Bennett & Howlett, 1992; Birkland, 2006; Hall, 1993; May, 1992; Rose, 1991)

In the Algorithm for continuous analysis of policy learning and change the catalyst of the process is an emergency – a specific incident (Incident 0 or the incident that is going to be used as the basis for comparison), that shocked the system. Next step (1.1.) is exploring the incident. This process takes place only when the Response phase of the Disaster management cycle has ended and Recovery phase is nearing its conclusion, and the Mitigation phase has begun. After exploring the incident the identification of critical failures² (Q1), that caused the incident, must be assessed. If the problems that caused critical failures are not identified policy learning is impossible. As in step 1.1. – if the critical failures are not identified, preventing them from repeating in the future is impossible (F1).

The next critical step is acknowledging the problem (Q2). It must happen at the highest possible level of hierarchy. The problem must be acknowledged by actors who have the rights and power to make the implementation of corrective actions binding. If the problem is not acknowledged it cannot be solved – policy learning is impossible (F1).

After acknowledging the problem, the next step is to identify and propose essentially relevant solutions (1.2.). The solution proposed is essentially relevant if, when critically assessed, it has the potential to eliminate or mitigate the root cause problem or problems of the critical failure. The acknowledgement of the problem is critically important factor for the development of an essentially relevant solution, because it requires an in depth understanding of each root cause or causes of the problem. To gain this understanding, the competencies of a single institution might not suffice – consultants, academics and representatives of NGO's might need to be involved. Ensuring necessary competencies requires the authorisation of resources. If the necessary resources are not provided and no search for solution takes place, policy learning is impossible (F1).

² “Critical failures” refers to “turning points” or “crossroads” (actions, inaction, decisions, etc.) in the chronology of the emergency that were detrimental to the following course of events.

Figure 1 Algorithm for continuous analysis of policy learning and change

After the potential solution for the root-cause problem have been identified, they need to be formalized in policy documents (1.3.) via policy decisions. After the formalization of proposed solution has taken place – policy decision has been made – an assessment must be made whether these solutions are based on analysis of the situation or have been adopted automatically (Q4). The automatic adoption, by replicating practices accepted and implemented elsewhere, is a strategy that can be applied when there is a lack of necessary competencies and resources or there is a need to demonstrate visible action to solve the problem. If the automatically adopted solutions show improvements in the future, it is luck and not a conscious achievement.

After policy decisions have been made, policy documents – developed and entered into force, time is needed for the solutions included in the policy documents to be implemented. The implementation deadline can be used as the first point of reference. Additionally, a medium-term assessment can be performed to identify any problems in the implementation process and address them via corrective actions. If no deadline is set, an alternative point of reference is the ten-year time period set by Sabatier or a yearly assessment can be performed by producing a progress report.

Based on the assessment of numbers and facts, as well as *prima facie* evidence proposed by Birkland, acquired during the policy implementation, experts must give the judgement on whether policy implementation has been attempted (Q5). If the data and *prima facie* (policy) learning evidence suggest attempted implementation of solutions for root-cause problem or problems of critical failures, it can be concluded that *prima facie* policy learning has taken place (R1). Thus it is possible to anticipate that, should a similar incident take place in the future, response and recovery activities will be carried out more efficiently and effectively.

However, should the evidence not suggest successful policy learning (F4), a discussion is possible about why policy learning was not attempted; has there been imitation of action, for example, the institution's annual reports list various policy implementation activities, however

data, numbers and evidence presented in a third party assessment or collected during research do not suggest a real progress in achieving policy goals.

The judgement about *prima facie* policy learning concludes the first phase of the algorithm. To judge the true effectiveness of the implemented policy solutions, practical testing is required – a repeated incident (Incident 1) that is as similar as possible to the original one. It must be emphasized that two identical incidents – emergencies – are not possible.

After a repeated incident has taken place (Incident 1), it should be explored (2.1.) to understand, if the failures identified during the exploration of previous the incident have recurred and if their root-cause problems have been eliminated or mitigated (Q6). If this has happened, it is possible to make a substantiated statement that policy learning has taken place (R2). If during the exploration of the incident no evidence suggests that the actions taken have eliminated or mitigated the critical failures identified during the exploration of Incident 0, it is possible to conclude that policy learning has been unsuccessful. Additional analysis of the circumstances of Incident 1 might reveal new critical failures that had not yet been identified. For continuous policy learning to take place it is necessary to analyse the context in which the policy learning has or has not taken place (3.).

If it is concluded that policy learning was not attempted or policy learning has been unsuccessful (F4), context analysis (3.) is a tool for identifying factors that have impeded policy learning and change in this specific case. Based on this information, corrective actions can be developed and implemented (R3) and it is possible to attempt the first phase of the policy learning (and change) algorithm anew. However, in cases when the evidence suggests that policy learning has taken place, context analysis provides information about factors that have promoted policy learning, thus creating best practices that might be of use to other institutions. Now “Incident 1” becomes “Incident 0” and the process of continuous policy learning and change restarts from the step of identifying critical failures.

Methodology

To gain an understanding of policy learning and change after an emergency in the context of this publication, three questions must be answered:

Q1: How did policy learning happens in practice compared to the theoretical model in this case?

Q2: What critical failures were identified and corrected or mitigated in this case?

Q3: What factors that promoted or hindered policy learning can be identified in this case?

Both qualitative and quantitative methods were utilized for the collection and analysis of data, that were logically derived from the conceptual framework. Initially an attempt was mad to collect the data using data scraping. However, this method yielded many redundancies – several news articles with identical titles. This was concluded to produce distortions in the data set and would require too much time and resources to resolve, which was not deemed rational from the researcher's point of view. Thus a different approach was formulated: case analysis was performed utilizing the Empirical analysis process diagram (Figure 2).

Based on the diagram, the Algorithm for continuous analysis of policy learning and change and the model of Event-related policy learning, the empirical analysis of the thesis was mainly based in document analysis. The following analysis units were defined: 1) individual entries/publications derived from LETA – the leading news agency in Latvia – archive (media agenda) and from stakeholder homepages and other news sources (public agenda); 2) The Cabinet of Ministers minutes that were derived from sites *tap.mk.gov.lv* and *www.likumi.lv* (political agenda) and 3) legal acts and policy planning documents, that were derived from sites *www.likumi.lv* and *polsis.mk.gov.lv*.

Figure 2 Empirical analysis process diagram

Categorization strategy was applied to the manually collected qualitative data needed to construct the overview of media agenda. The collected data was coded by applying inductively created topical data categories. If needed the topical data categories were re-defined, supplemented and the data was manually sorted anew in accordance to the new categories.

In order to limit the time frame for policy documents analysed, data search on the site *www.likumi.lv* was conducted by applying the Issue attention cycle model by Anthony Downs. The model consists of five stages: 1) The Pre-problem stage; 2) Alarmed Discovery and Euphoric Enthusiasm stage; 3) Realization of costs stage; 4) Decline in intensity of interest stage; and 5) the Post-problem stage (Gupta & Jenkins-Smith, 2015). Per this model, if the issue is costly, uninteresting or not dramatic enough, and if solving it will not yield large returns or negatively impact the status quo of powerful people (Gupta & Jenkins-Smith, 2015), the issue will quickly move through the phases of the model and disappear from media, political and public agendas.

2006/2007 influenza season in Latvia

The regular, yearly patterns of influenza outbreaks combined with the inherent human tendency to forget and the many issues that compete for our attention on a daily basis foster complacency and mindlessness. This was evident at the very beginning of the Covid-19 spread: as it was equated with the seasonal influenza virus, people were inclined to carry on as usual. Only when the numbers of daily cases reported started skyrocketing and more information about the infection became known, did the policymakers start taking action. A shock to the system is required for the three main stakeholders – the policymakers, the media and the public – to focus their attention on a specific issue and take action.

In the 2006/2007 influenza season a record number of people infected was registered in Latvia. (Perevoščikovs, 2018; SVA, 2007) Since Latvia has a small population³, a

³ As per data of the Official statistics portal the population of Latvia was 1,8 million at the beginning of 2021, and 2,2 million at the beginning of 2007. (Official statistics portal, n.d.)

comparatively smaller shock created by an emergency – in this case, the spread of an infectious disease – would be needed to significantly burden the functioning of various circulation systems – the capabilities of the health care system could be exceeded, there would be complications with ensuring internal and external security as well as with providing other critical goods and services. And, since there have been issues with meeting the demand of flu vaccines in the past under routine circumstances (Ilgaža, 2010; Kinca, 2005; LETA, 2016a) and the production of said vaccines is a time consuming and limited process, it is certain that in the case of a pandemic influenza outbreak vaccinating everyone or only those in high-risk groups would be impossible. Thus there are several reasons why the 2006/2007 influenza season was chosen as the case to be explored in this publication from the perspective of policy learning and evidence based policy making: firstly, the nature of the disease itself. The influenza virus develops and mutates continuously, to ensure its survival and thus creating regular epidemic and pandemic outbreaks. Since the 16th century 14 influenza pandemics have been documented of which the 1918 Spanish flu might have been the deadliest one yet. (Taubenberger & Morens, 2010)

Secondly, globalization fosters fast “microbial traffic”. Research of globalization, tendencies of individual movement and development have shown that with the development of technologies and socioeconomic factors the mobility of every next generation has increased tenfold (Ali & Keil, 2006) thus allowing various pathogens to cross greater distances faster than ever before. (Ali & Keil, 2006; CDC, 2012; Webster, 2010) This in combination with growing urbanization makes it more difficult to contain the spread of a virus. Vulnerability is also magnified by the emergence and spread of infectious diseases that are resistant to antimicrobial medications. It is said that these resistant pathogens cause roughly 23 thousand deaths in the USA and 25 thousand deaths in Europe and cost roughly 20 and 1,5 USD billion in direct or indirect losses respectively. (Gelband et al., 2015, p. 8) These resistant pathogens can only be countered by an alternative antimicrobial medication or a combination of several of these, which might not always be available. Finally, based on everything described above, infectious

diseases have the potential to inhibit or even paralyze circulation of goods, services and people. (Elbe, Roemer-Mahler, & Long, 2014) “A pandemic is essentially an unpredictable and dangerous system of viral circulation” (Elbe et al., 2014, p. 448) that endangers all other systems of circulation. “The ultimate effect of a pandemic is also that it ends up shutting down all other systems of circulation, leading to stasis. A pandemic is the quintessential “crisis of circulation” because it is a circulatory threat to the very notion of circulation itself.” (Elbe et al., 2014, pp. 449–450) If we also take into account the possibility of various pathogens being weaponized and used for bioterrorism (Anderson & Bokor, 2012; Miro & Kaufman, 2005; Zapanta & Ghorab, 2014), it is undeniable that infectious diseases have transcended the public health sector and become a central element of the Global Public Health Security.

The 2006/2007 influenza season in Latvia did not follow the usual pattern – the first patients were identified only during the 2nd week of 2007 (Brenčeva, 2007) which is roughly 14 weeks later than usual. Despite expert predictions about the rate of infection increasing only in march just three days later after the admission of the first patients – February 15th – the epidemiological threshold⁴ was reached in two cities. (Gleizde & Ozola, 2007) By February 20th the epidemiological threshold was reached on the state level, growing from just 32 cases per 100 000 inhabitants to 172 cases per 100 000 inhabitants within a week. (Gleizde, 2007a) The infection rate continued to accelerate – the number of cases increased trifold between February 19th and February 25th. (Tomsone, 2007) By February 27th hospitals approached maximum capacity as infection rates exceeded the epidemiological threshold 14 times.

On March 1st the Minister of Health tasked the State Agency of Compulsory Health Insurance to work together with representatives of emergency medical services to address the issue of additional funding in order to ensure the provision of necessary healthcare services. (Gleizde, 2007b) This resulted in extended working hours of family doctors and resident doctors

⁴ 100 cases per 100 000 inhabitants.

being recruited to work in the Riga Emergency medicine station. (Gleizde, 2007c) This was an untraditional but necessary solution, because “during the flu epidemic about 1200 calls per day were received of which roughly 900 were responded to”. (Gleizde, 2007c) The resident doctors were also involved in assisting with the care of the influenza patients at the big hospitals in Riga. (Gleizde, 2007c)

The infection rate started going down by the end of March. (Karaseva, 2007; LETA, 2007; Ozola, 2007a, 2007b; Vespere, 2007) The epidemic ended on April 4th. (Kļānska, 2007) According to the information in the Epidemiology Bulletin “*Overview of the incidence of acute upper respiratory infections (AURI) and influenza in the 2006-2007 epidemic season*” released by the State Agency "Public Health Agency" ““During the 2006-2007 epidemic season the maximum registered incidence of AURI (2597.1 per 100 000 population) and influenza (932.9 per 100 000 population) exceeded the number of incidences recorded during the previous six seasons". In sum 216 315 cases of influenza infection were registered.

Analysis – agendas and critical failures

In order to broaden the context of the seasonal influenza trends and their place in various agendas over time, data from the LETA archive was collected for the time period from 01.01.2000 until 31.12.2017⁵ using the keyword “*gripa*” (the flu) in the “news” category, which is enabled by the cyclical nature of the disease. A total of 3 056 news reports were collected of which 2 729 were classified as original, meaning they were not duplicates or updated entries. These were further sorted into nine data categories: 1) Information about influenza trends; 2) Political agenda; 3) Public agenda; 4) Irrelevant background information, e.g., news about celebrities having the flu; 5) Emergencies and capitalism – information about restrictions and opportunities for businesses and economy; 6) Unrelated information; 7) Value background – information that illustrates political and public agendas and their values regarding public health;

⁵ The time frame was defined by taking into account both the availability of data and Sabatier’s notion that about 10 years are needed for policy change to yield results after being implemented.

8) Other infectious diseases – influenza is mentioned as an example; 9) Disruptions in circulation.

Figures 3 and 4 illustrate the intensity of news articles about influenza being published by LETA. During the 17 year period the only outlier is the year 2009⁶ when information about influenza was posted during the summer as well. This was due to the pandemic influenza H1N1 virus. It must be noted that in the news H1N1 was mostly named the “new flu”. Even though this not have the same connotation as “pandemic flu” it still creates a heightened sense of threat. “New” is one of the strongest motivating words used in marketing (Cooper, 2008) and in the context of influenza it has a negative connotation, because it refers to a never before seen threat or hazard that might not be easily managed or mitigated. Thus, by using the word “new” the reader is motivated to click on the article which translates into more traffic and advertising revenue for the site.

Figure 3 Problem attention cycle – influenza, 2000 - 2017

⁶ The year 2001 is not classified as an outlier, because only 17 news articles refer to the 2000/2001 influenza epidemiological season as illustrated by the red line (-).

From the perspective of Down's Issue attention cycle influenza has a guaranteed spot on the media agenda: 1) it has the potential to affect a large number of people; 2) it has the potential for (high) financial implications ranging from the individual (e.g., paying for the flu vaccine) to businesses (e.g., revenue lost due to sick leave) and economy as a whole; thus 3) this issue is interesting – people will likely be interested in reading the corresponding news reports.

Figure 4 Media agenda – influenza

In the 17 year period explored in this publication, the media mostly reported the trends of influenza spread (category 1 – 1254 news articles), followed by news articles on influenza's place on the political agenda, e.g., commentary from politicians and announcements by various institutions. The third biggest set of articles fell into category 3 – reports on celebrity health etc. Finally, news articles that were classified in category 4 – public agenda – were the fourth biggest set, e.g., looking at various polls or reporting expert opinions. In sum, “influenza” does not take a prominent place in the media agenda and moves quickly through the phases of Down's Issue

attention cycle – even though some attention is devoted to the topic, it's superficial and dissipates as soon as the influenza season has ended.

In order to ensure a better comparison, exploration of the political agenda was divided in two periods – before and after the 2006/2007 flu season. The first time influenza was mentioned in the Cabinet of ministers minutes was in 2005⁷ when an informative report regarding the potential action scenarios in case of a pandemic influenza outbreak were discussed. (Veselības ministrija, 2005) Other results for the time period before the 2006/2007 influenza season included confirming Latvia's official position on H1N1 (colloquially known as the "bird flu"); Latvia's official position on the human health aspects in case of a pandemic influenza outbreak; and Latvia's official position on European Union regulations regarding the bird flu. (LR Ministru kabinets, 2005b, 2005a, 2005c)

Since the amount of data obtained from the official sites for normative acts and their projects and Cabinet of ministers minutes (*www.likumi.lv* and *tap.mk.gov.lv*⁸) was minuscule, additionally the reports on political agenda in the media were also analysed. These news reports mostly contained various government institutions calling the public to vaccinate, expressing expert opinions as well as reporting current trends of influenza spread. The political agenda of individual municipalities was mostly published by the media in case of various restrictions, e.g., limiting public gatherings or declaring quarantine in the local hospital.

In January of 2005 media reported, that the government is preparing a readiness plan for an influenza pandemic and bioterrorism threat according to the guidelines from European Union and the World Health Organization, e.g., ensuring that 75% of the population receive the flu vaccine. (Ādamsone, 2005) The working group tasked with producing these two documents was comprised of specialists from many institutions and areas: Ministry of Health, "the Centre

⁷ It must be noted, that a potential explanation for the low number of results obtained is the fact that the digitalised Cabinet of Ministers minutes were only made available starting 2005.

⁸ The search for the keyword "gripa" yielded 0 results on the official site of Latvijas Republikas Saeima (the parliament) (*titania.saeima.lv*).

for infectious disease, Centre for Disaster medicine, State Agency of Medicines, Ministry of the Interior, State Fire and Rescue Services, Ministry of Agriculture and National Armed Forces”. (Ådamsone, 2005) Although both documents were due to be submitted by April 15th and May 15th respectively, this did not happen. The plan regarding action in the case of pandemic influenza first surfaced only in August and was confirmed in October. No information about a plan or any document regarding bioterrorism threat could be found.

Finally, influenza was not a prominent topic on the public agenda either leading up to the 2006/2007 influenza season. Mostly reports about low vaccination numbers were available. There were also no indications of an NGO or an advocacy group or coalition, who would actively lobby the importance of influenza preparedness and prevention.

Based on everything mention above and on the analysis of the Ministry of Health’s report “On the action in case of pandemic influenza”, the following problems (potentially critical failures) contributing to the threat posed by influenza:

- Insufficient amount of flu vaccines (in case of a pandemic);
- No contract of guaranteed flu vaccine deliveries;
- Low vaccination numbers;
- The vaccination of groups that ensure public order is not guaranteed;
- Insufficient reserves of antimicrobial medications for dealing with the complications of (pandemic) influenza;
- Insufficient funding for epidemiological monitoring, overtime pay for medical professionals, as well as ensuring communication and necessary logistics activities (in case of a pandemic).

Lessons learned (?)

An opportunity to test whether there have been any improvements made regarding the above identified (potential) critical failures presented itself in 2009 when the pandemic

influenza virus H1N1 spread around the world. Although the initial spread of the virus in Latvia was not rapid, first discussions in media regarding the procurement of vaccines were published in July. As infection rates started to increase in October, the Immunization state council recommended procuring the new vaccines despite expert doubts about their safety. (Mežniece, 2009b, 2009c) The Ministry of Health later in October decided not to procure vaccines, based on the data about the trends and clinical course of the illness. The public did not share the same opinion, as various anti-influenza medications were sold out (Mežniece, 2009d) and a campaign “Put on a mask” was organized by a youth society “*Pirmie*” (Slišāne, 2009) who also handed out face masks to inhabitants of Riga. As in the 2006/2007 influenza season the burden on the public health sector was so big, that the option on recruiting 500 medical students to help provide medical help was considered. By mid-December the number of confirmed H1N1 death reached 17 (Mežniece, 2009a) thus a decision was made to allocate 14,2 thousand lats (~20,2 thousand EUR) for the gradual procurement of additional anti-H1N1 medications for therapeutic purposes and to protect medical personnel. (Mežniece, 2009e)

Securing vaccines (and other necessary medications)

In March of 2006 the Ministry of Health negotiated with pharmaceutical companies and distributors about the guaranteed deliveries of antiviral medications, antibiotics and respiratory masks. (Mežniece, 2009e) However, even before the Ministry of Health issued a report on the negotiations, the government made a decision not to stockpile antiviral medications. Additionally in the “Immunization state program 2008-2010” and the “Immunization program 2012-2014” influenza was not defined as a priority. (LV Ministru kabinets, 2008, 2012) It must also be noted that the Immunization program 2012-2014 was still in force in March of 2019. In January of 2016 media reports were published about there not being enough state funded flu vaccines. (LETA, 2016b) The Minister of Health dismissed the public’s concerns, claiming the agitation was unfounded and that in the case of other infectious diseases a vaccine shortage would be impossible. The evidence collected suggests otherwise – there have been vaccine

shortages even for diseases that are on the top priority list: a combination vaccine for diphtheria and tetanus in adults (Balčiute, 2018); against measles, rubella and mumps in children (Dzērve, 2017), and tuberculosis (Dzērve, 2012).

Improving vaccination numbers

In 2007 in an article in the publication for medical professionals “*Doctus*” infectologist I. Mazjanis commented on various research regarding the trends in influenza research and the preparedness of Western countries for a new influenza pandemic. He concludes that the situation in Latvia is much worse than in the USA and Norway. His claims are also supported by the data published by the European Centre for Disease Control (ECDC) (Figure 5) and the Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (Figure 6).

Figure 5 Seasonal influenza vaccination coverage among those ≥ 65 years of age from the 2008–09 to the 2012–13 influenza season from (ECDC, 2013, p. 4)

Figure 6 Level of influenza immunization in the Latvian population by influenza seasons
from(Nikiforova, Martiņuka, Lucenko, & Viļuma, 2017, p. 16)

Even though the number of people vaccinated has increased it is still very far away from the 75% mark for people in the high-risk groups as recommended by ECDC and WHO. This as well as the information presented above leads to the conclusion that provision of vaccines and implementation of immunization activities in neither on the political nor public agendas. This increases risks of infections and complications, that can overwhelm the capabilities of public health sector. It must also be noted, that other healthcare needs, e.g., in cases of chronic illnesses and accidents, that might require the same technological resources (e.g., ventilators) for treating influenza complications do not disappear during the flu season. Finally, the low levels of people vaccinated and lack of a medications stockpile or guaranteed delivery contract also endanger the many other circulation systems needed for the continuity of economic processes in the country.

Funding

Latvia has one of the lowest levels of healthcare funding in the European Union as illustrated by Figure 7.

Figure 7 Expenditure on health from GDP and from the general state budget in Latvia, 2005–2014,%, from (Renemanis, 2015, p. 82)

After 2014 the gap between the budget of the public health sector as a whole and the budget health care domain started to increase: between 2014 and 2017 the gap increased by 8% the as the designated funding for healthcare domain fell to 80% of the total budget of the sector.

Issues with insufficient funding became acutely apparent in the last months of 2018 as employees *en masse* terminated their employment with the State Emergency Medical Service of Latvia thus jeopardizing the continuous provision of emergency medical services in Riga region⁹. (Delfi, 2018) A state of emergency was declared at the beginning of November, and the Latvian Association of Emergency Medicine Specialists even turned to the European Parliament for help with resolving the issues of low pay and it being further decreased in the future as well as the low prestige of the profession. The state of emergency was terminated on January 1st 2019 due to improvements in material technical resources as well as revisions in Labour law that allowed medical professionals to work more overtime (LETA, 2018), which is in direct contradiction with the complaints of medical professionals being tired and overworked.

⁹ Approximately 1/3 of the whole population lives in Riga region.

It is also not rational to expect, that this solution will be sustainable or increase the prestige of the profession in any way.

Discussion and conclusion

By applying the Algorithm for continuous analysis of policy learning and change to the case it is possible to claim, that there has been a partial exploration of the incident, which is represented by the monitoring performed by the Centre for infectious disease (epidemiological bulletins and yearly reports on AURI and influenza spread). However, these documents only contain statistical data without exploring that potentially fostered or impeded the specific development of events. A potential source of this information is the 2005 informative report “On action in case of pandemic influenza” where existing problems are identified and several potential scenarios for action are proposed – thus the problems were acknowledged at the time. The solutions were not adopted automatically, rather they were aimed at specific problems. In sum in the case of policy learning and change in Latvia after the 2006/2007 influenza season the evidence leads to the result F3: “Policy learning not attempted/ imitation of action”.

Within the timeframe explored in this publication no evidence was found that the Ministry of Health has analysed the implementation of the scenario that was chosen. Thus the evidence leads to the conclusion that the implementation of the solution in this case was not attempted. This is also supported by the evidence that none of the identified (potential) critical failures have been rectified or mitigated. On the contrary – evidence shows that the situation in the public health sector has worsened significantly.

In February of 2019 a new wave outrage was sparked by news that the pay increase for medical professionals, that was made into law in 2018, will be smaller than expected. Some medical professionals even reported a decrease in wage of 100 or 200 EUR. (Krieviņš, 2018) Head of Human resources of the Pauls Stradins University hospital – one of the leading hospitals in the country – commented that the crisis in the public health sector has not been

prevented: “A crisis cannot be prevented as long as we do not have enough personnel on the labour market. And as long as we do not have state level solutions on how to motivate (people) the crisis will remain!”. (Kinca, 2019) The severity of the situation was also illustrated by the information on the lack of specialists which in turn results in longer waiting times for the patients. (Anstrate & Feldmanis, 2019) Report *Latvia: Country Health Profile 2019, State of Health in the EU* even states that “the number of practising doctors in Latvia is slightly below the EU average, at 3.2 doctors per 1 000 population, the number of nurses is less than half the EU average”. (OECD/European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies, 2019, p. 12) It must be noted that the first alarms regarding the shortage of healthcare professionals were rung in 2007¹⁰ in the publication “Doctus”, when there was a shortage of 634 doctors and the future expected shortages by 2010 were projected from 1070 to 2740 doctors, and a shortage of around 550 emergency medical service doctors within 3 years. (Ričika, Ādamsone, & Celmiņa, 2007)

Now that the first two research questions of this publication have been answered, the last one remains: What factors that promoted or hindered policy learning can be identified in this case? The data collected during the exploration of this case yielded no evidence of external factors that promote policy learning: the media attention to influenza fluctuated in accordance to seasonal influenza trends in the Northern hemisphere and influenza was a topic on the political agenda only when there was a need for sanctioning additional resources. There were some indications of advocacy group activity, however these were sporadic and did not command the necessary media, public or political attention. The advocacy groups – various professional organizations – are more preoccupied with other issues that have a greater impact on the daily lives of their members, e.g., remuneration and working conditions.

¹⁰ The publication refers to data collected by the Ministry of Health in 2005.

The evidence suggests that regarding influenza Latvia prefers what Rouse calls “trying more of the same”, by investing in existing policies with the hope that these investments will help change the existing unsatisfactory *status quo*. As no evidence of regular analysis and adjustments were identified, this is a logical course of events. Without an understanding of the specific contexts, its problems and their root causes, evidence based policy making is impossible. Thus the path of least resistance and effort is chosen.

Regarding factors that hinder policy learning the evidence suggests that there is grounds for discussing an unwillingness to take responsibility, rhetoric barriers to learning and ignoring and denying warnings. Unwillingness to take responsibility is illustrated by the decision that Latvia will not stockpile medications or sign contracts of guaranteed medications deliveries, which would require regular investments. Rhetoric barrier to learning, which is created by reliance of past success, and denying or ignoring warnings is illustrated by the unwillingness to mitigate or resolve the identified (potential) critical failures, and by only giving an apparent importance to influenza.

Lastly, one more factor that hindered policy learning and change in this case must be mentioned – the quality of available information. For example, no data regarding high-risk group, except for people over the age of 65, vaccination trends and motivation is collected. Thus a complete understanding of the objective reality in the country cannot be gained. Additional vulnerability is posed by the fact that policy documents do not get reviewed and updated on a regular basis. For example, the Ministry of Health’s informative report “On action in case of pandemic influenza” and the Cabinet of Ministers ordinance Nr. 709 with the same name (still in power in 2020) does not reflect the objective reality of the public health sector. For example, the report states that there are 84 hospitals that could be re-profiled in case of a pandemic. (LV Veselības ministrija, 2005) However the 2015 Influenza readiness plan does not contain this kind of information. According to the information available on the Ministry of Health home page, in 2012 there was only 21 hospitals that provided 24/7 medical help. As per

the information provided by the National Health Service, the number remained the same in 2017, however of these 21 hospitals only 11 took part in the 2017/2018 influenza season monitoring.

This proposes an interesting question for future research – is public health really a priority in Latvia? Even though the conclusions drawn during this case study cannot be generalized, they provide valuable insights and evidence that could suggest the contrary. From the perspective of economy is investment-heavy and does create a direct financial contribution, it cannot be neglected. One could argue, that Latvia potentially neglects the fact that working towards improved public health is an investment – healthy public is more productive in the terms of goods produced, services provided and taxes paid as well as fewer losses due to productivity losses because of sickness and/or injury. Various taxes then can then be further redistributed to finance public health and other sectors. The potential lack of this understanding creates further obstacles to policy learning and change.

Another topic for future research is the ongoing Covid-19 pandemic and its management in Latvia, as it might prove to be the shock necessary for policymakers to finally start actively looking for and implementing solutions for the issues and critical failures identified in this publication as well as revealed by the Covid-19 pandemic itself, thus allowing for policy learning and change to take place. This will not lend itself to immediate research and judgment as policies take time to be formulated and implemented, however, as mentioned before, regular monitoring, even after comparatively short amount of time has passed, is a valuable source of information regarding the current progress and issues that need to be corrected. However, even before the Covid-19 pandemic, there was an abundance of issues in the public health sector in Latvia, as illustrated by this publication, that need to be remedied via new, evidence based policies. If no action is taken, one can argue that in case of a large future public health emergency Latvia might require outside help and resources to ensure the continuous functioning of various circulation systems as well as ensuring the protection of the EU external border.

Bibliography

- Ādamsone, A. (2005, January 23). Izstrādās gatavības plānus gripas pandēmijai un bioterorismam. *LETA*. Retrieved from http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=446D3F95-89A3-4249-AA1F-FEBA0E791DFC&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA%0A
- Ali, S. H., & Keil, R. (2006). Global cities and the spread of infectious disease: The case of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in Toronto, Canada. *Urban Studies*, 43(3), 491–509. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00420980500452458>
- Anderson, P. D., & Bokor, G. (2012). Bioterrorism: Pathogens as Weapons. *Journal of Pharmacy Practice*, 25(5), 521–529. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0897190012456366>
- Anstrate, V., & Feldmanis, K. (2019). Slimnīcu vadītāji ceļ trauksmi par darbaroku trūkumu ārstniecības iestādēs. Retrieved March 12, 2019, from <https://www.lsm.lv/raksts/zinas/latvija/slimnicu-vaditaji-cel-trauksmi-par-darbaroku-trukumu-arstniecibas-iestades.a311822/>
- Balčiute, S. (2018). Latvijā trūkst difterijas vakcīnu pieaugušajiem; SPRK iesaka pagaidām atlikt revakcināciju. Retrieved March 13, 2019, from <https://skaties.lv/zinas/latvija/sabiedriba/latvija-trukst-difterijas-vakcinu-pieaugusajiem-sprk-iesaka-pagaidam-atlikt-revakcinaciju/>
- Baumgartner, F. R. (2013). Ideas and policy change. *Governance*, 26(2), 239–258. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gove.12007>
- Bennett, C. J., & Howlett, M. (1992). The Lessons of Learning : Reconciling Theories of Policy Learning and Policy Change. *Policy Sciences*, 25(3), 275–294. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/453226>
- Birkland, T. A. (2006). *Lessons of disaster: Policy Change After Catastrophic Events*.

Washington D.C.: Georgetown University Press.

Brenčeva, V. (2007, January 10). Konstatēti pirmie gripas saslimšanas gadījumi. *LETA*.

Retrieved from

[https://intranet.mod.gov.lv/sslvpn/PT/http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=Konstatēti pirmie gripas saslimšanas gadījumi&item=E159520C-D073-4CC0-98EA-CEF6F600177F&date=1167602400,1168984800&mode=stem,](https://intranet.mod.gov.lv/sslvpn/PT/http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=Konstatēti+pirmie+gripas+saslimšanas+gadījumi&item=E159520C-D073-4CC0-98EA-CEF6F600177F&date=1167602400,1168984800&mode=stem)

Busenberg, G. J. (2001). Learning in Organizations and Public Policy. *Journal of Public Policy*, 21(2), 173–189. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4007775>

Carley, K. M., & Harrauld, J. R. (2001). Organizational learning under fire: Theory and practice. In A. Boin (Ed.), *Crisis management Vol.III* (pp. 105–125). London: Sage Publications.

CDC. (2012). Crisis Emergency Risk Communication. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Retrieved from https://emergency.cdc.gov/cerc/resources/pdf/cerc_2012edition.pdf

Cooper, D. (2008). *Power Words for Sales and Marketing*. Retrieved from [http://www.doncooper.com/downloads/Power Words for Sales and Marketing.pdf](http://www.doncooper.com/downloads/Power+Words+for+Sales+and+Marketing.pdf)

Dammann, O. (2019). Data, Information, Evidence, and Knowledge: A Proposal for Health Informatics and Data Science. *Online Journal of Public Health Informatics*, 10(3). <https://doi.org/10.5210/ojphi.v10i3.9631>

Delfi. (2018). Ārkārtas situācija NMPD Rīgas reģionālajā centrā turpinās. Retrieved March 7, 2019, from <https://www.delfi.lv/news/national/politics/arkartas-situacija-nmpd-rigas-regionalaja-centra-turpinas.d?id=50593403>

Dzērve, L. (2012). Trūkst vakcīnu pret tuberkulozi. Retrieved March 13, 2019, from <https://www.diena.lv/raksts/latvija/zinas/trukst-vakcinu-pret-tuberkulozi-13927414>

- Dzērve, L. (2017). Kavētās vakcīnu piegādes dēļ ne visiem izdodas bērnu vakcinēt pret masalām. Retrieved March 13, 2019, from <https://www.lsm.lv/raksts/zinas/latvija/kavetas-vakcinu-piegades-del-ne-visiem-izdodas-bernu-vakcinet-pret-masalam.a256039/>
- ECDC. (2013). Seasonal influenza vaccination Latvia 2012–13, 24(20), 1–7. Retrieved from <http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications/Report Assets/seasonal-vaccination-coverage-in-europe-2012-13/Seasonal-Influenza-Vaccination-Programme-Country-Profile-Greece.pdf>
- Elbe, S., Roemer-Mahler, A., & Long, C. (2014). Securing circulation pharmaceutically: Antiviral stockpiling and pandemic preparedness in the European Union. *Security Dialogue*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0967010614530072>
- Etheredge, L. S. (1985). *Can governments learn? American foreign policy and Central American revolutions* (1st ed.). Great Britain: Pergamon Press.
- Faulkner, B. (2001). Towards a framework for tourism disaster management. *Tourism Management*, 22(2), 135–147. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-5177\(00\)00048-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-5177(00)00048-0)
- Gelband, H., Miller-Petrie, M., Pant, S., Gandra, S., Levinson, J., Barter, D., ... Laxminarayan, R. (2015). *The state of the World's antibiotics 2015*. Washington D.C. Retrieved from https://cddep.org/sites/default/files/swa_2015_final.pdf
- Gleizde, G. (2007a, February 20). Latvijā sākusies gripas epidēmija. *LETA*. Retrieved from http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=5D93B783-0C0D-4C44-BEF3-BBDDC1E99699&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA
- Gleizde, G. (2007b, March 1). Gripas epidēmijas dēļ Rīgā , Jelgavā , Daugavpilī un Rēzeknē pagarina dežūrārstu darba laiku. *LETA*. Retrieved from <http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=11F65935-BC6B-4A32-A1D4->

9B3035DD87F0&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA

Gleizde, G. (2007c, March 30). Gripas epidēmijas laikā ārsti rezidenti devušies 333

izsaukumos. *LETA*. Retrieved from

http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=72D53253-4E0D-4B4E-A400-BE5CE41DDC6B&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA

Gleizde, G., & Ozola, A. (2007, February 15). Rēzeknē un Jelgavā sākusies gripas epidēmija.

LETA. Retrieved from

http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=5B9DCD4B-0D45-4553-B0E9-EB570AC64C97&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA

Gupta, K., & Jenkins-Smith, H. (2015). Anthony Downs, “Up and Down with Ecology: The

‘Issue-Attention’ Cycle.” *The Oxford Handbook of Classics in Public Policy and Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199646135.013.34>

Hall, P. A. (1993). Policy Paradigms , Social Learning , and the State : The Case of Economic

Policymaking in Britain. *Comparative Politics*, 25(3), 275–296. Retrieved from

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/422246>

Heclo, H. (1974). *Modern Social politics in Britain and Sweden*. London: Yale University Press.

Ilgāža, M. (2010). Jaungulbenē vakcīnas jau beigušās. Retrieved January 19, 2019, from

<https://www.dzirkstele.lv/vietejas-zinas/jaungulbene-vakcinas-jau-beigusas-19154>

Kapucu, N., & van Wart, M. (2006). The Evolving Role of the Public Sector in Managing

Catastrophic Disasters: Lessons Learned. *Administration & Society*, 38(3), 279–308.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399706289718>

Karaseva, A. (2007, March 28). Austrumvidzemē gripas epidēmija beigusies. *LETA*.

Retrieved from <http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=A6B915BC->

6B13-43D0-8694-

F903341C185D&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA

Kinca, A. (2005). Gripas vakcīnu vienkārši vairs nav! Retrieved January 19, 2019, from

<https://www.tvnet.lv/6146991/gripas-vakcinu-vienkarsi-vairs-nav>

Kinca, A. (2019). Mediķi sašutuši – algas pieauguma vietā sarukušas; pārrēķinu sola martā.

Retrieved March 12, 2019, from [https://www.lsm.lv/raksts/zinas/latvija/mediki-sasutusi-](https://www.lsm.lv/raksts/zinas/latvija/mediki-sasutusi-algas-pieauguma-vieta-sarukusas-parrekinu-sola-marta.a309194/)

[-algas-pieauguma-vieta-sarukusas-parrekinu-sola-marta.a309194/](https://www.lsm.lv/raksts/zinas/latvija/mediki-sasutusi-algas-pieauguma-vieta-sarukusas-parrekinu-sola-marta.a309194/)

Kļanska, D. (2007, April 4). Gripas epidēmija Latvijā beigusies , tomēr atsevišķi saslimšanas gadījumi turpinās. *LETA*. Retrieved from

[http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=8AA132E8-5A78-4D78-A6C4-](http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=8AA132E8-5A78-4D78-A6C4-C0BF0B81BF47&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA)

[C0BF0B81BF47&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA](http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=8AA132E8-5A78-4D78-A6C4-C0BF0B81BF47&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA)

Krieviņš, R. (2018). Slimnīcu biedrība draud izsludināt ārkārtas situāciju slimnīcu sektorā.

Retrieved March 12, 2019, from [https://www.lsm.lv/raksts/zinas/latvija/slimnicu-](https://www.lsm.lv/raksts/zinas/latvija/slimnicu-biedriba-draud-izsludinat-arkartas-situaciju-slimnicu-sektora.a300643/)

[biedriba-draud-izsludinat-arkartas-situaciju-slimnicu-sektora.a300643/](https://www.lsm.lv/raksts/zinas/latvija/slimnicu-biedriba-draud-izsludinat-arkartas-situaciju-slimnicu-sektora.a300643/)

LETA. (2007, March 20). Ludzā , Rēzeknē , Preiļos samazinās saslimstība ar gripu. *LETA*.

Retrieved from [http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=84E0859B-](http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=84E0859B-7DDD-4A22-A06A-8EA2D783EC9A&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA)

[7DDD-4A22-A06A-](http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=84E0859B-7DDD-4A22-A06A-8EA2D783EC9A&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA)

[8EA2D783EC9A&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA](http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=84E0859B-7DDD-4A22-A06A-8EA2D783EC9A&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA)

LETA. (2016a). Vakcinācijas kabinetos beigušās vakcīnas pret gripu. Retrieved January 19,

2019, from [https://www.delfi.lv/news/national/politics/vakcinacijas-kabinetos-beigusas-](https://www.delfi.lv/news/national/politics/vakcinacijas-kabinetos-beigusas-vakcinas-pret-gripu.d?id=46979323)

[vakcinas-pret-gripu.d?id=46979323](https://www.delfi.lv/news/national/politics/vakcinacijas-kabinetos-beigusas-vakcinas-pret-gripu.d?id=46979323)

LETA. (2016b, January 3). Īsi pirms prognozētās gripas epidēmijas beigušās valsts

apmaksātās vakcīnas. *LETA*. Retrieved from

<http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=652FC5C9-DC7E-4D94-873E->

5B8D7D96ABCF&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA%0A

LETA. (2018). NMPD: dienesta darbinieku “masveida aizplūšana” patlaban ir apturēta.

Retrieved March 7, 2019, from <https://www.delfi.lv/news/national/politics/nmpd-dienesta-darbinieku-masveida-aizplusana-patlaban-ir-aptureta.d?id=50752595>

LR Ministru kabinets. (2005a). Latvijas Republikas Ministru kabineta sēdes protokols [Nr 72.

Retrieved February 17, 2019, from <https://likumi.lv//ta/id/216477?&search=on>

LR Ministru kabinets. (2005b). Latvijas Republikas Ministru kabineta sēdes protokols Nr. 63.

Retrieved February 17, 2019, from <https://likumi.lv//ta/id/216486?&search=on>

LR Ministru kabinets. (2005c). Latvijas Republikas Ministru kabineta sēdes protokols Nr. 75.

Retrieved February 17, 2019, from <https://likumi.lv//ta/id/216474?&search=on>

LV Ministru kabinets. (2008). Par Imunizācijas valsts programmu 2008.-2010.gadam.

Retrieved March 5, 2019, from <https://likumi.lv/ta/id/170211-par-imunizacijas-valsts-programmu-2008--2010-gadam>

LV Ministru kabinets. (2012). Par Imunizācijas plānu 2012.–2014.gadam. Retrieved March 5,

2019, from <https://likumi.lv/doc.php?id=248094>

LV Veselības ministrija. (2005). *Par rīcību gripas pandēmijas gadījumā*. Rīga. Retrieved from

http://www.vm.gov.lv/images/userfiles/phoebe/tas_tev_jazina_tas_tev_jazina_195448bbbf7b0975c2257313001f19e0/riciba_gripa_pand_gadij.pdf

May, P. J. (1992). Policy Learning and Failure. *Journal of Public Policy*, 12(4), 331–354.

Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4007550>

Mežniece, I. (2009a). Pēc jaunās gripas komplikācijām Latvijā miruši jau 17 cilvēki. *LETA*.

Mežniece, I. (2009b, November 1). Mediķe: Vakcinēties pret jauno gripu pagaidām varētu būt

- riskanti. *LETA*. Retrieved from
http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=BA48D36B-EBF1-446F-B372-B386010923EE&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA
- Mežniece, I. (2009c, November 9). Imunizācijas padome: Vakcīnas pret jauno gripu Latvijai jāiepērk. *LETA*. Retrieved from
http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=2063566B-4F0B-451E-BC59-F219C6F4FD8B&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA
- Mežniece, I. (2009d, November 10). Lieltirgotavām beidzas pretgripas zāles ; Veselības ministrija mierina , ka zāles būšot. *LETA*. Retrieved from
http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=60AFCCCD-DE07-4760-A266-9E4A4F23FCCC&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA
- Mežniece, I. (2009e, December 12). LIC par 14 270 latiem iepirks medikamentu pandēmiskās gripas ārstēšanai. *LETA*. Retrieved from
http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=21E60AD5-2CCA-45B5-B66D-0CF37587D094&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA
- Miro, S., & Kaufman, S. G. (2005). Anthrax in New Jersey: a health education experience in bioterrorism response and preparedness. *Health Promotion Practice*, 6(4), 430–436.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1524839904263673>
- Nikiforova, R., Martiņuka, O., Lucenko, I., & Viļuma, D. (2017). *Pārskats par saslimstību ar akūtām augšējo elpceļu infekcijām (AAEI) un gripu 2016.–2017. gada epidēmiskajā sezonā*. Rīga. Retrieved from https://www.spkc.gov.lv/upload/Datu_bazes/gripa_2016_2017_sezona_1.pdf
- OECD/European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies. (2019). *Latvia: Country Health Profile 2019, State of Health in the EU*. Paris/Brussels. Retrieved from
https://ec.europa.eu/health/sites/health/files/state/docs/2019_chp_lv_english.pdf

- Official statistics portal. (n.d.). IRS010c. Population and main data of vital statistics by quarter by Indicator and Time period. Retrieved from https://data.stat.gov.lv/pxweb/en/OSP_PUB/START__POP__IR__IRS/IRS010c/
- Ozola, A. (2007a, March 13). Jelgavā aptuveni divas reizes samazinājies ar gripu saslimušo skaits. *LETA*. Retrieved from http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=83F0EF15-5BA8-429F-A07F-0C855CB8AAD2&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA
- Ozola, A. (2007b, March 27). Jelgavā beigusies gripas epidēmija. *LETA*. Retrieved from http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=362E1274-5140-4695-90D7-0BB34E247C73&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA
- Perevoščikovs, J. (2018). Vakcinācija pret gripu Fakti par gripu. Rīga: Slimību profilakses un kontroles centrs. Retrieved from https://www.spkc.gov.lv/upload/Prezentacijas/preses_konference_2.pdf
- Project Management Institute. (2008). *A guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK Guide)* (4th ed.). Atlanta: PMI Book Service Center. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.111.479.1009-a>
- Ričika, D., Ādamsone, A., & Celmiņa, M. (2007). Mediķu trūks arvien vairāk. Ko darīt? Retrieved March 12, 2019, from <https://www.doctus.lv/2007/12/mediku-truks-arvien-vairak-ko-darit>
- Rose, R. (1991). What Is Lesson-Drawing ? *Journal of Public Policy*, 11(1), 3–30. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4007336>
- Sabatier, P. A., & Jenkins-Smith, H. C. (Eds.). (1993). *Policy Change and Learning: An Advocacy Coalition Approach*. Boulder, Colorado: Westview Press.
- Slišāne, K. (2009, November 20). Jaunieši dalīs sejas maskas aizsardzībai pret jauno gripu.

- Retrieved from http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=FFEC6A9F-B8E6-4528-A674-76C241F46762&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA
- SVA. (2007). *Pārskats par saslimstību ar akūtām augšējo elpceļu infekcijām (AAEI) un gripu 2006. - 2007. gada epidēmiskajā sezonā*. Rīga. Retrieved from https://www.spkc.gov.lv/upload/Infekcijas_lim_statistika/Gripas_bileteni/Sezonas/aaei_2006_2007_sezona.pdf
- Taubenberger, J. K., & Morens, D. M. (2010). Influenza: the once and future pandemic. *Public Health Reports (Washington, D.C. : 1974)*, 125 Suppl(April 2010), 16–26. Retrieved from url: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41435296>
- Tomsone, D. (2007, February 28). Patlaban jau par 34 % vairāk gripas slimnieku nekā pērn. *LETA*. Retrieved from http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=054CB2AA-A575-439B-A092-F22994A81DEB&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA
- Veselības ministrija. (2005). *Par rīcību gripas pandēmijas gadījumā*. Rīga. Retrieved from http://www.vm.gov.lv/images/userfiles/phoebe/tas_tev_jazina_tas_tev_jazina_195448bbbf7b0975c2257313001f19e0/riciba_gripa_pand_gadij.pdf
- Vespere, K. (2007, March 21). Latvijā samazinājusies gripas aktivitāte. *LETA*. Retrieved from http://www.leta.lv/archive/search/?patern=gripa&item=5FE3CC0F-329B-4B3B-90D6-689DE83E7113&date=946677600,1514671200&mode=stem,&_fs=LETA
- Webster, C. H. (2010). Airline operating realities and the global spread of infectious diseases. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Public Health / Asia-Pacific Academic Consortium for Public Health*, 22(3 Suppl), 137S-143S. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1010539510373130>
- Zapanta, P. E., & Ghorab, S. (2014). Age of Bioterrorism: Are You Prepared? Review of

Bioweapons and Their Clinical Presentation for Otolaryngologists. *Otolaryngology--
Head and Neck Surgery: Official Journal of American Academy Of*, 151(2), 208–214.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0194599814531907>