Article title: Phenotypic Characterization Of The Sudanese Desert Goat

Authors: Ahmed Abi[1]

Affiliations: Department of animal production & marketing, Gulu university, Road 1 kampala, Gulu, Northern state, Uganda[1]

Orcid ids: 0000-0002-2081-8657[1]

Contact e-mail: aaydid3@gmail.com

License information: This work has been published open access under Creative Commons Attribution License <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Conditions, terms of use and publishing policy can be found at <https://www.scienceopen.com/>.

Preprint statement: This article is a preprint and has not been peer-reviewed, under consideration and submitted to ScienceOpen Preprints for open peer review.

Links to data: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/358608141_Phenotypic_characterization_of_Sudanese_desert_goat

DOI: 10.14293/S2199-1006.1.SOR-.PP1RJ3K.v1

Preprint first posted online: 15 February 2022

Keywords: phenotypic, characterization, desert goat, breeding program, Sudanese breeds

Original Research

PHENOTYPIC CHARACTERIZATION OF SUDANESE DESERT GOAT

Ahmed Abi Abdi Warsame^{1*} Benson Turyasingura^{2**}

^{1*} Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, Gulu University, Uganda

^{2**} Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, Kabale University, Uganda

Department of Environmental Sciences, Kabale University

PO box 317, Kabale, Uganda:

E-mail: bensonturyasingura@gmail.com

1. ^{*}Corresponding author: aaydid3@gmail.com

Abstract

The goal of this research was to define the phenotypic characteristics of Sudanese desert goats, as well as the morphological traits of desert goats, in order to develop community-based breeding programs. Weight tape was used to take phenotypic measures of 60 desert goats on the college animal production farm. Coat color (black 31.6 percent, white 10%, brown 18.33 percent, mixture 40 percent), head profile (exerted shape 100 percent), back shape (straight 80 percent, curve 11.6 percent), ear form (drop 100 percent), horn orientation (backward 97.67 percent, polled 3 percent), beard (presence 71.6 percent, absence 28.33 percent), toggle (presence 8.33 percent, absence 91.6 percent), muzzle (pigment 38.3 percent, absence 91.6 percent) (absence 100 percent). Body weight (mean body weight 24.24), height at withers (mean height at withers 66.43), body length (mean body length 56.98), heart girth (mean heart girth 68.45), ear length (mean ear length 19.28), rump width (mean rump width 14.33), and tail length (mean tail length 13.35) variables were among the quantitative traits were processed and documented. Desert goats were first discovered in the north Kordofan state, in the village of Abu Zabad. Significant variances in phenotypic measurements were found among all indigenous desert goats, and the findings of this study add to the phenotypic data of indigenous Sudanese desert goats.

Keywords: phenotypic, characterization, desert goat, breeding program, Sudanese breeds

1. Introduction

More than million goats are currently in use around the world, producing more than 4.5 million tons of milk and million tons of meat, as well as various byproducts (Lu and Miller, 2019). Sudan is mostly an agricultural nation with a sizable cattle population.

According to the Sudan Ministry of Animal Resources' most recent estimate (2013), Sudan's animal population is over 138 million heads, with 41 million cattle, 50 million sheep, 43 million goats, and 4 million camels. The majority of this population is owned by nomads (Ali, 2019). Goats are a popular domestic dual-purpose animal in Sudan, and they play a crucial role in the country's economy and in the lives of many Sudanese families (milk and meat).

Desert goats are primarily raised for meat production, particularly in rural areas, in Sudan and other arid places (Escareño *et al.*, 2012). They also offer milk for household requirements and a variety of fiber (Farrington *et al.*, 2005). Goats are also an important source of animal protein and family cash revenue for small-scale farmers in various nations (Alabi *et al.*, 2019).

Desert goats exhibited much higher carcass weight and dressing a hundred percent than other goats, which could be related to their bulkier body components. According to Ahmed (2019), desert goat meat has excellent processing qualities. Estimates of genetic and phenotypic parameters are limited in goats raised in dry environments.

The replacement of indigenous goats with imported goat breeds and uncontrolled crossbreeding might result in genetic erosion, loss of genetic variety, and a reduction in adaptive value as well as prospects for optimal usage of existing indigenous goats hence, a need for the study.

1.1: Economic importance of desert goats: -

(Wilson, 2018) states that Goat production is important in Sudan because goats have been raised successfully with very limited feed resources. Consequently, consideration of meat production from goat in Sudan has been encouraged because of the prospective meat shortage especially in other developing countries. according to World bank, (1983) Goats contribute to the human food supply as dairy animals and are often named the (poor man's cow). And are utilized for their milk supply potential , especially for those who live in remote arid and semi-arid areas of the sudan.Although goats , compated to sheep, are lean animals which deposit their fat around their viscera, it is reported that the estimated percentage (72%), of edible meat from the desert goat carcass (Yagoub and Babiker, 2016) is higher than that reported for desert sheep (70%). still much more detailed and extensive investigation are needed to evaluate the efficiency and the economy of meat production from the desert goat under different system management .

1.2 What is phenotypic characterization?

According to (Fadlelmoula, Yousif and Ismail, 2014) The terminology "phenotypic characterization of AnGR" refers to the process of identifying and defining unique breed populations and their exterior and production features within a specific production environment. The concept, however, has been enlarged to encompass the specifics of the manufacturing environment. The phrase "producing environment" is used here to refer to not just the "natural" environment, but also management techniques and animal uses, as well as socio - economic variables like market orientation, niche-marketing prospects, and gender difficulties. In this study, the geographical distribution of breed populations is considered a significant part of phenotypic evaluation. (Chebii *et al.*, 2020) concedes that Molecular genetic

categorization is a set of complementary approaches for deciphering the genetic basis of traits and their patterns of inheritance from one generation to the next, as well as establishing breed links . In essence, AnGR phenotypic and molecular genetic characterization is used in this study to assess and describe genetic variety in these goats as a foundation of planning, improving and management of Sudanese desert goat in the future and also for understanding and production sustainability of using them.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental materials

The animals of this study were collected from three different markets in North Kordofan: Abu Zabad, Tayba, and Mahfour. This area is very popular areas for goat rearing and goat utilization (Figure3.1). The goats were then sent to the University of Bahri's College of Animal Production farm.

Figure 1. Location of the goats used in this study

2.2 Sample and Data Collection

The data of this study was collected randomly from 60 adult female desert goats in the farm of College of Animal Production. The data was divided into two groups; qualitative and quantitative data, the qualitative data included: coat color, head profile, back shape, ear orientation, horn shape, beard, toggle, muzzle, and wattle, while the quantitative data included the body parts measures as described by FAO descriptor tool (2008) such as; body weight; height at withers (HW), calculated as the distance between the anterior ends of the shoulder and the posterior extremities of the pin bone (Appendix 1); body length (BL), calculated as the distance between the anterior ends of the shoulder and the posterior extremities of the pin bone. with the animal standing with its feet placed squarely on the level ground (Appendix 2 ear length (EL) tail length (TL), measured from the base of the tail to the end of the coccygeal vertebrae (Appendix 4); and horn length (HL), measured from the temple of the head to the tip of the horn (Appendix 5); and tail length (TL), measured from the base of the tail to the end of the coccygeal vertebrae (Appendix 6). (Appendix 5) A tailor's measuring tape was used to obtain the length measurements (cm). while the body weight measurement (kg) was done with a scale weight measurement. To avoid between-individual variances, all measurements were taken by the same person.

2.3 Statistical analysis:

All data gathered from this study were entered in Excel Spread Sheets (Ms Excel - 2007) and analyzed using descriptive statistics in order to classify the data into means and percentages.

3.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 qualitative characteristics:

the physical body characteristics of indigenous desert goats discovered in this study. The findings reveal distinct physical differences between and within these desert native goats. Figure 1.1 illustrates the coat colors found in the Sudanese indigenous desert goats. In general, the Mix coat color (Black or White with or without spots or patches) were more frequent (40%), followed by Black color (31.6%), Brown color (18.33%), and white color (10.00%). There were different coat pattern types in Sudanese desert goats, in which predominantly plain (60.33%), and patchy (22.67%), and spots (18.33%), of various colors (Figure 1.2). Ethiopian local goat types were found to have matching coat colors and patterns (Abdalla, Ishag and Ahmed, 2012).

Figure 1.1: Coat colors of Sudanese desert goat in the farm of College of Animal Production, University of Bahri-Sudan.

Figure 1.2: The indigenous Sudanese desert goat's coat pattern.

The high frequency of plain colors in Sudanese Desert Goats could be attributed to inter se mating, which intern could lead to formation of specific ecotypes. This study backs up the findings of (H. *et al.*, 2017), who found that coloration might be an adaptive characteristic or a product of a farmer's choice for a certain coat hue. For example, according to (Rahmatalla *et al.*, 2017), black-colored animals are better adapted to seasonal cold weather or cold nights because the dark pigment allows them to heat up faster than other coat colors. Regarding head profile, most of Sudanese indigenous desert goats have exerted head (nearly 100%) (Figure 1.3), and drop ear form, straight horn shape. About the shape of the animal back, more than 80% of the Sudanese desert goats have a straight back shape (Figure 1.4).

Figure 1.3 (head profile 100%,)

Figure 1.4(back shape 80%)

Also the study confirmed that curved backward horn orientation were (11.6%) and polled horns (3%) (figure1.5)

Figure 1.5 (curved back shape 11.6%, polled horned 3%)

Majority of indigenous Sudanese desert goat had (100%) straight rump shape (**figure 1.6**). Regarding the presence of horn, 97% (figure 1.7) of studied goats were horned. the Horns in animals are thought to aid blood outflow through the cavernous sinus, which serves as a thermal homeostasis control mechanism (El Hag, 2020)

As shown in Figure most of indigenous desert goats in this studied had no **wattle (100%) and** were bearded (71.6%) Despite the various advantages of having wattles and a beard in goats raised in hot and humid conditions. The thermoregulatory effects of beard and wattle, as well as the correlation of these features with reproduction, such as better prolificacy, higher milk yield, larger litter size, fertility index, and conception rate, are among the most notable advantages (Nguluma *et al.*, 2021). of 8.33% were toggled (figure 1.8), which are observed in the farm. Dairy and pygmy goats, as well as Spanish goats, are more likely to have toggles (Navarro-Ríos, etal, 2011).

Figure 1.6 (straight rump 100%,)

Figure 1.7(backward horn 97.67%,)

Figure 1.8: (toggle 8.33, beard 71.6%)

3.2 Quantitative Characteristics

According to (Nicolazzi and Sayre, 2021) The animal's production state and breed features can be roughly described using morphometric data. Table .1 shows the measurement of the quantitative characteristics of Sudanese desert goats. The body weight of adult indigenous Sudanese desert goats varied significantly among studied animals, the heavier body weight was found 40.6kg and the lighter was 16.2 with an average of 24.24 Kg. Regarding heights at withers, the indigenous Sudanese desert goats have average of 66.43 cm height. According to (LENG *et al.*, 2010), body size is an appropriate criterion for categorization because it includes signals about efficiency.

(Knights and Garcia, 1997) categorize tropical goats as large (> 65 cm at the withers), small (51 to 65 cm), or dwarf (less than 50 cm) (2012). Sudanese people had an average body length of 56.98 cm. the average of Horn length was 11.61 cm, it is an important self-defensive mechanism, a sign of their capacity to protect oneself and thrive in the harsh surroundings in which they are raised. The average chest girth of indigenous Sudanese desert goats was 68.45 cm, and longer ears 19.28cm.

Table 1. body Weight, Withers Height, Body Length, Heart Girth, Rump Height, And Tail Length of Sudanese Indigenous Desert Goats

Variables		Indigenous desert goat
Body weight(kg)	Min	16.2
	Mean	24.24
	Max	40.
	Se	0.87
Height at wither (cm)	Min	58
	Mean	66.43
	Max	72
	Se	0.47
Horn length (cm)	Min	7
	Mean	11.61
	Max	21
	Se	0.65
Face length (cm)	Min	9
	Mean	9.88
	Max	11
	Se	0.25
Body length	Min	51
	Mean	56.98
	Max	70
	Se	0.35
Chest length	Min	60
	Mean	68.45
	Max	79
	Se	0.23
Ear length	Min	14
	Mean	19.28
	Max	22
	Se	0.35

Neck length	Min	22
	Mean	26.51
	Max	32
	Se	1.06
Rump length	Mean	14.33
	Min	13
	Max	16
	Se	0.12
Tail length	Mean	13.35
	Max	19
	Min	9
	Se	0.16

Conclusion:

The basic insights into the production system and phenotypic traits are provided in this research. Phenotypic characterization of this study indicated high variation within and between the studied breeds in qualitative and quantitative traits. Sudanese desert goats are on an average is 56.98% this shows considerably higher genitive imprudent for future genetic improvement through selection and breed conservation.

The indigenous goat is a large to medium-sized breed with a varied coat color and pattern (Rahmatalla *et al.*, 2017) and an expended facial profile. straight back shape, drop ear orientation, presence of beard, presence of toggle, and absence of wattle. In spite of the wide variety of quantitative traits observed, traits like height at withers (which are good for adaptation in the hot and humid environment and also have positive impact on performance). Because the breed has been used to the local environment, it is critical to preserve it and use it in future breeding programs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praise is due to Allah, after that I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my thesis super visor Dr Haytham Hago, senior lecture in faculty of animal producton – university of bahri who has consistently inspired me in this study provided me precious suggestions and advice. I have also acquired valuable insights through his instructions. Not only in academic studies but also enthusiasm and vigor in life.

My heartfelt gratitude goes especially to Dean Dr Raja, And Mr Adam Mohamed Adme Abdullah, Mr Tariq Mohamed Badri Aro, for their unconditional support, patience and guidance throughout this study.

I appreciate the labors of goat unit in the farm of college of animal producton,during their assistance during the measurement processing and making available the animals for me .

I am deeply giving my most gratitude and appreciation to all my lecturers and professors who are educated me all the time and not get bored .

Last but not least, I am kindly appreciate my parents, and my relatives, who were always supporting me through this study . It is their love and support that were always encouraged me to stick on to the difficult task of writing the thesis .

REFERENCES

1. Abdalla, S. A., Ishag, I. A. and Ahmed, M. K. A. (2012) 'Goat production system in peri-urban areas of Khartoum state, Sudan', *Scientific Journal of Animal Science*, 1(June 2018), pp. 183–191.
2. Ahmed, T. A. H. (2019) 'Phenotypic and Performance Characteristics of Nubian Goats in the Gezira State, Sudan'. University of Gezira.
3. Alabi, O. O. *et al.* (2019) 'Animal agriculture: A viable tool for rural women empowerment and redemption from poverty', *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology*, 10(2), pp. 2365–2373.
4. Ali, A. M. (2019) 'Prevalence of Tick Infestation in Domestic Ruminants and Associated Risk Factors in Omdurman Locality-Khartoum State-Sudan'. Sudan University of Science and Technology.
5. Chebii, V. J. *et al.* (2020) 'Genome-wide analysis of nubian ibex reveals candidate positively selected genes that contribute to its adaptation to the desert environment', *Animals*, 10(11), pp. 1–14. doi: 10.3390/ani10112181.
6. Escareño, L. *et al.* (2012) 'Dairy goat production systems', *Tropical animal health and production*, 45(1), pp. 17–34.
7. Fadlelmoula, A. A., Yousif, I. and Ismail, A. (2014) 'Genetic and phenotypic parameter estimates of morphometric traits in Sudan desert goats', *Online Journal of Veterinary Research*, 15(2), pp. 106–111.
8. Farrington, D. W. *et al.* (2005) 'Poly (lactic acid) fibers', *Biodegradable and sustainable fibres*, 6, pp. 191–218.
9. H., G. *et al.* (2017) 'Husbandry practices and phenotypic characteristics of indigenous goat populations in Ethiopia', *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 12(36), pp. 2729–2741. doi: 10.5897/ajar2016.11282.
10. El Hag, F. M. (2020) 'Goat Breeding Objectives in Relation To Agroecological Zonation Under Dryland Farming Conditions of North Kordofan, Sudan', *World Journal of Agriculture and Soil Science*, 5(1). doi: 10.33552/wjass.2020.05.000604.
11. Knights, M. and Garcia, G. W. (1997) 'The status and characteristics of the goat (*Capra hircus*) and its potential role as a significant milk producer in the tropics: A review', *Small Ruminant Research*, 26(3), pp. 203–215. doi: 10.1016/S0921-4488(96)00977-7.
12. LENG, J. *et al.* (2010) 'Quantitative and Qualitative Body Traits of Longling

- Yellow Goats in China', *Agricultural Sciences in China*, 9(3), pp. 408–415.
doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1671-2927\(09\)60111-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1671-2927(09)60111-5).
13. Lu, C. D. and Miller, B. A. (2019) 'Current status, challenges and prospects for dairy goat production in the Americas', *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 32(8), p. 1244.
 14. Navarro-Ríos, M. J., Fernández, G. and Perezgrovas, R. (2011) 'Characterization of Majorera goat production systems in the Canary Islands', *Economic, social and environmental sustainability in sheep and goat production systems*, 100, pp. 205–210.
 15. Nguluma, A. S. *et al.* (2021) 'Typology and Characteristics of Indigenous Goats and Production Systems in Different Agro-Ecological Zones of Tanzania', pp. 1–19.
 16. Nicolazzi, E. L. and Sayre, B. L. (2021) 'Assessment of production traits for the different African goat breeds',
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/349203982> *Assessment*, (February), pp. 1–12.
 17. Rahmatalla, S. A. *et al.* (2017) 'Whole genome population genetics analysis of Sudanese goats identifies regions harboring genes associated with major traits', *BMC Genetics*, 18(1). doi: 10.1186/s12863-017-0553-z.
 18. Wilson, R. T. (2018) 'Livestock in the Republic of the Sudan: Policies, production, problems and possibilities', *Animal Husbandry, Dairy and Veterinary Science*, 2(3), pp. 1–12. doi: 10.15761/ahdvs.1000142.
 19. World bank (1983) *Sheep and goats in developing countries: their present and potential role.*, *World Bank Technical Paper*.
 20. Yagoub, Y. M. and Babiker, S. A. (2016) 'A Study on Goat Meat Production in Sudan', 2(3), pp. 21–26.