



Published in final edited form as:

Heart Rhythm. 2020 January ; 17(1): e2–e154. doi:10.1016/j.hrthm.2019.03.002.

2019 HRS/EHRA/APHRS/LAHRs expert consensus statement on catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias

Edmond M. Cronin, MB, BCh, BAO, FHRS, CCDS, CEPS-A¹ [(Chair)], Frank M. Bogun, MD² [(Vice-Chair)], Philippe Maury, MD³ [(EHRA Chair)], Petr Peichl, MD, PhD⁴ [(EHRA Vice-Chair)], Minglong Chen, MD, PhD, FHRS⁵ [(APHRS Chair)], Narayanan Namboodiri, MBBS, MD⁶ [(APHRS Vice-Chair)], Luis Aguinaga, MD, PhD, FESC, FACC⁷ [(LAHRs Chair)], Luiz Roberto Leite, MD, PhD, FHRS⁸ [(LAHRs Vice-Chair)], Sana M. Al-Khatib, MD, MHS, FHRS, CCDS⁹, Elad Anter, MD¹⁰, Antonio Berruezo, MD, PhD^{11,*}, David J. Callans, MD, FHRS, CCDS¹², Mina K. Chung, MD, FHRS^{13,†}, Phillip Cuculich, MD¹⁴, Andre d'Avila, MD, PhD^{15,‡}, Barbara J. Deal, MD, FACC^{16,§}, Paolo Della Bella, MD^{17,*}, Thomas Deneke, MD, PhD, FHRS^{18,*}, Timm-Michael Dickfeld, MD, PhD, FACC, FHRS¹⁹, Claudio Hadid, MD^{20,¶}, Haris M. Haqqani, MBBS, PhD, FHRS^{21,#}, G. Neal Kay, MD, CCDS²², Rakesh Latchamsetty, MD, FHRS², Francis Marchlinski, MD, FHRS¹², John M. Miller, MD, FHRS^{23,†}, Akihiko Nogami, MD, PhD^{24,**}, Akash R. Patel, MD, FHRS, CEPS-P^{25,††}, Rajeev Kumar Pathak, MBBS, PhD, FHRS^{26,#}, Luis C. Saenz Morales, MD^{27,¶}, Pasquale Santangeli, MD, PhD¹², John L. Sapp Jr., MD, FHRS²⁸, Andrea Sarkozy, MD, PhD, FEHRA^{29,*}, Kyoko Soejima, MD^{30,#}, William G. Stevenson, MD, FHRS³¹, Usha B. Tedrow, MD, MS, FHRS³², Wendy S. Tzou, MD, FHRS³³, Niraj Varma, MD, PhD¹³, Katja Zeppenfeld, MD, PhD, FESC, FEHRA^{34,*}

¹Hartford Hospital, Hartford, Connecticut

This article is published under the Creative Commons CC-BY license.

Correspondence: Heart Rhythm Society, 1325GStreet NW, Suite 400, Washington, DC20005. clinicaldocs@hrsonline.org.

*Representative of the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA)

†Representative of the American College of Cardiology (ACC)

‡Representative of the Sociedade Brasileira de Arritmias Cardíacas (SOBRAC)

§Representative of the American Heart Association (AHA)

¶Representative of the Latin American Heart Rhythm Society (LAHRs)

#Representative of the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society (APHRS)

**Representative of the Japanese Heart Rhythm Society (JHRS)

††Representative of the Pediatric and Congenital Electrophysiology Society (PACES)

Developed in partnership with and endorsed by the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society (APHRS), and the Latin American Heart Rhythm Society (LAHRs). Developed in collaboration with and endorsed by the American College of Cardiology (ACC), the American Heart Association (AHA), the Japanese Heart Rhythm Society (JHRS), the Pediatric and Congenital Electrophysiology Society (PACES), and the Sociedade Brasileira de Arritmias Cardíacas (SOBRAC). Endorsed by the Canadian Heart Rhythm Society. For copies of this document, please contact the Elsevier Inc. Reprint Department (reprints@elsevier.com). Permissions: Multiple copies, modification, alteration, enhancement, and/or distribution of this document are not permitted without the express permission of the Heart Rhythm Society. Instructions for obtaining permission are located at <https://www.elsevier.com/about/our-business/policies/copyright/permissions>. This article has been copublished in *Europace* and the *Journal of Arrhythmia*.

Document Reviewers: Samuel J. Asirvatham, MD, FHRS; Eduardo Back Sternick, MD, PhD; Janice Chyou, MD; Sabine Ernst, MD, PhD; Guilherme Fenelon, MD, PhD; Edward P. Gerstenfeld, MD, MS, FACC; Gerhard Hindricks, MD; Koichi Inoue, MD, PhD; Jeffrey J. Kim, MD; Kousik Krishnan, MD, FHRS, FACC; Karl-Heinz Kuck, MD, FHRS; Martin Ortiz Avalos, MD; Thomas Paul, MD, FACC, FHRS; Mauricio I. Scanavacca, MD, PhD; Roderick Tung, MD, FHRS; Jamie Voss, MBChB; Takumi Yamada, MD; Teiichi Yamane, MD, PhD, FHRS

Supplementary Data

Supplementary data (Appendix 3) and interview video associated with this article can be found in the online version at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrthm.2019.03.002>.

- ²University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan
- ³University Hospital Rangueil, Toulouse, France
- ⁴Institute for Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Prague, Czech Republic
- ⁵Jiangsu Province Hospital, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China
- ⁶Sree Chitra Institute for Medical Sciences and Technology, Thiruvananthapuram, India
- ⁷Centro Privado de Cardiología, Tucuman, Argentina
- ⁸Instituto Brasília de Arritmia, Brasília, Brazil
- ⁹Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina
- ¹⁰Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts
- ¹¹Heart Institute, Teknon Medical Center, Barcelona, Spain
- ¹²University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
- ¹³Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio
- ¹⁴Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri
- ¹⁵Hospital Cardiologico SOS Cardio, Florianopolis, Brazil
- ¹⁶Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois
- ¹⁷Ospedale San Raffaele, Milan, Italy
- ¹⁸Herz- und Gefäß-Klinik, Bad Neustadt, Germany
- ¹⁹University of Maryland, Baltimore, Maryland
- ²⁰Hospital General de Agudos Cosme Argerich, Buenos Aires, Argentina
- ²¹University of Queensland, The Prince Charles Hospital, Chermside, Australia
- ²²University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama
- ²³Indiana University School of Medicine, Krannert Institute of Cardiology, Indianapolis, Indiana
- ²⁴University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan
- ²⁵University of California San Francisco Benioff Children's Hospital, San Francisco, California
- ²⁶Australian National University, Canberra Hospital, Canberra, Australia
- ²⁷CardioInfantil Foundation, Cardiac Institute, Bogota, Columbia
- ²⁸Queen Elizabeth II Health Sciences Centre, Halifax, Canada
- ²⁹University Hospital Antwerp, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium
- ³⁰Kyorin University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan
- ³¹Vanderbilt University Heart and Vascular Center, Nashville, Tennessee
- ³²Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts

³³University of Colorado Denver, Aurora, Colorado

³⁴Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

Abstract

Ventricular arrhythmias are an important cause of morbidity and mortality and come in a variety of forms, from single premature ventricular complexes to sustained ventricular tachycardia and fibrillation. Rapid developments have taken place over the past decade in our understanding of these arrhythmias and in our ability to diagnose and treat them. The field of catheter ablation has progressed with the development of new methods and tools, and with the publication of large clinical trials. Therefore, global cardiac electrophysiology professional societies undertook to outline recommendations and best practices for these procedures in a document that will update and replace the 2009 *EHRA/HRS Expert Consensus on Catheter Ablation of Ventricular Arrhythmias*. An expert writing group, after reviewing and discussing the literature, including a systematic review and meta-analysis published in conjunction with this document, and drawing on their own experience, drafted and voted on recommendations and summarized current knowledge and practice in the field. Each recommendation is presented in knowledge byte format and is accompanied by supportive text and references. Further sections provide a practical synopsis of the various techniques and of the specific ventricular arrhythmia sites and substrates encountered in the electrophysiology lab. The purpose of this document is to help electrophysiologists around the world to appropriately select patients for catheter ablation, to perform procedures in a safe and efficacious manner, and to provide follow-up and adjunctive care in order to obtain the best possible outcomes for patients with ventricular arrhythmias.

Keywords

Catheter ablation; Clinical document; Electrical storm; Electroanatomical mapping; Electrocardiogram; Expert consensus statement; Imaging; Premature ventricular complex; Radiofrequency ablation; Ventricular arrhythmia; Ventricular tachycardia

Section 1 Introduction

1.1. Document Scope and Rationale

The field of electrophysiology has undergone rapid progress in the last decade, with advances both in our understanding of the genesis of ventricular arrhythmias (VAs) and in the technology used to treat them. In 2009, a joint task force of the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) and the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS), in collaboration with the American College of Cardiology (ACC) and the American Heart Association (AHA), produced an expert consensus document that outlined the state of the field and defined the indications, techniques, and outcome measures of VA ablation (S1.1.1). In light of advances in the treatment of VAs in the interim, and the growth in the number of VA ablations performed in many countries and regions (S1.1.2, S1.1.3), an updated document is needed. This effort represents a worldwide partnership between transnational cardiac electrophysiology societies, namely, HRS, EHRA, the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society (APHRS), and the Latin American Heart Rhythm Society (LAHRS), and collaboration with

ACC, AHA, the Japanese Heart Rhythm Society (JHRS), the Brazilian Society of Cardiac Arrhythmias (Sociedade Brasileira de Arritmias Cardíacas [SOBRAC]), and the Pediatric and Congenital Electrophysiology Society (PACES). The consensus statement was also endorsed by the Canadian Heart Rhythm Society (CHRS).

This clinical document is intended to supplement, not replace, the *2017 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for Management of Patients with Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death (S1.1.4)* and the *2015 ESC Guidelines for the Management of Patients with Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death (S1.1.5)*. The scope of the current document relates to ablation therapy for VAs, from premature ventricular complexes (PVCs) to monomorphic and polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (VT) and triggers of ventricular fibrillation (VF). Due to its narrower scope, the consensus statement delves into greater detail with regard to indications and technical aspects of VA ablation than the above-mentioned guidelines.

Where possible, the recommendations in this document are evidence based. It is intended to set reasonable standards that can be applicable worldwide, while recognizing the different resources, technological availability, disease prevalence, and health care delivery logistics in various parts of the world. In addition, parts of this document, particularly Section 9, present a practical guide on how to accomplish the procedures described in a manner that reflects the current standard of care, while recognizing that some procedures are better performed, and some disease states better managed, in settings in which there is specific expertise.

1.2. Methods

The writing group was selected according to each society's procedures, including content and methodology experts representing the following organizations: HRS, EHRA, APHRS, LAHRS, ACC, AHA, JHRS, PACES, and SOBRAC. Each partner society nominated a chair and co-chair, who did not have relevant relationships with industry and other entities (RWIs). In accordance with HRS policies, disclosure of any RWIs was required from the writing committee members (Appendix 1) and from all peer reviewers (Appendix 2). Of the 38 committee members, 17 (45%) had no relevant RWIs. Recommendations were drafted by the members who did not have relevant RWIs. Members of the writing group conducted comprehensive literature searches of electronic databases, including Medline (via PubMed), Embase, and the Cochrane Library. Evidence tables were constructed to summarize the retrieved studies, with nonrandomized observational designs representing the predominant form of evidence (Appendix 3). Case reports were not used to support recommendations. Supportive text was drafted in the "knowledge byte" format for each recommendation. The writing committee discussed all recommendations and the evidence that informed them before voting. Initial failure to reach consensus was resolved by subsequent discussions, revisions as needed, and re-voting. Although the consensus threshold was set at 67%, all recommendations were approved by at least 80% of the writing committee members. The mean consensus over all recommendations was 95%. A quorum of two-thirds of the writing committee was met for all votes (S1.2.1).

Each recommendation in this document was assigned a Class of Recommendation (COR) and a Level of Evidence (LOE) according to the system developed by ACC and AHA

(Table 1) (S1.2.2). The COR denotes the strength of the recommendation based on a careful assessment of the estimated benefits and risks; COR I indicates that the benefit of an intervention far exceeds its risk; COR IIa indicates that the benefit of the intervention moderately exceeds the risk; COR IIb indicates that the benefit may not exceed the risk; and COR III indicates that the benefit is equivalent to or is exceeded by the risk. The LOE reflects the quality of the evidence that supports the recommendation. LOE A is derived from high-quality randomized controlled trials (RCTs); LOE B-R is derived from moderate-quality RCTs; LOE B-NR is derived from well-designed nonrandomized studies; LOE C-LD is derived from randomized or nonrandomized studies with limitations of design or execution; and LOE C-EO indicates that a recommendation was based on expert opinion (S1.2.2).

Unique to this consensus statement is the systematic review commissioned specifically for this document as part of HRS's efforts to adopt the rigorous methodology required for guideline development. The systematic review was performed by an experienced evidence-based practice committee based at the University of Connecticut, which examined the question of VT ablation vs control in patients with VT and ischemic heart disease (IHD) (S1.2.3). The question, in PICOT format, was as follows: In adults with history of sustained VT and IHD, what is the effectiveness and what are the detriments of catheter ablation compared with other interventions? Components of the PICOT were as follows: P = adults with history of sustained VT and IHD; I = catheter ablation; C = control (no therapy or antiarrhythmic drug [AAD]); O = outcomes of interest, which included 1) appropriate implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) therapies (ICD shock or antitachycardia pacing [ATP]), 2) appropriate ICD shocks, 3) VT storm (defined as three shocks within 24 hours), 4) recurrent VT/VF, 5) cardiac hospitalizations, and 6) all-cause mortality; and T = no time restrictions.

An industry forum was conducted to achieve a structured dialogue to address technical questions and to gain a better understanding of future directions and challenges. Because of the potential for actual or perceived bias, HRS imposes strict parameters on information sharing to ensure that industry participates only in an advisory capacity and has no role in either the writing of the document or its review.

The draft document underwent review by the HRS Scientific and Clinical Documents Committee and was approved by the writing committee. Recommendations were subject to a period of public comment, and the entire document underwent rigorous peer review by each of the participating societies and revision by the Chairs, before endorsement.

Section 2 Background

2.1. History of Ventricular Arrhythmia Ablation

In 1959, Couch (S2.1.1) reported the elimination of VT with the resection of a postinfarction left ventricular (LV) aneurysm. In the early to mid-1970s, standard LV aneurysmectomy was performed for patients with preoperative VT episodes in the setting of prior infarction. Unfortunately, the operative mortality rates were high and VT recurrences were frequent (S2.1.2). Endocardial encircling ventriculotomy, introduced by Guiraudon et al. (S2.1.3),

was designed to isolate the arrhythmogenic tissue from the remainder of the ventricle by creating a nearly transmural incision through the edge of the border zone, sparing only the epicardium. This operation was associated with marked postoperative LV dysfunction, likely due to interference with coronary arterial blood supply. Guiraudon et al. (S2.1.4) also attempted to disarticulate the right ventricular (RV) free wall from the rest of the ventricles in patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC). Although the surgery was successful in isolating the arrhythmogenic RV free wall and in producing interesting 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) recordings of sinus rhythm simultaneous with persistent sustained VT in the same patient, most patients ultimately did poorly because of progressive RV failure. In the late 1970s, Josephson et al. (S2.1.5) developed the technique of map-guided subendocardial resection. This procedure was based on the observation that diastolic or presystolic electrical activation could be recorded during VT on the endocardium near or within the border between the densely scarred aneurysm or infarct and more normal muscle (S2.1.6–S2.1.8). The surgical procedure thus targeted areas specified by mapping. As originally practiced, subendocardial resection removed segments of endocardium approximately 3 mm thick and 5 cm² (S2.1.5). These areas were almost always within regions of visibly scarred endocardium, extending from the edge of a densely scarred aneurysm. As the procedure evolved, a more extensive area of resection was typically performed because of the ease of defining a single plane of resection with the goal of eliminating other arrhythmogenic areas within the visual scar. Adjunctive cryoablation was applied to locations that were not easily resected, such as the papillary muscles or the deep myocardial layers beneath the removed subendocardium when VT was localized to these regions. Cryoablation targeting an isthmus of surviving myocardium between a more densely scarred inferior infarction and the mitral annulus improved the outcome of VT ablation associated with this substrate (S2.1.9). More extensive cryoablation of the entire visually scarred endocardial surface was also used with some success (S2.1.10). Although success rates approached 90% with surgery in terms of VT elimination, a mortality rate of 5%–15% limited the procedure to a few select patients (S2.1.11).

In 1983, endocardial catheter ablation of VT using direct current energy electrical shock delivered via the distal electrode of a standard quadripolar endocardial catheter positioned in the area to be modified was first described by Hartzler (S2.1.12). One of the largest early studies was by Fontaine et al. (S2.1.13), who referred to direct current shock ablation as fulguration and reported their results in 43 patients. One to 8 R-wave-synchronous shocks of preselected energy ranging from 160 to 320 joules were delivered per session, with 23 patients undergoing at least one repeat procedure. Of note, a success rate of 87% in preventing VT recurrence was achieved, and no deaths were thought to be related to the endocardial shock itself. Strategies for analyzing the 12-lead ECG during VT and pace mapping to mimic the QRS of VT were first described in the early 1980s to help to regionalize areas of interest for more detailed activation mapping for surgical or early catheter-based VT ablation (S2.1.14–S2.1.16).

Concern about barotrauma and the need for general anesthesia with direct current shock ablation led to the use of radiofrequency (RF) energy for catheter ablation for all arrhythmias, including VT, by the end of the 1980s (S2.1.17,S2.1.18). The safety and short-term effectiveness of RF catheter ablation for VT occurring either in the absence or presence

of structural heart disease (SHD) was first reported in observational reports by Klein et al. (S2.1.19) and Morady et al. (S2.1.20), respectively. Activation mapping to identify diastolic activation coupled with entrainment mapping techniques to identify critical components of the VT circuit ultimately proved most useful to define a critical isthmus through which a VT circuit must pass. This isthmus identification allowed for successful targeted ablation using RF ablation techniques for hemodynamically tolerated VT (S2.1.21–S2.1.25). Using both computer simulations and catheter mapping of stable VT in humans, Stevenson et al. (S2.1.22) elucidated a schematic model of the postinfarction VT circuit that endures.

Unfortunately, detailed activation and entrainment mapping is not always feasible when VT is hemodynamically poorly tolerated (S2.1.26,S2.1.27). A successful substrate-based ablation strategy that did not require detailed mapping of VT was first described by Marchlinski et al. (S2.1.28,S2.1.29). Linear ablation created by sequential point lesions transected the border zone, extending into the region of dense infarction defined by detailed bipolar voltage mapping with a color-coded display on a three-dimensional (3D) mapping system. The mapping system facilitated the ability to track lesion deployment. The location of the ablation line was guided by analyzing the 12-lead QRS of VT and by pace mapping to mimic the QRS complex. Subsequent substrate-based VT targets, which were reported to be effective surrogates of the VT circuit, included 1) late potentials (LPs); 2) channels defined by high voltage surrounded by lower voltage or by areas of pace capture surrounded by myocardium that could not be captured at 10 mA pacing output; 3) local abnormal ventricular activity (LAVA) that could demonstrate more abnormality with pacing; 4) paced map QRS morphologies that matched VT and demonstrated a long stimulus to QRS duration; and 5) regions in which pace mapping demonstrates abrupt transition in paced QRS morphologies (S2.1.30–S2.1.41). More recently, isolation of abnormal myocardium demonstrating critical components of the VT circuit or extensive direct ablation of all low-voltage areas have been reported as successful techniques for possibly improving substrate-based ablation outcome (S2.1.42,S2.1.43). The integration of anatomical imaging of ventricular myocardial scar by computed tomography (CT) or cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) with electroanatomical mapping (EAM) has further contributed to the ability to recognize and eliminate disrupted and potentially slowly conducting regions of myocardium that are critical to the maintenance of VT.

The documentation of basal, perivalvular, low-voltage scar serving as the substrate for VT in nonischemic LV and RV cardiomyopathy focused attention on these regions for VT localization (S2.1.44–S2.1.46). The basal involvement frequently included the septum, and not uncommonly the substrate was intramurally located in the septum or midmyocardial with epicardial extension if located in the free wall (S2.1.47–S2.1.49).

The percutaneous technique for accessing the pericardial space to allow mapping of the epicardium as described by Sosa et al. (S2.1.50) provided the opportunity to define the epicardial substrate in patients with SHD. Epicardial mapping and ablation proved particularly valuable in patients with nonischemic RV and LV cardiomyopathy, where the predominant substrate and VT circuits are frequently located (S2.1.51–S2.1.56). Endocardial unipolar voltage mapping helped to identify the probable epicardial substrate when normal endocardial bipolar voltage was demonstrated in patients with VT and nonischemic

cardiomyopathy (NICM) (S2.1.57,S2.1.58). The value of epicardial mapping and ablation in select patients with postinfarction and idiopathic VT has also been demonstrated (S2.1.59–S2.1.61).

In an attempt to overcome the biophysical limitations of lesion formation in scar, irrigated ablation for VT was introduced with closed-loop, internal irrigation in the late 1990s (S2.1.62). This was followed by reports of even more extensive experience with open irrigated catheter ablation (S2.1.63,S2.1.64). More recently, techniques have been described to further enhance lesion formation in scar and/or deep to the endocardium, including alcohol infusion in the coronary arteries or coronary veins; bipolar and simultaneous unipolar ablation at both endocardial and epicardial sites; ablation with near freezing saline; half normal saline as the irrigant; and needle electrode ablation (S2.1.65–S2.1.70). Simultaneously, small, multipolar electrode recording techniques have been proven to further enhance the accuracy of activation and entrainment mapping (S2.1.71–S2.1.73).

Idiopathic VT ablation with RF ablation also evolved from the initial catheter ablation experience. The most common anatomical sites of origin of frequent PVCs and VF triggers were described (S2.1.74). Twelve-lead ECG QRS assessment provided reasonably precise characterization of origin for these focal arrhythmias occurring in the absence of SHD, with an emphasis on clues to identify left versus RV outflow tract (RVOT) origin and epicardial origin (S2.1.75). New techniques to overcome the challenges of idiopathic VT ablation associated with the sinuses of Valsalva (SV), the coronary venous system, the LV summit, and papillary muscle arrhythmias have been described (S2.1.76–S2.1.79). The importance of PVC-induced cardiomyopathy has been recognized, and the potential for improvement in LV function with ablation has been demonstrated (S2.1.80,S2.1.81).

Of note, this brief historical summary of VT ablation provides only an overview. There have been many important contributions related to VT ablation, the details of which will be further highlighted elsewhere in this document.

2.2. Mechanisms of Ventricular Arrhythmia

2.2.1. Mechanisms and Basis for Catheter Ablation of Ventricular

Tachycardia—Catheter ablation has an important role in reducing or preventing VAs both in patients with heart disease and in those with idiopathic VTs not associated with SHD. The approach to ablation and the efficacy are determined by the characteristics of the arrhythmia and the anatomy and location of the arrhythmia substrate, which can often be anticipated from the ECG of the VT and the nature of any underlying heart disease. Focal VTs are susceptible to ablation with discrete RF lesions (S2.2.4.1–S2.2.4.8). Relatively large scar substrates requiring more extensive ablation are common in VT associated with SHD; however, VT origin can appear focal if the reentry circuit is small, or if it is due to a focal endocardial breakthrough from an epicardial or intramural reentry circuit. Automatic VTs can also occur in some patients with SHD and ventricular scars.

Focal VT has a point source of earliest ventricular activation with a spread of activation away in all directions from that site. The mechanism can be automaticity, triggered activity, or microreentry. Focal origin arrhythmias should be particularly suspected in patients

without SHD who have repetitive monomorphic and nonsustained VTs and PVCs or who have sustained VT from the outflow tract (OT) and other more stereotypical sites of origin (S2.2.4.6–S2.2.4.8). A focal origin is confirmed by mapping that shows spread of activation away in all directions from the site of earliest activation relative to the QRS onset. Unipolar unfiltered (or minimally high pass filtered at 0.5 Hz) electrograms typically display a QS configuration at the site of origin (SOO) (S2.2.4.9,S2.2.4.10). Pacing at the origin will replicate the VT/PVC QRS morphology if the origin is on the surface; however, matching pace maps are frequently found within 1 cm of the site of earliest activation. Pace mapping is particularly unreliable for VTs originating from the aortic sinuses (S2.2.4.11).

2.2.2. Triggered Activity and Automaticity—Triggered activity arises from oscillations in membrane potential during (early afterdepolarizations) or following (delayed afterdepolarizations) an action potential and can give rise to focal VA. Experimental evidence implicates early afterdepolarizations in the initiation of polymorphic tachycardias in long QT syndromes (S2.2.4.12). However, the mechanism of the premature ventricular beats targeted for ablation in these syndromes is unknown (S2.2.4.13).

Delayed afterdepolarizations can be caused by intracellular calcium overload, which activates the $\text{Na}^+/\text{Ca}^{2+}$ exchanger, resulting in the transient inward current I_{ti} (S2.2.4.14). Factors that increase intracellular calcium include increases in heart rate, beta-adrenergic stimulation, and digitalis. Beta-adrenergic effects are mediated through a cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP)-induced increase in intracellular calcium and are antagonized by adenosine, which effects a decrease in cAMP. Termination of idiopathic RVOT tachycardias by an intravenous bolus of adenosine, by infusion of calcium channel blockers, or by vagotonic maneuvers is consistent with triggered activity as the likely mechanism for some of these tachycardias (S2.2.4.3). These tachycardias can be difficult to induce at electrophysiology testing; rapid burst pacing and/or isoproterenol infusion is often required. Aminophylline, calcium infusion, and atropine can also be useful (S2.2.4.2).

Less commonly, focal VT can be due to automaticity provoked by adrenergic stimulation that is not triggered (S2.2.4.2,S2.2.4.14). This type of VT can become incessant under stress or during isoproterenol administration, and it cannot be initiated or terminated by programmed electrical stimulation (PES); however, it can sometimes be suppressed by calcium channel blockers or beta blockers. In contrast to its effects on triggered RVOT tachycardia, adenosine transiently suppresses, but does not terminate, the arrhythmia. Automaticity from damaged Purkinje fibers has been suggested as a mechanism for some catecholamine-sensitive, focal origin VTs (S2.2.4.15,S2.2.4.16). Whether these VTs are due to abnormal automaticity, originating from partially depolarized myocytes, as has been shown for VTs during the early phase of myocardial infarction (MI), is not clear (S2.2.4.17).

Although automaticity is frequently considered as a mechanism of VT in the absence of overt SHD, disease processes that diminish cell-to-cell coupling are likely to facilitate automaticity (S2.2.4.18). Automatic VTs can occur in SHD, and automatic premature beats can initiate reentrant VTs.

2.2.3. Scar-Related Reentry—Scar-related reentry is the most common cause of sustained monomorphic VT in the presence of SHD (S2.2.4.19). Evidence supporting reentry includes initiation and termination by programmed stimulation (although this does not exclude triggered activity), demonstrable entrainment or resetting with fusion, and continuous electrical activity that cannot be dissociated from VT by extrastimuli (S2.2.4.20,S2.2.4.21). Prior MI is the most common cause of the substrate, but scar-related VT also occurs in other myocardial diseases, including ARVC, sarcoidosis, Chagas disease (ChD), dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) including laminopathies, and after cardiac surgery for congenital heart disease (CHD) (particularly, tetralogy of Fallot) or valve replacement (S2.2.4.22–S2.2.4.28).

Regions of fibrosis with surviving myocyte bundles create fixed and/or functional conduction block and disrupted or slow conduction that are the substrate for reentry. Stable circuits can be modeled as having an isthmus or channel comprised of a small mass of tissue that does not contribute to the surface ECG. QRS onset occurs when the excitation wave front emerges from an exit along the border of the scar and spreads across the ventricles (S2.2.4.29,S2.2.4.30). Scars associated with VT are often close to a valve annulus and together can form the borders of the isthmus of a VT circuit (S2.2.4.31,S2.2.4.32). The 3D structure of the reentry circuit and substrate can be subendocardial, intramural, or subepicardial, or it can span the width of the entire ventricular wall (S2.2.4.33,S2.2.4.34). The entire circuit or only a portion of it might be accessible to ablation.

The substrate supporting scar-related reentry is characterized by 1) regions of slow conduction; 2) unidirectional conduction block at some point in the reentry path that allows initiation of reentry; and 3) areas of conduction block that often define parts of the reentry path. Some of the substrate might exhibit functional rather than fixed conduction block (S2.2.4.35–S2.2.4.37). VT after MI has been extensively studied in canine models and in humans (S2.2.4.35,S2.2.4.38). Reentry occurs through surviving muscle bundles, commonly located in the subendocardium; however, this can also occur in the midmyocardium and epicardium. Evidence has shown ongoing ion channel remodeling within scar, at least early after MI, resulting in regional reductions in ionized sodium and ionized calcium currents (S2.2.4.39), although action potential characteristics of surviving myocytes late after infarction can be normal or near normal (S2.2.4.38). Coupling between myocyte bundles and myocytes is reduced by increased collagen and connective tissue, diminished gap junction density, and alterations in gap junction distribution, composition, and function (S2.2.4.40). Surviving fibers can be connected by side to side connections in regions where the collagenous sheaths are interrupted, resulting in a “zig-zag” pattern of transverse conduction along a pathway lengthened by branching and merging bundles of surviving myocytes (S2.2.4.41). The fibrosis pattern might be important in determining the degree of conduction delay; patchy fibrosis between strands of surviving muscle produces greater delay than diffuse fibrosis (S2.2.4.36). These aspects of scar remodeling contribute to the formation of channels and regions in which conduction time is prolonged, facilitating reentry (S2.2.4.42).

Unidirectional conduction block can occur after a properly timed PVC and is often functional (S2.2.4.35,S2.2.4.43,S2.2.4.44); it can present only during tachycardia, when the

refractory period of the tissue exceeds the tachycardia cycle length (CL) or is maintained by collision of excitation waves. Regions of conduction block can also be anatomically fixed such that they are present during tachycardia and sinus rhythm; dense, nonexcitable fibrosis, calcifications, surgical scars, or valve annuli create these types of anatomical boundaries for reentry (S2.2.4.31,S2.2.4.32,S2.2.4.45). Multiple VTs with various QRS morphologies can be due to multiple exits from the same region of scar, or to changes in activation remote from the circuit due to functional regions of block. Ablation at one region can abolish more than one VT. Multiple reentry circuits from widely separated areas also occur.

It is possible that other reentry mechanisms cause some VTs. Spiral wave reentry can be induced in excitable tissue in the absence of tissue discontinuities and could cause VF or polymorphic VT (S2.2.4.46); anchoring to a discontinuity or to a region of slow conduction could theoretically cause monomorphic VT (S2.2.4.47).

2.2.4. Reentry in the Purkinje System and Ventricular Fibrillation—Reentry within the Purkinje fibers and the specialized conduction system is a particular form of reentry and is covered in detail in Section 9.4. Other nonreentrant arrhythmias involving the Purkinje system can also occur, including VF and automatic rhythms (S2.2.4.16,S2.2.4.48–S2.2.4.50). PVCs initiating VF most often originate from the Purkinje fiber system. Structural abnormalities in the vicinity of the Purkinje fibers are frequently present and facilitate the anchoring of reentry (S2.2.4.51). However, even in the absence of detectable structural alterations, VF can be initiated by PVCs from the Purkinje fiber system (S2.2.4.52) and can be maintained in the complex fiber interaction between Purkinje and myocardial fibers located in the papillary muscles (S2.2.4.53). The latter situation can be operative in some patients who have idiopathic VF, in whom no structural abnormalities can be detected with current technology. Some structural abnormalities, however, have also recently been described in patients with idiopathic VF, when high-density mapping is performed during sinus rhythm revealing abnormal electrograms in a confined area located in the epicardium (S2.2.4.54). This potential substrate, although not usually detected by imaging, was reported to colocalize with areas where VF drivers were identified by mapping. Interestingly, in most of these patients with idiopathic VF, VF was still triggered by PVCs originating from the Purkinje fiber system (S2.2.4.54).

2.3. Definitions

The previous EHRA/HRS expert consensus on catheter ablation of VA in 2009 proposed several definitions to standardize nomenclature in the field (S2.3.1). The current consensus statement repeats the majority of these recommendations for VT ablation. In the last 10 years, knowledge and experience of PVC ablations have significantly increased. In the current report, new proposals are made to facilitate understanding of clinical characteristics and reporting of the ablation outcomes of these arrhythmias (Table 2). Note that different cutoff rates for VT and (accelerated) idioventricular rhythm could be appropriate for children, who have a higher resting sinus rate than adults: the mechanism, symptoms, and clinical setting of the VA are more important than the rate (S2.3.2).

2.4. Standard Anatomical Terminology

The following are the suggested anatomical terminology for use in the description of catheter ablation of VA (Table 3). While these generally represent the most commonly used terms, the writing committee recognizes that several variants or alternatives are in use and may also be valid.

Section 3 Clinical Evaluation

3.1. Clinical Presentation

Recommendation for clinical evaluation of patients with VAs

COR	LOE	Recommendation
I	C-EO	1. A careful clinical evaluation including history, physical examination, review of available cardiac rhythm data, prior imaging, and relevant laboratory workup should be performed in patients presenting with VAs.

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text—1. History should identify the onset, duration, frequency, and trigger of any symptoms and should include medication use as well as comorbidities and family history. Available cardiac rhythm data include interrogation of cardiovascular implantable electronic devices (CIEDs) to assess arrhythmia burden, morphologies, and duration as well as treatment. Electrogram storage may be programmed to include far- and near-field electrograms to allow superior assessment of VA morphologies. The laboratory workup should be individualized to the patient’s presentation and may include electrolytes, troponin, brain natriuretic peptide, genetic testing, or drug screening as appropriate.

Synopsis—The clinical presentations of patients with VAs encompass a wide spectrum, ranging from asymptomatic to VT/VF storm or sudden cardiac death (S3.1.1).

Presenting symptoms can be classified into 5 groups: due to the VA itself (eg, PVCs, VT or VF); due to a secondary disease caused by the VAs (eg, PVC-induced cardiomyopathy); due to an underlying pathology associated with the VAs (eg, ischemia); due to ICD therapy; and a combination of these causes.

Idiopathic VA is frequently asymptomatic, especially when presenting as PVCs or nonsustained VT. In those cases, VAs are commonly detected coincidentally during routine exams. If symptomatic, symptoms can often be secondary to post-PVC augmentation of contractility or a post-PVC compensatory pause, and commonly consist of palpitations, dizziness, shortness of breath, fatigue, or chest discomfort. With increasing duration or VA rate (eg, VT or VF), hemodynamic compromise can result in more severe symptoms, such as pre-syncope, syncope, or even sudden cardiac death (S3.1.1,S3.1.2).

Secondary diseases caused by VA include PVC-induced cardiomyopathy, which can present with typical symptoms of heart failure and reduced ejection fraction (EF) (S3.1.3, S3.1.4). If PVCs are asymptomatic, the diagnosis is commonly made by a routine physical exam and is confirmed by a 12-lead ECG.

Underlying pathologies resulting in VA are numerous and include ischemia (S3.1.5); cardiomyopathy (S3.1.6); genetic diseases (eg, inherited arrhythmia syndromes) (S3.1.7); hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) (S3.1.8); ARVC (S3.1.9); CHD (S3.1.10); infiltrative, inflammatory, or infectious diseases (S3.1.11); and correctible causes, such as electrolyte abnormalities or medication adverse effects (S3.1.12). If VAs themselves are asymptomatic, the presenting symptoms will mostly depend on the underlying pathology and might include chest pain, heart failure, dizziness, syncope, and sudden cardiac death. A careful history and physical exam with a review of the family history, ECG, imaging, and laboratory data (S3.1.13) will direct diagnosis and specific treatment (eg, immunosuppression in cardiac sarcoidosis) (S3.1.11). If inherited arrhythmia syndromes are suspected (eg, long QT syndrome), genetic testing should be considered (S3.1.7).

ICD therapy including shocks is an increasingly common presentation of VAs in patients with CIEDs, and appropriate ICD therapy occurs in the first year in .50% of patients with secondary and approximately 5% of patients with primary prevention ICDs (S3.1.14,S3.1.15).

Combined presentations of those scenarios are common, such as worsening heart failure status with increased arrhythmias burden (S3.1.3,S3.1.4) or acute MI presenting with sudden cardiac death as a manifestation of the VAs (S3.1.5).

Given that presenting symptoms of VA vary widely, careful documentation and correlation of the specific arrhythmia (ECG, telemetry, Holter or event monitor, electrograms) with the presenting symptoms is important to guide further workup and therapy. Symptoms commonly attributed to VA (eg, palpitations, dizziness, chest pain, syncope) are nonspecific and can either be due to other arrhythmias (S3.1.16) (eg, supraventricular tachycardia [SVT] or bradycardia), other cardiac diseases, noncardiac conditions, anxiety, or have no clear identifiable cause (S3.1.17).

3.2. Diagnostic Evaluation

3.2.1. Resting 12-Lead Electrocardiogram

Recommendations for resting 12-lead ECG

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	B-NR	1. In patients with wide complex tachycardia, a 12-lead ECG during tachycardia should be obtained whenever possible.	S3.2.1.1–S3.2.1.15
I	B-NR	2. In patients with suspected or documented VA, a 12-lead ECG should be obtained in sinus rhythm to look for evidence of underlying heart disease.	S3.2.1.16

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. A 12-lead ECG during tachycardia is the first diagnostic test that should be performed for any patient with a stable, wide, QRS complex tachycardia to differentiate VT from SVT prior to attempts to terminate the tachycardia. Criteria that support a diagnosis of VT include AV dissociation, a QRS complex >0.14 seconds, monophasic R wave in aVR, positively or negatively concordant QRS

complexes in the precordial leads, the absence of an RS complex in all precordial leads, and an RS interval >100 ms in at least 1 precordial lead (S3.2.1.1–S3.2.1.3). For patients with preexisting bundle branch block, comparison of the QRS morphology during sinus rhythm with QRS morphology during wide complex tachycardia is important. Various QRS morphologies (eg, bundle branch block pattern) strongly support the diagnosis of VT. An identical QRS complex during sinus rhythm and broad QRS tachycardia, however, does not rule out the presence of bundle branch reentry (BBR) tachycardia. Patients without SHD can present with idiopathic VT (eg, fascicular VT) that can be easily recognized by 12-lead ECG (S3.2.1.4). For nonsustained VAs (PVCs or nonsustained VT), the 12-lead QRS morphology is critical to allow for identification of the SOO. Idiopathic VAs (eg, right and left OT VAs, PVCs from the aortic SV, papillary muscle VAs) can be recognized, given they exhibit characteristic ECG patterns (see Section 5.2) (S3.2.1.5–S3.2.1.10).

2. A 12-lead ECG during sinus rhythm is helpful to evaluate the presence of underlying heart disease and might be a clue for scar location and origins of related VAs, such as inferior wall or anterior wall Q waves. An inherited arrhythmia disorder can also be identified, such as ARVC (epsilon waves and/or inverted T waves in right precordial leads), long QT syndrome, Brugada syndrome (coved-type ST-segment elevation in the right precordial leads), and ChD (right bundle branch block [RBBB] and/or left anterior hemiblock) (S3.2.1.17). In patients with SHD, QRS duration and the presence of conduction abnormalities might provide additional prognostic information (S3.2.1.18–S3.2.1.23).

3.2.2. Assessment of Structural Heart Disease and Myocardial Ischemia

Recommendations for assessment of SHD and myocardial ischemia

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	B-NR	1. In patients with known or suspected VA, echocardiography is recommended for evaluation of cardiac structure and function.	S3.2.2.1–S3.2.2.2
IIa	B-NR	2. In patients presenting with VA who are suspected of having SHD, even after normal echocardiographic evaluation, advanced cardiac imaging can be useful to detect and characterize underlying SHD.	S3.2.2.3–S3.2.2.7
IIa	C-EO	3. In patients with VA in whom myocardial ischemia is suspected, stress testing and/or coronary angiography and subsequent revascularization can be beneficial before catheter ablation to avoid significant ischemia during induced VT.	
III: No Benefit	B-NR	4. In patients presenting with monomorphic VT, revascularization alone is not effective to prevent VT recurrence.	S3.2.2.8–S3.2.2.10

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. Assessment of global and regional myocardial function, valvular structure and function, along with testing for adult CHD is required in patients with or at high risk for VA or sudden cardiac death. Echocardiography is the most readily available and commonly used imaging technique (S3.2.2.1, S3.2.2.2). Accurate assessment of LV ejection fraction (LVEF) using CMR is hindered by the

presence of frequent PVCs (S3.2.2.11). In these patients, echocardiographic evaluation of LVEF may be superior to CMR.

2. Advanced cardiac imaging, such as cardiac CT, CMR, and fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (PET), is useful for the evaluation of SHD and assessment of LV and RV function (S3.2.2.3–S3.2.2.7). CMR with assessment of late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) is the gold standard technique for determination of location and the extent of scarring. This information has implications for planning the ablation strategy (see Section 5.4) (S3.2.2.7,S3.2.2.12). The use of this imaging technique is limited in some patients with CIEDs (S3.2.2.13) (see Section 5.4). Additional myocardial inflammation or infiltrative diseases can be detected with CMR or fluorodeoxyglucose PET (S3.2.2.14).
3. Transient myocardial ischemia is a known cause of polymorphic rather than monomorphic sustained VT. Monomorphic VT in the setting of prior MI is typically due to scar-related reentry and not due to acute ischemia. For patients suspected to have myocardial ischemia, stress testing and/or coronary angiography and subsequent revascularization should be performed when possible before catheter ablation to avoid significant ischemia during VT induction, mapping, and ablation.
4. Revascularization alone is unlikely to reduce the recurrence of monomorphic VT (S3.2.2.8–S3.2.2.10). However, revascularization might be beneficial in patients with IHD and VF, polymorphic VT, or exercise-induced arrhythmias associated with ischemia (S3.2.2.15). Revascularization for prognostic indications may also be indicated.

3.2.3. Risk Stratification in the Setting of Frequent Premature Ventricular Complexes

Recommendations for CMR in patients with frequent PVCs and for PES in patients with SHD and frequent PVCs

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
IIa	B-NR	1. CMR can be useful for risk stratification for sudden cardiac death in patients with frequent PVCs.	S3.2.3.1,S3.2.3.2
IIa	C-LD	2. PES can be useful for risk stratification for sudden cardiac death in patients with SHD undergoing ablation of frequent PVCs.	S3.2.3.2

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. CMR has been reported to identify patients at increased risk for adverse outcomes in the presence of frequent PVCs (S3.2.3.1,S3.2.3.2). One study assessed the value of CMR in an Italian patient population with frequent LBBB PVCs (S3.2.3.1). Patients without RV CMR abnormalities had better outcomes than patients with CMR abnormalities. Another study assessed the benefit of CMR for risk stratification in patients with frequent PVCs undergoing ablation procedures for PVCs (S3.2.3.2). Except for 1 patient who had inducible

idiopathic VT, 14 of 15 patients with inducible, sustained, monomorphic VT had scarring identified by CMR. All patients with inducible VT except the patient with idiopathic VT underwent ICD implantation, and 50% had appropriate ICD therapy during follow-up.

2. Programmed stimulation has been reported to identify patients at increased risk for adverse outcomes in the presence of frequent PVCs (the PVC burden was $20\% \pm 13\%$ in the cited study), but without prior documented VT, and who are undergoing PVC ablation procedures (S3.2.3.2). All but one patient with inducible VT had SHD prompting ICD implantation, and 50% of the patients had appropriate ICD therapy during follow-up (S3.2.3.2).

Synopsis: LVEF continues to be the main prognostic clinical variable for VA. The presence and extent of myocardial fibrosis, assessed by CMR-LGE, predict ventricular tachyarrhythmias in patients with ischemic and nonischemic LV dysfunction (S3.2.3.3–S3.2.3.8). In a meta-analysis including 2850 patients with IHD and nonischemic heart disease from 19 studies, the composite arrhythmic endpoint was significantly higher in the patients with LGE (annualized event rate of 8.6%) than in the patients without LGE (annualized event rate of 1.7%; $P < .0001$) (S3.2.3.8). In a larger meta-analysis including 7882 patients from 36 studies (both ischemic cardiomyopathy [ICM] and NICM), LGE was associated with an increase in all-cause mortality (hazard ratio [HR] 2.96; 95% CI 2.37–3.70; $P < .001$), cardiovascular mortality (HR 3.27; 95% CI 2.05–5.22; $P < .001$), VA and sudden cardiac death (HR 3.76; 95% CI 3.14–4.52; $P < .001$), and major adverse cardiovascular events (HR 3.24; 95% CI 2.32–4.52; $P < .001$) (S3.2.3.6). In both studies, the predictive value of LGE was independent of LVEF and whether the cardiomyopathy was of ischemic or nonischemic etiology.

Patients with PVC-induced cardiomyopathy show improvement (even normalization) of LVEF after effective PVC treatment. As opposed to patients with NICM, the absence of LGE is a common finding in these patients; thus, absence of LGE could be used to identify patients with greater chance of LVEF recovery (S3.2.3.9). Patients with frequent PVCs in the presence of LGE still have a possibility of LVEF improvement (S3.2.3.10) post ablation, although the LVEF might not completely normalize (S3.2.3.11). An RBBB morphology of the PVC has been associated with an increased prevalence of LGE-defined fibrosis (S3.2.3.12). This finding has prognostic implications. CMR has been reported to identify patients at increased risk for adverse outcomes in the presence of frequent PVCs (S3.2.3.1, S3.2.3.2). In addition, CMR provides important information about the underlying fibrotic substrate and facilitates ablation procedure planning. Inducible VT can have prognostic implications in patients with frequent PVCs and LGE-CMR. Over 80% of the writing committee members perform programmed stimulation to induce VT at the time of PVC or VT ablation in patients without known SHD.

The arrhythmogenic substrate can also be recognized by voltage mapping at the time of the procedure. Low-voltage areas have been correlated with scar tissue identified as LGE-CMR (S3.2.3.13, S3.2.3.14). Although OT PVCs typically occur in patients with normal heart, identification of low-voltage areas and transitional zones could provide helpful information

at the time of ablation (S3.2.3.15). Two-thirds of the writing committee members perform voltage mapping of the relevant ventricle at the time of PVC or VT ablation in patients without known SHD.

3.2.4. Longitudinal Follow-up in the Setting of Frequent Premature Ventricular Complexes

Recommendation for longitudinal follow-up of patients with frequent PVCs

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
IIa	B-NR	1. Periodic monitoring of PVC burden and LV function and dimensions can be useful in patients with frequent, asymptomatic PVCs and normal LV function and dimensions.	S3.2.4.1

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. Frequent PVCs can be associated with the development of cardiomyopathy in susceptible individuals. Despite extensive study, the predictors of future deterioration of LV function are unclear. In one study of 249 patients, all of whom were followed for at least 4 years, none developed overt congestive heart failure, but the LVEF decreased in 20% of patients with very frequent PVCs (>20,000 per 24 hours) (S3.2.4.1). Therefore, until the development of PVC-induced cardiomyopathy can be predicted with more precision, periodic measurement of LVEF and LV end-diastolic dimensions, along with quantification of PVC burden, may be useful for patients with a high PVC burden [approximately 10% or higher (S3.2.4.2)] to identify deteriorating LV function before symptoms appear.

Section 4 Indications for Catheter Ablation: Following are the consensus recommendations for catheter ablation of VAs organized by underlying diagnosis and substrate. These recommendations are each assigned a COR and an LOE according to the current recommendation classification system (S4.1). In drafting each of these recommendations, the writing committee took into account the published literature in the specific area, including the methodological quality and size of each study, as well as the collective clinical experience of the writing group when published data were not available. Implicit in each recommendation are several points: 1) the procedure is being performed by an electrophysiologist with appropriate training and experience in the procedure and in a facility with appropriate resources; 2) patient and procedural complexity vary widely, and some patients or situations merit a more experienced operator or a center with more capabilities than others, even within the same recommendation (eg, when an epicardial procedure is indicated and the operator or institution has limited experience with this procedure, it might be preferable to refer the patient to an operator or institution with adequate experience in performing epicardial procedures); 3) the patient is an appropriate candidate for the procedure (as outlined in Section 5.1), recognizing that the level of patient suitability for a procedure will vary widely with the clinical scenario; and 4) the patient's (or designee's) informed consent, values, and overall clinical trajectory are fundamental to a decision to proceed (or not) with any procedure. Therefore, in some clinical scenarios,

initiation or continuation of medical therapy instead of an ablation procedure may be the most appropriate option, even when a class 1 recommendation for ablation is present. There may also be scenarios not explicitly covered in this document, and on which little or no published literature is available, in which the physician and patient must rely solely on their own judgment.

In drafting these recommendations, the writing committee also referenced several other relevant clinical documents, including the *2017 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for Management of Patients with Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death* (S4.2), among others. The exclusive focus of the current document on VA ablation led to the opportunity to develop more detailed and nuanced recommendations.

4.1. Idiopathic Outflow Tract Ventricular Arrhythmia

Recommendations for catheter ablation of idiopathic OT VA

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	B-R	1. In patients with frequent and symptomatic PVCs originating from the RVOT in an otherwise normal heart, catheter ablation is recommended in preference to metoprolol or propafenone.	S4.1.1
I	B-NR	2. In patients with symptomatic VAs from the RVOT in an otherwise normal heart for whom antiarrhythmic medications are in effective, not tolerated, or not the patient’s preference, catheter ablation is useful.	S4.1.2–S4.1.12
I	B-NR	3. In patients with symptomatic idiopathic sustained monomorphic VT, catheter ablation is useful.	S4.1.13–S4.1.17
IIa	B-NR	4. In patients with symptomatic VAs from the endocardial LVOT, including the SV, in an otherwise normal heart for whom antiarrhythmic medications are ineffective, not tolerated, or not the patient’s preference, catheter ablation can be useful.	S4.1.18–S4.1.27
IIa	B-NR	5. In patients with symptomatic VAs from the epicardial OT or LV summit in an otherwise normal heart for whom antiarrhythmic medications are ineffective, not tolerated, or not the patient’s preference, catheter ablation can be useful.	S4.1.28–S4.1.32

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. In symptomatic patients with frequent PVCs from the RVOT, catheter ablation had a higher rate of efficacy than pharmacotherapy with either metoprolol or propafenone in an RCT (S4.1.1). Ablation success rates are reported at 80%–95%, with low complication rates (S4.1.1,S4.1.12,S4.1.21,S4.1.33–S4.1.35). Catheter ablation can be considered as a preferred therapy in suitable, symptomatic patients. However, some patients with minimal or tolerable symptoms might prefer medical therapy or no therapy.
2. RVOT and LVOT are the most common SOOs for idiopathic VA in patients without SHD. VAs arising from these locations mostly present with a unique pattern on 12-lead surface ECG. The most common underlying pathophysiological mechanism is triggered activity, and RF catheter ablation is highly effective and has low complication rates (S4.1.2–S4.1.12). Multiple studies have shown that for RVOT VAs, catheter ablation is effective for prevention of arrhythmia recurrences.

3. In patients with symptomatic, idiopathic, sustained monomorphic VT, catheter ablation might be preferable to medical therapy. It is a more definitive treatment option, given its high success and low recurrence rates (S4.1.13–S4.1.17).
4. LVOT VA is reported to account for 12%–45% of all idiopathic VAs (S4.1.18–S4.1.22). Compared with VAs originating from the RVOT, ablation of LVOT VAs is more complex (S4.1.18–S4.1.22). Rarely, LVOT VA sites require epicardial ablation via the GCV/AIV or subxiphoid puncture. Clinically, ablation of LVOT VA can involve greater procedural complexity as well as periprocedural risk (stroke or coronary artery injury) compared with RVOT VA. However, many studies report good results pertaining to the safety, feasibility, and potential curative ability of RF catheter ablation (S4.1.18–S4.1.22).
5. Although most idiopathic VAs originate from the RVOT or LVOT, in some cases, RF catheter ablation cannot successfully be performed from either site. In such cases, the VAs might originate from the LV summit (see Section 2 for definition). VAs originating from this area can present challenges for successful RF catheter ablation, and the failure rate is high due to epicardial fat and the proximity of coronary arteries if a subxiphoid epicardial access is used (S4.1.14–S4.1.16). Appropriate patient selection for this approach is key, and the initial approach should focus on the endocardium and adjacent structures, including the coronary venous system, the aortic cusps, and the RVOT.

4.2. Idiopathic Nonoutflow Tract Ventricular Arrhythmia

Recommendations for catheter ablation of nonoutflow tract VAs in the absence of SHD

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	B-NR	1. In patients with symptomatic VAs from the RV at sites other than the OTs (tricuspid annulus, moderator band, parietal band, or papillary muscles) in an otherwise normal heart for whom antiarrhythmic medications are ineffective, not tolerated, or not the patient's preference, catheter ablation is useful.	S4.2.1–S4.2.14
I	B-NR	2. In patients with symptomatic VAs from the LV at sites other than the OTs (mitral annulus, papillary muscles, or AMC) in an otherwise normal heart for whom antiarrhythmic medications are ineffective, not tolerated, or not the patient's preference, catheter ablation is useful.	S4.2.15–S4.2.31
IIa	B-NR	3. In patients with symptomatic VAs from the epicardial coronary venous system in an otherwise normal heart for whom antiarrhythmic medications are ineffective, not tolerated, or not the patient's preference, catheter ablation can be useful.	S4.2.32–S4.2.43
IIa	B-NR	4. In patients with symptomatic VAs from para-Hisian sites in an otherwise normal heart for whom antiarrhythmic medications are ineffective, not tolerated, or not the patient's preference, catheter ablation can be useful.	S4.2.7,S4.2.13,S4.2.14, S4.2.44–S4.2.49
IIa	C-LD	5. In patients with symptomatic VAs from the posterior-superior process of the LV in an otherwise normal heart for whom antiarrhythmic medications are ineffective, not tolerated, or not the patient's preference, catheter ablation from the LV endocardium, right atrium, or CS, can be useful.	S4.2.50–S4.2.52

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. Among idiopathic RV arrhythmias presenting for catheter ablation, approximately 10%–15% arise at sites other than the RVOT (S4.2.1). Sites that can be ablated with a high level of success include any of the three RV papillary muscles (S4.2.3,S4.2.6), the parietal band (S4.2.7,S4.2.9–S4.2.11), the tricuspid annulus (S4.2.5,S4.2.8,S4.2.12,S4.2.13), and the moderator band (S4.2.2,S4.2.14). Although frequent PVCs, nonsustained VT, and sustained monomorphic VT are the most common idiopathic VAs at these sites of origin, VF triggered by PVCs arising from the moderator band (S4.2.2) can occasionally occur. Successful catheter ablation is achieved in over 90% of patients with RV VAs arising outside the RVOT, with a low risk of complications. The recurrence rate and need for repeat procedures are higher for VAs arising from the moderator, septal, and parietal bands than from other sites (S4.2.2,S4.2.7). In addition, the probability of successful ablation is higher for tricuspid annular VAs arising from the free wall than the septal regions, which are closer to the conduction system (S4.2.5).
2. Idiopathic VAs arising from the LV papillary muscles account for approximately 15% of idiopathic LV arrhythmias referred for catheter ablation and are characterized by frequent PVCs or recurrent monomorphic VT with a catecholamine-sensitive focal mechanism. VT can arise from either the posteromedial papillary muscles or the anterolateral papillary muscles (S4.2.15–S4.2.23). These arrhythmias often require several RF applications for successful ablation. A change in QRS morphology of the VA after ablative applications to either side of the involved papillary muscle is common. Due to the thickness of the papillary muscles and their vigorous contraction, catheter stability can be challenging and might be improved by the use of intracardiac ultrasound and possibly cryoablation (S4.2.18,S4.2.22). The recurrence risk after initial successful ablation of papillary muscle VAs is higher than for many other idiopathic VA sites, and repeat procedures are required in approximately 30% of patients (S4.2.16,S4.2.18).

The mitral annulus is the SOO for approximately 20% of idiopathic LV arrhythmias, with the majority being PVCs or nonsustained VT rather than sustained VT (S4.2.24, S4.2.25). Mitral annular VAs are based on a focal, catecholamine-sensitive mechanism. A superior-anterior mitral annular origin is more common than an inferior-posterior origin (S4.2.23–S4.2.26). Successful ablation is achieved in approximately 90% of mitral annular VAs, with a very low risk of complications (S4.2.23–S4.2.29). The AMC is a common location of mitral annular VAs at the base of the LV ostium. Most patients with VA from the AMC have frequent PVCs rather than sustained VT (S4.2.30). Endocardial mapping demonstrates a prepotential in the majority of VAs originating in this location, with successful ablation in over 90% of patients with a low risk of complications (S4.2.30,S4.2.31).

3. VAs that can be mapped and ablated within the GCV or AIV are relatively common SOOs near or within the LV summit (S4.2.32–S4.2.36). Proximity to the coronary arteries needs to be assessed (see Sections 9.3 and 11.1) prior to ablation. If the SOO is too close (<5–10 mm) to a coronary artery, ablation from the adjacent endocardium might be successful when within 1 cm of the SOO (S4.2.37). These arrhythmias from the superior portion of the coronary venous system are usually based on a focal mechanism and typically require an irrigated-tip ablation catheter due to high impedance within the coronary vein. Mapping and ablation from the LSV or LV endocardium might also be successful, even if the local ECG in the coronary venous system is earlier (S4.2.38). For VAs with an intramural location, ablation within the perforator veins from within the LV septum (S4.2.39), simultaneous bipolar or simultaneous unipolar RF energy from the coronary venous system and the LV endocardium might be required to achieve successful ablation.

Sustained monomorphic VT arising from the crux of the heart is typically very rapid, based on a focal catecholamine-sensitive mechanism, and often produces syncope (S4.2.40–S4.2.43). The QRS morphology is characterized by an abrupt transition from negative in lead V1, to positive in lead V2, to more negative in lead V3 (S4.2.40). Ablation can be achieved within the coronary venous system, including the middle cardiac vein (S4.2.40,S4.2.41,S4.2.43) and the adjacent endocardium, or might require epicardial access (S4.2.40–S4.2.42). The proximity of the coronary venous system to the posterior descending coronary artery requires imaging to prevent arterial injury.

4. VAs arising from the RV septum near the His bundle can be successfully ablated in approximately 70%–90% of patients, with several series reporting a higher likelihood of abandoning attempts at ablation due to concerns about inducing AV block (S4.2.7,S4.2.13,S4.2.14,S4.2.44–S4.2.49). The appearance of an accelerated junctional rhythm is common during RF application in this region, though AV block is not (S4.2.7). Catheter stability and the need to prevent injury to the AV node are issues that are carefully considered for these VAs (S4.2.49). Pacing maneuvers may be beneficial to prevent damage to the AV node (S4.2.53). The careful use of cryoablation can help to prevent damage to the conduction system if ablative therapy is delivered in close proximity to the conduction system.
5. The posterior-superior process of the LV is the most inferior and posterior aspect of the basal LV, posterior to the plane of the tricuspid valve (S4.2.50). Ablation of VAs in this region can be accomplished from the LV endocardium (S4.2.51). However, VAs arising from this region can also be successfully ablated from the inferior septal surface of the right atrium, where a small atrial signal and a larger ventricular signal, earlier than the earliest site in the LV endocardium, can be recorded (S4.2.50). Reports also describe ablation from within the CS (S4.2.52). Successful ablation can be achieved with either RF or cryoablation energies. The risk of complications appears to be low, although data are limited, and caution is required due to the proximity to the AV node and the AV nodal artery (S4.2.50).

4.3. Premature Ventricular Complexes With or Without Left Ventricular Dysfunction

Recommendations for catheter ablation of PVCs in patients with or without LV dysfunction

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	B-NR	1. In patients with cardiomyopathy suspected to be caused by frequent and predominately monomorphic PVCs and for whom AADs are ineffective, not tolerated, or not preferred for long-term therapy, catheter ablation is recommended.	S4.3.1–S4.3.10
IIa	B-NR	2. In patients with SHD in whom frequent PVCs are suspected to be contributing to cardiomyopathy and for whom AADs are ineffective, not tolerated, or not preferred for long-term therapy, catheter ablation can be useful.	S4.3.3,S4.3.11,S4.3.12
IIa	B-NR	3. In patients with focally triggered VF refractory to AADs and triggered by a similar PVC, catheter ablation can be useful.	S4.3.13–S4.3.17
IIa	C-LD	4. In nonresponders to cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) with very frequent unifocal PVCs limiting optimal biventricular pacing despite pharmacological therapy, catheter ablation can be useful.	S4.3.18

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. In patients with a suspected PVC-induced cardiomyopathy, catheter ablation can be considered an alternative to long-term AAD therapy, particularly for patients with monomorphic PVCs, or PVCs of an RVOT origin (S4.3.1). PVC-induced cardiomyopathy should be suspected when cardiomyopathy and frequent PVCs are present and a comprehensive cardiac evaluation fails to identify alternate etiologies. Several studies have confirmed a correlation between a higher PVC burden and development of cardiomyopathy, although no precise burden of PVCs consistently predicts the development of a cardiomyopathy. Several studies have demonstrated a higher incidence of cardiomyopathy with PVC burdens >15%–25% (S4.3.3,S4.3.5,S4.3.8,S4.3.19); however, patients with similarly high PVC burdens can also maintain normal cardiac function. Reversible PVC-induced cardiomyopathy has also been reported in patients with PVC burdens as low as 4%–5% (S4.3.9,S4.3.20). When PVC-induced cardiomyopathy is suspected in these patients with relatively low PVC burdens, other etiologies for cardiomyopathy should be thoroughly investigated and addressed, and the persistence of the PVC burden established. Other risk factors for the development of a PVC-induced cardiomyopathy to consider include epicardial origin of PVCs, PVCs with a longer QRS duration, longer exposure to PVCs, asymptomatic PVCs, interpolated PVCs, and male sex (S4.3.1,S4.3.5,4.3.21,S4.3.22). In patients with PVC-induced cardiomyopathy, PVC ablation has an overall reported success rate of 65%–90%, with a low complication rate (S4.3.1,S4.3.3–S4.3.7,S4.3.9,S4.3.10). Predictors of ablation success include an RVOT PVC origin and a uniform PVC morphology. Following successful ablation, the majority of patients with PVC-induced cardiomyopathy experience significant improvement and, possibly, normalization of their LVEF. Based on a review of current data, routine catheter ablation of frequent PVCs in asymptomatic patients without evidence of LV dysfunction is not presently recommended. In some asymptomatic patients with very frequent PVCs and preserved cardiac function who express a strong preference for

ablation, approximately half of the writing committee members agreed that an ablation can be considered after adequate counseling on the risks, benefits, and alternatives to ablation, whereas half would not offer ablation. Additional clinical features that may portend worsening LV function that should be considered include LV dilation or a relative decrease in EF that may still be considered in the normal range.

2. In patients with underlying SHD, the presence of frequent PVCs can contribute to a cardiomyopathy, and successful PVC elimination by ablation can improve cardiac function (S4.3.3,S4.3.11,S4.3.12). Detailed cardiac imaging (such as CMR to quantify scarring) in conjunction with a comprehensive cardiac history, including the time course of cardiomyopathy development and onset of PVCs, can help to estimate the contribution of the PVCs to the cardiomyopathy and guide treatment decisions targeting the PVCs.
3. In patients with recurrent VF refractory to antiarrhythmic medications and triggered by PVCs from a potentially identifiable site, successful ablation of the PVC can lead to VF suppression (S4.3.13–S4.3.15). The triggering PVC is often located in the Purkinje system and can exhibit slight variations in morphology. It is important to recognize that despite an initially successful ablation, PVC and VF recurrences are possible, and recurrent PVCs can arise from an alternate focus (S4.3.14). Successful ablation, therefore, often does not eliminate the need for an ICD.
4. In patients who are clinical nonresponders to CRT, with limited biventricular pacing due to frequent PVCs despite pharmacological therapy, successful PVC ablation has demonstrated an improvement in heart failure class and a modest improvement in LVEF. In one prospective multicenter study in which CRT nonresponders with frequent and primarily monomorphic PVCs were ablated, the improvements in LV function and New York Heart Association (NYHA) class were proportional to the preprocedural PVC burden, and the greatest improvement was noted in patients with a preablation PVC burden >22% (S4.3.16).

4.4. Ventricular Arrhythmia in Ischemic Heart Disease

Recommendations for catheter ablation of VAs in patients with IHD

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	B-R	1. In patients with IHD who experience recurrent monomorphic VT despite chronic amiodarone therapy, catheter ablation is recommended in preference to escalating AAD therapy.	S4.4.1
I	B-NR	2. In patients with IHD and recurrent symptomatic monomorphic VT despite AAD therapy, or when AAD therapy is contraindicated or not tolerated, catheter ablation is recommended to reduce recurrent VT.	S4.4.2–S4.4.4
I	B-NR	3. In patients with IHD and VT storm refractory to AAD therapy, catheter ablation is recommended.	S4.4.5–S4.4.9
IIa	C-EO	4. In patients with IHD and recurrent monomorphic VT, in whom AADs are not desired, catheter ablation can be useful.	

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
IIb	A	5. In patients with IHD and an ICD who experience a first episode of monomorphic VT, catheter ablation may be considered to reduce the risk of recurrent VT or ICD therapies.	S4.4.10–S4.4.14
IIb	C-LD	6. In patients with prior MI and recurrent episodes of symptomatic sustained VT for whom prior endocardial catheter ablation has not been successful and who have ECG, endocardial mapping, or imaging evidence of a subepicardial VT substrate, epicardial ablation may be considered.	S4.4.15–S4.4.19

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

- For patients presenting with VT despite AAD therapy, which often results in ICD therapies (shocks or ATP), therapeutic options include escalating AAD therapy by increasing the dose, changing the drug, adding a new drug, and catheter ablation. The VANISH trial (S4.4.1) compared the strategies of escalating AAD therapy according to a predefined protocol versus catheter ablation in 259 patients and followed them for a mean (\pm standard deviation [SD]) of 27.9 ± 17.1 months. The composite primary endpoint of death, VT storm, or appropriate ICD shock was reduced by catheter ablation. Benefit was observed in the subgroup on amiodarone at baseline, for whom escalated drug therapy was an increase in amiodarone or an addition of mexiletine (HR 0.55; 95% CI 0.38–0.80; $P = .001$), but not in the subgroup of patients who were on sotalol at baseline, for whom escalation of drug therapy was initiation of amiodarone (HR 1.14; 95% CI 0.65–2.02; $P = .64$) (S4.4.1). Further analysis found that catheter ablation was markedly superior to the addition of mexiletine in a small subgroup of patients with VT refractory to high dose (300 mg daily) amiodarone (S4.4.20). There were no procedure-related deaths.
- In addition to the VANISH trial described above (S4.4.1), 3 large, prospective, multicenter cohort studies have examined the role of catheter ablation in reducing recurrent VT in patients with IHD, most of whom had recurrent VT despite AAD therapy (S4.4.2–S4.4.4). In these trials, the patient served as his/her own control, and VT episodes were reported for the 6 months before and the 6 months after ablation. In each study, a consistent reduction in VT episodes was observed post ablation. In the Euro-VT study, VT recurrence occurred in 49% of the patients (S4.4.3). A reduction in device therapies (ATP and shocks) was observed in 79% of these patients, with the mean number of therapies falling from 60 ± 70 in the 6 months prior to ablation to 14 ± 15 in the same period of time after ablation ($P = .02$). In the Multicenter Thermocool VT Ablation Trial, and the similarly designed Post-Approval THERMOCOOL VT Trial, the number of VT episodes in the 6 months before and after ablation was reduced from a median of 11.5 to 0 episodes ($P < .0001$), and from 13 to 0 episodes ($P < .0001$), respectively (S4.4.2, S4.4.4).
- There are limited comparative data on catheter ablation vs other therapies in the management of VT storm. AAD therapy is almost universally used as first-line therapy for these patients; however, catheter ablation is a particularly important

therapy when VA recurs. Two small, single-center, nonrandomized studies found that patients treated with ablation had a lower risk of recurrence than those treated medically (S4.4.21), or they had a similar risk of recurrence but lower mortality (S4.4.22); however, these studies were limited by their retrospective study design and the small number of patients. Several other large series (S4.4.5–S4.4.8) and a systematic review and meta-analysis (S4.4.9) have shown a reduction in ICD therapies after catheter ablation and reasonable success in controlling arrhythmia, with a nonetheless significant mortality. A common finding is that unsuccessful ablation (persistent VT inducibility) is associated with poor outcomes.

4. Patients who do not tolerate or are unsuitable for AAD therapy have not generally been included in RCTs. However, the writing committee felt it was reasonable to recommend catheter ablation in such patients over no therapy, in view of the reduction in recurrent VT demonstrated in multiple clinical trials. Examples of contraindications to AAD therapy include significant renal dysfunction or QT prolongation in the case of sotalol, and severe pulmonary disease, which might be worsened by amiodarone.
5. Four RCTs have examined the role of catheter ablation in patients who have experienced their first episode of VT (S4.4.10–S4.4.14). In these studies, an ICD has been a mandatory part of the protocol. Two of these trials have shown a reduction in the primary endpoint with catheter ablation (S4.4.10,S4.4.11). The CALYPSO pilot study found an increased time to first VT recurrence with ablation versus AADs (S4.4.12). The Substrate Modification Study (SMS) failed to meet its primary endpoint of time to first VT/VF recurrence; however, catheter ablation reduced the total number of ICD interventions (S4.4.13). A meta-analysis of these trials, commissioned to guide recommendations in this consensus document, showed a reduction in the risk of appropriate ICD therapies with catheter ablation (S4.4.14). However, in drafting this recommendation, the writing committee also considered that only the CALYPSO pilot study randomized patients to either catheter ablation or AAD therapy (S4.4.12). The other trials (S4.4.10,S4.4.11,S4.4.13) compared catheter ablation without specifying antiarrhythmic therapy in the control group. Prospective trials have not shown that catheter ablation reduces mortality, and the risks of the procedure must be carefully weighed against the benefits in this population. In a large study using the US National Inpatient Sample database, the overall rate of any in-hospital complication was 11.2% in patients with prior MI undergoing catheter ablation, and in-hospital mortality was 1.6%, without changes over a decade (S4.4.23). The VANISH2 trial is currently comparing these two strategies in patients with prior MI and VT while not on AAD therapy (S4.4.24). There are limited data exploring the feasibility of managing VT with catheter ablation without an ICD in selected patients with IHD and relatively preserved LV function (S4.4.25–S4.4.27). Of 302 patients with IHD who underwent a catheter ablation procedure sufficiently successful to make ICD implantation unnecessary, approximately 3% experienced sudden death during 3–4 years of

follow-up (S4.4.25–S4.4.27). The writing group did not feel there was sufficient evidence to make a recommendation regarding ablation as a stand-alone therapy without an ICD for patients with IHD and sustained VT.

6. It has long been recognized that the epicardial surface can contain critical elements of VT circuits in patients with IHD (S4.4.15,S4.4.16). However, the VT substrate can be accessed from the endocardium in most cases, and endocardial mapping and ablation have been the mainstay of therapy. A number of studies have shed light on the incidence of epicardial substrate in IHD. In the initial description of epicardial mapping and ablation in patients with inferior wall MI, an epicardial circuit was found in 7 of 30 VTs (S4.4.16). In another series of 11 patients with 1 to 4 prior unsuccessful endocardial ablation procedures, epicardial access was successful in 10, and 7 required epicardial ablation, which abolished the clinical VT in all patients. However, 40% had recurrence during follow-up, and there was one periprocedural death (S4.4.17). Two larger, single-center observational studies have reported similar or improved VT-free survival in patients with IHD undergoing combined endocardial and epicardial ablation (S4.4.28,S4.4.29). A prospective study of patients undergoing catheter ablation for electrical storm, in which epicardial access was obtained in all patients in one arm being treated with a scar homogenization technique (n = 43), found that ablation was performed in one-third. However, whether the epicardial substrate participated in clinical or inducible VT was not assessed (S4.4.18). There are limited data on the use of combined endocardial and epicardial ablation as a first-line approach in patients with IHD and VT (S4.4.19); an RCT is currently examining this question (S4.4.30). Preprocedural imaging can be useful to determine the presence of epicardial substrate, and therefore the likelihood of requiring epicardial access for complete substrate ablation (S4.4.31).

4.5. Nonischemic Cardiomyopathy

Recommendations for catheter ablation of VT in NICM

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	B-NR	1. In patients with NICM and recurrent sustained monomorphic VT for whom antiarrhythmic medications are ineffective, contraindicated, or not tolerated, catheter ablation is useful for reducing recurrent VT and ICD shocks.	S4.5.1–S4.5.6
I	B-NR	2. In patients with NICM and electrical storm refractory to AAD therapy, catheter ablation is useful for reducing recurrent VT and ICD shocks.	S4.5.7–S4.5.9
IIa	B-NR	3. In patients with NICM, epicardial catheter ablation of VT can be useful after failure of endocardial ablation or as the initial ablation approach when there is a suspicion of an epicardial substrate or circuit.	S4.5.4,S4.5.10–S4.5.13
IIa	B-NR	4. In patients with cardiac sarcoidosis and recurrent VT despite medical therapy, catheter ablation can be useful to reduce the risk of VT recurrence and ICD shocks.	S4.5.14–S4.5.18
IIa	C-EO	5. In patients with NICM and recurrent sustained monomorphic VT for whom antiarrhythmic medications are not desired, catheter ablation can be useful for reducing recurrent VT and ICD shocks.	S4.5.19

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
IIb	B-NR	6. In patients with NICM related to lamin A/C (<i>LMNA</i>) mutations and recurrent VT, catheter ablation may be considered as a palliative strategy for short-term arrhythmia control.	

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. Patients with NICM represent a heterogeneous population with diverse etiologies, including undifferentiated dilated NICM and valvular, hypertensive, hypertrophic, toxic, genetic, inflammatory, tachycardia-induced, peripartum, and infiltrative cardiomyopathy. Several retrospective and prospective cohort studies have shown VT-free survival of 40.5% to 70% at 1 year post ablation (S4.5.1–S4.5.6).

VTs in the context of NICM are more often pleomorphic and polymorphic; they are less hemodynamically tolerated, are more difficult to induce, and are faster compared with postinfarction VT (S4.5.20,S4.5.21). Differences in the results of VT catheter ablation between NICM and ICM could be due to a substrate that is less favorable to ablation with NICM (S4.5.22). The substrate is often intramurally located and can involve the epicardium; it is typically located at the basal perivalvular regions and at the interventricular septum. The intramural substrate makes the arrhythmogenic substrate less accessible for identification of optimal ablation target sites based on pace mapping and identification of abnormal electrograms.

Although sustained VT occurs in only 5% of patients with NICM, it is an important cause of sudden cardiac death (S4.5.23). Recurrent VT is associated with significantly increased mortality in NICM (S4.5.24).

2. Electrical storm with recurrent VT/VF episodes in patients with NICM and ICD can adversely affect hospitalization rates and long-term survival (S4.5.24,S4.5.25). Catheter ablation of patients with NICM and electrical storm refractory to, or intolerant of, AADs eliminates recurrent VT in most cases (S4.5.7–S4.5.9). Whether ablation reduces mortality in this population is unclear at present.
3. In multicenter registries, 30% of epicardial VT catheter ablations were performed on patients with NICM (S4.5.12,S4.5.13). Epicardial mapping and catheter ablation can significantly reduce VT recurrence in patients with NICM (S4.5.3,S4.5.4) and long-term success rates reach 55% to 70% after epicardial ablation (S4.5.11,S4.5.13). However, due to an increased risk of complications or late adhesions preventing future pericardial accesses, epicardial ablation may be reserved to first-line endocardial approach failures (S4.5.1), except when ECG or imaging suggests a predominant epicardial substrate (S4.5.1). Consideration should be given to the ability to proceed to epicardial access during the initial procedure.

- 4. Cardiac sarcoidosis is associated with a poor prognosis. VT is frequently resistant to AADs and immunosuppression, requiring ICDs. Recurrent VT is common in cardiac sarcoidosis and is associated with a poorer prognosis. Catheter ablation decreases VT recurrence and ICD shocks in cardiac sarcoidosis (S4.5.14–S4.5.18).
- 5. AADs are not as effective as the ICD at decreasing mortality in patients with SHD and sustained VAs. Even if successful at acutely terminating VT or VF, ICD shocks have been associated with increased heart failure and mortality in NICM (S4.5.26,S4.5.27). To date, there has been no RCT comparing catheter ablation with AADs for preventing VT recurrences in NICM. Indirect comparisons have found similar reductions in VT recurrences with catheter ablation or amiodarone in patients with SHD (S4.5.28). Amiodarone might increase mortality in patients with cardiomyopathy (S4.5.28,S4.5.29) and can cause serious long-term adverse effects. Catheter ablation of VT appears to be safe and effective in NICM, achieving long-term arrhythmia control in 50% to 70% (S4.5.1,S4.5.6,S4.5.23,S4.5.30) (with AADs in one-third), with a survival rate of 70% (S4.5.1,S4.5.6). Retrospective series show that acute success of catheter ablation and freedom from recurrent VT after catheter ablation are associated with a significant reduction in mortality and heart transplantation in NICM (S4.5.1,S4.5.6,S4.5.31,S4.5.32).
- 6. Mutations in the lamin A/C (*LMNA*) gene are an important cause of NICM, with a disease course more aggressive than other forms of idiopathic NICM in terms of progression to end-stage heart failure or the tendency toward malignant VAs (S4.5.33,S4.5.34). Catheter ablation can be beneficial as a palliative strategy to allow transient arrhythmia control in patients with NICM related to *LMNA* mutations before aggressive heart failure management is proposed (S4.5.19). However, acute and chronic success are low, due to frequent intramural substrate, and complication rates are high in the published literature (S4.5.19). Disease progression to end-stage heart failure requiring mechanical support or transplant is also common, and VT ablation might be best targeted toward those with relatively earlier stage disease, rather than being a means to delay progression in those approaching end-stage heart failure.

4.6. Ventricular Arrhythmia Involving the His-Purkinje System, Bundle Branch Reentrant Ventricular Tachycardia, and Fascicular Ventricular Tachycardia

Recommendations for catheter ablation of bundle branch reentrant VT and for catheter ablation of fascicular VT

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	B-NR	1. In patients with bundle branch reentrant VT, catheter ablation is useful for reducing the risk of recurrent VT.	S4.6.1–S4.6.9
I	B-NR	2. In patients with idiopathic left fascicular reentrant VT for whom medications are ineffective, not tolerated, or not the patient’s preference, catheter ablation is useful.	S4.6.10–S4.6.22

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	B-NR	3. In larger pediatric patients (> 15 kg) with idiopathic left fascicular reentrant VT in whom medical treatment is ineffective or not tolerated, catheter ablation is useful.	S4.6.23–S4.6.26
I	B-NR	4. In patients with focal fascicular VT with or without SHD, catheter ablation is useful.	S4.6.11,S4.6.27–S4.6.29
I	B-NR	5. In patients with postinfarction reentrant Purkinje fiber-mediated VT, catheter ablation is useful.	S4.6.30–S4.6.32

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. Bundle branch reentrant VT (BBRVT) is curable by catheter ablation, which is successful in almost all patients (S4.6.1–S4.6.4). However, for patients with SHD or conduction system disease, long-term outcome depends on the type of underlying cardiac disease. Despite successful BBRVT ablation, many patients remain at risk of sudden death due to concomitant scar-related VTs and/or LV dysfunction. An ICD with or without cardiac resynchronization should be considered (S4.6.4–S4.6.6). On the other hand, for patients with BBRVT who have unacceptably frequent shocks from their ICD, catheter ablation can be helpful in preventing or reducing the shocks (S4.6.3). Even for patients with terminal heart failure and incessant BBRVT, catheter ablation can function as a bridge to cardiac transplantation (S4.6.3).

BBRVT can be cured by catheter ablation for patients with normal heart structure and function (S4.6.3–S4.6.5,S4.6.7,S4.6.8). Although data are limited, some reports suggest that patients with preserved LV systolic function and no other inducible VT might not further benefit from ICD insertion after successful ablation. This subgroup had excellent long-term outcomes for VT-free survival without deterioration of conduction properties during follow-up. However, further study is required before a specific recommendation can be formulated (S4.6.4).

For patients with BBRVT who have a normal HV interval, catheter ablation is also a curative approach. Approximately 22%–46% of patients with BBRVT had a normal baseline HV interval, and significant prolongation of the HV interval only developed during tachycardia. This result suggests that either a functional or fixed conduction block in the His-Purkinje system could be sufficient to maintain a BBRVT mechanism. Long-term outcome depends on the underlying cardiac disease (S4.6.4,S4.6.6). Patients with normal LV systolic function and HV interval have excellent long-term outcomes after ablation (S4.6.4).

Myocardial VT is the most common type of inducible sustained monomorphic VT in patients with valvular heart disease. The majority of these patients have underlying coronary artery disease and significant LV dysfunction. However, in almost one-third of the patients, sustained BBRVT is the only type of inducible VT. This type of VT is facilitated by the valve procedure occurring within 4 weeks after surgery in most patients. In these patients, LV function is relatively

well preserved, and the RBBB-type of BBRVT is frequently induced. Because a curative intervention can be offered to these patients (eg, bundle branch ablation), BBRVT should be seriously considered as the VT mechanism in patients with valvular heart disease, particularly if the arrhythmia occurs soon after valve surgery (S4.6.5).

Catheter ablation of the RBB or LBB interrupts the circuit and is usually curative. However, severely impaired antegrade conduction in the remaining bundle is often present, requiring permanent pacing, which can have hemodynamic consequences. Consideration should be given to future CIED requirements when selecting the ablation target. In patients with baseline complete or incomplete LBBB, antegrade slow conduction over the LBB is present, and ablation of the LBB might leave adequate residual antegrade conduction over the right bundle. Ablation targeting the portion of the distal LBB (left posterior or anterior fascicle) that has slow conduction can potentially preserve the residual AV conduction. Close follow-up for recurrent arrhythmias, progressive conduction deterioration, or LBBB-induced ventricular dysfunction is important (S4.6.9).

2. In the published literature, the overall success rate of ablation for idiopathic left fascicular reentrant VT is >95%. Although complications related to left heart catheterization might be encountered, no serious complications were reported. Left posterior hemiblock was observed when a line of RF lesions was applied through the posterior septal region (S4.6.10–S4.6.22).

Left upper septal fascicular VT often presents as narrow QRS complex tachycardia, but some left upper septal fascicular VTs occur after catheter ablation targeting left posterior fascicular VT. Such VTs can be managed successfully by focal ablation at the left upper septum with some risk of fascicular injury (S4.6.22).

3. In pediatric patients with fascicular reentrant VT (age 10.0 ± 5.1 years, 62% males), nondihydropyridine calcium channel blockers were effective at terminating and preventing VT in 80% of the patients; however, 21% of the patients experienced recurrence during chronic oral therapy. Catheter ablation was successful in 71% of fascicular VTs. After a follow-up period of 2 years (1 day to 15 years), 72% of all patients with fascicular VT were off medications, with no tachycardia recurrence (S4.6.23).

Two small series reported an acute success rate for catheter ablation for fascicular VT of 100%. However, the overall recurrence rate was 0%–50% over 2–3 years' follow-up. Major complications included 1 case of complete AV block and 1 case of LBBB (S4.6.24,S4.6.25). In smaller patients (less than 15 kg) with fascicular reentrant VT that either is controlled medically or is hemodynamically well tolerated without ventricular dysfunction, ablation is generally not pursued (S4.6.26,S4.6.33).

As with many other forms of arrhythmia, infants with this VT frequently experience resolution of the VT with time; such resolution is much less common in older children and adolescents. Idiopathic VAs are often well tolerated, minimally symptomatic, and are not associated with a risk of sudden death (S4.6.33).

A case series of 2 patients showed that oral verapamil suppressed VTs in newborns. Following discontinuation of verapamil at 1 year of age, both children remained free of tachycardia recurrence at 3 and 4 years of age (S4.6.34).

4. Among idiopathic VT cases referred for ablation, 2.8% were focal nonreentrant fascicular tachycardia, which had distinct clinical characteristics and usually originated from the left posterior fascicle (LPF), and less commonly from the left anterior fascicle (LAF) and RV Purkinje network. Catheter ablation guided by activation mapping is effective, whereas a pace map–guided approach is less effective. VT recurrence was observed in 27% of patients, and among them, pace mapping was used to target VT during the initial ablation attempt (S4.6.27).

Another small series reported two focal Purkinje VT cases with IHD in whom complete AV block occurred after the successful ablation of the VT. This outcome suggests that ablation often is not sufficient as the sole therapy due to other induced VTs and conduction abnormalities, requiring pacemaker and/or defibrillator implantation for these VT patients with SHD (S4.6.28).

5. The Purkinje system might be part of the reentry circuit in patients with postinfarction monomorphic VT, resulting in a type of VT with a relatively narrow QRS complex that mimics fascicular VT. Catheter ablation is effective (S4.6.31,S4.6.33).

4.7. Congenital Heart Disease

Recommendations for catheter ablation of VA in patients with CHD

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	B-NR	1. In patients with CHD presenting with sustained VAs, evaluation for potential residual anatomical or coronary abnormalities should be performed.	S4.7.1–S4.7.6
I	B-NR	2. In patients with CHD presenting with sustained VT in the presence of important hemodynamic lesions, treatment of hemodynamic abnormalities as feasible should be performed in conjunction with consideration for ablation.	S4.7.2,S4.7.7–S4.7.16
I	B-NR	3. In patients with repaired tetralogy of Fallot and sustained monomorphic VT or recurrent appropriate ICD therapy for VAs, catheter ablation is effective.	S4.7.17–S4.7.24
IIa	B-NR	4. In select patients with CHD and clinical episodes of sustained VT who are undergoing surgical repair of residual hemodynamic abnormalities, surgical ablation of VT guided by preoperative or intraoperative EAM can be beneficial.	S4.7.2,S4.7.8,S4.7.9, S4.7.11,S4.7.25

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. The substrate for VAs in patients with repaired CHD is typically reentry related to ventricular hypertrophy and areas of scar from prior incisions, patches, and

conduit placement. The development of VAs in patients with CHD can be a manifestation of hemodynamic abnormalities, including valvar obstruction or regurgitation, ventricular dysfunction, or coronary abnormalities. Identification of the underlying abnormality contributing to ischemia, ventricular dysfunction, and VAs is important to therapeutic planning, given that interventions for the substrate may reduce the frequency of recurrent VAs and/or improve the hemodynamic status.

2. Most data regarding reoperation for patients with CHD and sustained VAs are from patients with tetralogy of Fallot. Treatment of underlying hemodynamic abnormalities may reduce the incidence of recurrent VAs and allow for ventricular remodelling or improvement in ventricular function. In studies of patients with tetralogy of Fallot and sustained VT undergoing reoperation for hemodynamic abnormalities without specific arrhythmia intervention, late postoperative VT was reduced to 11%–33% during mid-term follow-up (S4.7.2,S4.7.7–S4.7.9,S4.7.13). Given the incomplete protection from recurrent VA, consideration should also be given to catheter and surgical ablation and/or ICD therapy as indicated (Figure 2).
3. The majority of reports of successful VT ablation in adults with repaired CHD include patients with tetralogy of Fallot, with small numbers of patients with transposition of the great arteries, ventricular septal defects (VSDs), and other lesions (S4.7.17,S4.7.18,S4.7.26,S4.7.27). VT circuits tend to be multiple and related to prior ventriculotomies and VSD patches in patients with complex CHD (S4.7.19–S4.7.22,S4.7.24,S4.7.26,S4.7.28). In patients with tetralogy of Fallot, anatomical isthmuses (AIs) critical to the reentrant circuit have been identified between the septal defect patch or ventriculotomy and the pulmonary valve, and between the tricuspid annulus and the OT patch or septal defect patch (S4.7.19,S4.7.22,S4.7.24). EAM to identify and ablate reentrant channels, with verification of conduction block and occasionally ablation from the left side of the ventricular septum, have procedural success rates of approximately 80% (S4.7.21,S4.7.24,S4.7.28). Patients with procedural success from catheter ablation and with preserved biventricular ventricular function have not experienced recurrent VT or sudden cardiac death in up to 10-year follow-up (S4.7.22,S4.7.28).
4. Several small studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of map-guided surgical ablation of VT during concomitant repair of SHD, with recurrent VT reported in 15%–20% of patients (S4.7.2,S4.7.8,S4.7.9,S4.7.11). To date, in patients with tetralogy of Fallot empiric surgical cryoablation lesions for sustained VT without electrophysiological mapping of the tachycardia circuit have shown recurrent VT in 18%–45% of patients (S4.7.25,S4.7.29). The role of prophylactic empiric cryoablation of the OT in selected high-risk patients with tetralogy of Fallot is evolving (S4.7.16,S4.7.25).

4.8. Inherited Arrhythmia Syndromes

Recommendations for catheter ablation of VA in inherited primary arrhythmia disorders

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	B-NR	1. In patients with ARVC who experience recurrent sustained VT or frequent appropriate ICD interventions for VT in whom AAD therapy is ineffective or not tolerated, catheter ablation, at a center with specific expertise, is recommended.	S4.8.1–S4.8.11
I	B-NR	2. In patients with ARVC who have failed one or more attempts of endocardial VT catheter ablation, an epicardial approach for VT ablation is recommended.	S4.8.3–S4.8.7,S4.8.12,S4.8.13
IIa	B-NR	3. In patients with ARVC who experience recurrent sustained VT or frequent appropriate ICD interventions for VT in whom AAD therapy is not desired or preferred, catheter ablation, at a center with specific expertise, is reasonable.	S4.8.1,S4.8.3–S4.8.6,S4.8.8
IIa	B-NR	4. In patients with Brugada syndrome who experience recurrent sustained VAs or frequent appropriate ICD interventions, catheter ablation can be useful.	S4.8.14–S4.8.17
IIa	C-LD	5. In patients with ARVC, a first-line combined endocardial/epicardial approach for VT ablation is reasonable.	S4.8.1,S4.8.6,S4.8.12,S4.8.18

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. In patients with ARVC, recurrent VT or frequent appropriate ICD interventions for VT can be seen despite the use of multiple AAD therapies, including amiodarone and sotalol. Catheter ablation has demonstrated an acceptable acute success rate and over time with development of different techniques (substrate vs. conventional mapping) and approaches (endocardial, epicardial, or combined endo-epicardial) has reduced recurrence rates and appropriate ICD interventions (S4.8.1–S4.8.11). The writing committee felt that earlier consideration could be given to ablation, as opposed to further AAD therapy, in centers with specific expertise in VA ablation in ARVC, including epicardial access. Reference should also be made to the *2019 HRS Expert Consensus Statement on Evaluation, Risk Stratification, and Management of Arrhythmogenic Cardiomyopathy* (S4.8.19).
2. In patients with ARVC, scar location is heterogeneous based on the chamber (mainly RV dominant but can involve the LV), the number of foci, and depth (epicardial and/or endocardial) (S4.8.7). Over time, the need for repeat catheter ablation is common and due to the scar location, and an epicardial approach is often necessary. Long-term freedom from VA is achieved in approximately one-third of patients with an endocardial approach (S4.8.4). An endocardial followed by an epicardial ablation approach if the patient remains inducible for VT may avoid unnecessary epicardial access and associated procedural risk. However, strong consideration should be given to performing the procedure in a setting where it is possible to proceed to epicardial ablation during the same procedure, if endocardial ablation fails to terminate the clinical VA, or if the patient remains inducible.
3. In patients with ARVC, recurrent VT or frequent appropriate ICD interventions for VT can be seen despite the use of multiple AAD therapies (S4.8.20). The majority of patients undergoing catheter ablation are on antiarrhythmic therapy,

including beta blockade before and after ablation. However, some patients in the published series were not on AAD therapy at the time of ablation (S4.8.1,S4.8.3–S4.8.6,S4.8.8). The overall acute success rates are acceptable, especially when an epicardial approach is used, and indeed are superior to that reported for other substrates like NICM (S4.8.2). Patients are often younger and might not be on other medications, which could influence the choice between AAD therapy and ablation. Two studies have assessed whether the substrate underlying VAs in ARVC progressed over time. In the first, scar progression, as assessed by bipolar voltage mapping in patients undergoing repeat ablation, was observed in 2 of 11 patients over a mean follow-up of approximately 6 years (S4.8.21). In the second, similar progression occurred in 2 of 7 patients over a 30-month follow-up, and recurrent VTs were ablated within the previously documented scar, suggesting incomplete ablation at the index procedure (S4.8.22). This outcome suggests that with a comprehensive initial procedure that achieves noninducibility, substrate progression and recurrent VA are not inevitable, at least over the medium term. Strong consideration should be given to performing such a procedure in a center that can proceed to an epicardial ablation during the same procedure should an endocardial ablation be insufficient to eliminate VT. However, to achieve long-term freedom from AADs, patients might require repeated ablation procedures and rely on ICD interventions for treatment of hemodynamically unstable VT. The writing committee felt that stronger consideration could be given to catheter ablation as a first-line approach without preceding AAD therapy by experienced operators and centers, and with fully informed patients. Reference should also be made to the *2019 HRS Expert Consensus Statement on Evaluation, Risk Stratification, and Management of Arrhythmogenic Cardiomyopathy* (S4.8.19).

The role of catheter ablation in new-onset single morphology VT without ICD therapy prior to or after ablation was examined only in a small number of patients (S4.8.20). Catheter ablation has not been shown to reduce sudden cardiac death in patients with ARVC; thus, ICD therapy remains the mainstay for sudden cardiac death prevention (S4.8.20,S4.8.23–S4.8.25).

4. Patients with Brugada syndrome and a type I Brugada ECG pattern (spontaneous or drug induced) have an arrhythmogenic substrate located in the anterior epicardial RVOT that can be further unmasked with provocative drug testing (S4.8.16). Targeted catheter ablation can normalize the electrographic abnormalities and reduce VA inducibility during programmed stimulation (S4.8.14–S4.8.17). Use of ablation with substrate modification in the most symptomatic patients (recurrent VF/VT, VF storm, or frequent ICD interventions) with Brugada syndrome and a type I Brugada ECG pattern (spontaneous or drug induced) has demonstrated a reduction in arrhythmic events (S4.8.14–S4.8.17). Long-term follow-up has been limited, and generalizability is unknown, given that procedures have been performed at highly specialized centers with expertise in Brugada syndrome and epicardial ablations (S4.8.14–

S4.8.17). Drug therapy with quinidine should be considered as a first-line alternative (S4.8.26).

- 5. In patients with ARVC, combined endocardial and epicardial substrate catheter ablation has improved acute success and lowered recurrence rates compared with endocardial-only catheter ablation. A combined endocardial-epicardial approach might be a reasonable first-line approach, although not all patients require epicardial catheter ablation for elimination of VT. Risks associated with epicardial access and ablation should be considered, and these procedures should be performed at centers with expertise (S4.8.27,S4.8.28).

4.9. Ventricular Arrhythmia in Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy

Recommendation for VA ablation in HCM

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
IIa	B-NR	1. In patients with HCM and recurrent monomorphic VT in whom AAD therapy is ineffective or not tolerated, catheter ablation can be useful.	S4.9.1–S4.9.5

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

- 1. Although a less common arrhythmia in HCM, the reported ablation experience is largely limited to monomorphic VT (S4.9.1–S4.9.5). Most patients in these series had already failed AAD therapy. Ablation can be challenging due to the thickness of the myocardium, and epicardial access has been required in the majority of patients (S4.9.1–S4.9.4), along with adjunctive techniques such as intracoronary ethanol ablation and surgical epicardial cryoablation (S4.9.3,S4.9.4). Satisfactory results have been reported, although multiple procedures were required in some patients (S4.9.1–S4.9.5). VAs associated with apical aneurysms are mostly ablated from the LV endocardium.

Section 5 Procedural Planning

5.1. Patient Selection and Preprocedural Risk Assessment

RF catheter ablation is an important therapeutic option in patients with different forms of VA. Over the last decade, significant improvements in the techniques and technologies available for catheter ablation have been paralleled by an increasing number of procedures performed for high-risk and complex patient subsets (S5.1.3.1–S5.1.3.4). In these cases, the competing risks associated with the concomitant presence of advanced heart failure syndromes and high burden of associated comorbidities pose substantial periprocedural and postprocedural management challenges. A proper preprocedural risk stratification is crucial to minimize the risk of adverse periprocedural outcomes such as acute hemodynamic decompensation (AHD), which can have devastating consequences (S5.1.3.2,S5.1.3.5,S5.1.3.6).

5.1.1. The PAAINESD Risk Score—The PAAINESD risk score (chronic obstructive Pulmonary disease [5 points], Age >60 years [3 points], General anesthesia [4 points],

Ischemic cardiomyopathy [6 points], New York Heart Association class III or IV [6 points], Ejection fraction <25% [3 points], presentation with VT Storm [5 points], Diabetes mellitus [3 points]) has been demonstrated to be helpful to identify patients undergoing scar-related VT ablation who are at increased risk of adverse periprocedural outcomes, and represents the most studied risk stratification tool in this context (Table 4) (S5.1.3.2,S5.1.3.3,S5.1.3.5–S5.1.3.8). In a single center, the risk of acute hemodynamic deterioration increased across tertiles of the score, with values 17 (or 15 when “general anesthesia” is excluded) being associated with a risk of 24%. Another single-center report found that, when excluding general anesthesia, a score between 9 and 14 points was associated with a 6% risk of acute hemodynamic collapse, and that risk increased to 24% in patients with a score 15 (S5.1.3.6). A multicenter cohort found the score (excluding general anesthesia) was higher in those who died early post procedure versus those who survived to the end of follow-up (16 ± 7 vs 9 ± 6 ; $P < .001$), although chronic obstructive pulmonary disease was not included in the calculation (S5.1.3.3). These findings were mirrored in another single-center cohort, which found a higher score (excluding general anesthesia) in those who died early post ablation (17.4 ± 6.3 vs 11.6 ± 7.6 ; $P = .012$) and in patients who experienced complications (16.4 ± 6.7 vs 11.6 ± 7.6 ; $P < .001$) (S5.1.3.7). Of note, intraprocedural mechanical hemodynamic support (HS) was commonly used in two of these series, which could limit the generalizability of these findings (S5.1.3.6,S5.1.3.7).

The cumulative evidence arising from published studies suggests that a PAAINESD score 15–17 (depending on whether the variable “general anesthesia” is included in the risk score calculation or not) identifies patients with SHD and VT at particularly high risk of adverse periprocedural and postprocedural outcomes (S5.1.3.2,S5.1.3.3,S5.1.3.6,S5.1.3.8). Careful consideration of the optimal sedation or anesthesia strategy could further help to prevent AHD in patients with multiple other risk factors.

5.1.2. The Seattle Heart Failure Model—Another potentially useful risk stratification tool is the Seattle Heart Failure Model (SHFM), given its established role in predicting mortality in patients with heart failure. The major limitation of the SHFM is that it has been developed from cohorts of patients with heart failure without recurrent VAs, and it remains a complex risk score to calculate due to the number of variables included. Evidence from a single observational study has shown that the SHFM may help to identify patients with scar-related VT undergoing catheter ablation at high risk of midterm (ie, 6 months) postprocedural mortality (S5.1.3.9). Other institutional risk assessment protocols combining variables included in the PAAINESD and SHFM with other risk features, such as hemodynamic status during recurrent VT episodes, have also been proposed to determine which patients are best managed in the setting of dedicated intensive care units or with the aid of HS devices, and whether prolonged postablation surveillance may be helpful (S5.1.3.1,S5.1.3.10).

5.1.3. Multidisciplinary Involvement—The optimal management of high-risk patients extends well beyond the treatment of recurrent VAs and typically involves shared treatment plans and close collaboration between multiple disciplines, including interventional electrophysiologists, cardiologists, heart failure specialists, cardiac anesthesiologists,

cardiothoracic surgeons, and pediatric electrophysiologists or those with expertise in CHD (especially in young patients <16 years of age or those with moderate/complex CHD) as appropriate. As such, it is preferable that high-risk patients with recurrent VAs for whom a catheter ablation procedure is planned are managed in centers with proficiency in these procedures, and that they receive prompt access to advanced therapies, including percutaneous HS devices, permanent ventricular assist devices (VADs), and/or heart transplantation. Ongoing heart failure management is a critical component of the management of such patients both immediately after VA ablation and in the longer term.

5.2. 12-Lead Electrocardiogram and Body Surface Mapping Before Ventricular Tachycardia Ablation

5.2.1. Standard 12-Lead Electrocardiogram—The standard 12-lead ECG has been shown to be a valuable tool in planning catheter ablation procedures for treatment of VAs, including VT and PVC, and in the case of hemodynamically tolerated VAs, every effort should be taken to record the 12-lead ECG. In cases of focal VA in the absence of SHD, the 12-lead ECG is a relatively accurate indicator of the source location, whereas in the presence of myocardial scarring from whatever cause (in which reentry is the predominant mechanism of arrhythmia), the ECG reflects the exit site from the reentrant circuit, rather than the diastolic corridor that typically represents the best ablation target. In some cases, the distance between these two locations can be several centimeters.

5.2.2. Ventricular Tachycardia and Premature Ventricular Complex in the Absence of Structural Heart Disease—Features of the 12-lead ECG during VT or PVC occurring in the absence of SHD have been reported, which suggest endocardial catheter-accessible origins in the RV vs LV outflow regions (including the aortic SV), idiopathic fascicular (verapamil-sensitive) VT related to the LV Purkinje system, and other LV sources, including anterior and posterior papillary muscle VT, para-Hisian VT, mitral annular VT, AMC VT, and GCV/AIV VT; and other RV sources, including tricuspid annular VT, moderator band VT, and papillary muscle VT. Certain ECG patterns can indicate these regions of origin. An LBBB inferior axis morphology with late transition (>lead V3) indicates an origin in the RVOT. Lead I indicates whether the origin is anteriorly (negative) or posteriorly (positive) located (S5.2.8.1). Notching in the inferior leads indicates a lateral (free wall) position in the OT (S5.2.8.1). A Q wave in lead V1, combined with an R wave in lead aVL and an R wave in lead I, together with smaller R waves in the inferior leads, has been found for VAs originating from close to the conduction system (S5.2.8.2). An early transition (lead V3) in the presence of an LBBB pattern could indicate a left-sided origin (S5.2.8.3), and a broader and taller R wave in V1 or V2 could indicate an origin from the SV (S5.2.8.4).

Epicardial origins from the LVOT, in addition to displaying a broad QRS complex with a pseudodelta wave (see below), often have a Q wave in lead I. An epicardial origin close to the AIV, however, can closely resemble the ECG pattern of an origin from the RVOT. An RBBB and inferior axis pattern with positive concordance is the hallmark for VAs originating from the anterior mitral annulus (S5.2.8.5). A transition to a precordial rS pattern, usually in lead V3–V4, has been observed for origins from the papillary muscles

with a leftward axis, indicating an origin from the posteromedial, or with a rightward axis, indicating an origin from the anterolateral papillary muscle (S5.2.8.6). Papillary muscle sources often produce a small Q wave in lead V1 (S5.2.8.7, S5.2.8.8). In contradistinction to papillary muscle origins, a fascicular origin has a much narrower QRS complex (S5.2.8.6).

Despite the range of heart positions in the chest and body habitus, and variations of ECG lead positions, these ECG features can be remarkably accurate at indicating the SOO to within a 1- to 2-cm radius. Refinement of the exact site of impulse formation using activation or pace mapping is still needed prior to ablation (S5.2.8.9–S5.2.8.13). Examples of PVC morphologies from several common SOOs in the RV and LV are shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4.

5.2.3. Postinfarction Ventricular Tachycardia—Several algorithms have been devised to predict the exit site in post-MI VT. As noted above, these all have the important limitation that (to the degree they can reveal localizing information about the arrhythmia) they indicate the exit site of the circuit, not the location of the midportion of the diastolic corridor (S5.2.8.14–S5.2.8.17). Although the distance from the exit site to a vulnerable portion of the diastolic corridor can be 1 cm or less, it could be more. In addition, the existing algorithms are not applicable in all cases, and in those cases in which they are, only approximately 75% of VTs can be regionalized to a 2- to 5-cm² area. In general, correlation of exit sites with VT morphology conforms to rather intuitive principles:

1. The majority of post-MI VT exit sites are LV endocardial.
2. LBBB VTs tend to have exit sites on, or within 1 cm of, the intraventricular septum.
3. Patients with inferior infarctions often have Q waves in the inferior leads, indicating inferior wall scarring and an inferior wall exit site, which tend to be located on the inferobasal septum or the inferolateral free wall, with a common diastolic corridor on the inferobasal free wall along the mitral annulus.
4. VTs with a predominant inferior axis tend to have exit sites on the anterior wall (cranial half of the LV).
5. VTs with a predominant superior axis tend to have exit sites on the inferior half of the LV.
6. VTs with a leftward axis tend to have exit sites on or within 1 cm of the septum.
7. VTs with concordant positive precordial QRS complexes tend to have basal exit sites.
8. VTs with concordant negative precordial QRS complexes tend to have apical exit sites.

There can sometimes be disagreement among observers about specific features of ECGs, such as whether an axis is leftward or rightward (ie, discordance between vector of leads I and aVL/lateral precordial leads). Automated algorithms can remove some of the subjectivity of VT ECG analysis (S5.2.8.18–S5.2.8.20).

5.2.4. Epicardial Sources—Several ECG criteria have been proposed that indicate an epicardial source of VT in patients without SHD or with NICM. These include several interval measurements that reflect slow, muscle-to-muscle propagation at the beginning of the QRS complex, the maximum deflection index in precordial leads, and presence of Q waves where they would not ordinarily be expected (indicating propagation away from the epicardial surface of the ventricular wall recorded by that chest lead) (S5.2.8.21–S5.2.8.24). Of these, the raw interval measurements are subject to false-positive errors in that they can be nonspecifically prolonged by sodium-channel blocking effects of AADs or hyperkalemia as well as by CL (less accurate with faster VTs) (S5.2.8.25). The maximum deflection index (time to earliest peak in any precordial lead divided by the total QRS duration) (S5.2.8.26), at least in principle, prevents this problem by indexing the intrinsic deflection to the total QRS duration, which will be subject to the same effects.

5.2.5. Ventricular Tachycardia in Nonischemic Cardiomyopathy—The 12-lead ECG of a VT could be less helpful in NICM to direct ablation; however, target areas can be estimated in a fashion comparable to post-MI VT. In many cases of NICM, successful ablation sites are on the epicardial surface; however, NICM VTs originating from anteroseptal scar typically have an LBBB inferior axis morphology, and these patients (who might also present with AV conduction disturbances due to septal scarring in the region of the bundle branches) might not benefit from an epicardial approach for ablation (S5.2.8.27).

5.2.6. Bundle Branch Reentrant Ventricular Tachycardia—Patients with BBRVT typically have some form of SHD (nonischemic more than ICM), with an LBBB pattern in the baseline conducted rhythm (sinus or atrial fibrillation [AF]), although RBBB or nonspecific interventricular conduction delay can also occur. During BBRVT, the QRS generally closely resembles the baseline QRS, with characteristically rapid initial forces (in contrast to the delayed upstrokes in most other myocardial VTs). This is an important entity to recognize because of its prevalence as well as its curability with a rather simple ablation procedure (targeting RBBs or LBBs).

Many patients with SHD have an ICD in place that terminates the majority of VT episodes with pacing or shock, thus precluding recording of a full 12-lead ECG of the arrhythmia. Only when the device is disabled, or when the VT rate or episode duration is below the device's programmed detection levels, is it possible to record the ECG during VT. In patients with SHD, having the 12-lead ECG of all spontaneously occurring VTs is especially important during procedures in which multiple morphologies of VT are induced and the operator must decide which morphology (or morphologies) are most important to target for ablation. In the absence of a 12-lead ECG, ICD electrograms of the recorded VT episodes have been particularly helpful to identify the clinical VT when multiple VTs are induced during an ablation procedure (S5.2.8.28).

5.2.7. Body Surface Mapping—Body surface mapping has been used for many years as a predictor of VA exit site, starting with skin surface potential minima and more recently as electrocardiographic imaging (ECGI). The latter integrates unipolar electrograms obtained during the arrhythmia while the patient is wearing a 256-electrode vest, with ventricular anatomy derived from a CT or CMR scan with the vest in place. An activation

map during the arrhythmia is then mathematically derived using the inverse solution and is plotted on the epicardial surface as designated by the CT or CMR scan. Although experience with this modality in VAs is limited, ECGI maps have shown good correlations with endo- and epicardial mapping results in a variety of settings, in patients with and without SHD (S5.2.8.29–S5.2.8.32). Correlations appear to be very good for OT arrhythmias (right vs left) and for those with epicardial sources, and poorer for reentrant VTs (many of which have septal diastolic corridors with variable epicardial breakthrough sites that can be detected by ECGI), and with origin from sites close to scar (S5.2.8.33).

5.2.8. Summary—In most settings, ECG tracings provide important insights regarding location of either the source of focal arrhythmias or the exit site for reentrant VTs; when possible, a 12-lead ECG should be obtained of the target VA(s) (PVC or VT) and be used as a guide for where to concentrate mapping efforts based on published algorithms. However, no matter how specific the ECG algorithm or ECGI map is, it remains as a guide to where mapping efforts should begin or focus, rather than pinpointing an actual site at which ablation will be certain to eliminate the arrhythmia. Careful mapping is still needed in all situations.

5.3. Facilities for the Procedure

5.3.1. Facilities—VT ablation can be a complex electrophysiological procedure, especially in patients suffering from deterioration of LV function or from cardiogenic shock. In addition, many patients with scar-related VT have comorbidities that impact on procedural planning. Adequate preprocedural planning and standardization of procedural steps are crucial in this population with advanced heart disease. Preprocedural planning should involve communication with other subspecialties, including cardiothoracic surgeons and physicians with expertise in heart failure management, to optimize patient safety. In general, VT ablation in patients with advanced heart disease should only be performed by experienced operators in centers with expertise for complex electrophysiological procedures with onsite cardiosurgical backup and expertise in HS.

All personnel and equipment should meet institutional requirements and training requirements for treating patients with complex cardiac pathologies. Integrity and functionality of the equipment should be regularly maintained as required by institutional standards.

5.3.2. Laboratory Equipment—The electrophysiology laboratory for VT ablation should meet standard requirements for any type of catheter ablation procedure, with availability of emergent echocardiography to rule out cardiac tamponade. Laboratory specifications include a dedicated work space and fluoroscopy system (mono- or biplane, capability of cinefluoroscopy or angiography), a programmed stimulator, an electrophysiology recording system with EAM ability, as well as the possibility to perform cardiac catheterization procedures with hemodynamic monitoring capabilities. Institutional and societal requirements for electrophysiology laboratories should be met, and regular maintenance is imperative (S5.3.4.1). The electrophysiology lab should be equipped with a biphasic defibrillator and an ECG monitor, and a backup defibrillator should be immediately

accessible. Continuous connection of the defibrillator to the patient (eg, using attached defibrillator pads) is used in many labs for fast and easy defibrillation. A code cart with standard advanced cardiac life support medications is mandatory. For surgical access, such as epicardial surgical windows, institutional hygienic standards should be maintained.

Programming devices for the patient's specific ICDs should be available in the electrophysiology lab to allow pre- and intraprocedural programming and device interrogation as well as emergency internal shock delivery if required. For patients with implanted left or right VADs, the appropriate equipment and personnel should be available as required.

Epicardial access for mapping and ablation might be needed in a subgroup of patients with VT. Epicardial instrumentation is a complex part of VT ablation and requires high expertise and experience with epicardial puncture or surgical access. Continuous availability of an ICD programmer and the capability of delivering internal shocks can be crucial to terminate VAs in case of external defibrillation failure due to pericardial fluid and/or air and in cases of suboptimal defibrillator pad location.

Patient monitoring is crucial for safety during ablation of VT, independent of the underlying cardiac disease. A physiological recorder capable of monitoring pulse oximetry, noninvasive and invasive arterial blood pressure, and ECG is recommended. Vital signs in addition to oxygen saturation should be displayed for the operator and assisting staff throughout the procedure. For longer procedures involving patients with SHD, invasive intra-arterial blood pressure monitoring might be superior to noninvasive noncontinuous measurement. Noninvasive monitoring of cerebral tissue oxygen saturation has been used to reflect end organ perfusion when longer episodes of VT were required, to allow for adequate mapping (S5.3.4.2,S5.3.4.3).

5.3.3. Personnel—Credentialing of personnel involved in VT ablation procedures is specific to institutions and can vary by country. The personnel in the electrophysiology lab typically consist of a nurse and a technician involved in patient care and monitoring; possibly a person handling the ICD programmer (approximately 15% of the writing committee have a representative from the device company assisting with intraprocedural management of the ICD) during the ablation; an expert operator for manipulation and placement of catheters; and one or two operators managing the electrophysiology recording system, the stimulator, and the mapping system. When a 3D EAM system is used, as is the case in most VA ablation procedures, a highly trained professional (eg, a manufacturer's representative, a technician, or a physician) is usually present to operate this system and assist with acquisition, editing, and interpretation of data. With respect to sedation, different requirements exist in different countries and societies. An anesthesiologist, a nurse anesthetist, or an equivalent person experienced with sedation and intubation should be present if deeper sedation is required. In this regard, sedation during VT ablation by trained cardiologists has been shown to be safe (S5.3.4.4–S5.3.4.6).

Personnel involved in VT ablations should be trained for complex electrophysiology maneuvers and mapping maneuvers for monitoring severely impaired patients under

sedation and administration of antiarrhythmic as well as inotropic drugs (S5.3.4.4–S5.3.4.6). Recognizing any potential complication or prolonged hemodynamic compromise is a key feature to patient safety. Personnel involved in VT ablation should be proficient in using cardiac defibrillators and in performing advanced life support and cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

Operators performing VT ablation procedures should be certified interventional electrophysiologists with expertise for RV and LV mapping and ablation. Coronary angiography by the operator him- or herself or by another physician might be needed during epicardial or aortic SV ablation procedures to delineate anatomy of the coronary arteries. In addition, physicians capable of inserting percutaneous HS devices should be available in case of prolonged hemodynamic compromise. A cardiac surgeon should be available in case cardiopulmonary bypass, surgical HS, or sternotomy is required.

Epicardial VT procedures can be more complex than endocardial VT ablation procedures; these are discussed in more detail in Section 6.3. For adequate treatment of possible complications and also for surgical epicardial access, cardiac surgical backup is warranted.

5.3.4. Patient Safety—Due to procedural complexity and risks, catheter ablation for VAs should have appropriate patient safety and pertinent laboratory protocols in place. Electrophysiology laboratory equipment should undergo routine maintenance by a biomedical engineering department to ensure appropriate functionality and should be available for troubleshooting. In particular, routine assessment for external defibrillator functionality should be performed according to regulatory, manufacturer, and institutional policies. Radiation exposure should be minimized to the operator and patient with the use of digital-pulsed, low pulse rate fluoroscopy if feasible, and implementation of ALARA (as low as reasonably achievable) principles. Safety protocols should be in place for management of emergent complications, with a focus on those pertaining to higher-risk VA ablation (eg, epicardial access with surgical backup, hemodynamically unstable VAs requiring HS, acute coronary injury requiring coronary intervention).

5.4. Preprocedural Imaging

Recommendations for preprocedural imaging for VA catheter ablation

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	B-NR	1. In patients with LV dysfunction undergoing catheter ablation of VA, preprocedural or intraprocedural imaging is recommended to rule out cardiac thrombi.	S5.4.1–S5.4.6
IIa	B-NR	2. In patients with NICM or ICM undergoing catheter ablation of VT, preprocedural CMR can be useful to reduce VT recurrence.	S5.4.7–S5.4.9
IIa	B-NR	3. In patients with NICM or ICM undergoing catheter ablation of VA, preprocedural imaging can be useful for procedural planning.	S5.4.10–S5.4.26
IIa	C-EO	4. In patients with NICM, CMR can be useful prior to ICD implantation to allow imaging without device-related artifact for diagnostic purposes and identification of potential arrhythmogenic substrate.	
IIb	C-EO	5. In patients with ICM, CMR may be considered prior to ICD implantation to allow imaging without device-related artifact for identification of the potential arrhythmogenic substrate.	

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. In patients with LV dysfunction, recent preprocedural imaging should be performed if LV catheter manipulation is anticipated to assess for LV thrombi to prevent thromboembolic events. Typically, a transthoracic echocardiogram is performed to assess for intracardiac thrombi (S5.4.27,S5.4.28), and contrast echocardiography has further been shown to improve the yield of echocardiography to detect cardiac thrombi, especially in high-risk patients (S5.4.4,S5.4.29) and in patients with poor echocardiographic windows. CMR has been shown to be superior to transthoracic echocardiography for the detection of intracardiac thrombi (S5.4.5,S5.4.6,S5.4.30), especially in the presence of small and mural thrombi. To further minimize the possibility of thromboembolic events, for patients with concomitant AF, it might be prudent to also obtain a preprocedural transesophageal echocardiogram to rule out left atrial thrombi if defibrillation or cardioversion might be required during the ablation procedure (S5.4.27). An intracardiac echocardiography (ICE) can alternatively be performed prior to LV catheter manipulation if no recent imaging is available to rule out LV thrombi.
2. Preprocedural imaging with CMR and use of CMR for targeting the arrhythmogenic substrate has resulted in reduced VT recurrence and reduced mortality in a few observational studies (S5.4.7–S5.4.9). Patients with contraindications to CMR were excluded, and in one study, only patients with good image quality benefited from the preprocedural CMR (S5.4.9). CIEDs have long been considered as being contraindicated for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). However, provided that appropriate precautions are taken, MRIs in patients with CIEDs have been demonstrated to be safe in multiple studies and registries (S5.4.31–S5.4.33), and a recent HRS expert consensus statement details specific recommendations for MRIs in patients with CIEDs (S5.4.34).
3. By demonstrating location (S5.4.12,S5.4.25,S5.4.26) and extent (S5.4.26) of delayed enhancement, CMR helps to identify the location of the arrhythmogenic substrate and therefore is beneficial in periprocedural planning regarding the need for obtaining epicardial access. Other imaging modalities, such as CT and nuclear imaging, have been correlated with electroanatomical voltage mapping data to identify scarring by detecting areas of delayed enhancement (S5.4.10–S5.4.17,S5.4.19), wall thinning (S5.4.21), hypoperfusion (S5.4.20), and lack of metabolic activity (S5.4.24). Contrary to CMR, CT and nuclear imaging do not provide specific information about the precise extent of scarring within the myocardial wall; these imaging techniques might be preferable for preprocedural imaging in case of contraindications to CMR.
- 4,5. Prior to implantation of a cardioverter defibrillator, performing a CMR might be beneficial in patients with NICM and ICM. Especially for patients with NICM, the writing committee felt that in addition to localizing scar in the event of future VT development, a CMR will be beneficial for diagnostic reasons to clarify the etiology of NICM. In the *2013 ACC/AHA Guideline for Management of Heart*

Failure. CMR is considered a class IIa recommendation to assess for infiltrative disorders (S5.4.35). In general, it was felt that the higher the odds that patients might have recurrent VTs, the stronger the indication for a CMR prior to ICD implantation (for example, in patients with a secondary prevention indication for ICD implantation). Concerns about cost implications were the main reason why the writing committee issued a class IIb recommendation for patients with ICM.

Synopsis—Although randomized studies that demonstrate that the use of imaging results in improved procedural outcomes are lacking, pre-, intra- and postprocedural imaging is routinely used for VA ablation procedures.

Advances in imaging technology, image integration, and image analysis have paved the way for CMR and CT to take the lead as imaging modalities to define the presence and extent of SHD as well as to refine the characteristics of the arrhythmogenic substrate.

Due to the high spatial resolution of ex vivo MRI, myocardial scarring can be characterized with an almost histological precision (S5.4.36,S5.4.37). Therefore, LGE-CMR is often referred to as the gold standard for scar assessment. Unfortunately, a clinical CMR study obtained for a patient has a much lower spatial resolution due to the motion of the beating heart compared with an ex vivo MRI. Several studies have reported on the accuracy of CMR-defined scar by correlating CMR-defined scar with areas of low voltage from EAM data (S5.4.10–S5.4.17). Although differences exist between these studies, there is general agreement between imaging defined scar and the abnormal substrate defined by EAM. Important limitations of the EAM-defined substrate, however, need to be kept in mind, and there is mounting evidence for improved procedural outcomes with an imaging-guided ablation compared with an EAM-guided ablation approach (S5.4.7–S5.4.9). EAM-derived data reflect the 3D surface of the myocardium and lack information about the 3D anatomical and pathological integrity of the heart. Hence, CMR offers a more comprehensive assessment of the entire heart, especially of the intramural and epicardial myocardium, which is difficult, if not impossible, to assess with endocardial voltage mapping alone. Lack of adequate catheter contact with the myocardium can further impact on accuracy of EAM. These limitations can be overcome by integrating the CMR data into the EAM. Accurate registration of the CMR with the electroanatomical map is of critical importance to have reliable information about location and extent of myocardial scarring. The information about scar location has been supplemented by further characterization of the tissue heterogeneity within the scar that contains the surviving myofiber bundles as the arrhythmogenic substrate (S5.4.38–S5.4.40).

Two methods have been described to characterize tissue heterogeneity: the SD method, which uses the remote, unenhanced myocardium as a reference, defining scar if the signal intensity is above normal myocardium (usually $>2-3$ SD) (S5.4.11,S5.4.12,S5.4.41); and the signal intensity method (full-width at half maximum technique), which uses $>50\%$ of the maximal signal intensity within a region of interest to define scar. Various cutoff values have been used to distinguish the border zone from the scar core and have been correlated with outcomes (S5.4.38–S5.4.40). Controversy exists, however, about which of the methods and which of the cutoff values is preferable for assessment of tissue heterogeneity. It is

important to note that quantification of the border zone still awaits histologic validation. Limitations of LGE-CMR include the limited spatial resolution that is in the 1- to 2-mm range, making it difficult to characterize tissue heterogeneity if the wall thickness is in this range. This, among others, is one of the reasons why the assessment for LGE in the thinner RV is challenging when using standard LGE-CMR techniques. In the past, CIEDs have been considered to be contraindications for CMR. This is no longer the case, and provided that certain precautions are followed, CMR has been shown to be safe in several studies (S5.4.33) and registries (S5.4.31,S5.4.32). However, in the presence of a CIED, artifacts from the device generator, especially from ICDs, can obscure the myocardium and render a CMR study completely or partially nondiagnostic (S5.4.42). It is therefore recommended to perform CMRs prior to ICD implantation. The prevalence and extent of artifact can be minimized by wideband CMR sequences (S5.4.43). CMR in patients with NICM has been particularly helpful despite the presence of ICDs, and improved outcomes have been described in patients who underwent CMR prior to VT ablation procedures (S5.4.7–S5.4.9).

The advantage of multidetector cardiac CT (MDCT) over LGE-CMR is the higher spatial resolution that is in the submillimeter range. Various MDCT characteristics indicative of scarring have been described, including a degree of wall thinning with a cutoff wall thickness of <5 mm (S5.4.22), hypoattenuation (S5.4.20), and delayed enhancement (S5.4.19). More recently, thanks to the higher spatial resolution of MDCT, thicker ridges of tissue separating areas of thinning have been described within the myocardial scar harboring the majority of VT target sites in patients post infarction (S5.4.18). Hence, MDCT imaging has been found to be beneficial as an alternative to CMR to indicate the location of myocardial scarring, especially in the presence of contraindications for CMR. Although assessment of wall thickness in MDCT imaging has been used successfully in patients with prior infarctions, the ability to identify scarring based on wall thickness alone has been less successful (S5.4.21,S5.4.44) in patients with NICM, and might require a different approach (S5.4.19). MDCT is the imaging technique of choice for preprocedural imaging of the coronary arteries, the coronary veins, and the phrenic nerve. The ability to image the coronary arteries in conjunction with the ability to image epicardial fat thickness has been especially valuable for epicardial ablation procedures to enhance safety and to quantify epicardial fat thickness covering potential epicardial VT target sites (S5.4.45,S5.4.46).

Nuclear imaging for the purpose of facilitating VT ablation procedures has been described for PET and single-photon emission computerized tomography (SPECT) scanning, using CT for image integration. Although there is a correlation between PET/CT defined scar and EAM (S5.4.23, S5.4.24), the correlation of SPECT perfusion imaging with low-voltage areas on EAM is lower (S5.4.47). It is intriguing that metabolically active areas corresponding to critical VT sites have been identified; however, they might not correspond to areas of low voltage on the EAM (S5.4.23). Furthermore, sympathetic denervation can be imaged using tracers, such as ¹¹C-meta-hydroxyepinephrine and iodine-123-metaiodobenzylguanidine. Regional sympathetic denervation has been recognized as an imaging marker for an increased risk of sudden cardiac death (S5.4.48). Viable but denervated myocardium has been shown to be particularly sensitive to development of arrhythmias (S5.4.49,S5.4.50). Klein et al. (S5.4.51) have demonstrated that critical VT

ablation sites were located in denervated areas, some of which were located in areas with preserved bipolar voltage.

Finally, echocardiography is typically used to rule out the presence of cardiac thrombi (S5.4.27,S5.4.28) prior to an ablation in patients with SHD in whom catheter manipulation in the LV is anticipated. Although transthoracic echocardiography has been beneficial in identifying intracardiac thrombi (S5.4.1–S5.4.3), the use of contrast has been shown to further increase the yield of cardiac thrombi (S5.4.4,S5.4.29) and should be used if the LV endocardium is not well visualized. Although ablation procedures might be safe in the presence of laminated thrombi (S5.4.52), it would be prudent in the absence of an urgent indication for VT ablation, and especially in the presence of a mobile thrombus, to anticoagulate the patient for a period of time and reassess for LV thrombus prior to the ablation procedure. Not every patient has optimal echocardiographic windows that allow for comprehensive assessment of the entire endocardium to rule out LV thrombi, even if sonographic contrast is used. LGE-CMR and Cine-CMR are alternative imaging techniques that have a higher accuracy in identifying myocardial thrombi (S5.4.5,S5.4.6,S5.4.30), which should be kept in mind, particularly in patients for whom transthoracic echocardiography is considered insufficient to rule out an LV thrombus. The sensitivity of ICE for detection of LV thrombus has not been systematically studied, but it can provide real-time assessment immediately before catheter placement. To minimize the risk of thromboembolic events for patients with concomitant AF, a preprocedural transesophageal echocardiogram will be helpful to exclude a left atrial thrombus if a cardioversion is required during the ablation procedure (S5.4.27).

5.5. Patient Preparation

Heart failure, electrolyte abnormalities, and myocardial ischemia should be adequately treated and controlled, if possible, before the patient is subjected to an invasive electrophysiology study. Similarly, associated SHDs should be clearly defined, given that patients with conditions such as severe LV systolic dysfunction, severe coronary artery disease, critical aortic stenosis, and advanced renal impairment might not tolerate induction of arrhythmia, prolonged procedures, or fluid overload resulting from the use of saline-irrigated catheters. Although some of these conditions can be optimized prior to an elective procedure, this is often not possible for more emergent procedures. In these cases, a substrate-based ablation approach without repeated VT inductions might be preferable.

AADs, with the exception of amiodarone, should, if at all possible, be discontinued for at least 5 half-lives prior to the ablation procedure. This is almost mandatory for cases in which the primary strategy of mapping is not substratebased, but it might not be possible for emergency cases. Oral anticoagulants are generally discontinued prior to the ablation to achieve an international normalized ratio <1.5 at the time of the study. Direct oral anticoagulants should be withheld for 24–48 hours before the procedure, depending on anticipated access, and bridging with heparin can be used for patients with mechanical heart valves or other features that place them at high risk of thrombosis. The majority of the writing committee (60%) usually use bridging in patients with mechanical valves, where possible, but many distinguish between mechanical aortic valves, in which transseptal

access with continued anticoagulation can be used, and mechanical mitral valves, in which retrograde access is used. For elective procedures, imaging with ultrasound can help to define the feasibility of an arterial closure device, and therefore continued anticoagulation. Routine perioperative bridging in patients with AF who interrupted warfarin for procedures, including percutaneous transvascular procedures, was noninferior to no bridging in a large RCT with respect to the occurrence of thromboembolic events (S5.5.1). Approximately half of the writing committee do not use bridging in similar patients, and a further 20% do not use bridging in patients taking direct oral anticoagulants.

Informed consent needs to be obtained from the patient or his or her surrogate after discussing the risks and benefits involved in the procedure, as well as alternatives. The patient should fast overnight or for at least for 6 hours prior to the procedure (S5.5.2,S5.5.3).

Section 6 Intraprocedural Patient Care

6.1. Anesthesia

Recommendations for anesthesia during catheter ablation of VA

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	C-EO	1. Provision of variable depth of sedation, analgesia, and anesthesia during mapping and ablation of VA is recommended.	
I	C-EO	2. In patients undergoing VA ablation, careful preprocedural assessment is indicated to define the ideal strategy for sedation and analgesia.	
IIa	C-LD	3. It is reasonable to avoid general anesthesia and deeper levels of sedation in patients with idiopathic VA, particularly if the arrhythmia is suspected to be catecholamine-sensitive or was not inducible at a prior procedure.	S6.1.1
IIb	B-NR	4. Moderate to deep sedation under close hemodynamic and respiratory monitoring might be considered for VA ablation in stable patients with idiopathic or scar-related VAs expected to have a longer procedure or undergo a painful technique, such as epicardial access.	S6.1.1– S6.1.3

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. The American Society of Anesthesiologists defines levels of sedation in a continuum, varying from minimal sedation (anxiolysis), to moderate sedation or analgesia (conscious sedation), to deep sedation or analgesia, to general anesthesia (S6.1.4). Careful preprocedural assessment is indicated to define the ideal strategy for sedation and analgesia based on age and comorbidities, targeted arrhythmia, planned procedure, risk for airway obstruction, and patient wishes. General anesthesia ensures patient comfort that facilitates vascular and especially epicardial access, and also ensures immobility during catheter manipulation for mapping and ablation, especially for procedures of long duration. However, a major disadvantage of general anesthesia is its potential suppression of VA. Elimination of mental stress and the related changes in the autonomic tone during general anesthesia or deep sedation can potentially decrease the spontaneous manifestation of catecholamine-sensitive VA and the induction/maintenance of reentrant VT (S6.1.5–S6.1.8). Inhaled anesthetics that prolong action-potential duration and ventricular

refractoriness (sevoflurane and isoflurane) and intravenous anesthetics that reduce sympathetic tone (dexmedetomidine) are commonly avoided for ablation procedures (S6.1.5,S6.1.6). Additionally, most of the anesthetic agents used for sedation and analgesia reduce myocardial contractility and systemic vascular resistance, causing hypotension that could be exacerbated during VT, requiring pharmacological or mechanical HS. Those cardiovascular effects are more intense at the anesthetic doses needed for general anesthesia, which additionally produce higher attenuation of sympathetic tone. General anesthesia or the use of cardiodepressive medications, such as propofol for anesthesia in patients with severely compromised LV function, can result in acute hemodynamic compromise during the ablation procedure, and its use needs to be carefully considered for these patients. If general anesthesia is used during epicardial ablation procedures, the concomitant use of muscle relaxants could preclude identification of the phrenic nerve during epicardial ablation. Use of a short-acting muscle relaxant during induction of anesthesia is acceptable, without redosing; however, it could necessitate a deeper level of anesthesia with resultant exaggeration of the above-mentioned hypotensive effects. Nevertheless, the effects of propofol on cardiac electrophysiological properties are diverse and could include arrhythmia suppression. In fact, propofol has been associated with suppression of VT and VT storm (S6.1.7).

The need for sedation and analgesia varies throughout the procedure, being higher during vascular and epicardial access and cardioversion or defibrillation. On the other hand, a more superficial level of sedation (or any) is desirable to prevent VA suppression and to assure VT induction and maintenance for mapping. Short-acting agents are considered more suitable to provide those rapid changes in the requirements of sedation level during mapping and ablation of VAs (S6.1.9). Intravenous boli of midazolam and fentanyl at repeated doses as needed was reported by a single-center observational study as a feasible and safe strategy for minimal sedation in patients with idiopathic PVC or VT planned for shorter procedures (S6.1.1). However, even shorter-acting sedatives such as midazolam have the potential for suppressing idiopathic VA for prolonged periods of time and need to be used with caution during procedures targeting idiopathic VAs, such as frequent PVCs. A strategy to use shorter-acting sedatives such as propofol for allowing temporary deeper sedation is frequently used initially, and if VAs are suppressed, sedation can be reduced or discontinued to allow for arrhythmias to reappear as necessary. For patients with scar-related VTs, sedatives such as midazolam, which has the additional benefit of amnesia, do not impact on inducibility of VT and can be used in conjunction with analgesics and/or other sedatives such as propofol to achieve the desired level of sedation. Continuous infusion of propofol, alone or complemented with repeated boli of fentanyl (as needed), has been proposed for deep sedation of stable patients during ablation of idiopathic or scar-related VT that are expected to have a longer duration or that require more painful and challenging techniques, such as epicardial access. Two single-center observational studies reported that this strategy can be safely performed in this subset of patients under

close hemodynamic monitoring (S6.1.1,S6.1.2). Propofol had to be discontinued (switched to midazolam) in 11.7% of the procedures, predominantly due to hypotension presenting in elderly patients and prolonged procedural duration (S6.1.2). Respiratory depression resulting in sustained oxygen saturation of <90% requiring reduction of propofol and transitory mechanical maneuvers to assist ventilation was present in 1.5% of the patients in the same study.

2. The selection of sedation strategy for patients undergoing ablation of VAs requires a balance between the desire of assuring a comfortable and safe procedure and the need to induce the targeted arrhythmia (S6.1.9). Proactive communication between the operator and the anesthesia team and with the patient about the patient's needs and desires, as well as the electrophysiological requirements, helps to select a sedation strategy that is in the best interest of the patient.
3. In the prospective arm of a large observational study, in which patients with sustained, monomorphic VT and SHD underwent noninvasive programmed stimulation (NIPS) under minimal sedation, followed by invasive programmed stimulation under general anesthesia using propofol or isoflurane, >90% remained inducible under general anesthesia, although in half, a different VT was induced (S6.1.10). General anesthesia was associated, however, with less hemodynamic stability and greater use of vasopressor support with phenylephrine, without an adverse effect on procedural outcomes. More aggressive stimulation was often required with general anesthesia than with conscious sedation (S6.1.10). In another cohort of 25 patients referred for epicardial ablation in the setting of NICM, a strategy of initial programmed stimulation under sedation with midazolam, fentanyl, or remifentanyl led to induction of tolerated VT in 15 patients, and avoidance of epicardial access (which was performed under general anesthesia) altogether occurred in 10 (40%) (S6.1.3).

On the basis of the cited single-center observational studies (S6.1.1–S6.1.3,S6.1.5–S6.1.11) about the various sedative and analgesic strategies for ablation of VAs, it is reasonable to avoid general anesthesia and deeper levels of sedation in patients with idiopathic VAs (PVC or VT) planned for shorter procedures, particularly when the arrhythmia is suspected to be catecholamine-sensitive (typically, automatic and triggered arrhythmias) or was not inducible during a prior procedure. In these patients, a minimal sedation strategy with short-acting sedatives and analgesics, with repeated doses as needed, can be useful to ensure adequate sedation without VA suppression.

4. Epicardial scar-related VT mapping and ablation under deep sedation with sufentanil or remifentanyl and intermittent bolus of midazolam was reported as a feasible and safe strategy in a single-center prospective series and a case report (S6.1.9,S6.1.11). Interestingly, no respiratory failure resulting in endotracheal intubation or prolonged periods of hypotension requiring HS were observed (except during periods of unstable VT) in these cases (combined 79

procedures in 73 patients). Importantly, this strategy allowed epicardial access with comfort, preventing the need for general anesthesia and muscle relaxants (facilitating the identification of the phrenic nerve during epicardial ablation), and providing rapid recuperation of consciousness with no recall of punctures or cardioversions. Remifentanyl is an opioid with an analgesedative effect that can have advantages for ablation of VAs, including a lack of negative inotropic effect, comparatively little effect on arrhythmogenicity, time-to-peak effect of approximately 90 seconds and a half-life of 3–4 minutes (S6.1.9). This agent is also associated with hypotension likely secondary to vasodilatation and bradycardia.

Moderate to deep sedation with continuous infusion of propofol and repeated boli of fentanyl under close hemodynamic and respiratory monitoring might be considered for stable patients with ablation of idiopathic or scar-related VA with no indication for general anesthesia who are expected to undergo a procedure of longer duration or for patients who require more painful techniques, such as epicardial access. Another short-action anesthetic, such as remifentanyl or sufentanil, could also be considered. Of note, patients with severe hemodynamic compromise, severe life-threatening comorbidities or acute illness, respiratory failure, high risk for airway obstruction, or who were intubated before the procedure for arrhythmia storm or cardiogenic shock were excluded in the cited studies and are also traditionally considered candidates for general anesthesia. Hospital and regulatory guidance varies widely on the training and specialization required for different levels of sedation and administration of various drugs (see Section 11).

6.2. Vascular Access

Recommendation for vascular access during catheter ablation of VA

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	B-NR	1. Ultrasound-guided femoral arterial and venous access is recommended to reduce the incidence of vascular access complications during VA ablation.	S6.2.1–S6.2.5

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. Significant vascular complications occur in approximately 2% of VT ablation procedures (S6.2.6), which are further detailed in Section 10. Ultrasound-guided femoral arterial and venous access has been widely implemented in electrophysiological procedures in an effort to reduce vascular complications. Several observational studies of electrophysiological procedures, mostly examining AF ablation, one RCT, and a meta-analysis have shown an association of ultrasound guidance with a reduction in major and minor vascular access complications and bleeding (S6.2.1–S6.2.4). One observational study reported the rate of major complications in the subgroup undergoing VA ablation, which was 8.9% in the conventional group and 0% in the ultrasound-guided group (S6.2.1). In a single RCT in patients undergoing AF ablation, ultrasound

guidance reduced time, additional punctures, arterial puncture, and unsuccessful access. Although it increased first pass success, however, it was underpowered for the primary endpoint of major vascular access complications due to a lower than expected observed complication rate (S6.2.3). Similarly, a meta-analysis of nonrandomized controlled trials of ultrasound- vs fluoroscopy- or palpation-guided femoral arterial access found it was associated with a reduction in the number of attempts and vascular complications (S6.2.4). An RCT of ultrasound- vs fluoroscopic-guided retrograde femoral arterial access in patients undergoing angiography or interventional procedures found that ultrasound guidance reduced the number of attempts, time to access, risk of venipuncture, and vascular complications (S6.2.5).

Synopsis—For VA ablation, standard percutaneous vascular access techniques are used. The choice of peripheral vascular access depends upon several factors, including the arrhythmia’s SOO, the location of any substrate identified on pre- or intraprocedural imaging, the patient’s anatomy, and the presence of peripheral vascular disease or venous occlusion or agenesis. LV access can be achieved through either retrograde transaortic or antegrade transseptal approaches, depending on patient specifics and operator preference, the latter especially in the presence of mechanical aortic valve replacement or severe aortic or peripheral vascular disease. Although most areas of the endocardial LV can be accessed by either approach, the degree of contact force can vary (eg, contact force was significantly higher transseptally in the midanteroseptum, mid-lateral, and apical segments, and significantly higher with a retrograde approach in the basalanteroseptum, basal-inferoseptum, basal-inferior, and the basal-lateral segments) (S6.2.7). If a retrograde access to the LV endocardium has been chosen, the use of long sheaths can be helpful in the presence of tortuosity of the iliac arteries or the distal aorta. Once vascular access has been obtained, heparin can be administered to prevent clotting of the sheaths.

6.3. Epicardial Access

Recommendations for epicardial access for catheter ablation

COR	LOE	Recommendations
I	C-EO	1. In patients undergoing epicardial VT ablation, imaging of the epicardial coronary arteries by coronary arteriography or coronary CT angiogram prior to ablation is recommended to reduce the risk of arterial injury.
I	C-EO	2. In patients undergoing epicardial VT ablation via a percutaneous approach, provision for immediate echocardiography, blood transfusion, and onsite cardiothoracic surgical backup is recommended.
I	C-EO	3. In patients with prior cardiac surgery or pericardial adhesions for whom epicardial VT ablation via a percutaneous approach is considered, careful assessment of the risk/benefit ratio and alternative therapies such as surgical dissection are recommended.
I	C-EO	4. In patients undergoing epicardial VT ablation, pacing with high stimulus intensity from the ablation electrode to rule out diaphragmatic stimulation is recommended to avoid phrenic nerve injury.

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. Inadvertent injury to an epicardial coronary artery can occur by puncture or laceration with a needle and is recognized by aspiration of arterial blood from the pericardial space. Arterial injury can require arterial stenting or surgical repair. In addition, application of RF current within 5 mm of an epicardial coronary artery can result in stenosis, spasm, or occlusion of the vessel (S6.3.4.1–S6.3.4.3). Because of the risk of arterial injury, imaging of the coronary arteries with arteriography is essential. The use of other coronary artery imaging techniques assumes that an adequate registration of imaging data has been accomplished. Hence, coronary angiography is the preferred technique.
2. Epicardial ablation requires considerable preparation prior to the procedure. Major complications occur in approximately 5% of epicardial ablation procedures (S6.3.4.4). Unintended puncture of the RV can occur in up to 17% of cases (S6.3.4.4), although bleeding is usually self-limited provided that a sheath has not been introduced through the RV free wall. The patient's blood should be typed and cross-matched for immediate transfusion should significant bleeding occur. Because bleeding within the pericardial space is common, intracardiac or transthoracic echocardiography should be immediately available. In addition, cardiothoracic surgical backup should be readily available. A potentially serious complication of percutaneous subxiphoid transpericardial puncture is laceration of the liver or intra-abdominal arteries. Thus, unexplained hypotension should prompt imaging of these structures to evaluate possible intra-abdominal bleeding that could necessitate surgical repair.
3. The presence of pericardial adhesions can severely restrict the ability to maneuver a guidewire or catheter within the pericardial space. Thus, patients with prior cardiac surgery or a history of pericarditis can present significant challenges for epicardial ablation. Although dissection of pericardial adhesions using a guidewire, deflectable catheter, or deflectable sheath can be useful to allow epicardial mapping (S6.3.4.5–S6.3.4.7), access to the entire epicardial surface might not be possible, and loculated pericardial effusions that are challenging to drain percutaneously can occur in this setting. A planned surgical dissection of pericardial adhesions can allow dense adhesions to be safely lysed and allow epicardial ablation in selected cases (S6.3.4.8, S6.3.4.9).
4. Damage to the phrenic nerves can occur if the ablation electrode lies adjacent to these structures (S6.3.4.10) and can be minimized by pacing from the ablation electrode using high stimulus intensity to identify phrenic nerve stimulation. If phrenic nerve stimulation is observed during pacing, mechanical means to protect the phrenic nerve might be required, such as inflation of an intrapericardial balloon (S6.3.4.11).

6.3.1. Background—VA can originate from the endocardium, the midmyocardium, or might involve the epicardium. The latter is particularly true for patients with NICMs, including idiopathic DCM (S6.3.4.12–S6.3.4.14), ARVC (S6.3.4.15–S6.3.4.19),

myocarditis (S6.3.4.20), sarcoidosis (S6.3.4.21,S6.3.4.22), and ChD (S6.3.4.23–S6.3.4.26), as well as Brugada syndrome (S6.3.4.27–S6.3.4.30). Also, for patients with VT following MI, the arrhythmogenic substrate can be located in the midmyocardium or in the subepicardial region (S6.3.4.31–S6.3.4.36). Finally, idiopathic VA can originate from the LV epicardium (S6.3.4.37–S6.3.4.40). The percutaneous technique for epicardial mapping and ablation in patients with Chagas cardiomyopathy (CCM) was described by Sosa et al. in 1996 (S6.3.4.23). Since that initial description, epicardial ablation has become an important technique to effectively treat VA in a variety of diseases. Despite mapping data implicating an epicardial origin of postinfarction VT in up to one-third of cases (S6.3.4.31,S6.3.4.32,S6.3.4.41), epicardial ablation procedures are typically performed in only a small minority of patients post infarction. This is most likely due to the predominant endocardial involvement of postinfarction scarring and the three-dimensionality of reentry circuits that can include the endocardium. The anatomical distribution of post-MI epicardial VTs is highly associated with infarctions in the distribution of the left circumflex or right coronary arteries (S6.3.4.42). The prevalence of epicardial VT in patients with NICMs appears to be significantly higher than for VT following MI (S6.3.4.13,S6.3.4.43,S6.3.4.44). The site of scarring and slowed conduction supporting VT in NICM is most often located on the epicardial surface of the basal lateral LV (S6.3.4.43,S6.3.4.44). Thus, epicardial ablation is an important technique to address VT in a variety of SHDs, particularly in those with NICM.

6.3.2. Criteria Suggesting Epicardial Substrate—Several factors suggest an epicardial substrate for VT, including the anatomical location of scar on CMR or CT imaging (S6.3.4.12,S6.3.4.45,S6.3.4.46), unipolar voltage mapping from the endocardium (S6.3.4.14), and the lack of identifiable scarring or regions of slow conduction with endocardial mapping. The presence of a subepicardial or midmyocardial scar with contrast-enhanced CT or CMR is a valuable tool to identify potential epicardial substrates for VT and correlates with voltage mapping (S6.3.4.45,S6.3.4.46). Preprocedural imaging is therefore useful to select patients for epicardial mapping and ablation and to guide the operator to the regions of interest. The surface ECG (S6.3.4.47–S6.3.4.51) during VT provides important clues to an epicardial origin, including the presence of a pseudo-delta wave (34 ms in duration) in the precordial leads, an intrinsicoid deflection to the peak of the R wave in lead V2 85 ms, and an RS duration of 121 ms, although with limited specificity in patients with ICM (S6.3.4.47,S6.3.4.48). An ECG algorithm to identify epicardial VT in patients with NICM includes the absence of Q waves in the inferior leads with either pseudo-delta waves (75 ms), a maximum deflection index 0.59, or a Q wave in lead I (S6.3.4.51). The reported sensitivity and specificity of these criteria to predict successful epicardial ablation in NICM can exceed 90% (S6.3.4.51). ICE has also been shown to be useful for identifying epicardial scar as VT substrate with increased echogenicity strongly correlating with the results of EAM (S6.3.4.52). Although the origin of epicardial VTs in patients with SHD depends on scar location, in patients without SHD, the SOO is often in close proximity to the mitral annulus and the LV summit. This area can be reached and mapped via the coronary venous system. Scar-related VTs are often beyond the reach of the coronary venous system and hence require different access to the pericardial space.

6.3.3. Epicardial Access Technique—Access to the epicardium is most often achieved with a subxiphoid, transpericardial puncture using either a 17–18-gauge, 6-inch Tuohy or similar needle with a beveled tip (S6.3.4.23), a thinner 21-gauge needle (S6.3.4.53), or a needle-in-needle technique (S6.3.4.53,S6.3.4.54). Three-quarters of the writing committee who perform epicardial access use a Tuohy needle, whereas the rest use the needle-in-needle technique. The latter approach uses a 7-cm, 18-gauge needle for support while puncturing the skin and subcutaneous tissues, while a longer (15–20-cm) 21-gauge micropuncture needle is inserted through the 18-gauge needle to puncture the parietal pericardium (S6.3.4.54). With either approach, small amounts of radiographic contrast are injected to confirm that the needle is within the pericardial space and that contrast moves freely within this space. A long guidewire is then advanced through the needle, with care taken to ensure that it moves freely to surround the cardiac silhouette. The angle of entry into the pericardial space can be either anterior, in which case the guidewire travels superiorly over the free wall of the RV, or posterior (inferior approach), in which the guidewire travels beneath the LV inferior wall before traveling superiorly toward the posterobasal LV. Transpericardial access should be guided by fluoroscopy, including a steep left anterior oblique or lateral view; the latter being useful for an anterior access. Once successful entry within the pericardial space is achieved, a sheath is advanced over the guidewire, allowing the mapping and ablation catheter to be moved across the epicardial surface. Percutaneous subxiphoid access to the pericardial space might not be feasible in patients with dense pericardial adhesions, including patients with prior cardiac surgery, previous pericarditis, or prior epicardial ablation procedures (S6.3.4.5–S6.3.4.7). For these patients, a limited thoracotomy with a small subxiphoid or larger left lateral thoracotomy might be required that allows for manual lysis of adhesions and control of bleeding (S6.3.4.8,S6.3.4.9). Thus, a collaborative approach with a cardiothoracic surgeon and an electrophysiologist might be required for patients in whom a percutaneous approach is not feasible because of pericardial adhesions. The presence of less extensive pericardial adhesions can be approached percutaneously, using a deflectable catheter and sheath for adhesion lysis. Insufflation of carbon dioxide or contrast via intentional exit into the pericardial space from the right atrium (S6.3.4.55) or coronary venous system (S6.3.4.56) could have a role in determining the extent of adhesions in patients with prior cardiac surgery (S6.3.4.55) and can facilitate epicardial access; however, further study is required.

Catheter ablation on the epicardial surface is usually performed with irrigated RF current, though cryoablation has also been reported (S6.3.4.57–S6.3.4.61). Use of a low irrigation flow rate (5–7 mL/min) appears to result in a similar lesion size as the higher flows used in endocardial ablation while limiting intrapericardial fluid accumulation, which reduces lesion size (S6.3.4.58). Frequent aspiration of irrigant is also important to prevent hemodynamic compromise. The use of contact force-sensing catheters can improve orientation of the ablation electrode so that current is directed toward the epicardial surface rather than toward the parietal pericardium (S6.3.4.62–S6.3.4.64). Epicardial fat >5 mm in thickness results in reduced electrogram voltage and increased stimulation threshold, and could limit the depth of RF lesions (S6.3.4.41,S6.3.4.65–S6.3.4.70). Epicardial fat is typically clustered along the course of epicardial coronary arteries, within the AV grooves, and along the free wall of the RV.

6.3.4. Epicardial Access Complications—The complications of epicardial ablation are important to consider. Major complications have been reported in approximately 5% of patients (S6.3.4.4,S6.3.4.44). The most common complication of epicardial mapping and ablation is pericarditis, which can occur in over 20% of patients (S6.3.4.44). The use of systemic or intrapericardial steroids can decrease the risk and severity of pericarditis (see Section 10.1). Inadvertent puncture of the RV can occur in up to 17% of cases and usually results in self-limited bleeding of <80 cc venous blood (S6.3.4.4). Avoidance of dual antiplatelet therapy can reduce periprocedural bleeding during epicardial ablation. Inadvertent puncture of the RV can be recognized if a guidewire can be advanced into the pulmonary artery. This is managed by withdrawing the guidewire from the RV, withdrawing the needle, and readvancing the wire until the pericardial space is accessed. If the needle enters and then exits the RV before pericardial access is obtained, a “through and through” puncture of the RV can result. In this case, hemodynamic collapse might occur only on removal of the sheath at the end of the procedure. This complication can be detected when bleeding occurs after withdrawal of the pericardial sheath with the guide wire still in place. It is recommended to advance a guide wire into the pericardial space prior to sheath removal and to observe the puncture site for bleeding before removing the guide wire. Other complications of percutaneous, subxiphoid pericardial access include laceration or puncture of an epicardial coronary artery or vein (which could necessitate coronary stenting or surgical repair), RV pseudoaneurysm, and coronary spasm (S6.3.4.71). RF current that is applied within 5 mm of an epicardial coronary artery can produce occlusion, spasm, or stenosis of the artery (S6.3.4.1–S6.3.4.3). Because of this risk, imaging of the coronary arteries with arteriography or preprocedural CT angiography is essential to accurately localize the ablation electrode relative to the position of the coronary arteries. The left phrenic nerve has a variable course and can be damaged by RF or cryoablation energy (S6.3.4.10,S6.3.4.11). Preprocedural imaging (see Section 5) has been particularly helpful to display the anatomical course of the coronary arteries and the phrenic nerve, thereby preventing damage to these structures. Reliance on imaging only, however, might cause damage to these structures in case of imperfect image registration. Also, pacing from the ablation electrode with high stimulus intensity prior to ablation should be performed to exclude phrenic nerve stimulation. In some cases in which the ablation target is in close proximity to the phrenic nerve, a balloon or steerable catheter can be advanced into the pericardial space to shield the nerve from ablation-related injury (S6.3.4.10,S6.3.4.72); the use of air and fluid in the pericardial space has also been reported to reduce the risk of phrenic nerve damage (S6.3.4.11). Of note, the presence of air in the pericardial space can increase the defibrillation threshold, requiring emergent decompression or internal defibrillation if defibrillation is required (S6.3.4.73).

Esophageal injury can also occur if ablation is performed in the posterior LV (S6.3.4.74–S6.3.4.76). Although the exact mechanism and risk factors are unclear, esophageal temperature monitoring might be warranted before ablating near the esophagus. A significant complication of subxiphoid transpericardial access is bleeding within the abdomen from laceration of the liver or an intra-abdominal artery (S6.3.4.71). Unexplained hypotension during or after epicardial ablation should lead to prompt investigation of possible intra-abdominal bleeding, which could require surgical repair.

Because of the many important complications that can occur with epicardial ablation, extensive experience with this procedure is required. Careful preprocedural planning is essential, including immediate access to echocardiography, imaging of the coronary arteries, provision for immediate blood transfusion of cross-matched blood, and backup cardiothoracic and general surgical support.

6.4. Intraprocedural Hemodynamic Support

Recommendations for catheter ablation of VA with mechanical HS

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	C-EO	1. In select patients at risk of requiring HS, a decision to proceed with catheter ablation of VA should be made in collaboration with specialists in advanced heart failure management.	
IIa	B-NR	2. In select patients, HS with a percutaneous VAD and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation during VT ablation can be useful to avoid acute hemodynamic deterioration.	S6.4.1–S6.4.7
IIb	B-NR	3. Mechanical HS may be considered in select cases to allow mapping and ablation of unstable VTs.	S6.4.1–S6.4.6

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. In patients with VAs and severe comorbidities, incessant or recurrent VTs treated by multiple ICD shocks might cause end organ hypoperfusion and further deterioration in cardiac function (S6.4.8,S6.4.9). Planning for a VA ablation procedure, in which there is a significant risk that it will be complicated by hemodynamic deterioration, should involve specialists in heart failure. The patient’s values regarding the various temporary and permanent HS options and their feasibility should be considered.
2. Data about strategies for HS during VT ablation are available from single and multicenter, nonrandomized, retrospective, and observational trials, which have demonstrated feasibility and safety (S6.4.1–S6.4.6). Mapping of nontolerated VTs can be performed for longer periods of time when HS with either percutaneous left ventricular assist device (pLVAD) (Tandem Heart, CardiacAssist, Pittsburgh, PA; and Impella, Abiomed, Danvers, MA) or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation is performed as opposed to no support or intra-aortic balloon pump (IABP) (S6.4.1,S6.4.2). A large retrospective multicenter report, however, has demonstrated that the use of HS was associated with higher acute ablation failure rates, increased periprocedural complications, higher mortality, and a higher rate of VT recurrence (S6.4.6). It is possible that HS was used in patients with more severe disease in this study, and outcome data were similar between the HS and no HS groups compared with patients with severely compromised EF (< 20%) and advanced heart failure (NYHA class III and IV). Hence, the use of HS in these patients needs to be carefully considered. Of note, data supporting HS as a bailout strategy during or right after VT ablation are poor and do not demonstrate a benefit for procedural outcomes and survival (S6.4.3,S6.4.5,S6.4.7).

3. The benefit of HS to allow mapping of unstable VTs needs to be weighed against the potential detrimental effects of HS, depending on the type of support that is used, including vascular damage due to large-bore venous and arterial access sites, among others (S6.4.1). Prevention of hemodynamic deterioration during the ablation procedure by cautious use of cardiodepressive medications such as propofol could obviate the necessity for HS; also, the use of general anesthesia has been identified as a factor associated with hemodynamic deterioration and needs to be carefully considered in patients with severely impaired myocardial function. Adequate patient selection using risk assessment algorithms (see Section 5) could help to identify patients for whom HS might be beneficial.

6.5. Intraoperative Anticoagulation

Recommendations for intraoperative anticoagulation

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	B-NR	1. In patients undergoing endocardial LV catheter mapping and/or ablation, intraoperative systemic anticoagulation with intravenous heparin is recommended.	S6.5.1–S6.5.6
I	C-EO	2. In patients undergoing RV endocardial mapping and/or ablation who are considered high risk for thromboembolism, intraoperative systemic anticoagulation with intravenous heparin is recommended.	
IIa	C-LD	3. In patients undergoing epicardial access after systemic heparinization, reversal of heparin with protamine is reasonable.	S6.5.7,S6.5.8

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. Catheter ablation of VA can be associated with risk of thrombus formation and thromboembolism. Although the individual risk varies with type and site of ablation and patient factors, the risk of clinically apparent stroke or thromboembolism in patients with SHD undergoing VT ablation in RCTs has ranged from 0% to 1.9% (S6.5.1–S6.5.5) and is lower in patients without SHD (S6.5.9). A small study with diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance brain imaging demonstrated the presence of a new brain lesion in 58% of patients undergoing LV endocardial ablation (S6.5.10). These lesions were detected in the absence of clinically apparent stroke; no brain lesion was identified in patients undergoing RV ablation. Although systemic heparinization has been routine for LV endocardial ablation since the earliest large-scale investigations, few studies have compared different approaches to anticoagulation before, during, or after the VT ablation procedure (S6.5.6,S6.5.7) (Table 9). Systemic anticoagulation with heparin is recommended for all procedures that last more than several minutes involving left heart catheterization. Intraoperative anticoagulation schemes differ between centers. Unfractionated heparin is commonly administered after sheath insertion as an initial bolus (empirical dose 5000–10,000 IU or 50–100 IU/kg) followed by intermittent bolus and/or continuous infusion to maintain a target activated clotting time (ACT) longer than 250–350 seconds (S6.5.4,S6.5.6–S6.5.8,S6.5.10,S6.5.11). In a survey regarding intraoperative anticoagulation among the writing committee

members, for idiopathic VA, 48% of the responders use ACT levels longer than 250 seconds, 39% longer than 300 seconds, and 13% longer than 350 seconds. For patients with SHD, 25% of the committee members use an ACT target of 250 seconds, 58% use a target longer than 300 seconds, and 17% use a target longer than 350 seconds. The ACT level should be checked at 15-minute intervals until therapeutic anticoagulation is achieved, and then at 15- to 30-minute intervals for the duration of the procedure. Approximately 90% of the surveyed committee members routinely check for ACT during VT ablation procedures. Most members use either a Hemochron ACT (Instrumentation Laboratory, Bedford, MA) or an i-STAT (Abbott Point of Care Inc., Princeton, NJ) device for ACT monitoring. For transseptal access, heparin should be administered prior to or immediately following the transseptal puncture. More than 70% of the writing committee members surveyed routinely administer heparin before the transseptal puncture, and approximately 20% use a higher target ACT when a transseptal approach is used. Among the writing committee members, 85% use continuous flushing for sheaths, especially if a transseptal approach is used. Heparin infusion can be discontinued once all catheters are removed from left sided chambers. In the event of significant persistent bleeding or cardiac tamponade, protamine should be administered to reverse heparin. In patients with a history of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia or allergy, a direct thrombin inhibitor, such as bivalirudin or argatroban, can be considered as an alternative for intraprocedural anticoagulation; however, limited experience exists in patients undergoing VA ablation (S6.5.11).

2. During RV endocardial mapping, systemic anticoagulation with heparin is not necessary unless other factors are present that increase the thromboembolic risk. The use of heparin can, however, prevent deep venous thrombosis or pulmonary embolism, especially when a prolonged procedure with multiple venous catheters and extensive ablation is anticipated. Similarly, patients with a history of deep venous thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, hypercoagulable state (eg, factor V Leiden), right-to-left cardiac shunt, severe RV dilatation, and advanced heart failure should undergo systemic anticoagulation. Among the writing committee members, 65% reported routine use of heparin for VT ablation procedures even in the absence of risk factors for thromboembolism.
3. Anticoagulation is not required solely for epicardial mapping and/or ablation. If LV mapping is planned, epicardial access can be obtained prior to LV instrumentation and systemic anticoagulation (see Section 6.3). When epicardial access is required after therapeutic heparinization, reversal of heparin anticoagulation with protamine is typically performed (S6.5.12,S6.5.13). Two small studies reported epicardial access in fully anticoagulated patients without a major increase in risk of bleeding complications (S6.5.14,S6.5.15). When the writing committee was surveyed about epicardial access after full heparinization, half of the writing committee would administer protamine for heparin reversal even when extensive endocardial ablation had been performed, 20% would administer protamine only after limited LV endocardial ablation, and another

20% of the writing committee members would terminate the procedure and schedule an epicardial ablation as a separate procedure.

6.6. Antibiotic Prophylaxis

Prophylactic antibiotics are not generally indicated for sterile procedures such as VA ablation (S6.6.1). However, patients with ICDs undergoing VA ablation, in which catheters might be in direct contact with the intravascular leads, could present a special circumstance. No data exist to support this practice, nor is a specific antibiotic preferred over another. Approximately 40% of the writing committee members administer prophylactic antibiotics to patients with a pacemaker or ICD undergoing VA ablation, whereas a quarter never do, and the remaining do in select patients based on potential risk factors for device infection, such as a prosthetic valve or use of a Foley catheter. Thirty percent of the writing committee use antibiotic prophylaxis for patients undergoing epicardial access.

6.7. Fluid Balance

Careful monitoring of fluid balance is essential in patients undergoing VA ablation, especially given that many have impaired ventricular function, putting them at risk of volume overload, and they might have renal impairment. Various irrigated catheters are currently in use, allowing for different flow rates, and hence, different volume loads. A urinary catheter might not be required for cases performed under sedation when the anticipated procedure duration is short, but for longer ablation procedures, it should be considered. This permits more precise assessment of the fluid balance, which becomes particularly important for patients in whom irrigated-tip RF catheters are used. However, urinary catheters can cause urinary tract infection and bleeding; thus, a sterile technique is essential during insertion and after-care. After measurement of volume infused and eliminated (“ins and outs”), an intravenous loop diuretic should be considered, especially with a large infused volume, a significantly positive procedural fluid balance, and impaired LV function.

Section 7 Electrophysiological Testing

PES is a key component of the VT ablation procedure, allowing for mapping of induced arrhythmias. In addition, a baseline assessment of arrhythmia induction can be useful by comparison for the eventual assessment of procedural outcome (see Section 9.17). Given the majority of idiopathic VAs are caused by cAMP-mediated delayed afterdepolarizations, they can be provoked with catecholamine infusion and burst pacing (S7.1,S7.2). Although purely substrate-based ablation (without arrhythmia induction) can be performed, many laboratories perform programmed stimulation in select patients with reentrant scar-related VT for several reasons: 1) to perform activation and entrainment mapping in tolerated VT; 2) to identify the clinical VT morphologies to better focus substrate-based ablation; and 3) to limit the extent of ablation delivery. Although “legacy” protocols for programmed stimulation have been proposed (S7.3,S7.4), most laboratories that perform programmed stimulation would hold to a minimal standard of at least 1 site and 2 drive CLs, with the delivery of 1–4 ventricular extrastimuli at coupling intervals limited by local refractoriness or a minimum of 180–200 ms. Given known site dependence for induction of reentrant VT, additional sites

of stimulation (particularly the LV) can be useful. The use of long–short sequences during programmed stimulation has been particularly helpful to induce BBRVT that can easily be addressed with an ablation procedure.

The most important objective of the ablation procedure is to identify and eliminate the clinical VT. Prior studies have demonstrated that programmed stimulation results in VT induction in 93%–95% of patients with healed MI and a history of sustained VT (S7.4,S7.5). Importantly, these studies were concerned with induction of any VT, but not necessarily the clinical VTs. Limited data are available to address the question of how reliable PES is in reproducing all clinically pertinent VT morphologies. Given that 12-lead ECGs of the clinical VT are frequently not available, ICD electrograms have been described to reliably identify the clinical VT when induced in the electrophysiology lab (S7.6). Unfortunately, the clinical VT is not always inducible: 7%–24% of patients are completely noninducible (S7.7–S7.9), and the clinical VT cannot be induced in another 13%–30% of patients (S7.6,S7.7,S7.10). Furthermore, induction of VTs that have not been previously documented occurs frequently, depending on whether the PES protocol is completed, until all extrastimuli are delivered from multiple sites and refractoriness has been reached. Elimination of all inducible VTs has been found to result in improved outcomes (S7.11,S7.12); hence, this is the ideal objective of the ablation procedure. Inducibility of nonclinical VTs post ablation has been found to be associated with a high VT recurrence rate (S7.13). Risks and benefits will need to be weighed, however, given it might take performance of longer procedures to reach this objective. A major concern about the reliability of PES is that VTs often recur even when patients have been rendered completely noninducible post ablation (S7.12). Edema formation in a critical area can render a targeted VT temporarily noninducible; however, incomplete lesions can also form a new or modified substrate, generating new VTs. The former can be addressed with NIPS a few days after the ablation procedure, which has been found to predict VT recurrence in patients who were noninducible at the conclusion of the ablation procedure (S7.14). PES is typically performed at the onset of the procedure, but it might need to be deferred to the conclusion of the procedure if there is concern about the patient's hemodynamic status.

Despite there being less complete characterization of the effectiveness of PES to guide ablation of VT in nonischemic substrates, noninducibility has also been demonstrated to result in superior outcomes post ablation (S7.15). Only limited data and anecdotal reports exist on the use of other agents (aminophylline, epinephrine, calcium, dobutamine, caffeine) to induce idiopathic arrhythmias when isoproterenol and burst pacing fail (S7.16).

A survey of the writing committee is summarized here to give some perspective. For induction of VT in SHD, all the members routinely stimulate from the RV apex, 66% from the RVOT and 59% from the LV if LV access has already been obtained. Up to 3 extrastimuli are routinely performed in 76%, and up to 4 in 24%. For induction of idiopathic arrhythmias, the majority of the committee uses isoproterenol frequently (93%), followed by epinephrine (21%), phenylephrine (14%), atropine (11%), and calcium (7%). In addition, some members of the committee at least considered dobutamine and caffeine.

At this point, PES remains a key tool of the mapping and ablation procedure. The use of PES, however, often needs to be complemented by alternative strategies, given its many limitations.

Section 8 Mapping and Imaging Techniques

8.1. Mapping Catheters

8.1.1. Multielectrode Mapping—The use of multielectrode mapping catheters has gained popularity over the past several years with the introduction of mapping systems capable of acquiring data from multiple sites for each beat. These catheters offer several advantages, particularly in respect to mapping density, resolution, and speed. The ability to record electrograms from multiple sites at each beat increases the number of data points and can shorten the duration of mapping.

Multielectrode catheters often use small electrodes with short interelectrode spacing, thereby increasing mapping resolution, which can be advantageous for substrate mapping (S8.1.1.1–S8.1.1.3), facilitating identification of surviving myocardial bundles within heterogeneous scar tissue that may escape detection when mapping with standard ablation catheters with larger electrodes and spacing is performed (S8.1.1.3–S8.1.1.5). Multielectrode catheters allow pacing from multiple electrodes positioned in and around areas of scar. The increased current density during pacing from smaller electrodes can achieve capture at relatively low pacing stimulus strength (S8.1.1.1). In addition, pacing from one site while recording from surrounding sites allows investigation of propagation in multiple directions that can identify anisotropy and areas prone to slow conduction and/or block (S8.1.1.6, S8.1.1.7). These catheters can also be useful for activation mapping during VT, given they allow rapid acquisition of multiple sites at high spatial resolution that can facilitate identification of a reentry isthmus or VT focus (S8.1.1.5).

Currently, several multielectrode catheters are available. The Pentaray catheter (Biosense Webster, Diamond Bar, CA) is shaped like a flower and has 5 splines, each with 4 electrodes (a total of 20 electrodes), which can be used with the CARTO EAM system. This catheter frequently causes ectopic beats in areas of contracting myocardium, and spatial sampling is nonuniform due to variable spread of the splines and limited contact with the myocardium. A linear catheter with 20 electrodes is also available for use with this mapping system. This catheter has uniform spatial spread of electrodes and a relatively large area of contact, although during endocardial mapping the proximal electrodes are often not in contact. It is well suited for mapping of the epicardial surface. A duodecapolar catheter with tighter interelectrode spacing (Livewire, Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL) has been used to map endocardial and epicardial ventricular surfaces in vivo and has been validated against histology in an animal model (S8.1.1.8, S8.1.1.9). The Advisor HD Grid catheter (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL) is a novel 4-by-4 unipolar electrode array with 1-mm (diameter) electrodes, equidistantly spaced 3 mm apart from each other, which can be used in conjunction with the EnSite Precision system (S8.1.1.10). A potential advantage is the uniform spatial sampling; however, collection of ventricular mapping data in humans in vivo is currently not available. The fourth catheter is a small basket catheter with 64 very small electrodes arranged on 8 splines that can be used with the Rhythmia EAM system (Orion,

Boston Scientific, Marlborough, MA) (S8.1.1.5,S8.1.1.11). This catheter has a low noise level, facilitating recording of low amplitude signals. However, the basket is not well suited for mapping the papillary muscles, the RV, or the epicardium.

Common limitations of multielectrode mapping catheters include mechanical trauma with frequent ectopy, transient injury of the superficial conduction bundles, limited maneuverability, lack of tissue contact information, and the potential for thrombus formation with the need for careful anticoagulation. In addition, the potential benefit of mapping with smaller electrodes in patients with NICM and high prevalence of intramyocardial substrate is unclear. Currently, ablation is performed with a second catheter, necessitating integration of the anatomy and physiology acquired with the multielectrode catheter in a mapping system that can also support data acquisition with an ablation catheter. Newer catheter designs integrating very small electrodes positioned at the circumference of a standard ablation catheter could allow combining high-resolution mapping with ablation on a single catheter (S8.1.1.2).

8.2. Activation Mapping

Mapping of the electrical activation sequence during VT is a valuable mapping strategy for patients with hemodynamically stable monomorphic tachycardia (S8.2.1). It can localize the origin for focal tachycardias and potentially reentry circuits, depending on their anatomy (S8.2.2).

Activation mapping is performed by recording of local electrograms from multiple sites during VT and is facilitated by the use of 3D mapping systems, allowing display of the position and relative timing on the EAM system. For focal VTs, the earliest site of activation identifies the SOO and is the target of ablation. At this site, the local bipolar electrogram precedes the QRS onset, and the unipolar signal (with high-pass filter setting <1 Hz) demonstrates a QS configuration, consistent with a centrifugal spread of activation away from the SOO. In intramural focal tachycardias, the bipolar electrogram often inscribes with or after the onset of the QRS and the unipolar electrogram demonstrates an rS configuration, consistent with an initial activation propagating toward the recording electrode. In a true intramyocardial origin, mapping from all opposing surfaces demonstrate relatively late bipolar activations with rS unipolar configurations.

The most common VT mechanism in patients with SHD is scar-related reentry with continuous excitation of the circuit throughout the tachycardia CL (S8.2.1). The QRS onset typically occurs when the impulse reaches the exit from the scar to activate the contractile myocardium (S8.2.3). In “exit sites,” electrograms can be fractionated and immediately precede the onset of the QRS complex. Ablation at exit sites can terminate the tachycardia; however, it can also result in a change of the tachycardia configuration and/or CL in which the diastolic pathway exits at different locations from the scar (S8.2.4). Ablation of the diastolic pathway “isthmus” is therefore a more desirable target, given it can eliminate the machinery required for reentry. Electrograms at isthmus sites occur earlier during diastole, are typically of very low-voltage amplitude (<0.5 mV), and can have multiple potentials. Mapping of these circuits with multielectrode mapping catheters can be helpful for identifying low amplitude signals, for differentiating near-field from far-field potentials,

and for shortening the time required for mapping (see Section 8.1). It should be noted that the mere presence of diastolic potentials does not suggest an isthmus location. These can also be recorded in dead-end pathways and adjacent bystanders unrelated to the circuit. It is therefore important to carefully review and interpret the activation map in order to understand the location and complexity of the circuit. In principle, an activation map of a macroreentrant VT circuit should demonstrate distinct entrance, isthmus, and exit sites that all serve as obligatory parts of the circuit, such that it cannot continue without all of these elements. However, scar-related circuits (particularly in patients with a nonischemic substrate) can have intramural component(s) that might not be recorded on the surface. These usually exhibit a “gap” in the activation sequence, such that part of the circuit is “concealed” from the surface map, residing deep in the myocardium or on the opposing surface.

Activation mapping of scar-related VTs can be highly valuable for identifying the isthmus. However, it is often limited to patients with hemodynamically stable VT. In patients with less tolerated VTs, limited activation mapping can be performed in conjunction with substrate, entrainment, and/or pace mapping. The utility of HS to allow extended mapping during VT can improve the acute procedural endpoint of noninducibility; however, it has limited impact on the long-term outcome (see Section 6.4) and has not reduced VT recurrence (S8.2.5,S8.2.6).

8.3. Entrainment Mapping

8.3.1. Entrainment Mapping: Overview—Entrainment is a pacing maneuver that helps to distinguish reentrant from nonreentrant arrhythmias and can be used as a mapping tool to target ablation to critical parts of the reentry circuit. Entrainment involves the continuous resetting of a reentry circuit during pacing at sites that are either within or outside the reentry circuit. This technique is used to identify critical sites of the arrhythmia circuit, through the analysis of the QRS morphology, the measured intervals, and the recorded electrograms (S8.3.2.1):

1. The QRS configuration during entrainment provides information about whether the pacing site is within or outside the protected zone of the reentry circuit. With the pacing site being located outside the reentry circuit, during entrainment, the stimulated wavefronts that propagate out from the pacing site collide with the orthodromically propagating wavefront of the reentry circuit and fuse; therefore, the QRS complex is due to the fusion of wavefronts propagating directly away from the pacing site with those emerging from the tachycardia circuit (classic entrainment). During pacing from within a protected region in or near the reentry circuit, pacing entrains VT without changing the QRS configuration (concealed entrainment or entrainment with concealed fusion) (S8.3.2.2–S8.3.2.4).
2. The stimulus-QRS interval during entrainment with concealed fusion: Entrainment with concealed fusion indicates that the pacing site is within a protected region of the reentry circuit, and can be located in the common pathway of the reentry circuit (also referred to as a critical isthmus or channel), or in a bystander site that communicates with a critical isthmus. If pacing is

performed from a site in the circuit, the stimulus-QRS interval should be equal to the electrogram-QRS interval during VT. On the other hand, if stimulation is performed from a bystander site, the stimulus-QRS interval is longer than the electrogram-QRS interval during tachycardia (S8.3.2.5,S8.3.2.6). A difference in stimulus-QRS and electrogram-QRS up to 30 ms was superior to other criteria for distinguishing bystander sites from critical sites in one study (S8.3.2.7). The stimulus-QRS interval indicates the conduction time from the pacing site to the VT exit site (S8.3.2.8). Similarly, the stimulus-QRS/VT CL ratio is a reflection of the pacing site location within the critical zone of the reentry circuit (Figures 5 and 6). The exit site is defined as a stimulus-QRS/VT CL ratio <0.3 , the common pathway and entry sites have a stimulus-QRS/VT CL ratio $0.3-0.7$, and the inner loop sites have a stimulus-QRS/VT CL ratio >0.7 . There is, however, an important exception to keep in mind: a longer stimulus-QRS/VT CL ratio of >0.7 can occur at critical sites within the reentry circuit. Discrete potentials located in electrical systole rather than diastole that match with the stimulus-QRS interval are present in these situations and reflect that the catheter is within the VT entrance zone (S8.3.2.9).

3. The postpacing interval (PPI): The PPI is also an indication of the proximity of the pacing site to the reentry circuit (S8.3.2.6); the PPI measures the interval from the pacing stimulus to the following nonstimulated depolarization recorded at the pacing site. The PPI can be used during entrainment to verify whether the pacing site is within the circuit or is in a bystander area. At a site with concealed entrainment, a PPI that matches the VT CL (± 30 ms) is consistent with a site where delivery of RF energy is likely to terminate VT; a PPI >30 ms is often an adjacent bystander (S8.3.2.1) when ablation fails to terminate VT. The PPI includes the conduction time for a full revolution of the propagating wavefront through the reentry circuit. Several factors can impact PPI accuracy. A faster pacing rate can result in slower conduction and a prolongation of the PPI. The measurement of the PPI assumes that the recorded electrogram indicates a depolarization at the pacing site. Electrograms generated by remote tissue represent far-field electrograms and can result in erroneous measurements of the PPI. Distinction of local electrograms from far-field electrograms is hence key to accurately measuring the PPI (S8.3.2.10).

Both the PPI and the comparison of the stimulus-QRS interval to the electrogram intervals are methods to differentiate bystander sites from critical ablation sites. The method using stimulus-QRS and electrogram-QRS interval assesses a smaller part of the reentry circuit (pacing site to exit site); thus, it could be less susceptible to error than the PPI that assesses a full revolution of the reentry circuit.

Entrainment mapping is most easily used to select ablation sites during mapping of hemodynamically tolerated VTs and is particularly useful in patients with incessant VTs (S8.3.2.4). Unfortunately, the majority of inducible VTs in patients with SHD are not hemodynamically tolerated, and entrainment mapping can only be used for short time periods to confirm that a particular site is critical.

8.3.2. How to Perform Entrainment Mapping—Ideally, the VT is hemodynamically tolerated in order to perform entrainment mapping. Furthermore, the VT must have regular RR intervals to prevent erroneous PPI measurements. Pacing is performed at a CL faster than the VT, with care taken to ensure that all QRS complexes and electrograms are accelerated to the pacing rate. Pacing at faster rates can facilitate detection of QRS fusion; however, it can further slow conduction in the circuit, resulting in termination of VT or resulting in acceleration to a different VT. A pacing interval 10–50 ms shorter than the VT CL is frequently used for pacing. Pacing can be performed either in a unipolar manner from the tip electrode or in a bipolar manner from the 2 distal electrodes. Theoretically, the optimal pacing output is slightly above threshold to limit capture to the tissue immediately beneath the distal electrode, which is also the source of the near-field signal. Determining threshold at each site is not practical, however, and some centers use an initial output of 10 mA and a pulse width of 2 ms, which can increase until capture is achieved or which can decrease if capture of a large area is suspected (S8.3.2.11). Pacing for a sufficient duration to be certain that reliable capture is achieved is important.

Limitations of entrainment mapping are as follows:

1. Inducibility of sustained and stable VT is required if pacing maneuvers are to be attempted.
2. Pacing during VT can either interrupt, accelerate, or modify the ongoing VT.
3. AADs can decrease conduction velocity during pacing, increasing the postpacing and stimulus-QRS intervals (S8.3.2.5).
4. Electrograms indicating local depolarization need to be distinguished from far-field signals, and this is subject to error in regions of fractionated, complex electrograms (S8.3.2.1,S8.3.2.2,S8.3.2.6,S8.3.2.12).
5. Incomplete ablation can create areas where conduction slows or blocks during pacing, creating misleading, increased postpacing and stimulus-QRS intervals.

The advantage of entrainment mapping over a substrate mapping approach is that individual VTs can be reliably and permanently eliminated with few RF ablation lesions when reentry circuit targets are identified (S8.3.2.7,S8.3.2.13). An approach targeting only VT exit sites in the border zone based on QRS morphology and presystolic activity in VT, for example, can fail to eliminate VT if the exit site is deep to the endocardium. Entrainment mapping can potentially identify critical components of the reentry circuit other than the exit site that might be within the reach of the ablation catheter. Unfortunately, most patients with heart disease have multiple VTs that are not hemodynamically tolerated; hence, entrainment mapping as the only mapping technique is insufficient to eliminate most of the VTs encountered in a given patient. In the modern era of VT ablation in the setting of complex VAs and multiple etiologies with different substrates, in which 3D mapping is routinely adopted, entrainment mapping is only one component of the ablation strategy. Ablation guided by entrainment and activation mapping of hemodynamically tolerated VT has not been shown to be superior to substrate-based ablation (S8.3.2.14). Therefore, entrainment mapping is typically combined with substrate mapping in patients with VT associated with scars and SHD.

8.4. Pace Mapping

Pace mapping is a technique used to locate the origin of a PVC or VT by stimulating the myocardium to reproduce the clinical 12-lead morphology in the absence of VT; it is particularly useful if the targeted arrhythmia is difficult to induce or is hemodynamically unstable. The optimal site should exactly match the tachycardia QRS, including individual notches as well as major deflections. Comparison of the 12-lead ECG during pace mapping and VT is usually expressed with a scale from 0 to 12; however, computer-assisted comparison allows its quantification (S8.4.1). Manufacturer-specific algorithms (Labsystem Pro, Boston Scientific, Arden Hills, MN [formerly Bard]; PaSo CARTO module, Biosense Webster, Diamond Bar, CA; Rhythmia, Boston Scientific, Marlborough, MA; and EnSite Precision, Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL) exist that use template-matching algorithms to generate a correlation coefficient between VT morphology and pace maps (S8.4.2, S8.4.3). These algorithms allow computational comparisons between pace maps; however, careful external validation is currently lacking.

Pace mapping indicates the location of the origin of focal VAs (S8.4.4), although activation sequence mapping is more accurate (S8.4.5). Furthermore, pace mapping is used to identify the exit of the VT in reentrant VTs (S8.4.6–S8.4.8). Critical sites of the reentry circuit and regions of slow conduction can be identified based on pace mapping and electrogram characteristics (S8.4.9). Pacing in noncritical areas adjacent to the exit can generate an adequate pace match that is similar in morphology to a pace map from the VT exit. On the other hand, pacing at sites close to the entrance of the reentry circuit during sinus rhythm often generates markedly different QRS complexes, given the stimulated wavefront propagates away from the pacing site via paths that are blocked during VT (S8.4.7, S8.4.10, S8.4.11). Thus, sites without matching pace maps could still be critical for the reentry circuit, and identification of a transition from an adequate to a poor matching pace map might indicate the isthmus orientation (S8.4.3). Importantly, the pacing rate has been reported to alter the QRS morphology; therefore, a pacing rate close to the VT rate should be used (S8.4.12).

Within scar, the spatial resolution of pace mapping is heterogeneous and can indicate a region of interest that measures up to 18 cm² (S8.4.13). Even in patients without SHD, a perfect pace map can be observed at sites up to 2 cm away from the VT origin (S8.4.5, S8.4.14). Most electrophysiologists of the writing committee perform pacing in a bipolar manner, starting with an output of 10 mA at 2 ms pulse width. Limitations to bipolar pacing, including anodal capture, and the limitations to pacing with a minimal fixed output, including generation of a virtual electrode that captures more tissue with higher stimulus strength, need to be recognized (S8.4.15). Pacing at stimulus strengths only slightly greater than threshold is desirable to avoid capture over a large area, which can reduce accuracy; however, checking the threshold at each mapping point is time consuming and might not be practical.

Smaller interelectrode spacing, smaller electrode size, and unipolar pacing could increase the accuracy of pace mapping (see Section 8.1) (S8.4.16).

8.5. Sinus Rhythm Substrate Mapping

8.5.1. Substrate Mapping in Sinus Rhythm

Recommendations for substrate mapping in sinus rhythm

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	B-NR	1. In patients with scar-related VT, substrate-guided ablation is useful for prevention of arrhythmia recurrences.	S8.5.2.1–S8.5.2.11
IIa	B-NR	2. High-density multielectrode mapping to obtain a more comprehensive characterization of the arrhythmogenic tissue during catheter ablation of scar-related VT can be useful.	S8.5.2.12–S8.5.2.14
IIa	B-NR	3. In patients with no or minimal endocardial bipolar electrogram abnormalities, reduced unipolar voltage can be useful for detection of epicardial or intramural scar.	S8.5.2.15–S8.5.2.19

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. Substrate-guided mapping and ablation during sinus rhythm are intended to overcome the limitations of conventional mapping and ablation. They allow ablation of multiple VT morphologies irrespective of inducibility or hemodynamic tolerance. Multiple studies have shown that substrate-guided ablation is effective for prevention of arrhythmia recurrences in scar-related unmappable VT (S8.5.2.1–S8.5.2.11).
2. Multielectrode mapping has been shown to increase mapping density and to shorten mapping and RF delivery time (S8.5.2.12–S8.5.2.14). Although in retrospective studies the use of multielectrode mapping has been associated with better outcome, no effect on acute and long-term outcome has yet been prospectively demonstrated (S8.5.2.12, S8.5.2.14).
3. Bipolar electrograms have a limited field of view to detect epicardially or intramurally located scar. Unipolar voltage mapping can be used to extend field of view of endocardial mapping, and low unipolar voltages can indicate regions of epicardial or intramural involvement in patients with no or minimal endocardial abnormalities evident on bipolar electrogram analysis; however, there is substantial overlap of unipolar low voltage between scar zones and regions without scar (S8.5.2.15–S8.5.2.19).

8.5.2. Summary—The majority of patients with SHD presenting for catheter ablation have hemodynamically unstable VTs that prevent accurate delineation of the critical part of the reentrant circuit with activation or entrainment mapping (S8.5.2.20). Substrate mapping is an approach to characterize areas likely to support reentry based on electrophysiological characteristics that can be determined during stable sinus or paced rhythm. It allows for elimination of VT, irrespective of inducibility or hemodynamic tolerance. Even for hemodynamically stable VTs, substrate mapping is often used to limit activation mapping or entrainment to a region of interest (S8.5.2.7, S8.5.2.10, S8.5.2.11).

The concept of substrate mapping has developed from the success of surgical subendocardial resection for postinfarction VT, which has established the physical link between the

VT circuit and the infarction scar (S8.5.2.21). Current criteria to define the abnormal arrhythmogenic substrate rely on a combination of lower bipolar or unipolar voltage and abnormal electrogram characteristics (eg, fragmented, split, and late electrograms). These abnormal electrogram features can represent slow or delayed activation that constitute surrogate markers for potential VT circuits (S8.5.2.22,S8.5.2.23).

Voltage criteria for scar identification: Scar tissue can be identified based on bipolar electrogram amplitude. Using a 4-mm tip mapping catheter and 1-mm ring interelectrode spacing with a 2-mm ring filtered at 10–400 Hz, 95% of normal LV endocardial electrograms have a peak-to-peak amplitude >1.55 mV (S8.5.2.24). Conversely, bipolar voltage <0.5 mV has been designated as “dense scar,” but it is important to recognize that these regions can still contain viable myocytes (S8.5.2.25) that can be captured by pacing. Use of cutoff values has important limitations as shown by recent work integrating voltage mapping and full human heart histology (S8.5.2.26). This is particularly relevant in patients with NICM with patchy scar, in whom larger bundles of surviving myocytes are mixed with fibrotic tissue. Bipolar voltage and electrogram characteristics can be further affected by different electrode size and/or interelectrode distance of the mapping catheter (S8.5.2.13), direction of activation wavefront (S8.5.2.27), and wall thickness (S8.5.2.26).

Bipolar voltage mapping can have a limited field of view to detect epicardially or intramurally located scar, typically observed in patients with NICM. Under these circumstances, unipolar voltage mapping can be used to extend the field of view of endocardial mapping (S8.5.2.15,S8.5.2.16,S8.5.2.19). Endocardial low unipolar voltages could indicate regions of epicardial or intramural involvement in patients with no or minimal endocardial abnormalities evident on bipolar electrogram analysis. Although various studies have reported different cutoff values to identify deeper scar tissue, validation studies with CMR-defined scar have demonstrated that there is a substantial overlap of unipolar low voltage between scar zones and regions without scar. CMR-defined scar remains the gold standard for precise demarcation of scar and is preferable over unipolar voltage mapping for defining scar. Furthermore, cutoff values are impacted by electrode size, wall thickness, surrounding anatomical structures, and ventricular hypertrophy, or are region specific (S8.5.2.16,S8.5.2.18,S8.5.2.26,S8.5.2.28).

Other electrogram characteristics as ablation targets: Low-voltage areas in patients with SHD are often large, and not all are related to VT. To limit the extent of ablation, several other electrogram features obtained during spontaneous rhythm or elicited by pacing maneuvers have been studied to identify areas within the scar potentially related to VT. In the seminal study of de Bakker et al. (S8.5.2.22), the authors have demonstrated the presence of inhomogeneous “zig-zag” conduction within the infarcted area, which was related to the presence of poor cell-to-cell coupling of surviving myocyte bundles interspersed among fibrous tissue. In these regions, abnormal fractionated and LPs were recorded. Although fragmented electrograms can be typically recorded throughout the whole scar, isolated and LPs have been demonstrated to be a more specific marker of the VT circuit (S8.5.2.29–S8.5.2.33). However, clinical studies have adopted heterogeneous definitions of abnormal electrograms, with nonuniform use of terms such as “fragmented,” “split,” and “late,”

and the relationship between these surrogate markers and the VT circuits remains to be thoroughly explored (S8.5.2.34). In this respect, LAVA has been proposed as a global term that incorporates all abnormal ventricular signals that represent near-field signals from poorly coupled fibers within scar, representing potential VT isthmus sites (S8.5.2.35).

Importantly, the lines of block delimiting the channels responsible for arrhythmias can be both fixed and functional (S8.5.2.34), and the participation of functional barriers in VT circuits challenges the identification of potential VT circuits during substrate mapping. Abnormal local electrograms can be hidden in far-field signals, preventing their recognition during sinus rhythm or RV pacing. Identification of such electrograms might require pacing maneuvers to demonstrate their poorly coupled nature (S8.5.2.35–S8.5.2.37). This could be relevant in the presence of smaller, nontransmural scars, in which subepicardial viable myocardium can overlay the subendocardial scar, creating far-field obscuration of the local signal (S8.5.2.38).

Some abnormal electrograms can be disclosed only by catheters with small electrodes and shorter interelectrode distance, which allow for higher near-field resolution (S8.5.2.39) (see Section 8.1).

Most of the studies concerning substrate mapping were performed on patients after MI, and significant differences exist with respect to the arrhythmogenic substrate characteristics between patients with ICM and NICM (see Section 9).

There is currently no standardized approach for substrate-guided ablation. A number of substrate-based ablation approaches have been developed, with the main purpose of targeting and eliminating areas of slow conduction within the abnormal substrate defined during sinus rhythm (S8.5.2.24,S8.5.2.29,S8.5.2.30,S8.5.2.35,S8.5.2.40–S8.5.2.44) or evoked by pacing (S8.5.2.36–S8.5.2.38) (see Section 9.5).

8.6. Intraoperative Imaging: Intracardiac Echocardiography, Fluoroscopy, Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging

8.6.1. Intraoperative Imaging During Catheter Ablation of Ventricular Arrhythmias

Recommendations for intraoperative imaging during catheter ablation of VAs

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	B-NR	1. Coronary angiography or ICE is recommended to localize the ostia of the coronary arteries prior to ablation within the SV.	S8.6.2.1–S8.6.2.4
I	B-NR	2. Coronary angiography is recommended to identify the course of the coronary arteries when ablation is performed in the coronary venous system or in the epicardium.	S8.6.2.5–S8.6.2.8
I	B-NR	3. ICE is beneficial to identify and target the papillary muscles with ablation and to assess for catheter stability.	S8.6.2.9–S8.6.2.20
I	B-NR	4. ICE or transthoracic echocardiography is useful to assess for pericardial effusion in case of hemodynamic deterioration of the patient.	S8.6.2.21–S8.6.2.23
I	C-LD	5. ICE is useful for early recognition of complications, including pericardial effusion.	S8.6.2.21–S8.6.2.23

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I b	B-NR	6. ICE may be useful as an adjuvant technique to identify wall segments with wall thinning, wall motion abnormalities, and segments with increased echogenicity, and also to identify intracardiac thrombi.	S8.6.2.24,S8.6.2.25

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. For the coronary ostia, a distance of 1 cm of the catheter tip from a coronary ostium is considered a safe distance (S8.6.2.3), although ablations have been reported to be as close as 7.3 mm (S8.6.2.1) and 7.5 mm (S8.6.2.4) from the coronary ostium, without resulting complications.
2. In the epicardial space, coronary angiography should be considered prior to ablation to ensure that there is no coronary artery in the proximity of the ablation catheter (S8.6.2.7,S8.6.2.8); a minimal distance of >5 mm from the ablation catheter tip to an epicardial coronary artery has been considered safe (S8.6.2.7).
3. No randomized studies have shown improved outcomes if ICE is used to target papillary muscle arrhythmias. Several case series, however, have demonstrated that ICE is useful for targeting papillary muscle arrhythmias with real-time localization of the papillary muscle apparatus and the ablation catheter tip (S8.6.2.9–S8.6.2.20). Catheter stability on the papillary muscles or other parts of the myocardium can be assessed with ICE.
- 4,5,6. ICE further can help to identify complications such as pericardial effusions, allowing for early intervention (S8.6.2.21–S8.6.2.23). It also can be used to assess intracardiac structures, including wall thickness, wall motion abnormalities, increased echogenicity to identify scarring, and intracardiac thrombi (S8.6.2.24–S8.6.2.26). Furthermore, ICE is beneficial in monitoring catheter contact during ablation for arrhythmias not related to papillary muscles and could be useful to assess for tissue changes preceding steam pops (S8.6.2.27).

8.6.2. Summary—For intraprocedural imaging during catheter ablation of VAs, several technologies have been used: fluoroscopy (including coronary angiography), echocardiography (intracardiac, transthoracic, or transesophageal), and real-time CMR. Although no randomized studies have demonstrated the benefit of intraprocedural imaging, there are data supporting the use of coronary angiography or ICE to enhance procedural safety for selected cases, allowing for real-time imaging while mapping and ablation are performed (S8.6.2.1–S8.6.2.20).

Fluoroscopy is routinely used for catheter placement and catheter manipulations during mapping and ablation procedures. With the development and improvement of EAM systems, the need for fluoroscopy can be minimized (S8.6.2.28,S8.6.2.29).

Integration of fluoroscopic cine loops into electroanatomical maps has further helped to shorten fluoroscopy times (S8.6.2.30–S8.6.2.32).

With respect to the coronary arteries, the use of coronary angiography or ICE to localize the ostia of the coronary arteries has been found useful when ablation is directed toward the SV (S8.6.2.1–S8.6.2.3). Although data are limited, a minimal distance of 10 mm from the coronary ostia has been considered to be safe for RF ablation (S8.6.2.9). The coronary ostia can also be identified by ICE and can be marked in the electroanatomical map. This could obviate the need for coronary angiography. Coronary angiography is the preferred technique to identify the course of the coronary arteries when ablation is performed in the coronary venous system or the epicardium. A distance of >5 mm of the ablation catheter to an epicardial coronary artery is considered safe (S8.6.2.3). A coronary angiogram is often repeated at the conclusion of the procedure to document patency of the coronary arteries.

ICE is beneficial to identify and target VAs originating from the papillary muscles (S8.6.2.10–S8.6.2.21). No randomized studies, however, have shown improved outcomes when ICE was used. Several case series, however, have demonstrated that ICE is useful for targeting papillary muscle arrhythmias. The main benefit is the real-time localization of the papillary muscle apparatus in conjunction with the ablation catheter tip being visualized in the EAM system. Integration of ICE images into the EAM system together with the mapping or ablation catheter is particularly helpful (S8.6.2.16). ICE helps to define the level of the pulmonary valve when arrhythmias are targeted in the RVOT or in the pulmonary artery. This is of importance when ablation needs to be performed in the pulmonary artery, which can be in close proximity to the coronary arteries. Furthermore, ICE can be used as an adjuvant technique to identify scarring by identifying wall segments with wall thinning, wall motion abnormalities, excessive trabeculations (S8.6.2.23), segments with increased echogenicity (S8.6.2.24,S8.6.2.26), and also to identify intracardiac thrombi (S8.6.2.25). Furthermore, predictors for steam pops can be identified with ICE (S8.6.2.27), which might help to prevent complications.

ICE further can help to identify complications such as pericardial effusions, allowing for early intervention (S8.6.2.21–S8.6.2.23). Transthoracic echocardiography, as well as ICE, can be useful to assess for pericardial effusion in case of intraprocedural hemodynamic deterioration of the patient (S8.6.2.33).

Real-time CMR can enable visualization of lesion formation. It has the advantage of avoiding ionizing radiation and of imaging the whole heart in real time, and it has been used to target typical atrial flutter (S8.6.2.34,S8.6.2.35). However, real-time imaging during VT ablation in humans has not yet been reported and has thus far been limited to postablation image acquisition (S8.6.2.36). A laboratory with real-time CMR capabilities requires a major financial investment, adaptation, and commitment to a work flow that is very different from that of a standard electrophysiology laboratory. At this time, real-time CMR is used only in a few centers on an investigational basis. Other investigational imaging techniques include the use of endoscopy in the epicardial space for visualization of catheters, epicardial structures, and ablation lesions (S8.6.2.37).

8.7. Electroanatomical Mapping Systems and Robotic Navigation

Recommendations for the use of EAM systems and remote navigation in ablation procedures for VAs

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	B-NR	1. In patients with VA due to SHD undergoing an ablation procedure, EAM is useful.	S8.7.1–S8.7.9
IIa	B-NR	2. In patients with idiopathic VA undergoing an ablation procedure, EAM can be useful.	S8.7.4,S8.7.6
IIa	B-NR	3. In patients undergoing an ablation procedure for VA, magnetic catheter navigation can be useful to reduce fluoroscopy use.	S8.7.10–S8.7.14

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. EAM systems combine cardiac electrical information obtained from catheter-mounted electrodes and 3D spatial location information to reconstruct an image that represents the targeted cardiac chamber (S8.7.15). Several EAM systems are commonly used in clinical practice. The CARTO mapping system (current version CARTO 3, Biosense-Webster, Diamond Bar, CA) makes use of magnetic field differences for accurate localization of proprietary mapping and ablation catheters. This system also uses an impedance-based algorithm to visualize the electrodes and shafts of various diagnostic catheters. A proprietary intracardiac ultrasound catheter can interface with the mapping system to further define cardiac geometry within the mapping field (S8.7.1). The EnSite Precision system (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL; formerly EnSite Velocity and EnSite NavX, St. Jude Medical, St. Paul, MN) uses voltage and impedance measurements to localize diagnostic and ablation catheters. With the use of proprietary catheters, the EnSite Precision system can now also provide magnetic-based navigation. The Rhythmia HDx mapping system (Boston Scientific, Marlborough, MA) uses both magnetic- and impedance-based methods for catheter tracking. A prominent feature of this system is the proprietary 64-pole mini basket catheter with closely spaced electrodes. The Topera mapping system (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL) combines unipolar electrogram data from an intracavitary basket catheter with proprietary electrogram analysis software algorithms to identify focal and rotational activity during cardiac fibrillation. Though it is marketed for atrial mapping, it has been used in cases of idiopathic VF (S8.7.16). Access to EAM systems worldwide is limited, largely due to the high cost of systems, necessary peripherals, and proprietary catheters.

Regardless of the type of EAM available, knowledge of the first principles of cardiac electrophysiology remains essential to create maps that accurately represent the physiology and anatomy. Maps created using EAM systems are subject to considerable variability depending on a number of factors, including (but not limited to) accurate annotation of electrogram qualities, consistent catheter contact with tissue, distributed sampling of the entire structure of interest, density of location “points” in the map, type of rhythm being mapped,

direction of activation wavefront propagation, and the size and spacing of the electrodes used to acquire the data. Numerous technologies have been developed or are in development to improve mapping quality and user experience. These include automated chamber segmentation, imported scar delineation from alternative cardiac imaging, such as CMR, CT, and echocardiography, and automated electrogram analysis tools. Ultra high-density mapping catheters have significantly changed the resolution of scar features and, as a result, our understanding of VT circuit physiology.

EAM has proven to be a versatile technology to guide treatment of a wide range of arrhythmias. Prospective randomized trials with and without EAM in patients with SVT demonstrate similar acute procedural success rates and substantial reduction in fluoroscopy use (S8.7.2,S8.7.3). No such randomized trials with and without EAM exist for VAs, and no prospective trial has demonstrated superior outcomes with the use of EAM. It is generally accepted that the use of EAM can reduce fluoroscopy time and allow for more precise mapping, with comparative analyses of nearby locations during VA ablation procedures. Particularly for more complex strategies of mapping ventricular scar, EAM enables the user to perform the necessary mapping to achieve a successful result, which would otherwise be impossible without EAM (S8.7.4).

In patients with SHD undergoing catheter ablation for VA, EAM is particularly helpful. EAM is especially versatile in this setting, offering the operator the possibility of using various mapping strategies, including activation maps, entrainment maps, pace maps, electrogram amplitude (voltage) maps, and tagging location of specific electrograms of interest. A prospective multicenter trial for VA ablation in patients with IHD has used EAM (S8.7.6). Several retrospective reports have used EAM for a wide range of SHDs and locations within the heart (S8.7.7,S8.7.8,S8.7.17–S8.7.25).

2. In patients with idiopathic VA undergoing catheter ablation, EAM can be useful. It is technically feasible to perform successful ablation for idiopathic VA without EAM, using 12-lead ECG, fluoroscopy, and careful analysis of intracardiac electrograms. Common examples include ablation of BBRVT and idiopathic VT originating from RVOT or LV fascicular system locations. For most centers, when EAM is available, it has become a mainstay in the procedure workflow for VA ablation procedures. EAM systems allow activation mapping of idiopathic VA to support catheter ablation in the RV, LV, aortic sinuses, and coronary venous system (S8.7.4,S8.7.5,S8.7.26). Reasons to not choose EAM for ablation of idiopathic VA include increased cost and limited access.
3. Catheter-based ablation of VA requires significant demands on the skill and experience of the operator. The concept of remote or robotic catheter navigation is appealing to reduce the physical demands of the procedure while developing more advanced abilities to achieve stable and precise catheter location than traditional catheters can afford. Several technologies have been developed to achieve these goals. The Niobe magnetic navigation system (Stereotaxis,

St. Louis, MO) remotely controls the tip of a proprietary ablation catheter using changes in magnetic field direction from large rotating earth magnets (S8.7.27). This system can be combined with robotic catheter manipulation components (Vdrive system) to control various diagnostic catheters remotely as well. Previous technologies include a second magnetic navigation system, the Catheter Guidance Control and Imaging system (Magnetecs, Inglewood, CA), which used 8 electromagnets to guide a proprietary magnetically tipped catheter. The Sensei robotic system (Hansen Medical, Mountain View, CA) robotically steered a deflectable sheath. A stand-alone robotic catheter manipulation system (Amigo, Catheter Precision, Ledgewood, NJ), interacted with a wide range of diagnostic and ablation catheter handles via a handheld remote control. Use of such technologies is a matter of operator preference: the potential advantages are offset by additional costs for the navigation systems, disposables, and maintenance contracts.

For patients undergoing ablation for VA, the use of an approved magnetic navigation system can be useful to reduce fluoroscopy exposure. One small prospective randomized trial comparing magnetic navigation to manual ablation demonstrated reduced use of fluoroscopy without clear differences in procedure outcome (S8.7.13). A retrospective case series supported similar conclusions, with a low rate for procedural complication (S8.7.28). A multicenter, single-arm study using remote navigation for patients with ischemic VT has demonstrated favorable procedural outcomes of VT noninducibility and longer-term VT freedom rates that are comparable to published results for manual catheter ablation (S8.7.29). Several retrospective comparison studies and single-arm series in patients with SHD consistently support reduced fluoroscopy times during the procedure and low rates of procedural complication (S8.7.14, S8.7.30–S8.7.36). None of the remote navigation systems are specifically indicated to facilitate the catheter ablation procedure for VAs, though a prospective clinical trial using the Niobe remote magnetic navigation system is underway (S8.7.37).

Section 9 Mapping and Ablation

9.1. Ablation Power Sources and Techniques

Key Points

- An impedance drop 10 ohms or a contact force 10 g is commonly used as a target for RF energy delivery.
- The use of half normal saline generates larger ablation lesions but can result in steam pops.
- Simultaneous bipolar or unipolar ablation can result in larger ablation lesions.
- Cryoablation can be beneficial for achieving more stable contact on the papillary muscles.

- Ethanol ablation can generate lesions in areas where the arrhythmogenic substrate cannot be otherwise reached, provided that suitable target vessels are present.
- Stereotactic radiotherapy is an emerging alternative to ablation, requiring identification of a region of interest that can be targeted prior to the radiation treatment.

9.1.1. Introduction—Successful ablation requires the creation of durable lesions of adequate size (S9.1.9.1). When successful ablation cannot be achieved from the endocardium, percutaneous access of the pericardial space (discussed in Section 6.3) that permits contact mapping and ablation of the arrhythmogenic substrate, which is close to the epicardial surface, can be effective but is limited when there are pericardial adhesions, overlying fat (S9.1.9.2), or critical structures nearby (S9.1.9.3,S9.1.9.4), or when the substrate is located deeper within the myocardium (S9.1.9.5). Innovative techniques intended to reach deeper arrhythmogenic substrates have been developed.

9.1.2. Unipolar Radiofrequency Catheter Ablation—Unipolar RF energy has been the mainstay of catheter ablation technologies since the early 1990s. This technology was refined with the addition of temperature sensing and larger tip catheters and further with catheter tip cooling. Lesion depth is limited by the amount of power that can be safely delivered: too much power will result in overheating at the tip-tissue interface and consequent protein denaturation with thrombus formation (S9.1.9.6,S9.1.9.7). Irrigation of the ablation electrode reduces temperature at this interface and allows greater power delivery and thus the creation of larger lesions (S9.1.9.8–S9.1.9.10). Several catheter tip designs and irrigation rates have been made available, each of which generates similar myocardial lesion depth and volume (S9.1.9.11). Some degree of surface sparing is observed with irrigation, more so at higher flow rates and with tip designs that direct more irrigant at the surface (S9.1.9.11,S9.1.9.12). Power delivery, however, remains limited by the risk of deep tissue overheating and steam pops (S9.1.9.9). Catheter tip designs with greater irrigant dispersion might permit lower irrigation flow rates and less volume load for patients with reduced ventricular function. Ablation lesion size increases with the duration of current application (S9.1.9.13), and although short duration applications are sufficient for ablation in thin structures, 60–90 seconds or longer applications are usually employed for VT. The optimal duration has not yet been defined.

Steam pops can occur when excessive heating of myocardial tissue occurs and can occasionally result in harmful tissue disruption (S9.1.9.14). However, the methods for avoiding steam pops are limited. Catheter tip irrigation partially attenuates the correlation between tip temperature and tissue temperature. Steam pops can still occur when tip temperatures rise excessively, although higher flow catheters with more irrigation ports could reduce the incidence of steam pops (S9.1.9.15). Monitoring the impedance could be useful in preventing steam pops, most of which occur when the impedance decreases more than 18 ohms from baseline or when greater power is delivered for longer durations

(S9.1.9.16). A typical initial power setting for ablation with an open irrigated catheter in the LV is 30 watts and can be adjusted up to 50 watts to achieve an impedance drop of 10 ohms.

9.1.3. Contact Force Sensing—Lesion size is critically dependent on the contact of the ablating electrode with the tissue (S9.1.9.17). Catheters with contact force sensors have become common and can help to ensure lesion creation at intended sites (S9.1.9.18). Although a clear improvement in clinical outcomes for catheter ablation for VA is difficult to demonstrate (S9.1.9.19), RF applications with a mean contact force >10 g are more likely to result in electrical unexcitability in scar areas (S9.1.9.20), and contact force sensing has become an integral part of RF catheter ablation (S9.1.9.21).

9.1.4. Hypotonic External Irrigation—Most ablation catheter tips are 3.5–4 mm in length and are typically irrigated with 0.9% sodium chloride solution. The efficiency of delivering current to myocardial tissue can be increased by using smaller electrode tip sizes (S9.1.9.22) and less conductive irrigant, such as 0.45% sodium chloride (S9.1.9.23,S9.1.9.24). These techniques deliver greater current to myocardial tissue for any given applied power but remain limited by the potential for tissue steam pops. The effectiveness and safety of using a higher impedance irrigant has been supported by a multicenter, prospective, observational human study; however, direct clinical comparisons are still pending (S9.1.9.25). The use of hypotonic saline irrigant can have the additional advantage of a reduced salt load for patients with compromised ventricular function.

9.1.5. Simultaneous Unipolar or Simultaneous Bipolar Radiofrequency Delivery—Conventional unipolar RF catheter ablation delivers current between the catheter tip and a dispersive skin electrode. Two catheters placed on either side of the target tissue, delivering simultaneous unipolar RF energy (using two RF generators), have been employed to reach deeper myocardial substrates, which could create larger lesions than sequential ablations at each of these sites by raising the temperature of tissue deep to either ablation site, limiting its heat sink effect, and increasing the conductive heating zone (S9.1.9.26). This method provides independent control and monitoring of RF delivery from each ablating catheter but can pose technical challenges with device interactions. The efficacy and risks of this procedure have not been completely characterized.

Bipolar ablation is performed by replacing the dispersive skin electrode with a second catheter that is closely apposed to tissue with culprit substrate between the catheters. This technique concentrates current density between the catheters. Lesion creation extends from both catheters, and lesions are larger and more likely to be confluent than those achieved with sequential unipolar energy delivery (S9.1.9.27). The efficacy of this technique has been demonstrated in both preclinical models (S9.1.9.28,S9.1.9.29) and in humans (S9.1.9.30,S9.1.9.31). Bipolar ablation can be delivered between catheters that are positioned across the interventricular septum from one another, on either side of a papillary muscle, or with catheters in the endocardial and epicardial spaces. Bipolar ablation is limited by the requirement for custom cabling and the technical challenges of visualizing and placing two catheters sufficiently close with target tissue between them. The catheter tip temperature cannot be monitored simultaneously for both catheters, and impedance will be influenced by both tip-tissue interfaces. Optimal power and current settings have not

yet been defined, and the risk of coagulation has not yet been fully characterized. An investigational system is under evaluation.

9.1.6. Needle Ablation—Infusion needle ablation has been reported as a means to achieve intramural ablation lesions. A catheter with an extendable/retractable needle at the tip can function as an intramural electrode for the temperature-controlled delivery of RF energy (S9.1.9.32), which can create large, deep lesions during saline irrigation (S9.1.9.33,S9.1.9.34). This approach has been demonstrated to be effective for select patients with treatment-refractory VA in small series (S9.1.9.35). The efficacy and procedural risks of this technique have not been fully characterized. Investigational systems are under evaluation (S9.1.9.36).

9.1.7. Cryoablation—Catheter cryoablation has been infrequently employed for treating VA. Compared with RF ablation, focal cryoablation lesions are smaller and take longer to develop. Larger lesions can be created with larger tip cryoablation catheters (S9.1.9.37), but the clinical effectiveness and recurrence rates are less favorable than those achieved with RF ablation (S9.1.9.38,S9.1.9.39), likely because of the smaller lesion size. Cryoablation catheters become adherent to the tissue during lesion creation, which enhances catheter stability and can provide additional utility in the catheter ablation for VA arising from highly mobile papillary muscles, which can be difficult to ablate using RF ablation catheters (S9.1.9.40). Although surgical cryoablation for VA has been used for decades (S9.1.9.41,S9.1.9.42), currently available technology will likely be limited to specialized circumstances unless further innovation enables larger cryoablation lesion delivery from catheter platforms (S9.1.9.43).

9.1.8. Transvascular Ethanol Ablation—Creating an arterial occlusion and controlled infarction has long been used to create ventricular ablation lesions (S9.1.9.44). Early experiences had moderate success but with a higher risk of complications (S9.1.9.45). The refinement of mapping strategies and percutaneous coronary interventional technology encouraged further development, and several series have reported on treatment-refractory patients who underwent transcatheter ethanol ablation (S9.1.9.46,S9.1.9.47). Larger series have demonstrated that this technique's efficacy can be limited by the availability of suitable target vessels, its procedural risk, and the risk of collateral injury (S9.1.9.2). Heart block is a frequent risk when a septal substrate is targeted (S9.1.9.48).

Although experience is limited, ventricular ablation can be performed by injecting alcohol in a retrograde manner into the coronary venous system, which can lead to a lower risk than in the coronary arterial system. Reports from small series have been promising, although collateral myocardial injury and recurrence are still a concern (S9.1.9.49–S9.1.9.51). Further study could help to refine the technique.

9.1.9. Stereotactic Radiotherapy—The use of stereotactic motion-gated external beam radiation for ablation has been explored in animal models (S9.1.9.52), and its feasibility has been demonstrated in humans (S9.1.9.53–S9.1.9.56). Tissue injury is likely the result of a combination of cell nuclear damage and vascular damage, the results of which can manifest within days or weeks (S9.1.9.53). This method requires the accurate

preprocedure identification of the culprit arrhythmogenic substrate, using either intracardiac mapping, imaging, or noninvasive ECGI (S9.1.9.53). Limiting the radiation to the target is complicated by cardiac and respiratory motion. Further study is required to quantify the risk of injury to nontarget myocardial and adjacent structures and to further define the methods and efficacy.

9.2. Idiopathic Outflow Tract Ventricular Arrhythmia

Key Points

- The RVOT, pulmonary arteries, SVs, LV epicardium and endocardium contain most of the OT arrhythmias.
- Activation mapping and pace mapping can be used to guide ablation in the RVOT.
- Imaging of coronary artery ostia is essential before ablation in the aortic SVs.
- The LV summit is a challenging SOO, often requiring mapping and/or ablation from the RVOT, LVOT, SVs, coronary venous system, and sometimes the epicardial space.
- Deep intraseptal VA origins can be challenging to reach.

9.2.1. Introduction—Approximately two-thirds of idiopathic VAs originate from the ventricular OTs, accounting for 10% of all patients referred for VA ablation (S9.2.7.1). OT VAs comprise a wide spectrum of VAs, ranging from premature ventricular beats to sustained monomorphic VT. Approximately threequarters originate from the RVOT, with the remaining from the LVOT and adjacent structures, including the pulmonary artery, the area surrounding the His bundle, the aortic SVs, the epicardium and GCV region, LV summit, AMC, and the superior mitral and tricuspid valve annuli (S9.2.7.1–S9.2.7.11). OT VAs usually exhibit either an RBBB or LBBB morphology with an inferior axis. OTs and adjacent areas have a broad and complex 3D anatomical structure, accounting for some of the unique challenges in arrhythmia localization and ablation (S9.2.7.2,S9.2.7.4–S9.2.7.6).

Most idiopathic OT VAs have a focal origin and are thought to be due to adenosine-sensitive, cAMP-mediated triggered activity (S9.2.7.12,S9.2.7.13).

9.2.2. General Approach—The success of ablation depends largely on the presence of VA at the time of the procedure. All AADs should be discontinued for at least 5 half-lives before the procedure. Sedation with long-acting sedatives can decrease spontaneous or inducible VA and should be avoided if possible. If spontaneous VA is absent, limited physical activities, including hand grip and leg-rising exercises, programmed stimulation, burst pacing, and the administration of isoproterenol, epinephrine, or phenylephrine, can be helpful in eliciting the arrhythmia (Section 7).

Ablation is based on activation mapping and pace mapping (S9.2.7.4,S9.2.7.14), the latter of which is helpful when the VA is infrequent. Activation mapping is, however, more accurate

(S9.2.7.15), given a similar paced QRS morphology can be observed over a relatively large area (S9.2.7.14) and can be misleading in the SV (S9.2.7.14,S9.2.7.16).

The most frequent SOO remains the RVOT (S9.2.7.1), but failure of catheter ablation at the earliest RVOT site should raise concerns that the RVOT breakthrough is not sufficiently close to the focus, warranting further mapping, including adjacent structures. A stepwise approach to the detailed and careful mapping of the region of interest, avoiding futile ablation at inappropriate sites, shortens the procedure and enhances success (S9.2.7.4,S9.2.7.17).

Detailed knowledge of OT anatomy is required, and the use of fluoroscopy alone is often sufficient for guiding catheter position. However, preprocedure CT or CMR can help to exclude myocardial disease and define the anatomy that can be registered in the mapping system. ICE can help to precisely determine the catheter location in relation to the valves and the adjacent coronary arteries at risk of injury from ablation in the SV or pulmonary artery.

For VA with LBBB morphology, mapping should start at the RVOT and pulmonary artery. When mapping indicates a focus outside the RVOT and pulmonary artery, mapping of the GCV via the CS provides useful information prior to left heart catheterization. Mapping can then include the AIV and its septal branches followed by the SV and endocardial LVOT.

9.2.3. Right Ventricular Outflow Tract and Pulmonary Artery—VAs ablated at the RVOT typically display LBBB morphology and transition at or $>V_3$, without broad initial r waves in the right precordial leads. Most VAs arise from the septal anterior aspect of the RVOT. ECG algorithms can help to suggest the specific region (S9.2.7.18) and identify those with this morphology that can arise outside the RVOT or in the pulmonary artery (S9.2.7.17,S9.2.7.19,S9.2.7.20). Given that the posterior RVOT abuts the RSV, mapping of this structure is useful when ablation in the RVOT fails (S9.2.7.5). Some RVOT VAs originate from a conus papillary muscle that can be identified by ICE (S9.2.7.21).

Myocardial fibers extend along the pulmonary artery and can give rise to VAs that can be ablated from within the pulmonary artery. ECG and electrophysiological characteristics of pulmonary artery VAs are not well delineated (S9.2.7.3). Mapping at the pulmonary artery should be considered while performing catheter ablation at the RVOT. ICE or angiography is required to know with certainty whether the catheter is above or below the valve.

Acute procedural success is in the range of 90% (S9.2.7.14,S9.2.7.22,S9.2.7.23), with more than 80% free of recurrent VA during follow-up. Serious complications occur in approximately 1% of patients and are usually related to cardiac perforation (S9.2.7.14). The left main coronary artery is directly posterior to the distal RVOT and is at risk of injury when ablating in the distal posterior RVOT (S9.2.7.24) or the pulmonary artery. The left anterior descending artery can be damaged when ablation is performed at the insertion of the anterior free wall to the septum of the RVOT, especially when high power (.30 watts) is used for ablation.

9.2.4. Aortic Sinuses of Valsalva—The aortic root lies posterior and rightward of the RVOT and is comprised of the SVs and commissures. Both the RSV and the anterior part of the LSV are connected to the adjacent LVOT, while both the posterior LSV and the NCSV are infibrous continuity with the mitral annulus at the AMC (S9.2.7.5,S9.2.7.6,S9.2.7.25). Although this is a fibrous structure, rare VAs can arise from this region. Myocardial sleeves can cross the aortic valve plane and extend a few millimeters above the basal valvular attachment, most commonly adjacent to the RSV and sometimes at the LSV. Myocardial sleeves are rarely observed adjacent to the NCSV (S9.2.7.5,S9.2.7.6,S9.2.7.25).

The ECG characteristics of VAs from the SV vary, but relatively common features that are not usually encountered in RVOT VAs include a QRS transition <V3, prominent tall or broad R waves in V1 or V2 (S9.2.7.4,S9.2.7.8,S9.2.7.25–S9.2.7.28), qrS or notched complexes in V1–V2 (S9.2.7.29,S9.2.7.30), and a large R wave in lead I (S9.2.7.31). A single focus can result in VAs with different QRS morphologies (RBBB and LBBB), suggesting a fiber with multiple OT connections (S9.2.7.32).

Initial mapping should include the LVOT and SVs (S9.2.7.33). ICE is useful for confirming the catheter position and the relation to adjacent structures. Local electrograms are helpful indications of catheter location: in NCSV, the atrial electrograms are larger than the ventricular electrogram, whereas the ventricular electrogram is larger in the other SVs, particularly in the RSV (S9.2.7.5,S9.2.7.25,S9.2.7.34,S9.2.7.35). SV foci are typically characterized by a small pre-QRS fragmented electrogram that precedes a large far-field ventricular electrogram during the VA and follows the QRS and far-field ventricular potential during sinus rhythm (S9.2.7.5,S9.2.7.25,S9.2.7.30).

Prior to ablation, ICE imaging or coronary angiography is required to ensure that the distance between the ablation catheter and the nearest coronary ostia exceeds 10 mm (S9.2.7.25,S9.2.7.26,S9.2.7.36). Coronary angiography can be repeated after the ablation to confirm the absence of injury or spasm. Injury to the aortic valve is theoretically possible. An RF power of 25–35 W and a duration of 30–60 seconds for each RF energy application are commonly employed for irrigated or nonirrigated RF ablation catheters (S9.2.7.25).

The compact AV node and His bundle are potentially at risk during ablation from the NCSV or commissure between the NCSV and RSV and can be identified by the presence of a His potential (S9.2.7.6,S9.2.7.25). AV conduction should be monitored during ablation, which might necessitate the delivery of RF energy during sinus rhythm rather than during tachycardia, as well as gradually increasing power (S9.2.7.25).

Ablation for VAs at the aortic root is usually successful (S9.2.7.8,S9.2.7.15,S9.2.7.35). In rare cases, earliest activation is identified at a coronary artery ostium or even within the proximal coronary artery, precluding ablation directly on the focus (S9.2.7.26,S9.2.7.35). Potential complications include aortic valve injury, coronary artery injury and thromboemboli; with appropriate precautions, however, these complications appear to be rare.

9.2.5. Left Ventricular Outflow Tract and Left Ventricular Summit—Endocardial left OT VAs can originate from the superior basal regions of the interventricular septum or the LV free wall, near the aortic annulus, including the area adjacent to the His-bundle region where ablation carries a risk of AV block. The QRS typically has an LBBB inferior axis configuration, with R waves in V1, V2 and dominant R waves in these leads for those originating in the more leftward aspect of the LVOT near the AMC.

The epicardial area bounded by the left anterior descending and circumflex coronary arteries has been termed the LV summit (Figure 7) (S9.2.7.4). Ablation for VAs in this region can be challenging and less successful than other OT VAs. The GCV divides the LV summit into two parts: the inferior part can be accessible to epicardial catheter ablation, while the superior one—the triangle of Brocq and Mouchet (S9.2.7.37)—is inaccessible due to the close proximity of the coronary arteries and overlying epicardial fat (S9.2.7.38). One group has reported success in mapping and identifying origins of VA from the summit region within communicating veins between the aortic cusp and the pulmonary artery in half of the patients allowing for anatomic targeting of these VAs (S9.2.7.39). Ablation in the adjacent endocardium is occasionally successful even when activation is later than 10 ms prior to the QRS onset at that site (S9.2.7.40–S9.2.7.42). VAs originating from the apical summit are rightward of those in the basal part and tend to have a longer QRS duration, more rightward axis, and more often an RBBB configuration compared with those from the basal part of the summit (S9.2.7.41). Ablation from within the GCV or epicardium can be successful for VAs arising from the inferior part of the summit.

Epicardial VAs often cluster at perivascular sites, with the majority at the junction of the GCV/AIV or at the proximal AIV (S9.2.7.26,S9.2.7.28,S9.2.7.43,S9.2.7.44). ECG characteristics can be similar to those of VAs originating from the SVs. Mapping within the GCV/AIV can be performed using standard RF catheters or small-diameter (2-Fr) multipolar electrode catheters (S9.2.7.26,S9.2.7.28). RF ablation in the coronary veins has a risk of perforation or injury to adjacent coronary arteries, or, in some patients, injury to the left phrenic nerve. Some physicians perform ablation in the GCV only after failure of RF energy application at the adjacent LVOT endocardium and SV, even if activation at these sites occurs later. Coronary angiography prior to ablation in the vein is essential, and a distance of 5 mm from the adjacent artery is recommended for ablation to be considered (S9.2.7.26,S9.2.7.44). An irrigated catheter is needed due to the low flow in the vein, and the impedance cutoff is usually disabled given that impedance in the vein might exceed the set parameters. Some physicians limit the maximum power to 20 W, the maximum temperature to 43°C, and the RF duration to 60 seconds (S9.2.7.26). Cryoablation has been considered when the target site is very close to the artery, but arterial injury is also possible with cryoablation (S9.2.7.26,S9.2.7.42). To avoid phrenic nerve injury, ablation should not be attempted if there is diaphragmatic capture while pacing at the site at 20 mA.

In some cases, VAs in the apical portion of the LV summit are sufficiently leftward to be accessible from the pericardial space (S9.2.7.26,S9.2.7.38,S9.2.7.41). Proximity to major coronary arteries and overlying epicardial fat can limit ablation (S9.2.7.38). When proximity to the epicardial coronary artery precludes catheter ablation, a direct surgical approach can be an option (S9.2.7.45–S9.2.7.47).

9.2.6. Para-Hisian Ventricular Arrhythmias—The His bundle is located at the most proximal and rightward part of the RVOT in the region of the perimembranous septum. VAs originating from this area often have distinctive ECG characteristics, including a QS in V1–V2, relatively narrow QRS, and tall R waves in the lateral leads (S9.2.7.10). Ablation risks include damage to the His bundle or RBB and the possibility of complete AV block. When earliest activation is recorded near the His bundle, mapping at the RSV and NCSV should be performed, given that earlier activation can be identified at these sites (S9.2.7.34, S9.2.7.35, S9.2.7.48). Ablation with careful monitoring of AV conduction can be successful. Cryoablation can be an option to avoid AV block (S9.2.7.49).

9.2.7. Deep Intraseptal Sites—Often, idiopathic OT VAs can arise from deep intraseptal sites and share LBBB morphology with inferior axis (S9.2.7.50); however, no specific ECG pattern has been described indicating an intramural origin. Identification of the SOO is often only possible in retrospect after several structures (including the RVOT, LVOT, cusps, and coronary venous system) have been carefully mapped, and timing has been found to be equally early in several anatomical structures without matching pace maps. Activation mapping from within a vascular perforator branch within the interventricular septum can be helpful in identifying the SOO that can be targeted from an adjacent endocardial site (S9.2.7.50). Temporary suppression of VAs by cold saline infused into the distal coronary venous system and perforator veins can also suggest an intramural septal focus (S9.2.7.51). Body surface mapping and multisite pacing have been found to help in indicating a deep septal origin (S9.2.7.52, S9.2.7.53).

Ablation is attempted by RF application at the earliest endocardial breakthrough sites or as close as possible to the site identified by intraseptal mapping. If this is ineffective, simultaneous unipolar (S9.2.7.54) or simultaneous bipolar RF ablation (investigational) between two RF catheters positioned at both breakthrough sites (S9.2.7.26), or between RVOT and SV (S9.2.7.55), or RF ablation directly within a septal perforator vein (S9.2.7.50) have been reported. Intracoronary ethanol infusion (S9.2.7.4) and the use of an investigation needle ablation catheter have been reported (S9.2.7.56).

9.3. Idiopathic Nonoutflow Tract Ventricular Arrhythmia

Key Points
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • VAs originating from the papillary muscles can be challenging due to multiple morphologies of the VA and the difficulty in achieving and maintaining sufficient contact during ablation. • VAs originate in LV papillary muscles more often than in RV papillary muscles; they more often originate from the posteromedial than the anterolateral papillary muscle and occur more often at the tip than at the base. • Pace mapping is less accurate than in other focal VAs. • ICE is particularly useful for assessing contact and stability.

- Cryoablation can also aid in catheter stability during lesion delivery.

9.3.1. Ventricular Arrhythmias from the Tricuspid and Mitral Annuli—

Arrhythmias arising from the mitral annulus occur in approximately 5% of patients presenting for ablation for idiopathic VAs and can arise from anywhere around the mitral annulus, with a slight predilection for the superior aspect (S9.3.1.1–S9.3.1.3). In the surface ECG, VAs from the mitral annulus have an RBBB morphology, with dominant R waves from V1 to V5, and can have an S wave in V6 (S9.3.1.2–S9.3.1.4). Successful ablation has been reported in 80%–100% of cases (S9.3.1.1–S9.3.1.3). Some cases have an epicardial origin and can be approached from the CS or GCV, in which case the use of coronary angiography to verify a sufficient distance from the adjacent coronary arteries is warranted.

VAs from the tricuspid annulus are encountered in 8%–10% (S9.3.1.5) of patients with idiopathic VA referred for ablation (S9.3.1.6). VAs from the tricuspid annulus have an LBBB morphology, positive polarity in leads I, V5, and V6, and a QS or rS pattern in aVR. Compared with RVOT arrhythmias, lead I has a taller R wave. A precordial R wave transition >V3 favors a free wall location, and a QS pattern in V1 favors a septal location (S9.3.1.5,S9.3.1.6).

Outcomes from ablation for VAs from the free wall of the tricuspid annulus are favorable, with success rates of approximately 90% (S9.3.1.5,S9.3.1.6). Ablation for VAs from the septal side of the tricuspid annulus are more challenging due to difficult catheter contact, proximity to the conduction system, and a possible intramural origin for the arrhythmias (S9.3.1.6). Use of a deflectable sheath can help to improve catheter contact, and exploration of the LV or SV and the coronary venous system should be considered when ablation at the RV septum is unsuccessful (S9.3.1.7). The risk of AV block should be considered, and careful mapping of the conduction system prior to ablation at the septal tricuspid annulus is warranted.

9.3.2. Mapping and Ablation of Ventricular Arrhythmia from the Papillary Muscles—

Ablation of papillary muscle arrhythmias presents unique anatomical challenges that can result in long procedural, fluoroscopy, and RF times (S9.3.2.1). Idiopathic VAs from the papillary muscles comprise up to 5% of patients presenting for PVC ablation (S9.3.2.1), typically have a focal origin consistent with triggered activity or abnormal automaticity as the mechanism, and are more likely to be provoked by catecholamine administration than by programmed stimulation (S9.3.2.2–S9.3.2.4). Postinfarction VAs from the papillary muscle can arise from an area of scar tissue and can have a reentrant mechanism (S9.3.2.5). Preprocedural imaging including CMR is helpful for identifying areas of scar tissue or fibrosis that are potential sources of arrhythmia.

Although most idiopathic PVCs from the papillary muscles are benign, they have the potential to cause cardiomyopathy (S9.3.2.6,S9.3.2.7), and rarely they are triggers for VF (S9.3.2.8). Patients with frequent papillary muscle arrhythmias are also more likely to have mitral valve prolapse (S9.3.2.7,S9.3.2.9). While the large majority of these patients have benign outcomes, sudden death can occasionally occur, and this condition has been

associated with the female sex, bileaflet prolapse, complex VAs, inverted or biphasic T waves in the inferior ECG leads of sinus beats, and LGE-CMR, typically in the inferior LV (S9.3.2.10,S9.3.2.11). Further studies on risk stratification are therefore needed.

Papillary muscle arrhythmias can arise from either ventricle, but the LV is the most common origin, with the posteromedial papillary muscle being the most frequent (S9.3.2.12). Papillary muscle arrhythmias originate more frequently toward the tip of the muscle, in its distal third (S9.3.2.13). QRS morphology can suggest the origin, but variable papillary muscle anatomy and exit sites into the myocardium limit ECG accuracy. PVCs from the posteromedial papillary muscles have RBBB QRS morphology with a superior axis and a transition in leads V3–V5. PVCs from the anterolateral papillary muscles have RBBB QRS morphology, a rightward axis, and inferior leads, demonstrating an inferior or discordant axis (S9.3.2.4,S9.3.2.14). A small initial q wave in V1 is common. Papillary muscle PVCs have a longer QRS duration compared with fascicular PVCs (153 ± 27 ms vs 127 ± 25 ms, $P < .05$) (S9.3.2.14), and fascicular PVCs frequently exhibit an rsR' morphology in V₁, which is more consistent with a typical RBBB morphology.

Papillary muscle VAs in the same patient often exhibit variability in QRS morphology, which can be due to variable exits from the same origin (S9.3.2.15). Pacing can produce the same QRS over a relatively large area on the papillary muscle, and ablation at a site with a pace map identical to the VA might not eliminate the arrhythmia, leading to ablation over larger areas (S9.3.2.15). In some patients, the VA can originate in a deep intramural location, and the electrograms with the earliest activation time can have a far-field appearance or be difficult to define. In these patients, ablation at sites with a matching pace map and prolonged S-QRS might be successful, although longer and higher-powered RF application might be necessary (S9.3.2.16).

Defining the anatomy and maintaining consistent catheter contact and stability during ablation delivery are significant challenges. The use of ICE integrated with EAM systems can help to identify papillary muscle surfaces within the ventricle and provide real-time visualization of catheter contact on the papillary muscle during ablation (Figure 8) (S9.3.2.4,S9.3.2.12,S9.3.2.17). Catheter instability often induces PVCs that complicate mapping. Steerable sheaths can be useful when a transseptal approach is employed, although there is a lack of comparative data. Cryoablation has the advantage of achieving stable contact with the papillary muscle during freezing and can be effective; however, data are limited (S9.3.2.18).

Lower success rates and higher recurrence rates have been reported for the catheter ablation of papillary muscle arrhythmias, compared with other idiopathic VAs, with one study citing a 60% long-term success rate for ablation in patients with idiopathic papillary muscle PVCs (S9.3.2.1). Although worsening mitral regurgitation following ablation of the papillary muscles has been reported, this is a rare occurrence, and complications from ablation of papillary muscle arrhythmias are generally not significantly different from ablation at other LV locations (S9.3.2.4,S9.3.2.19,S9.3.2.20).

9.4. Bundle Branch Reentrant Ventricular Tachycardia and Fascicular Ventricular Tachycardia

Key Points

- BBR can occur in a variety of patients in whom the conduction system can be affected, including patients with DCM, valvular heart disease, MI, myotonic dystrophy, Brugada syndrome, and ARVC, among others.
- Ablation of either the RBB or LBB eliminates BBRVT but does not eliminate other arrhythmic substrates.
- A correct diagnosis of BBRVT is crucial and should employ established criteria prior to ablation of either of the bundle branches.
- Ablation of the AV node does not cure BBRVT.
- Ablation of either bundle branch does not cure interfascicular VT.
- For posterior fascicular VTs, the P1 potential is targeted during VT; if P1 cannot be identified or VT is not tolerated, an anatomical approach can be used.
- Purkinje fibers can extend to the papillary muscles, and these can be part of the VT circuit.
- For anterior fascicular VTs, the P1 potential is targeted with ablation.
- Focal nonreentrant fascicular VT is infrequent and can occur in patients with IHD; however, it cannot be induced with programmed stimulation, and the target is the earliest Purkinje potential during VT.

9.4.1. Introduction—There are 4 distinct groups of Purkinje-related monomorphic VTs: 1) BBR including interfascicular reentry VTs; 2) idiopathic fascicular reentrant VT; 3) fascicular reentrant VT post infarction; and 4) focal nonreentrant fascicular VT. The mechanism of focal nonreentrant fascicular VT is abnormal automaticity from the distal Purkinje system, and the ablation target is the earliest Purkinje activation during the VT. The other VTs are caused by macroreentry and usually occur in specific locations with specific QRS morphologies.

9.4.2. Bundle Branch Reentrant Ventricular Tachycardia

9.4.2.1. Recognition: BBRVT is a unique, typically fast (200–300 bpm), monomorphic tachycardia, which is usually associated with hemodynamic collapse, syncope, and/or cardiac arrest (S9.4.2.4.1). BBRVT is caused by a macroreentry circuit involving the RBB and LBB, and septal ventricular muscle (S9.4.2.4.1–S9.4.2.4.5). BBR is often associated with advanced heart disease and has been observed in DCM (S9.4.2.4.3), coronary artery disease (S9.4.2.4.3), valvular heart disease (S9.4.2.4.3,S9.4.2.4.6,S9.4.2.4.7), muscular dystrophy (S9.4.2.4.8–S9.4.2.4.10), CHD (S9.4.2.4.11), LV noncompaction (LVNC) (S9.4.2.4.12), ARVC (S9.4.2.4.13), and Brugada syndrome (S9.4.2.4.14). BBRVT

can also occur in association with His-Purkinje system disease in the absence of SHD (S9.4.2.4.15–S9.4.2.4.17). Although classically associated with DCM, BBRVT can also occur in the setting of isolated, unexplained conduction system disease (S9.4.2.4.15,S9.4.2.4.18,S9.4.2.4.19). Recently, 6 cases of idiopathic BBRVT were identified in patients with normal biventricular size and function. All patients were noted to have His-Purkinje system disease, with prolonged HV at baseline (mean 69.2 ms), and underwent successful ablation targeting the RBB. Mutations were identified in 3 of the 6 patients: 2 in the *SCN5A* gene and 1 in the *LMNA* gene (S9.4.2.4.19). Idiopathic BBRVT can be a genetic conduction disease, manifested by isolated conduction system disease and curable with catheter ablation.

The reported incidence of BBRVT is 3.5%, representing 6% of VTs in separate series and 20% in a series of patients with NICM alone undergoing evaluation for ablation (S9.4.2.4.20–S9.4.2.4.22). The incidence of BBRVT is likely underestimated.

BBRVT can have a typical LBBB (S9.4.2.4.23) or RBBB pattern, depending on which bundle forms the anterograde limb (Table 5); however, both morphologies can occur in the same patient (S9.4.2.4.24). Tchou and Mehdirdad (S9.4.2.4.25) described 3 categories of BBRVT (Table 5). Type A and type C are the classic counterclockwise and clockwise BBRVT circuits, respectively. Type B is reentry within the LBB fascicles (interfascicular reentry). Counterclockwise BBR (type A) accounts for 98% of BBRVTs and has a typical LBBB pattern with an R-wave transition between leads V₄ and V₅ (S9.4.2.4.26). Alternatively, activation can proceed in an anterograde manner via the LBB and then through the septum, with retrograde activation via the RBB, creating an RBBB morphology (“clockwise” reentry, type C) (S9.4.2.4.20,S9.4.2.4.27). Interfascicular reentry tachycardia often has an RBBB and left hemiblock QRS pattern.

Patients with BBR generally have a prolonged PR interval, QRS duration, and H-V interval. LBBB, or less commonly RBBB, on the sinus rhythm ECG, is due to conduction slowing in the bundle rather than complete block.

Single BBR beats can occur in patients who have apparently normal His-Purkinje conduction during sinus rhythm but who have functional conduction impairment (S9.4.2.4.28). This condition is observed as a normal response to premature ventricular extrastimuli in patients undergoing PES and has been called a V3 phenomenon (S9.4.2.4.2,S9.4.2.4.29).

In the electrophysiology laboratory, ventricular extrastimuli delivered with short–long–short coupling intervals (pacing train of 400 ms with a delay of 600 or 800 ms before the short-coupled premature coupling intervals are introduced) tend to cause unidirectional block in the bundle branches facilitating the initiation of BBR (S9.4.2.4.30). Atrial pacing and/or isoproterenol might be required (S9.4.2.4.18).

9.4.2.2. Specific Considerations: Several criteria help in diagnosing BBRVT:

1. The typical 12-lead ECG morphology during tachycardia is LBBB or RBBB.

2. A critical delay in His-Purkinje system conduction is needed to initiate tachycardia.
3. Although AV dissociation can be present, there is usually persistent 1:1 His-bundle or QRS activation, with the H-V interval during the tachycardia equal to or longer than the H-V interval in sinus rhythm (and no H-V dissociation) (S9.4.2.4.31).
4. Tachycardia stops and cannot be reinitiated if conduction in one of the bundle branches is interrupted.
5. During BBR, the His-bundle, RBB, and LBB activation sequences during an LBBB morphology tachycardia (or the His-bundle, LBB, and RBB activation sequences during an RBBB morphology tachycardia) remain stable.
6. If the CL fluctuates, then the H-H interval oscillations precede the V-V interval oscillations. The H-V timing remains constant.
7. Entrainment with constant fusion (manifest entrainment) is present during pacing from the RV apex (S9.4.2.4.32). However, it results in a PPI within 30 ms of the tachycardia cycle (S9.4.2.4.33).
8. Entrainment with concealed fusion is present during atrial pacing faster than the tachycardia, provided that AV nodal conduction allows 1:1 conduction.

In type A and C BBRVTs, the onset of ventricular depolarization is preceded by His-bundle, RBB, or LBB potentials with an appropriate sequence of His-bundle>RBB>LBB activation. Spontaneous variations in V-V intervals are preceded by similar changes in H-H/RBB-RBB/LBB-LBB intervals. Recording from both sides of the septum can help to identify the BBR mechanism.

Interfascicular tachycardia is an uncommon type (S9.4.2.4.3,S9.4.2.4.18,S9.4.2.4.34–S9.4.2.4.37) in which one of the fascicles serves as the anterograde limb while the other serves as the retrograde circuit. The distal link between fascicles occurs through the ventricular myocardium. The LAF is usually the anterograde limb and the LPF is the retrograde limb (S9.4.2.4.16,S9.4.2.4.37). BBRVT and interfascicular tachycardia can be present in the same patient (S9.4.2.4.2,S9.4.2.4.34,S9.4.2.4.38). In contrast to BBR, the H-V interval during interfascicular tachycardia is usually shorter by more than 40 ms than that recorded in sinus rhythm (S9.4.2.4.39). This condition occurs because the upper turnaround point of the circuit (the LBB point) is relatively far from the His bundle activated in the retrograde direction.

It is important to recognize BBRVT and interfascicular tachycardia because they can be cured with catheter ablation. The differential diagnosis for BBR includes 1) VT due to myocardial reentry, 2) idiopathic left intrafascicular VT, 3) SVT with aberrant conduction, and 4) atriofascicular reentry.

With myocardial reentry, the His potential usually does not precede the QRS complex, and variations in the H-H interval follow changes in the V-V interval because there is retrograde passive activation of the His-Purkinje system. The PPI after entrainment of tachycardia from

the RV apex will usually be long, unless the myocardial reentrant VT involves the apex (S9.4.2.4.3,S9.4.2.4.33).

The possibilities of other Purkinje-related VTs (S9.4.2.4.40), such as idiopathic fascicular VT (S9.4.2.4.41), fascicular VT post-MI (S9.4.2.4.42–S9.4.2.4.44), automatic His-Purkinje VT, focal Purkinje VT (S9.4.2.4.45,S9.4.2.4.46), and AV node/His-Purkinje reentry (S9.4.2.4.47), should also be considered (see below).

9.4.2.3. Catheter Ablation: Pharmacological antiarrhythmic therapy is usually ineffective; however, RF catheter ablation of a bundle branch can cure BBRVTs and is currently regarded as first-line therapy (S9.4.2.4.3,S9.4.2.4.20,S9.4.2.4.48). Given that significant underlying structural disease is usually present, concomitant placement of an ICD should be strongly considered.

The technique of choice is ablation of the RBB (S9.4.2.4.3,S9.4.2.4.38,S9.4.2.4.49). BBRVT can be prevented by ablating the right or left main bundle branch (S9.4.2.4.16,S9.4.2.4.25). Although most patients demonstrate conduction system disease in the LBB, the RBB is typically the target for ablation because of the technical ease and desire to avoid complete LBBB. In patients with a complete LBBB pattern during sinus rhythm, anterograde ventricular activation occurs solely via the RBB due to slow anterograde conduction over the LBB, potentially with retrograde LBB activation due to transseptal concealed conduction (S9.4.2.4.16,S9.4.2.4.50). Complete AV block can develop with RBB ablation, and the LBB may be targeted in such patients (S9.4.2.4.16,S9.4.2.4.50).

Complete RBB or LBB develops with successful ablation, although QRS changes can be subtle in patients with preexisting conduction abnormalities. Elimination of retrograde V-H conduction has been used as a marker of successful ablation.

In interfascicular reentry, RBB ablation will not cure the tachycardia because the RBB is a bystander. Similarly, ablation of the main LBB would not be expected to terminate the tachycardia because the circuit is distal to this point. Catheter ablation of the LAF or LPF will result in termination of the tachycardia (S9.4.2.4.35,S9.4.2.4.37,S9.4.2.4.38). A change of electrical axis might be the only manifestation during sinus rhythm after fascicular ablation.

9.4.2.4. Outcomes and Risks: In the 2 largest reported series, acute success rates for BBRVT and interfascicular reentry were 100% (S9.4.2.4.3,S9.4.2.4.51). After ablation, BBRVT recurrence is uncommon but has not been thoroughly assessed with follow-up testing (S9.4.2.4.3,S9.4.2.4.37,S9.4.2.4.51).

The reported incidence of clinically significant conduction system impairment requiring implantation of a permanent pacemaker varies from 0% to 30% (S9.4.2.4.3,S9.4.2.4.6,S9.4.2.4.8,S9.4.2.4.29–S9.4.2.4.31,S9.4.2.4.39).

Despite the success of BBRVT ablation, patients with cardiomyopathy and heart failure continue to have a high mortality rate. Despite the impressive success of ablation for BBRVT, progressive heart failure is a common cause of death

(S9.4.2.4.3,S9.4.2.4.6,S9.4.2.4.20,S9.4.2.4.26,S9.4.2.4.28,S9.4.2.4.38,S9.4.2.4.48,S9.4.2.4.49). Therefore, a CRT defibrillator should be considered after ablation based on the status of the residual conduction system and the severity of the underlying SHD. Furthermore, VT of myocardial origin can be induced in 36% to 60% of patients after successful ablation for BBR (S9.4.2.4.26,S9.4.2.4.37).

9.4.3. Idiopathic Fascicular Reentrant Ventricular Tachycardia

9.4.3.1. Recognition: Verapamil-sensitive fascicular reentrant VT is the most common form of idiopathic left-sided VT and was first recognized as an electrocardiographic entity in 1979 by Zipes et al. (S9.4.4.3.1), who identified the following characteristic diagnostic triad: 1) induction with atrial pacing, 2) RBBB and left-axis configuration, and 3) manifestation in patients without SHD. In 1981, Belhassen et al. (S9.4.4.3.2) were the first to demonstrate the verapamil sensitivity of the tachycardia, a fourth identifying feature. In 1988, Ohe et al. (S9.4.4.3.3) reported another type of this tachycardia, with RBBB and a right-axis configuration. Nogami (S9.4.4.3.4) and Talib et al. (S9.4.4.3.5) subsequently reported on upper septal fascicular tachycardia. According to the QRS morphology, verapamil-sensitive left fascicular reentrant VT can be divided into 3 subgroups (S9.4.4.3.4): 1) left posterior fascicular reentrant VT, in which the QRS morphology exhibits a RBBB configuration and a superior axis; 2) left anterior fascicular reentrant VT, in which the QRS morphology exhibits an RBBB configuration and inferior axis; and 3) upper septal fascicular VT, in which the QRS morphology exhibits a narrow QRS configuration and normal or right-axis deviation (S9.4.4.3.4–S9.4.4.3.6). The left posterior type is most common, the left anterior type is uncommon, and left upper fascicular VT is very rare but sometimes occurs after catheter ablation of other fascicular reentrant VTs.

The reentrant circuit of verapamil-sensitive fascicular reentrant VT can involve the Purkinje network around the papillary muscles (S9.4.4.3.7). In addition to the current classification with 3 subtypes, papillary muscle fascicular VT is another identifiable verapamil-sensitive fascicular reentrant VT. Finally, verapamil-sensitive left fascicular reentrant VT can be classified into 5 subgroups (Table 6). Papillary muscle VTs originating from the Purkinje fiber system and VT originating from myocardial tissue of the papillary muscle appear to be different entities, although there can be some overlap.

9.4.3.2. Specific Considerations: The anatomical basis of this tachycardia has provoked considerable interest, with data suggesting that the tachycardia can originate from a false tendon or fibromuscular band in the LV (S9.4.4.3.8–S9.4.4.3.11). Using ICE and 3D mapping systems, the successful ablation site appears to be at the connection of a false tendon and ventricular wall in some cases. The Purkinje networks in these small anatomical structures can be important parts of the circuit. In papillary muscle fascicular VTs, fibromuscular bands near papillary muscles can potentially be the substrate of the circuit.

During tachycardia, 2 distinct groups of potentials, P1 and P2, are typically recorded from a catheter lying along the midseptum (S9.4.4.3.12–S9.4.4.3.14). The mid-diastolic potentials (P1) show proximal to distal activation along the septum, and the fused presystolic Purkinje

potential (P2) shows distal to proximal activation. During sinus rhythm, the P2 potentials are later than the His-bundle potential and earlier than the onset of the QRS, which is consistent with an origin in the LPF. P1 represents the activation from tissue that has decremental properties and verapamil sensitivity that constitutes the anterograde limb of the circuit in VT. P2 represents the activation of the LPF or a Purkinje fiber near the LPF and is a bystander during VT. The LV septal muscle is likely the retrograde limb.

9.4.3.3. Catheter Ablation: VT can be initiated with atrial extrastimuli or burst pacing, or ventricular extrastimuli or burst pacing, facilitated by an isoproterenol infusion, if necessary. When evaluating these tachycardias, a multipolar catheter placed along the left septum can be helpful in delineating parts of the reentry circuits (S9.4.4.3.12–S9.4.4.3.14).

Left posterior septal fascicular reentrant VT mapping along the LV septum identifies 2 distinct groups of potentials (P1 and P2) during the VT (S9.4.4.3.4,S9.4.4.3.12). The apical third of the septum with a P1 potential is usually targeted to avoid creating LBBB or AV block. If the VT or ventricular echo beats are not inducible, an empirical anatomical approach can be effective (S9.4.4.3.14). First, the VT exit site is determined by pace mapping during sinus rhythm, and RF energy is delivered to that site. Second, a linear lesion is placed at the midseptum, perpendicular to the long axis of the LV, approximately 10–15 mm proximal to the VT exit. This anatomical approach is also useful in patients in whom diastolic Purkinje potentials cannot be recorded during VT.

Reported cases of left posterior papillary muscle fascicular VT presented an RBBB configuration and right-axis deviation QRS configuration. A diastolic Purkinje potential (P1) is recorded at the papillary muscle with the location confirmed by ICE imaging. Ablation at this site is highly effective for suppressing this VT (S9.4.4.3.7).

Left anterior septal fascicular VT exhibits an RBBB QRS morphology with Rs pattern in V5–V6. A Purkinje potential is recorded in the diastolic phase during the VT at the midanterior LV septum (S9.4.4.3.13). In this circuit, P1 potentials represent activation in the proximal portion of the specialized Purkinje tissue, which has decremental conduction properties. During VT, the anterograde limb is the Purkinje tissue exhibiting P1, and the retrograde limb is the LV muscle. The circuits of the left anterior and posterior fascicular reentrant VTs are mirror images.

Left anterior papillary muscle fascicular VTs have RBBB with deep S waves in V5–V6. LV endocardial mapping during left anterior fascicular reentrant VT identifies the earliest ventricular activation in the anterolateral wall of the LV, where ablation suppresses VT (S9.4.4.3.7,S9.4.4.3.13).

Upper septal fascicular VT has a narrow QRS and inferiorly directed frontal plane axis. P1 represents the activation potential of the specialized Purkinje tissue at the LV septum (S9.4.4.3.5). P2 represents the activation of the left anterior and posterior fascicles. Both the left anterior and posterior fascicles are the anterograde limbs of the reentrant circuit in VT, producing the narrow QRS configuration and inferior axis. This VT is successfully ablated at the LV midseptum.

9.4.3.4. Outcomes and Risks: In the largest series of reentrant fascicular VTs, which included 160 patients with left posterior septal fascicular VT, 30 patients with left anterior septal fascicular VT, and 8 patients with left upper septal fascicular VT (S9.4.4.3.15), the success and recurrence rates were 97% and 4%, respectively, for left posterior septal fascicular VT; 90% and 11%, respectively, for left anterior septal fascicular VT; and 100% and 2.5%, respectively, for left upper septal fascicular VT. Recurrence of papillary muscle fascicular VT after ablation was high (S9.4.4.3.7), with 3 of 8 patients (38%) with posterior papillary muscle fascicular VT and 1 of 5 patients (20%) with anterior papillary muscle fascicular VT requiring a second ablation session for VT recurrences.

Aside from the complications that can result from any LV electrophysiological procedure, the only complications specifically associated with catheter ablation of idiopathic left fascicular VT have been LBBB and AV block. Tsuchiya et al. (S9.4.4.3.16) have reported that 2 patients in their series of 16 patients (12.5%) had transient LBBB after ablation. The authors targeted the left basal septum, and the LBBB disappeared within 10 minutes without VT recurrence. In a larger series (S9.4.4.3.15), 1 (0.5%) of 198 patients had a transient AV block. This patient presented with left posterior fascicular VT, and the diastolic potential (P1) at the midseptum was targeted for ablation. Before the ablation, the patient had catheter-induced RBBB. The AV block disappeared immediately after discontinuing the RF energy delivery.

9.4.4. Focal Nonreentrant Fascicular Ventricular Tachycardia and Premature Ventricular Complex

9.4.4.1. Recognition: Focal nonreentrant fascicular VT is classified as propranolol-sensitive automatic VT (S9.4.4.3.17, S9.4.4.3.18) and is usually observed in patients with IHD (S9.4.4.3.19), although it has been observed in patients with structurally normal hearts (S9.4.4.3.20, S9.4.4.3.21). VT can be induced by exercise and catecholamines (eg, isoproterenol and phenylephrine); however, it cannot be induced or terminated by PES (S9.4.4.3.17). VT is transiently suppressed by adenosine and with overdrive pacing. Although this VT is responsive to lidocaine and beta blockers, it is usually not responsive to verapamil. These features can be employed to distinguish it from reentrant fascicular VT. The clinical and electrophysiological characteristics of this VT have not yet been well defined. Gonzalez et al. (S9.4.4.3.20) have reported the electrophysiological spectrum of Purkinje-related monomorphic VT in 8 patients and have shown the mechanism to be consistent with abnormal automaticity or triggered activity in 5 patients. Talib et al. (S9.4.4.3.17) have reported on 11 patients (2.8%) with idiopathic nonreentrant fascicular VT among 530 patients with idiopathic VT without SHD. All patients had monomorphic VT with a relatively narrow QRS (123 ± 12 ms) and did not respond to verapamil. The VT exhibited RBBB and superior-axis configuration in 11 patients (73%), inferior axis in 3 (20%), and LBBB and superior-axis configuration in 1 (7%). The VT could not be entrained.

9.4.4.2. Catheter Ablation: The ablation target of nonreentrant fascicular VT is the earliest Purkinje activation during VT. If the VT was not induced by ventricular stimulation and catecholamines, isolated PVCs with a similar QRS morphology to that observed during

the VT can be targeted. At the earliest Purkinje activation during VT/PVC, a Purkinje potential is also recorded during sinus rhythm (S9.4.4.3.17).

9.4.4.3. Outcomes and Risks: Although VT and PVC had been suppressed by catheter ablation in 1 series, the acute success rate is unclear because this VT is difficult to induce (S9.4.4.3.17). VT recurrence was observed in 4 patients (27%), 3 of whom underwent pace map-guided ablation during the first session. A second ablation with activation mapping guidance eliminated the VT during the 88 ± 8 -month follow-up. Catheter ablation guided by activation mapping appears effective, whereas the pace map-guided approach is less efficacious.

LBBB and AV block have been associated with catheter ablation of nonreentrant fascicular VT. In verapamil-sensitive reentrant fascicular VTs, the creation of LBBB or AV block is rare because the ablation target is the diastolic abnormal Purkinje potential (P1) during VT, and the abolition of the normal Purkinje or fascicle potential (P2) is not needed to suppress the VT. By contrast, abolition of a portion of the Purkinje network is usually necessary to suppress the nonreentrant fascicular VT. After successful ablation, the amplitude of the local myocardium is diminished, and the Purkinje potential appears after the myocardial potential. When the ablation site is located at the distal portion of the left fascicle, there is no change in the surface QRS morphology or H-V interval after ablation. If the VT arises from a more proximal portion of the fascicle, there is a potential risk for causing LBBB or AV block by the ablation. Rodriguez et al. (S9.4.4.3.21) have reported cases of nonreentrant fascicular VT with an RBBB configuration and right-axis deviation in patients who presented left anterior fascicular block after the ablation. Lopera et al. (S9.4.4.3.19) have reported on 2 patients with nonreentrant fascicular VT and IHD in whom complete AV block occurred after successful ablation for VT.

9.5. Postinfarction Ventricular Tachycardia

Key Points

- In cases of multiple inducible VTs, the clinical VT should be preferentially targeted.
- Elimination of all inducible VTs reduces VT recurrence and is associated with prolonged arrhythmia-free survival.
- For tolerated VTs, entrainment mapping allows for focal ablation of the critical isthmus.
- For nontolerated VTs, various ablation strategies have been described, including targeting abnormal potentials, matching pace mapping sites, areas of slow conduction, linear lesions, and scar homogenization.
- Imaging can be beneficial in identifying the arrhythmogenic substrate.

- Epicardial ablation is infrequently required, but epicardial substrate is an important reason for VT recurrence after VT ablation in patients with prior infarcts.

9.5.1. General Considerations—The mechanism for most VTs after MI is macroreentry involving ventricular scar areas, and initial events commonly occur several years after the acute MI (S9.5.5.1–S9.5.5.4). Focal nonreentrant mechanisms have been described in up to 9% of cases (S9.5.5.5). The chronic scar pattern observed after coronary occlusion has been most widely described as compact in architecture, extending from the subendocardium to the epicardium, with increasing ischemia duration, typically sparing the endocardial rim close to the cavity. Along the border of the scar, viable myocardium is interspersed with fibrous tissue, providing the substrate for slow conduction (S9.5.5.1,S9.5.5.2,S9.5.5.6,S9.5.5.7). Although most reentry circuits appear to involve the subendocardium, intraoperative mapping studies have demonstrated that a substantial number of VT circuits involve or are confined to the subepicardial layer (S9.5.5.3).

Early reperfusion therapy, which has been increasingly adopted for effective management of acute MI, has not only resulted in myocardial salvage but also less LV aneurysm formation, scar transmural, and wall thinning. Less confluent histological and electroanatomical scars (S9.5.5.8) are associated with the induction of faster VTs (S9.5.5.8,S9.5.5.9) and pose additional challenges for mapping and ablation for post-MI VT.

9.5.2. Clinical, Unknown Clinical, and Nonclinical Ventricular Tachycardia—The first goal of VT ablation is to abolish the clinical VT, which can be accomplished in 90%–100% of patients inducible at baseline (S9.5.5.10–S9.5.5.13). However, determining which VT is clinical can often be challenging. Although a 12-lead VT ECG is not available for most patients, the stored ICD electrograms, if available, can act as an accurate surrogate for the 12-lead ECG (S9.5.5.14). CL of both spontaneous and induced VTs can vary, and CL alone is often insufficient for recognizing clinical VT (S9.5.5.14). Adding to the challenge of determining the area of interest and the endpoint for ablation is the lack of up-front inducibility of any VT in 7%–24% of patients (S9.5.5.12,S9.5.5.15,S9.5.5.16) and specifically that of clinical VT in 13%–30% of patients (S9.5.5.12,S9.5.5.14,S9.5.5.17). It is important to note that most patients are inducible for multiple previously undocumented VTs, with separate circuits and variable exit sites from the scar (S9.5.5.12,S9.5.5.18–S9.5.5.20). The clinical relevance of VTs induced in the electrophysiology laboratory without prior documentation is unclear; numerous laboratories have only targeted induced VTs with CL equal to or longer than that of clinically observed VT(s) but not those with comparatively shorter CLs (S9.5.5.18,S9.5.5.20). In these multicenter trials, the VT rate could be markedly reduced in a substantial number of patients; however, approximately 50% of patients experienced VT recurrence within 6 months (S9.5.5.18–S9.5.5.20).

The association between noninducibility following ablation for any VT, including nonclinical VTs and the subsequent greater freedom from VT recurrence, supports the clinical relevance of nonclinical VTs. However, as many as 41% of the patients who remain inducible for nonclinical VT do not present with recurrence during short-term follow-up (see

Section 9.17) (S9.5.5.12,S9.5.5.21). A potentially useful electrophysiological characteristic in distinguishing relevant from nonrelevant induced VTs is the similarity between VT CL and the baseline ventricular refractory period, given that VTs with a CL within 30 ms of the ventricular refractory period CL (fast VT based on the individual ventricular refractory period) rarely occur spontaneously (S9.5.5.22). The presence of only the latter VTs has been associated with low VT recurrence, comparable to that of patients who were rendered noninducible by ablation (S9.5.5.22).

9.5.3. Mapping and Ablation Strategy—Mapping and ablation strategies are determined by the type of VT and substrate. After MI, a minority of patients have only mappable VTs (hemodynamically tolerated, reproducibly inducible, with stable morphology) that allow for extended activation and entrainment mapping (S9.5.5.12,S9.5.5.18,S9.5.5.20). Hence, for most patients, additional mapping methods need to be employed in conjunction with entrainment mapping to identify critical components of the reentry circuit. Although there is no single gold standard approach, a reasonable workflow is presented in Figure 9.

Voltage mapping facilitated by 3D EAM systems (Section 8) is considered the standard for invasive identification of scar tissue during sinus rhythm and has been validated by histology in postinfarct animal models (S9.5.5.23–S9.5.5.26). For electroanatomically confluent and dense scars typical of nonreperfused infarcts (S9.5.5.8), VT-related sites are often but not exclusively located in low-voltage areas (S9.5.5.27,S9.5.5.28). To provide additional guidance in identifying putative isthmus sites in low-voltage areas, especially for unmappable VTs, several additional strategies have been suggested focusing on electrogram characteristics (split, late, fractionated potentials) and pace mapping (S9.5.5.18,S9.5.5.20,S9.5.5.23,S9.5.5.24,S9.5.5.29,S9.5.5.30). Mapping within regions of low voltage while pacing with extrastimuli at sites remote from low-voltage regions has also been demonstrated to be useful for exposing abnormal conduction regions that can be critical for VT (S9.5.5.31–S9.5.5.34).

Various RF lesion delivery strategies have been described, ranging from focal ablations guided by entrainment mapping to ablation of the entire scar (S9.5.5.13,S9.5.5.18). Suggested strategies include short linear lesions from the putative VT exit sites toward the center of the substrate, across the presumed critical isthmus and/or along the scar border zone (S9.5.5.23,S9.5.5.24,S9.5.5.30). However, the endpoint for these short linear lesions is often arbitrary. Circumferential isolation of the identified scar (bipolar voltage <1.5 mV) (S9.5.5.35) or the “core” containing potential VT isthmus elements (regions with bipolar voltage <0.5–1 mV and potentially important sites identified by entrainment, activation, and pace mapping) (S9.5.5.36), confirmed by demonstrating exit block from the core, has been suggested as an incrementally useful ablation endpoint beyond VT noninducibility. Other methods incorporate the goal of demonstrating functional entrance block into regions of scar tissue, as demonstrated by the elimination of all previously identified LPs or local abnormal ventricular activities, either by targeting channels of conduction or by direct ablation at all abnormal sites (S9.5.5.13,S9.5.5.37–S9.5.5.39). These approaches often involve more comprehensive substrate modification than the initial strategy of transecting potential circuits with linear lesion sets.

Although substrate-based ablation for clinical and induced VTs remains a probabilistic approach to VT ablation, the technique has been successfully performed after a presumed first VT episode and in patients with recurrent VT despite AAD therapy (S9.5.5.18,S9.5.5.29,S9.5.5.30,S9.5.5.40).

After substrate modification guided by clinical and induced nonclinical VT, a significant number of patients experience recurrence of symptomatic VT, including 30% of patients who have been rendered noninducible after ablation (S9.5.5.21). The number of induced VTs is a potential surrogate for a larger and complex substrate (S9.5.5.12,S9.5.5.18), and larger scars have been associated with VT recurrence (S9.5.5.12). Recurrent VT can be due to lesion recovery or incomplete lesions with local modification of the substrate (S9.5.5.16,S9.5.5.41). Although some of these VTs originate from a previously targeted area, they can have a different morphology based on 12-lead ECGs or ICD electrograms (S9.5.5.16,S9.5.5.41). However, recurrent VT can also originate from unmapped areas or from those areas not considered relevant during the index ablation (S9.5.5.41).

9.5.4. Substrate-Based Ablation Strategies Without Upfront Ventricular Tachycardia Induction—Substrate-based approaches that target some or all abnormal electrograms, irrespective of clinical and/or induced VTs, have been suggested (Table 7), which can also be performed if VT is not inducible or if VT induction is not desired because of safety concerns (S9.5.5.13,S9.5.5.15,S9.5.5.31,S9.5.5.37). These strategies have targeted sites with abnormal electrograms, either of the entire scar in the endocardium and epicardium (S9.5.5.13) or, more selectively, target sites with LPs (S9.5.5.15) anywhere within the scar or sites with fractionated potentials predominantly located in the border zone, which are markers for conducting channels (S9.5.5.37), or that have targeted sites with abnormal potentials that are poorly coupled to the myocardium (ie, LAVA) (S9.5.5.31). These techniques have been demonstrated to be superior to those employed in the control groups in the previously cited studies. There is, however, no generally accepted control group, and it is therefore difficult to compare the benefit of the various approaches with each other.

There is currently no standardized approach for substrate-guided ablation, and definitive comparisons between different techniques and endpoints are not available. The procedural outcomes (eg, noninducibility, elimination of LPs or LAVA, substrate or core isolation, scar dechanneling) are acute endpoints that cannot be easily randomized and/or applied to all patients with VT. The association between a procedure's endpoint and favorable VT-free survival might simply reflect a less complex substrate in patients for whom the desired endpoint can be achieved. However, success with more comprehensive ablation strategies has been consistently observed (S9.5.5.42).

It is important to note that studies have suggested various definitions for abnormal electrograms as surrogates for the VT substrate targeted by ablation (S9.5.5.15,S9.5.5.16,S9.5.5.31–S9.5.5.34,S9.5.5.38,S9.5.5.39,S9.5.5.43,S9.5.5.44). Validation is challenging and usually limited to mappable VTs (S9.5.5.38). The specificity and sensitivity of these abnormal electrograms for identifying the VT substrate throughout

the range of observed post-MI scars recorded with different catheters, electrode sizes, and interelectrode spacings remain to be determined.

High-resolution mapping of post-MI reentrant VT in an animal model of reperfused MI indicates that functional conduction block during VT can play an important role (S9.5.5.45), and these areas might not be detectable during sinus rhythm or pacing at slow rates. Targeting sites that show delayed conduction after delivering extrastimuli has been shown to be beneficial, with a smaller target area and lower VT recurrence than when other sites were targeted (S9.5.5.33,S9.5.5.34).

This result might be particularly relevant for patients with small, less confluent or nontransmural scars after MI, in whom parts of the VT substrate might be functional, and a systematic application of an RV short-coupled extrastimuli can be useful for identifying hidden substrate components.

High-density multielectrode mapping with smaller electrode sizes and spacings can be helpful in obtaining more complete and rapid characterization of the arrhythmogenic substrate (Section 8), allowing for superior characterization of low-amplitude local electrograms from thin endocardial myocardium, which can be obscured by large far-field potentials from adjacent myocardium if catheters with large electrodes with distant interelectrode spacing are used (S9.5.5.46–S9.5.5.49). Further studies are required to assess the effect of these mapping techniques on ablation outcomes.

Finally, imaging is of major importance for identifying scars and the critical arrhythmogenic substrate within the scar. The use of various imaging modalities has been detailed in Section 5. Numerous studies have demonstrated a good correlation between CMR-defined scars and EAM-defined scars. The same is true for CT in which the wall thickness has been employed to identify scars in patients post infarction. Increased tissue heterogeneity within the scar demonstrated by CMR has helped to identify critical sites that can be selectively targeted (S9.5.5.50), resulting in decreased VT recurrences. Likewise, CT-defined scar topography helps to identify critical VT target sites (S9.5.5.51). Critical areas are often located in myocardial ridges that are separated by areas of thinning (S9.5.5.51).

9.5.5. Epicardial Mapping and Ablation—The role of postinfarction epicardial mapping and ablation is not clearly defined.

Despite a predominant subendocardial substrate in most patients, reentry circuits can be confined to the subepicardial layer (S9.5.5.3). The current incidence of epicardial substrate in patients referred for catheter ablation is unknown. ECG features are not reliable for predicting epicardial LV-VT exit in postinfarction VT (S9.5.5.52). Epicardial circuits might also be interrupted from the endocardium, particularly in areas with wall thinning, and evidence of this phenomenon is more frequently observed in post-MI patients than in patients with DCM (S9.5.5.53). Some 42%–57% of all post-MI patients included in multicenter studies (S9.5.5.18,S9.5.5.29,S9.5.5.40,S9.5.5.54) and 23%–41% of patients from single-center series (S9.5.5.12,S9.5.5.15,S9.5.5.16) had previously undergone cardiac surgery. In most patients, the presence of substantial pericardial adhesions from surgery or

postinfarct pericarditis requires the creation of a surgical window in the electrophysiology lab or operating room to access the pericardial space. Even after epicardial access has been achieved, mapping can be particularly limited over the anterior wall (S9.5.5.55,S9.5.5.56). Continued oral anticoagulation and dual antiplatelet therapy raise the concern for bleeding risks associated with pericardial puncture (S9.5.5.16).

In experienced referral centers, percutaneous or surgical epicardial access has been considered appropriate in 9%–29% of patients (S9.5.5.12,S9.5.5.15,S9.5.5.16,S9.5.5.55). At least 6% of post-MI patients referred for VT ablation benefit from an epicardial procedure (S9.5.5.55). A previously failed endocardial ablation preceded most of the epicardial procedures. Caution is advised, however, given the often associated comorbidities and the large proportion of patients with insufficient target structures that can be safely ablated in the epicardium (S9.5.5.55). Furthermore, procedural failure can be related to reasons other than an epicardial VT origin.

Based on the available data, the relative contribution of first-line epicardial ablation to a patient's outcome remains unclear. For a large number of patients, accessible epicardial ablation target sites cannot be identified, and these patients are exposed to additional procedural risks and discomfort. Considering the higher risk for procedural complications with an epicardial approach (S9.5.5.57), careful patient selection is warranted. Further study is required to determine whether preprocedural imaging can help to identify post-MI patients who will benefit from an epicardial approach (S9.5.5.58,S9.5.5.59).

9.6. Dilated Cardiomyopathy

Key Points

- Identifying the location and extent of scarring on CMR is beneficial in procedural planning and has improved the outcomes of ablation in patients with DCM.
- The ablation strategy is similar to postinfarction VT.
- An intramural substrate is more frequently encountered in DCM than in postinfarction patients and requires a different ablation strategy than for patients with either epicardial or endocardial scarring.
- Epicardial ablation is beneficial if the scar is located in the epicardium of the LV free wall.
- For intramural circuits involving the septum, epicardial ablation is not beneficial.
- In the absence of CMR, unipolar voltage mapping has been described as a method to indicate a deeper-seated scar.

In nonischemic DCM, ablation may be considered for sustained monomorphic VT, recurrent polymorphic VT, or VF initiated by triggering PVCs (see Section 9.9), and for frequent nonsustained VT and PVCs that are suspected of contributing to ventricular systolic

dysfunction or interfering with effective delivery of cardiac resynchronization pacing (see Section 4.3).

The prevalence of DCM is estimated to be in the range of 37 cases per 100,000 population (S9.6.1). Although the etiology is often clinically obscure, approximately 40% of patients have a genetic cause (S9.6.1). Although uncommon, sustained monomorphic VT occurs at an annual rate of approximately 3%–4% in patients with an ICD, a LVEF 0.35, nonsustained VT, and no prior history of sustained VAs (S9.6.2). In the DANISH trial, fewer than 3% of the patients with class II or III heart failure symptoms and an LVEF 0.35 had sustained VT during a median follow-up of 5.6 years (S9.6.3). In a series of 158 patients with DCM, a mean LVEF of 0.31, and no history of sustained VT, 13% of the patients were found to have inducible sustained monomorphic VT (S9.6.4). VT due to DCM is occasionally encountered in patients with atherosclerotic coronary artery disease and is suggested by the presence of multiple basal origin VTs and scar regions extending beyond the distribution expected from an infarct (S9.6.5).

Three VT mechanisms have been identified in patients undergoing ablation (S9.6.6–S9.6.8), the most common of which is scar-related reentry, accounting for more than 80% of sustained monomorphic VTs. Reentry involving the bundle branches or fascicles (see Section 9.4) is encountered in up to 19% of patients, whereas focal VT mechanisms occur less frequently.

CMR with gadolinium contrast identifies areas of delayed hyperenhancement consistent with myocardial fibrosis in 30%–50% of patients with DCM, and the presence of this scarring is associated with an increased risk of sudden death and VT (S9.6.9–S9.6.11). In patients with monomorphic VT, CMR also identifies scar areas that are a valuable guide to potential ablation target regions, given that the reentry circuit sites are usually associated with >25% scar transmuralities (S9.6.12–S9.6.18). CMR is therefore particularly helpful in planning and performing ablation procedures in these patients. Knowledge of the scar location has improved ablation outcomes in patients with DCM (S9.6.19).

The approaches to mapping and ablation for scar-related VTs in DCM are similar to those for postinfarction VTs; however, intramural substrates that are not easily identifiable or accessible for ablation are more common than in the postinfarction population and contribute to procedure failures and recurrences (S9.6.8, S9.6.12, S9.6.20, S9.6.21). The relevant scar area is identified from imaging and/or substrate mapping. When VT can be induced and is sustained and hemodynamically tolerated, a combination of activation mapping and entrainment mapping can be used to select the ablation region in or near the scar area (S9.6.20, S9.6.22). When VT is not mappable and an area of low voltage (bipolar voltage <1.5 mV) can be identified that is consistent in location with the VT QRS morphology, a substrate type of approach can be used in the endocardium and/or epicardium (S9.6.23–S9.6.26). Although isolated LPs and fractionated potentials are encountered less frequently than in postinfarction VT scars, these electrogram targets can still be used to guide ablation (S9.6.26, S9.6.27). Pace mapping can suggest the VT exit region; however, as with other scar-related VTs, critical parts of the reentry circuit where ablation may also be successful can be several centimeters distant from the exit region. One study

found that when a low-voltage area is present, extensive ablation over the region (both endocardially and epicardially) was associated with a lower risk of VT recurrence compared with targeting only inducible VTs (S9.6.28). Absence of inducible VT after ablation is associated with a lower risk of VT recurrence (S9.6.29–S9.6.31). Another study found that the presence of only rapid VTs after ablation (CL <30 ms plus the RV effective refractory period measured at a pacing CL of 400 ms) was not associated with a greater risk of recurrence (S9.6.32).

Scar-related VTs tend to originate from scar regions along the mitral annulus or within the interventricular septum (S9.6.12,S9.6.20,S9.6.22,S9.6.33). Multiple morphologies of inducible VT and poorly tolerated VTs are common. The VT circuit can involve the endocardial, epicardial, or intramural regions of the LV. The ECG morphology of the VT is a useful guide to the likely target region and can inform the initial approach. The risk, outcomes, and approach to ablation also vary with the substrate location.

VTs that originate from an LV scar in the free wall along the mitral annulus typically have an RBBB configuration and dominant R waves in the midprecordial leads (V3, V4), with an axis directed inferiorly (if the VT exit is superior) or superiorly (if the VT exit is inferiorly located) (S9.6.12,S9.6.20). A qS or QS configuration in lead I often indicates an epicardial exit, consistent with initial forces directed from the epicardium to the endocardium. The QRS morphology can be misleading, however, particularly for fast VTs (S9.6.14,S9.6.34). Epicardial ablation is often helpful, although some of these VTs can be successfully ablated from the endocardium. With LV free wall VTs, some laboratories start with mapping in the epicardium, obtaining epicardial access before anticoagulation is administered. Other laboratories always start on the endocardium to avoid the risks of epicardial access and obtain this if the endocardial approach fails. In reported series from experienced centers, epicardial mapping is performed in 29%–74% of patients (S9.6.12,S9.6.22,S9.6.35,S9.6.36). The approach to epicardial ablation is reviewed in Section 6.3. Recognizing that low voltage can be recorded over epicardial fat and avoiding the left phrenic nerve and coronary artery injury are important considerations (S9.6.37).

VTs that originate from the anteroseptal region typically have a dominant S wave in V1, with an inferior axis and prominent R waves by V3 to V4 (S9.6.12,S9.6.20). The frontal plane axis can be inferiorly or superiorly directed. If the scar extends leftward along the aortic root and toward the AMC region, the VT can have a dominant R wave in V1 with an inferior axis. If the scar extends inferiorly in the septum, VTs with a superiorly directed axis can be present. Ablation for VTs that originate in scars at the anteroseptum has a lower success rate compared with those from the inferolateral basal LV in a number of studies (S9.6.12,S9.6.20). Access from the epicardial aspect is limited by the overlying RVOT, the epicardial fat pad, and the left coronary arteries. In the septum, ablation can be limited by proximity to the AV conduction system. Determining the risk of heart block and managing its consequences are important considerations. Implementation of pacing for CRT might be warranted if ablation results in AV block.

Intramural VTs can occur in any location but are common in the periaortic region and septum (S9.6.12,S9.6.20,S9.6.33). Preprocedural CMR is the gold standard for identifying

scarring and is particularly helpful in identifying intramural scars (Section 5). Identification of intramural scars is best accomplished by a preprocedural CMR. In the absence of CMR data, intramural scarring is suspected when the endocardial bipolar voltage is >1.5 mV (with 3.5-mm electrode mapping catheters) over the endocardial region that is closest to the VT. If located at the LV free wall, an epicardial origin can be excluded when epicardial mapping fails to identify a VT substrate in the overlying epicardium. The unipolar endocardial voltage can be helpful for identifying deeper seated scar tissue. An LV unipolar voltage <8.3 mV over an area with an endocardial bipolar voltage >1.5 mV is consistent with intramural or epicardial scars; however, electrogram amplitude is also dependent on wall thickness, and there is substantial variability (S9.6.33,S9.6.38–S9.6.40). As indicated in Section 8.5.2, different voltage cutoff values have been reported, and validation studies with CMR-defined scars have demonstrated that there is a substantial overlap of unipolar low voltage between scar zones and regions without scars. These cutoff values should therefore be used with caution in the absence of available CMR data. Septal intramural scars are suggested by a conduction time of >40 ms when pacing is performed on the RV side of the septum, and recording from the adjacent LV septum (S9.6.41). Use of intracardiac ultrasound has also been suggested for detecting scars, but data are limited (S9.6.42). The optimal approach to ablation for these VTs is not defined; however, ablation targeting the closest overlying endocardial and epicardial sites is effective in some patients.

Overall outcomes are largely from case series published by experienced centers, a number of which have included results from multiple procedures (S9.6.12,S9.6.20–S9.6.24,S9.6.26,S9.6.28,S9.6.31,S9.6.36,S9.6.43). Ablation abolishes at least 1 inducible VT in 60%–74% of patients and all inducible VTs in 43%–72% of patients. Programmed stimulation is not performed after ablation in 10%–15% of patients, largely due to hemodynamic concerns. Persistent inducibility of sustained monomorphic VT is associated with increased recurrences (S9.6.29–S9.6.31). During median/mean follow-ups ranging from 15 to 48 months, 31%–61% of patients experience at least 1 VT recurrence. Approximately half of those with recurrent VT experience fewer VT episodes than prior to ablation (S9.6.12,S9.6.22). When directly compared in the same center, recurrent VT is more frequent after ablation for DCM VT than for postinfarction VT (S9.6.21). Transplant-free survival ranges from 76% to 89%. Patients with large scar areas associated with larger areas of low voltage and VTs that have LV apical exits, often associated with scar extending from base to near the apex, have increased mortality during follow-up (S9.6.43,S9.6.44).

Complications, including vascular access bleeding, tamponade, and volume overload, are reported in 4%–11% of patients (S9.6.22,S9.6.23,S9.6.31). Serious complications, including tamponade requiring surgery, phrenic nerve injury, and coronary artery injury, are more frequent when percutaneous epicardial access is performed (S9.6.22,S9.6.35). Thromboembolic complications appear to be rare, but pulmonary embolism can occur. In-hospital mortality is as high as 3% and has been reported due to uncontrollable VT, refractory heart failure, and tamponade sequelae (S9.6.23). When LV systolic function is severely depressed, the option of cardiac transplantation or an LVAD is an important consideration if the arrhythmia cannot be controlled or if the procedure is complicated by hemodynamic deterioration. Mechanical support has also been employed during ablation procedures to prevent hemodynamic deterioration and to facilitate mapping (Section 6).

Ablation that results in LBBB or AV block can lead to hemodynamic deterioration due to cardiac dyssynchrony that can warrant implementation of biventricular pacing. Extensive ablation of areas of normal myocardium has the potential to further impair ventricular function, although this risk has not been clearly defined. It seems prudent to avoid empiric ablation over large regions that are not known to contain scars.

9.7. Ventricular Tachycardia Ablation in Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy

Key Points

- Polymorphic VT and VF are the most common VAs in HCM; monomorphic VT is less common.
- The arrhythmogenic substrate in HCM often involves the septum but can extend to the epicardium, often necessitating combined endocardial and epicardial ablation procedures to eliminate the VT.
- VT associated with apical aneurysms is often ablated endocardially.

Malignant VA contributes to sudden death in patients with HCM. ICDs are therefore the mainstay of prevention. The substrate for VAs in HCM is complex. The combination of myofibrillar disarray and fibrosis likely generates heterogeneous conduction properties and, together with the vulnerability of the hypertrophied myocardium to supplydemand ischemia, creates a potentially arrhythmogenic milieu (S9.7.1–S9.7.3). Interstitial-type fibrosis (compared with replacement fibrosis), postmyectomy scars, alcohol ablation, and the presence of an apical aneurysm can also influence arrhythmogenesis (S9.7.4). The fibrotic areas visualized on CMR that characterize HCM can result in a similar substrate to scars from ischemic disease, in which case similar techniques can be applied during VT ablation (S9.7.5–S9.7.8). However, increased myocardial thickness and intramyocardial or epicardial sites of fibrosis limit the reach of classical mapping and ablation methods. The varying mechanisms (eg, reentrant vs triggered) and morphology (monomorphic vs polymorphic) of VAs encountered in HCM represent further challenges. ICD records indicate that polymorphic VT degenerating to VF is the most common event (S9.7.9–S9.7.11).

Ablation is generally performed for monomorphic VT that recurs despite antiarrhythmic therapy, particularly with ICD shocks. These VAs are only a small proportion of VAs in HCM, and the ablation experience is limited to case reports and small case series of highly selected patients. In a series of 10 patients with preserved LVEF (LVEF of $57\% \pm 13\%$), programmed stimulation induced clinical VT in 7 patients (S9.7.12). A mean of 2 VTs per patient (including nonclinical VTs) were induced. VTs were sometimes stable and permitted activation and entrainment mapping. Voltage mapping identified a combination of epicardial and endocardial scars in most patients. Isolated endocardial or epicardial scarring rarely occurred. The ablation strategy entailed targeting late or fractionated electrograms and pace mapping and/or substrate modification targeting low bipolar voltage (1.5 mV) regions. It is worth noting that epicardial ablation was required in most patients. During a 3-year

follow-up, 30% of the patients underwent recurrent shocks, with repeat procedures required for 2 patients.

A similar approach was used in another series of 22 patients with more advanced disease (mean LVEF of 35%) (S9.7.13). Scar-related VTs occurred most often (60%) from the LV-RV junctions, either at the basal (42%) or apical (18%) LV segment level (coinciding with the anatomical regions frequently associated with fibrosis). Epicardial ablation was required in almost two-thirds of the patients, and 73% remained arrhythmia-free at 20 months. In another report of 5 patients with the dilated phase of HCM (LVEF of approximately 35%), VT circuits were predominantly distributed in the basal septum and the basal anterior to anterolateral LV. In addition to the endocardial ablation, intracoronary ethanol ablation and surgical cryoablation were required in a number of patients (S9.7.14).

Patients with HCM and an LV apical aneurysm have a greater risk of sudden death, embolic stroke, and progressive heart failure than the general population with HCM and warrant special consideration (S9.7.15). The thin-walled dyskinetic or akinetic segment can be composed of dense scars with channels of viable myocardium (resembling ischemic substrate). Both sustained monomorphic VTs and VTs with an RBBB or LBBB pattern in lead V1 can occur, the latter consistent with an exit from the septal aspect of the aneurysm. Careful imaging is important for defining the aneurysm and ruling out thrombi prior to the procedure. Transthoracic contrast echocardiography, left ventriculography, and real-time visualization with ICE can be helpful. Anticoagulation therapy is a reasonable postprocedure treatment. Ablation strategies can include those techniques used for ICM (S9.7.16). In a recent series, endocardial ablation was successful in most patients, and epicardial ablation was required in only 1 patient (S9.7.17). In a number of cases, however, the aneurysm does not have low-voltage endocardial or epicardial scars. When ablation is not possible or is ineffective, surgical approaches could be successful (S9.7.18,S9.7.19).

Common themes emerge from these reports on ablation for monomorphic VT in HCM. ECG characteristics might not be helpful for locating epicardial or intramural circuits (S9.7.16). Preprocedural imaging is recommended when deciding on the endocardial vs combined endocardial-epicardial approach, as in other VT substrates (see Section 5). If unavailable, epicardial access can be obtained at the beginning of the case in anticipation of the need for combined endocardial-epicardial ablation (S9.7.12). Intramural reentry might be difficult to target because the myocardium is thick. Mapping methods can include a combination of voltage-based substrate mapping (using conventional voltage ranges) and activation, entrainment, and late or fractionated potential mapping. VTs associated with apical aneurysms are often ablated endocardially. Although the arrhythmia mechanisms for monomorphic VTs in HCM appear to be mostly scar-related reentry, reports have also mentioned ablation of BBR, focal RVOT (S9.7.12,S9.7.16), papillary muscle VTs, and left posterior fascicular VT (S9.7.20). Reports have not suggested an increased incidence of major complications compared with VT ablation in other populations, although cardiac tamponade can be more of a concern in patients with apical aneurysms. The results of ablation are encouraging, acutely eliminating VT in 80% of patients, with more than two-thirds of patients free of VT in the long-term follow-up. If catheter ablation is ineffective, other methods, including transcatheter alcohol and surgical resection and ablation, may

be considered. Methods enhancing lesion size in order to reach the deep arrhythmia substrate will likely facilitate treatment.

9.8. Brugada Syndrome

Key Points

- PVC-triggered VF or polymorphic VT are the most prevalent VAs that motivate device therapy in patients with Brugada syndrome.
- Monomorphic VT is less frequent but can be caused by BBRVT in patients with Brugada syndrome.
- The arrhythmogenic substrate is located in the RV epicardium and can be demonstrated by sodium channel blockers.
- Ablation targets include fractionated prolonged electrograms on the epicardial aspect of the RV.

9.8.1. Introduction—Catheter ablation for VA in Brugada syndrome may be considered for VF, polymorphic VT, sustained monomorphic VT or PVCs triggering VF or polymorphic VT. Ablation strategies have evolved from initially only targeting the PVC triggers for VA storms to the current complete elimination of the arrhythmogenic substrate that is usually located on the epicardial aspect of the RVOT (S9.8.6.1,S9.8.6.2).

Although quinidine can be effective for VA suppression in Brugada syndrome, its use is complicated by its limited availability, its difficult pharmacokinetics, and its adverse effects in two-thirds of patients, leading to discontinuation in a quarter of patients in a recent randomized double-blind trial (S9.8.6.3). The clinical indications for catheter ablation in Brugada syndrome are detailed in Section 4.

The worldwide prevalence of Brugada syndrome ranges from 0.5 to 35.5 per 1000 inhabitants (S9.8.6.4). Brugada syndrome was first systematically described in 1992 in a series of 8 patients with VF arrest. Brugada syndrome is an inherited arrhythmogenic condition defined on the basis of its characteristic ECG phenotype (S9.8.6.5). An RBBB-like pattern with 2 mm coved ST segment elevation in the right precordial leads constitutes the so-called type I Brugada pattern necessary for diagnosis (S9.8.6.6). This pattern is dynamic and can fluctuate according to variations in autonomic tone, body temperature, diurnal influences, electrolyte levels, and drug exposure, especially drugs with sodium channel blocking properties (S9.8.6.7–S9.8.6.9). Additionally, the ECG pattern can be concealed unless V1 and V2 are recorded at the higher second and third intercostal spaces (S9.8.6.10,S9.8.6.11). Multiple conditions can present as a Brugada phenocopy and need to be ruled out before a diagnosis of Brugada syndrome can be reached (S9.8.6.12). The inheritance pattern for Brugada syndrome is usually autosomal dominant. Although its genetic basis was initially identified as a loss-of-function mutation in the SCN5A gene encoding the α -subunit of the cardiac sodium channel *Nav1.5*, numerous genetic culprits have since been recognized (S9.8.6.13).

The mechanism generating the Brugada syndrome phenotype has been the focus of significant research, and considerable recent evidence has implicated depolarization abnormalities in the pathogenesis of Brugada syndrome (S9.8.6.14). However, repolarization abnormalities have also been described, with disproportionate shortening of the epicardial action potential leading to the potential for phase 2 reentry and the induction of polymorphic VT or VF (S9.8.6.15,S9.8.6.16). Subtle but significant cardiac ultrastructural alterations, especially on the epicardial aspect of the RVOT, have also been found in numerous patients (S9.8.6.17). Electrophysiological and electroanatomical abnormalities have also been described in this location, present both spontaneously and upon provocation with sodium channel blockers or the pericardial instillation of warm water (S9.8.6.18–S9.8.6.20). High-frequency, low-amplitude, long-duration fractionated electrograms are routinely recorded from this region and are the target of substrate-based ablation procedures (S9.8.6.18). Complete elimination of all spontaneous and provoked epicardial fractionation is associated with normalization of the Brugada ECG phenotype and with elimination of inducible and spontaneous VAs in most patients at the short-term follow-up (S9.8.6.18,S9.8.6.20–S9.8.6.23).

9.8.2. Approach to Triggering Premature Ventricular Complexes—For patients with recurrent PVC-triggered VF or polymorphic VT with ongoing spontaneous PVCs, localization of these focal triggers by activation mapping or pace mapping has been the dominant procedural strategy (S9.8.6.1). Patients with Brugada syndrome, however, generally have little ambient ectopy in between phases of arrhythmic instability, and ablation targeting VF triggers is rarely reported. Moreover, isoproterenol administration can suppress VF storms and prevent VF inducibility and is not likely to be useful for provoking triggering PVCs during an ablation procedure (S9.8.6.24,S9.8.6.25). In most reported cases, foci have been mapped to endocardial sites in the RVOT (S9.8.6.26,S9.8.6.27).

9.8.3. Approach to Sustained Monomorphic Ventricular Tachycardia—Sustained monomorphic VT can occur but is unusual in Brugada syndrome. Rodriguez-Manero et al. (S9.8.6.28) observed Brugada syndrome in 4.2% of patients with appropriate ICD interventions, with approximately half of these patients able to be pace terminated. Most of these tachycardias were mapped to and ablated in the RVOT, although BBR was observed in 2 of the 8 patients who underwent ablation. Sustained monomorphic VT ablation is approached similarly to other conditions with reentrant VTs, and CMR imaging is beneficial for assessing scarring that might be due to another disease process. The effectiveness of empiric targeting of the epicardial RVOT substrate when sustained monomorphic VT is not inducible is not known.

9.8.4. Approach to Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia/Ventricular Fibrillation—Polymorphic VT and VF causing recurrent ICD shocks or electrical storms are the most pressing arrhythmic indications for catheter ablation in Brugada syndrome. In the absence of a triggering PVC, the arrhythmogenic substrate in the RVOT is the only possible ablation target. The preponderance of experience finds this substrate located on the epicardial aspect of the RVOT, with only 10% of patients having some potential abnormal substrate identified from endocardial mapping (S9.8.6.29). For this reason, catheter ablation

for Brugada syndrome should be performed at centers with experience in the specialized techniques of percutaneous pericardial access and epicardial mapping (see Section 6).

Percutaneous epicardial access can be obtained in accordance with the operator's usual technique. The anterior pericardial access approach provides direct access to the epicardial RVOT, and the best access to this region via a posterior pericardial access approach can be achieved with the catheter passing through the transverse sinus. Double-wiring the initial access sheath allows for a second pericardial sheath to be placed, through which a multipolar mapping catheter can be introduced. The ablation catheter is usually deployed through a deflectable sheath to aid in obtaining optimal tissue contact.

Once the appropriate catheter set has been deployed, the relevant anatomy should be defined. Integration of a preacquired CT into the EAM, particularly with the assistance of ICE, is an efficient means for defining anatomy (Figure 10A). Virtual epicardial (and/or endocardial RV) chamber geometry in the EAM system can also be acquired directly with a roving catheter.

Mapping efforts are then concentrated on the region of interest in the epicardial aspect of the RVOT. Multielectrode catheters with small electrodes can provide better definition of high-frequency fractionation than ablation catheters. Although mapping can commence in the basal state if the Brugada syndrome ECG pattern is present at baseline, several studies have shown an increase in the area of arrhythmogenic substrate after administration of a sodium channel blocker (S9.8.6.21,S9.8.6.22,S9.8.6.30). A similar effect has been observed with the pericardial instillation of warm water (S9.8.6.20).

All high-frequency, long-duration, multicomponent, low-amplitude fractionated or isolated late electrograms are tagged on the substrate map (Figure 10A). Given the potential presence of significant epicardial fat, low voltage in isolation is not indicative of underlying substrate. Caudal extension of the substrate has been associated with the presence of concomitant inferolateral J waves and early repolarization syndrome (S9.8.6.31,S9.8.6.32).

After a complete map has defined the region and extent of the arrhythmogenic Brugada syndrome substrate, an ablation strategy can be devised. The most important factor in this regard is the relationship of the surrounding at-risk structures. Although the phrenic nerve is not usually a consideration, the proximal large subdivisions of the right coronary artery are potentially at risk, particularly the conus branch and the RV marginal arteries (S9.8.6.32). Ablation-induced acute occlusion of the former could potentially result in VF, and injury to the latter risks infarction of an otherwise normally contractile RV. Coronary angiography prior to lesion delivery is therefore strongly recommended. Coronary angiography of the left coronary arteries should also be performed because the disease process can also involve the LV epicardium.

An irrigated RF ablation catheter is used for epicardial lesion delivery, targeting the previously defined fractionated electrograms. Contact force sensing helps to confirm an optimal catheter contact vector toward the epicardium rather than outward toward the parietal pericardium. Characteristic accentuation of Brugada syndrome-type ST elevation in the right precordial leads is generally observed with RF application (Figure 10B) and

usually resolves after RF delivery ceases. However, acute occlusion of an RV marginal coronary artery needs to be ruled out if it persists. Ablation continues until all electrogram fractionation in the arrhythmogenic substrate region has been eliminated, both at rest and with repeat provocation with sodium channel blockers. When this has been achieved, the Brugada syndrome ECG phenotype has usually normalized (both in the standard and higher interspace recordings), and polymorphic VAs are no longer inducible.

9.8.5. Outcomes—There have been no randomized trials of catheter ablation in Brugada syndrome, and all reported clinical outcomes are from short-term follow-ups of largely single-center observational studies. These initial data are encouraging, with most investigators reporting 73%–100% freedom from recurrent VT/VF during follow-up (S9.8.6.18,S9.8.6.22,S9.8.6.30,S9.8.6.33). A systematic review of all published cases to 2018 found an overall 96.7% freedom from recurrent VT/VF (S9.8.6.29). However, given that recurrent VF was observed in several patients despite an apparently successful procedure, catheter ablation cannot be recommended as a replacement for ICD insertion to mitigate the risk of sudden death in symptomatic Brugada syndrome. Additionally, most of the reported patients had undergone ablation for symptomatic VA, and there are no controlled outcome data to support a role for catheter ablation in asymptomatic individuals with the Brugada syndrome ECG phenotype.

9.8.6. Risks—Catheter ablation for Brugada syndrome is an invasive and complex procedure with significant potential for acute and delayed complications. These events include those related to epicardial access and epicardial ablation and the general risks related to invasive catheter ablation, which are detailed elsewhere in this document (see Sections 6.3 and 10.2). The most frequent reported complications are isolated pericardial effusions and pericarditis (S9.8.6.18,S9.8.6.22,S9.8.6.30,S9.8.6.33). No procedural deaths, strokes, MIs, or tamponades have been reported to date, but the early published worldwide experience includes only approximately 200 cases. It should be noted that these reports are from high-volume centers, and complication rates with more widespread deployment could be higher.

9.9. Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia/Ventricular Fibrillation Triggers

Key Points

- Recurrent PVC-induced VF is most often triggered by PVCs originating from Purkinje fibers, located in the RVOT, the moderator band, or the LV.
- Patients with a single triggering PVC are better ablation candidates; however, there are often multiple triggers.
- Patients with healed MI often require extensive ablation of the Purkinje fiber system within or at the scar border.
- Ischemia should be ruled out as a trigger for VF prior to ablation.

Although relatively rare, polymorphic VT and VF can be triggered by PVCs in patients with or without structural and/or electrical heart disease (long QT syndrome, catecholaminergic

polymorphic VT, Brugada syndrome) (S9.9.1–S9.9.9). When this occurs, the clinical presentation is often a polymorphic VT/VF storm (S9.9.4,S9.9.5,S9.9.7–S9.9.9). The syndrome is recognized when short-coupled, unifocal PVCs (typically from the RVOT or Purkinje fiber system, including the RV and LV papillary muscles) (S9.9.1,S9.9.2,S9.9.7) trigger polymorphic VT or VF episodes. Ruling out acute myocardial ischemia as the cause is important. Several considerations have emerged from a number of small studies on catheter ablation in patients with polymorphic VT or VF refractory to antiarrhythmic therapy (S9.9.1–S9.9.9): 1) mapping and ablation is facilitated when the index PVCs are frequent; procedural planning includes withdrawal of AADs where feasible and minimal sedation; 2) ablation sites are often associated with presystolic Purkinje activation; in some instances, there can be slight variation in PVC morphology and Purkinje potential to local ventricular activation time, suggesting different exits from the same source (S9.9.1); 3) in the setting of MI, successful ablation sites are related to Purkinje activation in the infarct border zone (S9.9.4–S9.9.6,S9.9.8); 4) multiple ablation lesions are typically delivered, given the relative imprecision of mapping and the serious consequences of failed ablation; and 5) although acute procedural success in experienced centers is high, late recurrence of PVC-triggered polymorphic VT/VF is observed in 5%–15% of patients, emphasizing the need for defibrillator therapy. Multipolar mapping and ICE imaging, especially for PVCs originating from papillary muscles, is helpful.

As discussed in Section 4.3, catheter ablation is indicated for patients with drug-refractory, recurrent, monomorphic PVCs triggering polymorphic VT/VF in the absence of SHD and for patients with remote MI. In the acute phase of MI or in the early phase following coronary revascularization, PVC-triggered polymorphic VT/VF is initially best treated conservatively, given that these arrhythmias often resolve spontaneously in a relatively short period.

9.10. Arrhythmogenic Right Ventricular Cardiomyopathy

Key Points

- The arrhythmogenic substrate in ARVC is located in the epicardium and can involve the endocardium in advanced stages.
- The most commonly affected areas are the subtricuspid and RV outflow regions.
- LV involvement is not uncommon.
- Endocardial-epicardial ablation is often required and results in higher acute success and lower recurrence rates compared with endocardial ablation alone.
- Conventional mapping and ablation techniques, including entrainment mapping of tolerated VT, pace mapping, and substrate ablation, are used.

9.10.1. Introduction to the Specific Disease Substrate Characteristics—ARVC is a genetically determined myocardial disease characterized by progressive RV fibrofatty replacement, VAs, heart failure, and sudden cardiac death. Fibrofatty replacement starts

at the epicardium or midmyocardium and extends until becoming transmural (S9.10.4.1). The scar distribution predominantly affects the subtricuspid and OT regions and, less frequently, the apex (S9.10.4.2–S9.10.4.4). LV involvement is present in more than half of all cases and is more frequent in advanced stages (S9.10.4.5), affecting the posterolateral subepicardium (S9.10.4.5,S9.10.4.6). ARVC is typically diagnosed through the established Task Force Criteria, although there is increasing evidence supporting a role for invasive EAM to diagnose the early stages of ARVC when Task Force Criteria are inconclusive (S9.10.4.7–S9.10.4.9). In the early stages of the disease, VT reentry circuits are located nearly exclusively in the epicardium (S9.10.4.4,S9.10.4.5,S9.10.4.10,S9.10.4.11). In more advanced disease, the VT substrate reaches the endocardium, and areas in the epicardium can be fibrotic and lack reentry circuits (S9.10.4.4,S9.10.4.5,S9.10.4.10,S9.10.4.11).

9.10.2. General Management—ICDs are recommended to prevent death in patients with ARVC and VAs and can also be considered in those with recognized risk factors who have not yet had VT (S9.10.4.12). Neither AADs nor catheter ablation provides sufficient protection against sudden cardiac death. AADs (sotalol and amiodarone) are frequently used to improve symptoms and to prevent recurrent VT episodes, but have limited efficacy. Sotalol has been shown to reduce VT inducibility in an electrophysiology study (S9.10.4.13); however, data on its long-term efficacy are limited. Limited evidence suggests that amiodarone is the most effective drug for preventing VAs (S9.10.4.14). Flecainide combined with metoprolol or sotalol has also been used to suppress arrhythmias (S9.10.4.15). Catheter ablation is commonly used to reduce the frequency of arrhythmia episodes.

9.10.3. General Approach for Ablation—Given that ARVC affects the epicardium first, endocardial VT ablation alone is often insufficient (S9.10.4.10,S9.10.4.16–S9.10.4.19) and can result in VT recurrence. A combined endoepicardial approach as first-line therapy (S9.10.4.4,S9.10.4.5,S9.10.4.20,S9.10.4.21) or adjuvant epicardial ablation after unsuccessful endocardial ablation or after VT recurrence results in improved acute and long-term outcomes (S9.10.4.11,S9.10.4.22). After combined or adjuvant epicardial ablation, VT reoccurs in 16%–29% of patients after approximately 3 years of follow-up, with varying proportions of patients in these studies taking AADs (S9.10.4.5,S9.10.4.11,S9.10.4.20–S9.10.4.22). Predominant LV involvement is an independent predictor of recurrence after ablation (S9.10.4.5).

Given the variable endocardium-to-epicardium disease involvement, the benefit of epicardial ablation likely varies among patients. The presence of an epicardial arrhythmic substrate in patients with limited or no endocardial VT substrate can be identified by endocardial unipolar voltage mapping (S9.10.4.23). Areas of endocardial unipolar voltage <5.5 mV are associated with epicardial low-voltage scar regions. Isolated epicardial involvement with a completely normal endocardial bipolar map has been observed in 26%–40% of patients (S9.10.4.4,S9.10.4.5,S9.10.4.11,S9.10.4.18), and these patients might require epicardial ablation. The low-voltage areas are typically adjacent to the tricuspid valve annulus and in the free wall of the RVOT. In contrast, patients with advanced stage ARVC have more extensive involvement of the RV endocardium (wider areas of low voltage in the bipolar

map) and can have less arrhythmic substrate in the epicardium, which is likely due to the progressive fibrofatty replacement of the subepicardial myocardium, such that the surviving myocardium supporting reentry is closer to the endocardium (S9.10.4.5). These patients constituted approximately 20% of patients with ARVC in a recent multicenter study and can be recognized by an endocardial bipolar vs unipolar low-voltage area ratio of >0.23 (Figure 11) (S9.10.4.5).

One strategy for guiding the selective use of epicardial mapping and ablation in ARVC is based on initial endocardial mapping. Epicardial access is obtained for patients whose endocardial voltage map shows limited or no endocardial substrate [eg, a bipolar vs unipolar low-voltage endocardial area ratio of <0.23 (S9.10.4.5)], which is characteristic of early disease. Initial endocardial ablation is performed for patients with more extensive endocardial low-voltage substrate characteristic of advanced disease, followed by epicardial access for epicardial ablation if the VTs that are considered clinically relevant are still inducible. Alternatively, Santangeli et al. (S9.10.4.22) found that a strategy of epicardial mapping and ablation only when VTs are still inducible or recur after endocardial ablation achieved good long-term outcomes.

Conventional mapping and ablation techniques used for other scar-related VT substrates are also employed in ARVC. Ablation can be performed during sinus rhythm, targeting the substrate based on electrogram characteristics and pace mapping (see Section 8) and/or during mappable monomorphic VTs. These ablation strategies have not been directly compared in patients with ARVC and are complementary and often combined. The procedure can be started during sinus rhythm with the hope of reducing radiation exposure and the need for electrical cardioversion (S9.10.4.24). Complete elimination of all substrate characterized by electrograms with delayed components by targeting the conducting channel entrances has been shown to be a feasible and efficient strategy, needing only a small amount of RF delivery in some patients (S9.10.4.4,S9.10.4.5,S9.10.4.20). Complete substrate elimination and noninducibility of any sustained monomorphic VT as procedural endpoints are associated with good long-term results.

Some VTs are catecholamine induced. A recent study found that high-dose isoproterenol infusions could induce PVCs that had the same morphology as sustained VTs, and focal ablation of the PVCs eliminated these catecholamine-mediated VTs (S9.10.4.25).

9.10.4. Risks—The additional benefit in arrhythmia control obtained with epicardial mapping and ablation in ARVC should be carefully weighed against the risks associated with obtaining epicardial access. The risk of inadvertent RV puncture with pericardial bleeding can be increased with RV enlargement or advanced stage disease (S9.10.4.5). The incidence of major complications related to epicardial access has been reported to be as high as 8% (see Section 6.3) (S9.10.4.11,S9.10.4.20–S9.10.4.22,S9.10.4.26,S9.10.4.27). Although the risk of coronary injury from epicardial ablation appears to be low, MI from an injury to an anomalous RV coronary branch has been reported (S9.10.4.28).

9.11. Mapping and Ablation in Congenital Heart Disease

Key Points

- Patients with a VT substrate after congenital heart defect surgery include those with repaired tetralogy of Fallot, repaired VSD, and repaired d-transposition of the great arteries (D-TGA), as well as Ebstein's anomaly among other disease processes.
- VT isthmuses are often located between anatomical barriers and surgical incisions or patch material.
- An AI can be identified and targeted during sinus rhythm.
- For tolerated VTs, entrainment mapping is the method of choice for identifying critical components of the reentry circuit.

9.11.1. Introduction—As the population of patients with repaired CHD continues to grow, management of recurrent VT in this population becomes increasingly important (Figure 2). Monomorphic sustained VT approachable by catheter or surgical ablation typically occurs in patients with ventricular incisions, surgical scars, and patch materials. Accordingly, for patients with CHD included in mapping and ablation studies, repair of tetralogy of Fallot is the most commonly performed procedure, followed by VSD closure and complex D-TGA repair (S9.11.3.1–S9.11.3.7). As in other patients with SHD who present with VT, a complete evaluation of factors that promote arrhythmias is necessary. Cardiac imaging to identify residual or new lesions is also important. Hemodynamic abnormalities resulting in increased wall stress and ischemia can serve as important triggers for VAs (S9.11.3.8). In particular, significant pulmonary regurgitation after prior transannular patching with subsequent RV enlargement is a common finding in repaired tetralogy of Fallot (S9.11.3.9) and might require surgery or transcatheter valve replacement. Treatment of the underlying hemodynamic abnormalities can reduce the incidence of recurrent VAs by eliminating the triggers; however, the underlying substrate for monomorphic VT in repaired tetralogy of Fallot remains (S9.11.3.10). Accordingly, concomitant intraoperative cryoablation with (S9.11.3.9,S9.11.3.11,S9.11.3.12) or without (S9.11.3.13,S9.11.3.14) intraoperative mapping has been successfully performed in select patients with tetralogy of Fallot, VT, and an indication for reoperation.

9.11.2. Mapping and Ablation—Most spontaneous and induced monomorphic VTs in patients with CHD referred for ablation are due to macroreentry, with a critical isthmus defined by anatomical barriers, bordered by unexcitable tissue (S9.11.3.1–S9.11.3.4,S9.11.3.6,S9.11.3.7); however, focal mechanisms are occasionally encountered (S9.11.3.3,S9.11.3.4,S9.11.3.6). The boundaries of AIs are the valve annuli and (unlike most other acquired heart diseases) patch material and surgical incisions. Four VT-related AIs in tetralogy of Fallot have been identified: isthmus 1, bordered by the tricuspid annulus and the scar or patch in the anterior RVOT; isthmus 2, between the pulmonary annulus and the RV free wall incision or RVOT patch, sparing the pulmonary valve annulus; isthmus 3, between the pulmonary annulus and the VSD patch or septal scar;

and isthmus 4 (which is rarely encountered), between the VSD patch or septal scar and the tricuspid annulus in case of an inferior muscular rim (S9.11.3.2) (Figure 12). Additional AIs bordered by surgical scars and valve annuli have been described after surgery for other CHDs, including complex D-TGA, VSD closure, and surgery for Ebstein's anomaly (S9.11.3.4,S9.11.3.6,S9.11.3.15). Substrate formation might depend on the coincidence of pathological myocardial remodeling and anatomical boundaries determined by the type and timing of prior corrective surgery. Changes in surgical approaches over the past decades (eg, a combined transatrial-transpulmonary approach avoiding ventriculotomies in tetralogy of Fallot) are likely to affect the incidence and the potential substrate for arrhythmias (S9.11.3.16). Therefore, knowledge of the malformation and careful review of all operation records before ablation is important.

AIs can be reconstructed during sinus rhythm by noncontact mapping (S9.11.3.3) or by electroanatomical bipolar voltage mapping combined with high-output pacing (10 mA, 2 ms) at low-voltage sites (<1.5 mV) to identify unexcitable tissue (S9.11.3.2,S9.11.3.4). Noncapture despite good catheter contact is indicative of patch material or surgical scars. VT induction and activation mapping for hemodynamically tolerated VT or pace mapping within anatomically defined isthmuses can be performed to confirm that the AI is critical for sustaining VT (S9.11.3.2,S9.11.3.4,S9.11.3.6). Transection of the AI by connecting the adjoining anatomical boundaries by linear RF lesions can be performed during sinus rhythm (S9.11.3.2,S9.11.3.4,S9.11.3.6,S9.11.3.7). Demonstration of conduction block after transection of the VT isthmus provides a defined procedural endpoint similar to that for achieving block in the cavotricuspid isthmus for atrial flutter and is a valuable acute procedural endpoint combined with noninducibility (see below) (S9.11.3.2,S9.11.3.4,S9.11.3.6).

Isthmus dimension and conduction properties likely determine the susceptibility to arrhythmias. In a study that included 24 patients with tetralogy of Fallot, electroanatomical voltage mapping combined with activation mapping during sinus rhythm demonstrated that relatively narrow and slowly conducting AIs (calculated conduction velocity <0.5 m/s) were the substrate for all 37 documented and induced VTs in patients with preserved cardiac function (S9.11.3.17). These slowly conducting isthmuses can be identified and ablated during sinus rhythm; inducibility of the clinical arrhythmia and hemodynamic tolerance is no longer a prerequisite for successful ablation in patients with AI-dependent macroreentrant VT (S9.11.3.17). Isthmus 3 between the pulmonary annulus and the VSD patch or septal scar is the most common cause of VT and occasionally requires ablation from both the RV and LV sides of the septum or SV for transection (S9.11.3.18).

9.11.3. Outcome After Ablation—Four recent series have reported on ablation outcomes, combining activation mapping with a substrate-based ablation approach and including a total of 99 patients with CHD (82 of 99 had tetralogy of Fallot) (S9.11.3.3,S9.11.3.4,S9.11.3.6,S9.11.3.7). VTs were typically fast, with a median CL of 295–300 ms, requiring a mapping and ablation approach during the underlying baseline rhythm. The definition of complete acute success (noninducibility with or without isthmus block) differed among the studies or changed during the study period (S9.11.3.7) but was achieved in 73%–82% of the patients. During a mean follow-up ranging from 33 months to

9.5 years, VT recurred in 12%–32% of the patients after a single procedure and in 5%–11% after repeat ablation (S9.11.3.6,S9.11.3.7).

In 2 series of adults with CHD (most with tetralogy of Fallot), successful AI ablation (combined endpoint noninducibility and isthmus block) was achieved in 25 of 34 patients (S9.11.3.4) and in 8 of 14 patients (S9.11.3.6), respectively. No patients with confirmed conduction block had a recurrence of monomorphic VT during follow-ups of 46 ± 29 (S9.11.3.4) and 33 ± 7 months (S9.11.3.6), respectively. Accordingly, noninducibility with conduction block across the targeted isthmus is a useful ablation procedure endpoint (S9.11.3.2–S9.11.3.4,S9.11.3.6,S9.11.3.7).

Serious procedure-related complications are rare (S9.11.3.4,S9.11.3.6,S9.11.3.7); however, high-risk ablation target sites, including the para-Hisian region with a risk of AV block, need to be considered (S9.11.3.5,S9.11.3.17). Ablation failure can result from withholding ablation due to proximity to the conduction system and coronary arteries, myocardial hypertrophy, and the protection of portions of the AIs by patch material (S9.11.3.3,S9.11.3.5,S9.11.3.6,S9.11.3.18). In particular, a pulmonary homograft can cover parts of the infundibular septum in patients with tetralogy of Fallot, preventing isthmus transection from an RV approach (S9.11.3.18). Although a septal AI can be successfully approached from the LV or aorta after right-sided ablation failure, proximity to the coronary arteries and potential damage to the aortic valve can increase the procedural risk (S9.11.3.18). Accordingly, surgical ablation concomitant with repair of residual hemodynamic abnormalities should be considered in these patients with spontaneous VT, especially if new patch material will prevent postsurgical access to the substrate. For these patients, a preoperative electrophysiology study and EAM can be helpful in guiding surgical ablation (S9.11.3.17). The use of empirical intraoperative linear cryoablation without preoperative or intraoperative mapping, transecting AI III and AI I, has been reported in patients with and without clinical VT, with mixed results (S9.11.3.13). However, empiric linear lesions might not be sufficient. After intraoperative isthmus ablation was performed in 31 patients who were inducible for VA prior to pulmonary valve replacement, 47% remained inducible after surgery (S9.11.3.14). Similar to catheter ablation, preoperative mapping, tailored cryoablation, and intraoperative confirmation of bidirectional conduction block across linear lesions can improve outcomes.

The strong link between a slowly conducting AI and sustained VT (S9.11.3.17,S9.11.3.18) in tetralogy of Fallot is intriguing, and preventive ablation of these potential isthmus areas with a demonstration of conduction block may be considered, especially if future surgical procedures might affect the accessibility of these potential VT isthmus areas. Whether this would be beneficial for patients with tetralogy of Fallot remains to be determined and will need to be demonstrated by randomized studies.

9.12. Sarcoidosis

Key Points

- The arrhythmogenic substrate in cardiac sarcoidosis is often intramurally located but can include the endocardium and epicardium.

- A CMR is beneficial in planning an ablation procedure in cardiac sarcoidosis.
- The arrhythmogenic substrate can be complex and can include areas of active inflammation and chronic scarring.
- The VT recurrence rate after ablation is high.

Cardiac sarcoidosis is a dynamic infiltrative noncaseating granulomatous disease with periods of inflammation that often culminate in fibrosis (S9.12.1–S9.12.4). Patients with cardiac symptoms and low LVEF fare the poorest among patients with cardiac sarcoidosis in terms of prognosis and mortality. In contrast, patients with asymptomatic cardiac sarcoidosis and normal LVEF have a 10-year survival rate of 89%–100%. Although only approximately 5% of patients with systemic sarcoidosis have symptomatic cardiac involvement, autopsy and imaging studies suggest that asymptomatic cardiac involvement occurs in 25%–92% of patients (S9.12.4–S9.12.6). Isolated cardiac involvement has been increasingly recognized; a longitudinal study in Finland found that nearly two-thirds of 110 patients with histologically confirmed cardiac sarcoidosis had isolated cardiac involvement. The initial presentation was associated with AV block in 48% of cases and with VT or VF in 38% (S9.12.5). The 5-year survival probability for patients with cardiac sarcoidosis treated with immunosuppression has been reported to be as high as 95%, with the greatest benefits seemingly gained by those without severe LV systolic dysfunction (S9.12.1, S9.12.5–S9.12.7). For patients with cardiac symptoms and low LVEF, the 10-year survival rate is 19%–27% and the cause of death is often heart failure or arrhythmia (S9.12.4–S9.12.6). These findings suggest that early recognition and treatment could be of value, although there are no randomized trials on this issue. For patients presenting with VT, consideration of cardiac sarcoidosis is important, given the differences in both acute and long-term management, specifically regarding therapy with immunosuppressive drugs (S9.12.4). A CMR can be particularly helpful in raising the suspicion of an infiltrative disease process such as cardiac sarcoidosis (S9.12.8), and obtaining this imaging is recommended in current heart failure guidelines (COR IIa, LOE B) (S9.12.9). Importantly, cardiac sarcoidosis can mimic ARVC, and its involvement can be localized to the RV (S9.12.4, S9.12.10–S9.12.15). There is substantial overlap in the clinical diagnostic criteria for ARVC and cardiac sarcoidosis, including imaging characteristics, identification of epsilon waves, surface ECG characteristics, including signal-averaged ECG, scar distribution, VT morphologies, and other substrate characteristics identified in intracardiac mapping (S9.12.4, S9.12.10–S9.12.15). There are a number of clinically useful findings that can help to differentiate the 2 conditions. In contrast to cardiac sarcoidosis, ARVC rarely involves the septum, and therefore the conduction system is rarely involved in ARVC (S9.12.13). The disease process in ARVC also originates in the epicardium, whereas the granulomas in the inflammatory process of sarcoidosis are located within the myocardium and reach the endocardium/epicardium by extension of the intramural lesions (S9.12.16). Reaching a cardiac tissue diagnosis is often difficult because granulomatous infiltration can be patchy, and the yield of blind endomyocardial biopsy is <25% (S9.12.4). Endomyocardial biopsy targeting areas of abnormal bipolar or unipolar voltage has shown promise for providing greater diagnostic yield (S9.12.4, S9.12.17).

The mechanisms of VT in patients with cardiac sarcoidosis include abnormal automaticity and triggered activity during the inflammatory phase and predominantly scar-related reentry in the chronic phase. Multiple VT mechanisms can be encountered in the same patient (S9.12.15,S9.12.18–S9.12.27).

When PET scanning identifies active inflammation at the time the VT presents, acute treatment with immunosuppressive agents and AADs may be considered over ablation (S9.12.1,S9.12.4,S9.12.13,S9.12.22,S9.12.26). There are limited data supporting the use of steroids or immunosuppressive therapy alone for managing cardiac sarcoidosis-related VT (S9.12.12,S9.12.24,S9.12.26). Regimens have included corticosteroids (prednisolone 1 mg/kg/day or equivalent), along with steroid-sparing agents (including methotrexate, cyclophosphamide, cyclosporine, mycophenolate, and infliximab), for a minimum of 3 months, after which the medication may be tapered based on treatment response (S9.12.7,S9.12.26). A number of studies have suggested that immunosuppression reduces the burden of VAs (S9.12.13,S9.12.19,S9.12.24,S9.12.26), whereas others have either failed to show a benefit or have demonstrated a worsening of VAs (S9.12.28–S9.12.30). Data suggest that immunosuppression could be more beneficial for VAs in early disease with preserved LVEF (S9.12.4). Nevertheless, the current expert consensus recommendation is to consider assessing the patients for active inflammation using PET scanning and administering therapy with immunosuppressants and AADs if active inflammation is present (S9.12.4).

Medical therapy, including immunosuppression therapy, has failed for most patients in reports on VT ablation in cardiac sarcoidosis (Table 8) (S9.12.12,S9.12.15,S9.12.26). If urgent imaging is unavailable or the VT persists despite empiric medical treatment, ablation may also be considered (S9.12.4).

The ablation approach to scar-related macroreentrant VT in cardiac sarcoidosis is similar to that employed in other scar-related VTs (see Sections 8 and 9) and is largely based on identifying the abnormal substrate during sinus rhythm. Activation and/or entrainment mapping during VT is often limited due to hemodynamic instability, multiple VT morphologies, or noninducibility (S9.12.12,S9.12.15,S9.12.23–S9.12.26). Substrate-based ablation targets areas with abnormal electrograms (see Section 8) and favorable pace maps (see Section 8) (S9.12.12,S9.12.15,S9.12.23–S9.12.27). A feature common to other nonischemic VT substrates is the predilection for involvement of the epicardium (22%–26%) (S9.12.15,S9.12.25–S9.12.27) and midmyocardium, the latter of which can be difficult to define in the absence of a CMR or with standard mapping techniques. Granulomatous infiltration can affect any part of the myocardium; cardiac imaging studies with CMR, nuclear perfusion scanning, and PET scanning can therefore be helpful for locating and assessing the burden of the complex substrate (S9.12.4,S9.12.11,S9.12.13,S9.12.25,S9.12.27). The frequent involvement of the basal septum results in a high prevalence of right septal VTs or VTs involving the Purkinje fiber system (S9.12.24), and the predominance of a basal substrate gives rise to peritricuspid and perimitral VTs (S9.12.15,S9.12.24). Nonstandard and investigational adjunctive ablation techniques (see Section 9.1) designed to create larger and deeper lesions have been used to attempt the ablation of intramural substrate when standard ablation fails, including bipolar

ablation, needle-facilitated ablation, the use of half normal saline RF electrode irrigation, and transcoronary arterial or venous ethanol ablation (S9.12.28–S9.12.31).

A number of observational studies have investigated the utility of catheter ablation in patients with cardiac sarcoidosis (Table 8). Outcomes have varied widely, as have the differences in patient characteristics, the concomitant use of immunosuppression, and follow-up times (S9.12.12,S9.12.15,S9.12.24–S9.12.27,S9.12.32). In general, freedom from any recurrent VT is achieved in 45.8% of cases for up to 2 years of follow-up, with multiple procedures required in 12.5%–43% of patients (S9.12.15,S9.12.24–S9.12.27,S9.12.32); however, the overall VT burden has been reduced by 88% (S9.12.32). Major procedural complications have been observed in up to 5% of cases (S9.12.25,S9.12.27,S9.12.32).

9.13. Chagas Disease

Key Points

- The pathogenesis of ChD is poorly understood but often results in an inferolateral LV aneurysm.
- The arrhythmogenic substrate is located intramurally and on the epicardial surface, often necessitating an epicardial ablation procedure.

9.13.1. Chagas Disease—ChD is a chronic parasitosis affecting the heart and other organs and is caused by the protozoan *Trypanosoma cruzi*. ChD is transmitted to humans mainly through parasitladen feces from a hematophagous insect vector found only in the Americas, where the disease is considered endemic. The World Health Organization estimates a prevalence of ChD ranging from 1%–6% in endemic areas, with 10 million people infected worldwide (mostly in Latin American countries), 100 million at risk of infection, and 300,000 new cases reported each year. The World Health Organization estimates that 50,000 CCM-related deaths occur annually, with 60%, 25%, and 15% related to sudden cardiac death, progressive heart failure, and stroke, respectively (S9.13.3.1,S9.13.3.2).

Cardiac involvement is the most frequent and serious manifestation of chronic ChD. Although the pathogenesis of cardiac damage is complex and not completely understood, at least 4 possible mechanisms have been suggested: cardiac parasympathetic neuronal depopulation, immunemediated myocardial injury, parasite persistence in cardiac tissue with secondary antigenic stimulation, and coronary microvascular abnormalities causing myocardial ischemia. Diffuse arteriolar dilatation found in CCM can result in a coronary steal phenomenon of blood flow from epicardial coronary arteries to dilated arterioles, producing low perfusion pressure in the distal microvasculature supplying susceptible areas distal to the coronary branches. The watershed areas between main coronary artery branches would be the most susceptible to this steal phenomenon, causing low perfusion pressure, secondary ischemia, microinfarctions, and reparative fibrosis. The most frequently observed areas of myocardial scarring in CCM are the posterolateral LV and the LV apex in the watershed zones between the right coronary and circumflex arteries, and the anterior

descending and posterior descending coronary arteries, respectively. VT is typically related to an inferolateral LV aneurysm (S9.13.3.2).

9.13.2. Ventricular Tachycardia in Chagas Cardiomyopathy—Sustained VT is usually due to reentry, associated with an inferolateral LV scar in over 70% of patients. Occasionally, endocardial radiofrequency ablation can result in transmural injury, effectively treating all portions of the myocardium involved in the arrhythmia circuit. However, scars commonly exist intramyocardially and/or subepicardially in an area with an associated thick layer of subendocardial myocardium. Mapping and ablation from the epicardial surface is performed in up to 40% of patients (S9.13.3.3,S9.13.3.4). A myocardial isthmus of surviving tissue between the inferolateral LV scar and the mitral valve annulus can be involved in a macroreentrant submitral circuit, as has been described for postinfarction VTs (S9.13.3.5). BBR can occur but is unusual (S9.13.3.6).

In CCM that presents with VT storms, cardiac sympathetic denervation (CSD) can reduce VT episodes and is a potentially valuable treatment option (S9.13.3.7).

9.13.3. Epicardial Ablation of Sustained Ventricular Tachycardia in Chagas Heart Disease—The prevalence of epicardial VT origins in patients with CCM is high (approximately 37%). Electrograms obtained during epicardial mapping from the areas giving rise to VT are similar to those observed for other scar-related VTs, including delayed potentials, mid-diastolic potentials, and continuous electrical activity during VT. The critical isthmus of the reentrant circuit can be confirmed by entrainment maneuvers or interruption of VT with RF ablation. With the progressive nature of the disease, VT recurrences are common (S9.13.3.4,S9.13.3.8).

9.14. Miscellaneous Diseases and Clinical Scenarios With Ventricular Tachycardia

Key Points

- Lamin cardiomyopathy often has a poor prognosis, progressing to end-stage heart failure.
- VT ablation is challenging due to intramural substrates.
- VT recurrence rate is high after ablations.
- VT in patients with noncompaction tends to originate from regions of noncompacted myocardium where scar can be identified in the midapical LV.
- VT ablation in patients with LVAD can be challenging due to the limitation of preprocedural imaging, and the electromagnetic noise generated by the LVAD.

9.14.1. Lamin Cardiomyopathy—The cardiac phenotype associated with mutations in the LMNA gene includes a familial form of DCM with autosomal dominant inheritance and a variety of clinical manifestations ranging from progressive heart failure, AV block to supraventricular block, and VAs (S9.14.4.1–S9.14.4.3). Malignant VAs are particularly

prevalent in these patients and can precede the development of overt DCM (S9.14.4.4). Risk factors for developing VAs include the presence of AV conduction abnormalities, the male sex, and the presence of nonmissense mutations in the *LMNA* gene (S9.14.4.4–S9.14.4.6). LV dysfunction has also been reported as a risk factor for developing VAs, although malignant VAs can occur in up to one-third of cases despite the presence of normal LV function (S9.14.4.4). Longitudinal studies assessing the natural history of patients with *LMNA* DCM have consistently described a poor long-term prognosis regardless of the initial clinical presentation, with most patients eventually progressing to end-stage heart failure and the need for advanced therapies including heart transplantation (S9.14.4.1,S9.14.4.4).

The management of recurrent VAs in patients with *LMNA* DCM is challenging owing to the progressive nature of the disease, the variable response to AAD therapy, and the predominant anteroseptal location of the underlying arrhythmogenic substrates, with a high prevalence of intramural substrates. The role of catheter ablation in managing recurrent VT in patients with *LMNA* cardiomyopathy has been recently evaluated in a multicenter registry (S9.14.4.7). Of the 25 patients included in the registry, an anteroseptal substrate was found in 82%, with all patients having multiple inducible VT morphologies. Ablation was challenging, with most patients having residual VT inducible at the end of the ablation procedure. Over a relatively short-term follow-up (median of 7 months), the mortality rate was 26%, 44% of patients were considered for mechanical circulatory support or heart transplantation, and the cumulative VT recurrence rate was 91%. Of note, a procedure-related complication occurred in 25% of cases, including anticipated complete heart block (in the process of ablating septal substrate), asystole, cardiogenic shock, and thromboembolic events. Given the overall poor success in achieving lasting VT control, the high risk of procedural complications, and the rapid progressive nature of the disease, catheter ablation has only a palliative role for patients with *LMNA* cardiomyopathy and recurrent drug-refractory VAs.

9.14.2. Left Ventricular Noncompaction—LVNC is a rare primary cardiomyopathy likely caused by an arrest of the normal embryogenesis of the endocardium and mesocardium, leading to the formation of prominent trabeculations and deep intertrabecular recesses within the LV wall communicating with the cavity (S9.14.4.8,S9.14.4.9). The inferior and lateral walls of the LV from the mid-cavity to the apex are the most commonly involved regions. The clinical presentation of LVNC is highly variable, ranging from completely asymptomatic to end-stage heart failure, and is frequently associated with VAs and thromboembolic events (S9.14.4.8,S9.14.4.10). The substrate underlying VAs in these patients is complex and involves pathological myocardial changes consisting of fibrosis, disruption of cellular architecture, and noncompacted myocardium. Reentrant VAs tend to colocalize with the regions of noncompacted myocardium in the midapical LV cavity, and patients can have a unique substrate distribution compared with other forms of NICM, with isolated involvement of the mid to apical LV segments and sparing the perivalvular regions (S9.14.4.11). However, significant heterogeneity in the VA substrates has been described, and VAs can also arise from regions remote from the noncompacted myocardial segments (S9.14.4.11,S9.14.4.12). Small observational studies and isolated reports of patients with drug-refractory VA have shown that catheter ablation can be performed

safely and can achieve long-term arrhythmia control (S9.14.4.11–S9.14.4.16). Owing to the high thromboembolic risk associated with LVNC, long-term oral anticoagulation is usually warranted, and it is important that patients undergo a careful preprocedural imaging evaluation to rule out the presence of intracavitary thrombi, which can be challenging to identify due to the presence of dense trabeculations. Catheter ablation can be performed on uninterrupted oral anticoagulation or with efforts to minimize the time off of oral anticoagulation using periprocedural bridging with heparin (S9.14.4.11).

9.14.3. Congenital Left Ventricular Aneurysms—Congenital LV aneurysms and diverticula are rare and believed to be the result of the disrupted embryogenesis of portions of the ventricular myocardium (S9.14.4.17,S9.14.4.18). The diagnosis of congenital ventricular aneurysms and diverticula is typically reached through noninvasive imaging studies after ruling out secondary aneurysmal evolution from myocardial insults such as coronary artery disease, inflammatory cardiomyopathies, and trauma. Congenital ventricular aneurysms and diverticula can be asymptomatic or can present with thromboembolic complications, heart failure, myocardial rupture, or malignant VAs and sudden cardiac death (S9.14.4.17–S9.14.4.19). Monomorphic reentrant VTs can originate from congenital ventricular aneurysms or diverticula (S9.14.4.20–S9.14.4.22), and isolated reports have demonstrated that catheter ablation can achieve arrhythmia control (S9.14.4.20,S9.14.4.23,S9.14.4.24).

9.14.4. Left Ventricular Assist Devices—Patients with permanent LVADs have a high incidence of recurrent VT, with an estimated incidence of 22%–53%, with the highest incidence in patients with a history of sustained VT prior to LVAD placement (S9.14.4.25–S9.14.4.27). Recurrent sustained VT has been associated with increased mortality in a select number of studies (S9.14.4.27–S9.14.4.29). Sustained VT has negative hemodynamic consequences in some patients, possibly due to the deterioration of RV function. Whenever a patient with an LVAD presents with new-onset VA, it is important to rule out mechanical triggers such as mechanical interaction between the inflow cannula and the adjacent apical-septal myocardium, “suction events,” and mechanical pump failure resulting in worsening heart failure (S9.14.4.30,S9.14.4.31). These issues can typically be ruled out with a transthoracic echocardiogram, LVAD interrogation, and blood tests for hemolysis, which can be a marker of pump thrombosis. However, most patients presenting with repetitive sustained VAs, particularly those with a history of VAs before the LVAD implantation (S9.14.4.29,S9.14.4.32), have no identifiable mechanical trigger and usually require therapy to achieve VA suppression. Although antiarrhythmic therapies have not been well studied, an observational study by Raasch et al. (S9.14.4.32) of 61 patients undergoing LVAD implantation reported that 9 of 15 (60%) patients in whom amiodarone was initiated after the occurrence of VAs remained free of recurrent arrhythmias; however, the follow-up duration in this subgroup was not specified. In the patients experiencing recurrent VAs despite therapy with amiodarone, the authors reported no convincing benefits for adjuvant therapy with other antiarrhythmic agents, including sotalol, lidocaine, mexiletine, and procainamide. In particular, mexiletine was added to amiodarone in 4 patients but was effective in only 1.

For recurrent VAs despite AAD therapy, catheter ablation should be considered. Multiple observational studies and a large multicenter registry have shown that catheter ablation can be performed safely and effectively in patients with LVADs (S9.14.4.33,S9.14.4.34), although there are several important considerations. The preprocedural definition of the substrate can be challenging due to significant imaging artifacts from ICD devices and the LVAD cannula. LVADs that have a magnetically levitated impeller introduce substantial electromagnetic noise that can render the QRS morphology of VT from the body surface ECG uninterpretable. The aortic valve often has minimal or no motion in patients with LVADs, cusp fusion can develop, and, in some instances, the aortic valve is surgically oversewn to abolish aortic insufficiency. The SVs can be sources of thrombi. A transeptal approach to access the LV is usually preferred, and is the only option in some cases (S9.14.4.33–S9.14.4.35), although the resulting atrial septal communication can potentially cause clinically significant right to left shunting, with systemic oxygen desaturation in some patients who have concomitant RV failure and increased right atrial pressure.

Sacher et al. (S9.14.4.33) have reported on a multicenter study of catheter ablation in 34 patients with LVADs who underwent 39 procedures (25 with a transeptal and 14 with a retrograde aortic approach). Of note, the targeted VT was related to the LVAD cannula in only 9% of the cases, whereas the remaining VAs were associated with the underlying disease substrate. After a mean follow-up of 25 ± 15 months, 7 patients underwent heart transplantation, 10 died, and 13 (76%) of the remaining 17 patients remained free from recurrent VT. These results are in line with other single-center observational series (S9.14.4.34–S9.14.4.39) and support the benefits of catheter ablation as an important treatment option for patients with LVADs and recurrent VT.

9.15. Surgical Therapy

Key Points
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surgery-facilitated access to the epicardium via a limited subxiphoid incision can be helpful in the case of adhesions. • Cryoablation via thoracotomy is possible for posterolateral substrates and via sternotomy for anterior substrates.

In some patients, standard endocardial approaches combined with percutaneous epicardial catheter ablation remain ineffective in providing VA control due to deep intramural circuits (S9.15.1). Percutaneous epicardial access might not be feasible for patients with prior pericarditis or cardiac surgery, and epicardial VTs might not be easily targeted using standard ablation approaches (S9.15.2). Alternative treatment methods need to be considered for patients with failed endocardial and epicardial ablation procedures (S9.15.3). The threshold for a surgical approach might be lower if a concomitant surgical procedure is indicated. For patients with an inaccessible epicardial space, percutaneous catheter ablation in the electrophysiology laboratory can be facilitated by a surgically created epicardial window allowing entry into the pericardial space (S9.15.4). This approach is most straightforward in patients with an apical or inferior VA substrate, because the area

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

of the heart closest to the window is most easily accessed, and pericardial adhesions can limit extensive mapping. Surgical cryoablation can also be conducted in the operating room utilizing a lateral thoracotomy or sternotomy (S9.15.5,S9.15.6). Thoracotomy is the preferred approach to lateral and posterior substrates, whereas sternotomy is often needed for anterior substrates. Portable EAM can be utilized to help to localize the substrate, and VT can be inducible when the patient is off the cardiopulmonary bypass. When possible, preprocedural planning should include CT imaging of grafts for patients with prior bypass surgery to prevent injury to these structures. The use of surgical VT ablation has also been described in patients undergoing percutaneous LVAD implantation (S9.15.7,S9.15.8). Recently, there has been growing concern regarding the increased rates of LVAD thrombosis among patients who undergo both endocardial and epicardial surgical cryoablation at the time of LVAD implantation (S9.15.9). In highly selected patients with epicardial LVOT VAs refractory to standard techniques due to overlying epicardial fat and/or proximity to coronary arteries, open (S9.15.10) or minimally invasive (S9.15.11,S9.15.12) approaches have been used. The full characterization of the efficacy and safety of these approaches is pending.

9.16. Sympathetic Modulation

Key Points

- Sympathetic modulation targeting the stellate ganglia by video-assisted thoracoscopy may be considered for failed VT ablation procedures or VF storms.
- A temporary effect can be obtained with the percutaneous injection or infusion of local anesthetics.

The autonomic nervous system (ANS) has a central role in regulating heart rate and cardiac function and contributes to the pathogenesis of VA in structurally normal hearts, abnormal hearts, and channelopathies (S9.16.1). The ANS includes the parasympathetic component mediated by the vagus nerve and a sympathetic component mediated by cervicothoracic paravertebral sympathetic ganglia. The ANS undergoes remodeling and becomes dysfunctional in SHD (S9.16.1). In most situations, ANS imbalances contribute to the onset and/or maintenance of VAs, especially through the activation of efferent sympathetic pathways. Interventions that decrease sympathetic tone are often beneficial.

Sympathetic activation and vagal withdrawal are associated with diminished respiratory sinus arrhythmia and heart rate variability. Iodine-123 metaiodobenzylguanidine and 11C-meta-hydroxyepinephrine imaging provide an indication of sympathetic nerve distribution and local noradrenaline reuptake that reflects sympathetic activity (S9.16.1). Although iodine-123 metaiodobenzylguanidine and 11C-meta-hydroxyepinephrine (S9.16.2) imaging findings are associated with VA in various settings, the clinical utility of using these findings for risk stratification and to guide therapy has yet to be proven (S9.16.1).

Beta blockers are a first-line therapy for VA sensitive to ANS influences. Beta blockers often decrease idiopathic RVOT PVCs in healthy individuals (S9.16.3) and reduce the rate of sudden cardiac death after MI (S9.16.4,S9.16.5). Conversely, in electrical storms due to Brugada syndrome or idiopathic VF, beta-adrenergic stimulation by isoproterenol infusion can abolish recurrent arrhythmia (S9.16.6,S9.16.7).

Transient CSD achieved by high thoracic epidural anesthesia with bupivacaine administered at the T1–T2 or T2–T3 level into the epidural space can be employed as a bridge to more definitive therapy (S9.16.8). An 80% reduction in VA episodes was observed in 6 of 8 patients undergoing thoracic epidural anesthesia, with infusion durations ranging from 48 to 96 hours (S9.16.8). Partial denervation can be achieved by percutaneous stellate ganglion block and can also quiet post-MI electrical storms (S9.16.5). A recent meta-analysis of nonrandomized studies found that temporary percutaneous stellate ganglion block was associated with a major acute reduction in the VA burden and supports its potential use as a bridge to more definitive therapy (S9.16.9).

Persistent CSD is achieved at open surgery (or by video-assisted thoracoscopy) by resecting the lower third to half of the stellate ganglia and the T2 to T4 or T5 thoracic ganglia, as well as transecting the nerve of Kuntz when present (S9.16.10). Potential complications include Horner syndrome, Harlequin syndrome, dyshidrosis, and regional temperature changes (S9.16.11,S9.16.12). In a series of heterogeneous patients with drug-refractory VA storms undergoing unilateral or bilateral surgical CSD, ICD shocks were reduced by 90% in 90% of the patients, with left CSD less efficient (50% shock free) than bilateral CSD (S9.16.10,S9.16.13,S9.16.14). CSD has also been shown to be effective in CCM (S9.16.15). However, denervation through stellate ganglion ablation surgery is not always complete, and VA can recur. Nonetheless, in patients with VA storms for whom beta blockers, antiarrhythmic medications, and catheter ablation are ineffective or not tolerated, CSD can be considered a reasonable option, provided the treating physicians have expertise with these techniques (S9.16.4,S9.16.13,S9.16.15).

Surgical CSD can be considered for high-risk patients with contraindications for beta blockers, for patients with symptomatic long QT syndrome when beta blockers are not effective, or when ICD therapy is contraindicated or declined (S9.16.11,S9.16.12,S9.16.16–S9.16.18). CSD is associated with a significant reduction of events in patients with high-risk long QT syndrome, with a reported 50%–80% long-term success rate (S9.16.17–S9.16.21). A significant decrease in QTc duration is usually observed after CSD (S9.16.11,S9.16.17).

CSD can also be considered for patients with catecholaminergic polymorphic VT and recurrent symptomatic VA (syncope or ICD shocks) while undergoing optimal drug therapy with beta blockers and flecainide and for patients with a contraindication for beta blockers (S9.16.12,S9.16.22,S9.16.23). In catecholaminergic polymorphic VT with recurrent VA despite optimal therapy, CSD achieved 80% event-free survival at 2 years and has been advocated as an alternative to an ICD (S9.16.21).

Spinal cord stimulation of T1 to T5 with an external stimulator appears to modulate autonomic activity, possibly via both sympathetic inhibition and an increase

in vagal activity (S9.16.2). Spinal cord stimulation reduced VA episodes 75%–100% in a small number of patients with cardiomyopathy and high VA burden (S9.16.17). The spinal cord stimulation mechanism is not completely understood, and the long-term clinical effects and safety have not been studied in humans. Low-level stimulation of the cervical vagosympathetic trunks or carotid body can antagonize proarrhythmic sympathetic surges and decrease VAs in animal models, but there is no experience for this with humans (S9.16.2).

Renal denervation decreases both central sympathetic activity and systemic catecholamine excretion, possibly mediated through either afferent or efferent renal sympathetic nerves and independent of the effect on blood pressure (S9.16.2,S9.16.24). In small series or isolated cases with various cardiomyopathies and refractory VA, catheter-based percutaneous renal denervation was observed to reduce VAs and appeared safe (S9.16.25–S9.16.28). Techniques and acute procedural endpoints need further evaluation, and randomized trials are needed to assess the efficacy and safety.

9.17. Endpoints of Catheter Ablation of Ventricular Tachycardia

Key Points

- Noninducibility of VT by PES after ablation is a reasonable endpoint and predictor for VT recurrence after VT ablation in patients with SHD.
- Due to the limitations of programmed stimulation, endpoints other than noninducibility have been described, including elimination of excitability, elimination of LPs or LAVA, dechanneling, substrate homogenization, core isolation, image-guided ablation, and anatomically fixed substrate ablation.

9.17.1. Historical Perspective—In the early days of electrophysiology testing to study arrhythmias, PES was recognized as a tool for evaluating the efficacy of treatments (at that time, drugs and surgical ablation). For both supraventricular arrhythmia and VA, elimination of inducible sustained tachycardia following drug or surgical intervention, when the arrhythmia had been reproducibly induced with PES prior to intervention, correlated with successful treatment at least by the short-term follow-up (S9.17.4.1,S9.17.4.2). PES was known to be a somewhat unreliable assessment tool in that 1) it did not perfectly predict outcomes (sensitivity or specificity) and 2) significant day-to-day variability in the results was demonstrable in the absence of an intervention (S9.17.4.3). In addition, varying definitions of “noninducibility” have been used, including noninducible VA of any type using up to triple extrastimuli from 2 RV sites and 2 drive CLs; initiation of only “nonclinical” VTs that had morphologies and/or CLs that had not been previously observed spontaneously; and rendering a previously easily inducible VT no longer inducible at that step of the PES protocol but without performing the complete PES protocol. Despite these shortcomings, VA noninducibility was regarded for over 2 decades as the standard means for assessing the efficacy of therapeutic interventions, including catheter ablation (S9.17.4.4,S9.17.4.5).

9.17.2. Programmed Electrical Stimulation—PES at the end of the ablation procedure is still employed and remains a reasonable predictor of VT recurrence. In particular, patients in whom relatively slow VTs (CL >300 ms) remain inducible at the end of the procedure are more likely to experience recurrence than those in whom no VT (or only rapid, unmappable VT) is inducible (S9.17.4.6). In a recent study, inducible nonclinical VT that has a CL longer than the RV effective refractory period plus 30 ms was associated with recurrent VTs, whereas faster inducible nonclinical VTs were not associated with recurrence (S9.17.4.7). A meta-analysis of post-MIVT ablation studies found that the absence of inducible VT was associated with a substantially lower risk of recurrent VT compared with the inducibility of nonclinical VT (odds ratio [OR] 0.49) and clinical VT (OR 0.10) (S9.17.4.5).

The predictive capacity of immediate postablation PES was recently challenged by Frankel et al. (S9.17.4.8), who have shown that NIPS using the patient's ICD a few days after the ablation procedure yielded a more accurate picture of the likelihood of VT recurrence after ablation than the acute end-of-procedure stimulation protocol. In this study, 18% of patients without inducible VT at the end of the ablation procedure had inducible clinical VT using subsequent NIPS, and another 37% had inducible nonclinical VT. Each group had shorter recurrence-free survival than those without inducible VT at delayed NIPS. Similar results were recently reported by Oloriz et al. (S9.17.4.9). Numerous potential causes of postablation recurrent VT episodes have been proposed, including ablation lesion healing, development of new circuits, withdrawal of AADs that had suppressed some VTs, and emergence of VTs at the periphery of ablation zones (S9.17.4.10). The optimal management of patients found to have inducible VT has not yet been defined.

9.17.3. Current Ablation Strategies and Assessment of Results—For focal arrhythmias (such as nonsustained or sustained VT or PVCs, typically observed in individuals without SHD), activation and/or pace mapping are generally adequate guides for ablation (assuming the arrhythmia was present spontaneously or inducible prior to ablation). Assessment of efficacy is termination of VT, or elimination of PVCs, and subsequent noninducibility of VT or PVCs by catecholamine infusion or electrical stimulation that had reliably provoked episodes prior to ablation.

For patients with SHD in whom reentry is the primary VT mechanism, the effectiveness of ablation has usually been assessed by PES (typically through triple ventricular extrastimuli at 1 or 2 RV sites and 1–2 drive CLs), with or without an adjunctive catecholamine infusion. In addition to these mapping tools, a variety of substrate-based strategies are currently in use for ablation for VA, each with their own procedural endpoints (almost all combined with end-of-procedure PES). A short description of these strategies is provided below (S9.17.4.11, S9.17.4.12). In many of these studies, substrate ablation was combined with ablation guided by activation and entrainment mapping when a hemodynamically tolerated VT was inducible. For all of these approaches, data are largely limited to small series from single centers or groups of investigators.

1. Elimination of electrical excitability (S9.17.4.13): Targeting VT isthmuses between areas of electrically unexcitable scar and ablation to the extent that

isthmus tissue cannot be stimulated with unipolar pacing output of 10 mA (the endpoint of this strategy) has been shown to result in fewer VT recurrences in follow-up. This approach has the drawbacks of contact dependency and time expense, especially in cases with more extensive areas of ablation in which repeated cycles of stimulation and ablation can be tedious and time-consuming.

2. Elimination of LPs (S9.17.4.14): Elimination of all recordable LPs (high-frequency electrogram components inscribed after the end of the surface QRS complex that reflect slowed conduction or block) has been shown to improve freedom from recurrent VT compared with cases in which LPs were not eliminated (S9.17.4.15). This approach is limited by sampling density and the time required for remapping to assess the absence of LPs.
3. Elimination of LAVAs (S9.17.4.16): LAVAs are defined as electrograms with 2 or more distinct components that might be evident in the resting rhythm or can be revealed by a stimulation wavefront arriving from a different direction. LAVAs thus include standard LPs but also the split potentials (those with an isoelectric interval between components of at least 30 ms) that are not late and even some normal-appearing electrograms for which stimulation might reveal previously unobserved abnormalities. Whereas elimination of LPs has generally been performed on the endocardium, LAVA mapping and ablation requires endocardial and (when possible) epicardial mapping and ablation. Elimination of all LAVAs (the endpoint of this strategy) has been correlated with high rates of freedom from spontaneous recurrence of VT. Problems with this approach include the lack of standard programmed stimulation methods to detect LAVA at sites without these potentials during sinus rhythm, the extent of ablation needed to treat all LAVAs (endocardial and epicardial ablation, the latter might not always be feasible), and the inability to know when all LAVAs had been ablated successfully (sampling bias). Additionally, the mapping catheter used can affect LAVA detection, with small, closely spaced electrodes detecting LAVA and LP more readily than standard ablation electrodes. However, this might necessitate double access to the chamber in question or repeated catheter exchanges.
4. Dechanneling: Using this method, EAM is used to identify sites with high-frequency delayed (not necessarily “late”) potentials inscribed after a far-field component in sinus rhythm and targets those with relatively short intervals from potential entry sites into channels within scars that can participate in reentry. Endpoints of this “dechanneling” approach are the elimination of delayed potentials or reversal of the activation sequence of delayed potentials when recorded with multipolar catheters. VT-free outcomes were better when complete dechanneling could be affected (S9.17.4.17).
5. Substrate homogenization (S9.17.4.18): This strategy is similar in principle to LP and LAVA ablation but more broadly targets the entire region in which low-amplitude (<1.5 mV bipolar) abnormal electrograms are observed with very extensive ablation on both the endocardium and epicardium when abnormal epicardial substrate is identified. The endpoint is electrogram

elimination (amplitude reduction to the background noise level or below) and noninducibility of VT. In a small randomized trial of patients with prior MI and a nonrandomized series of patients with post-MI and NICM, VT recurrence was less likely with extensive substrate ablation than with ablation guided by activation and entrainment mapping targeting only the clinical VT (S9.17.4.18,S9.17.4.19). However, it is not known whether this technique would be superior to the targeting of clinical VT(s) plus more limited substrate ablation using the other described techniques. This approach necessarily requires extensive ablation (with more fluid volume administered if irrigated ablation is employed) and potentially longer procedural times. The technique is not applicable to diseases that do not have identifiable low-voltage scar regions.

6. Core isolation (S9.17.4.20,S9.17.4.21): The principle underlying this strategy is that endocardial VT circuits can be somewhat “compartmentalized” by layers of midmyocardial scar tissue, such that conduction is largely spread on the endocardial surface. The encircling of the region with abnormal electrograms that contain the VT circuits need only reach the midmyocardial barrier to isolate the area (ie, damage need not be transmural). In the study by Tzou et al. (S9.17.4.21), core isolation was usually achievable and was associated with improved freedom from VT recurrence compared with a nonrandomized reference group. This approach is not always feasible and requires extensive ablation in some patients. The extent to which these core elements reconnect with healing of the ablation lesions and resolution of the edema is unknown.
7. Imaging-guided lesion assessment: Estimating the acute extent (particularly depth) of ablation-related damage, particularly in normal ventricular tissue, has been shown to be feasible with intracardiac ultrasound (S9.17.4.22), CMR (S9.17.4.23), near-infrared spectroscopy (S9.17.4.24), and by elevations in the pacing threshold (S9.17.4.25), as well as with other methods. Lesion size assessment has promise for future applications as an indicator of the adequacy of ablation but has not been studied in detail as a procedural endpoint.
8. Identification of a largely anatomically fixed substrate during baseline rhythm by pace mapping (S9.17.4.26) and by locating isolated potentials (S9.17.4.14) has been beneficial in identifying a critical isthmus. Targeting the arrhythmogenic substrate by combining pace mapping and electrogram mapping has further demonstrated a reduction in VT recurrence in patients post infarction (S9.17.4.27).

As noted, most strategies also use PES to assess for VT inducibility at the end of the procedure. It is important to note that the assessment of efficacy of these ablation strategies is predicated on the assumption that ablation lesions have permanent effects, which cannot be known.

9.17.4. Summary—The preponderance of experience for assessing the results of VT ablation is with PES, despite its inherent limitations. Multiple methods of substrate ablation have been described, each with its own procedural endpoint (but almost always with PES

assessment at the end of the procedure). Several observations can be made based on available data:

1. Some method of assessing success (prespecified endpoints) should be employed at the end of any ablation procedure for treating VA, insofar as possible (constrained by patient safety considerations). Scar-based reentrant VT usually incorporates PES using 3 extrastimuli at 2 drive CLs from 1 or more RV stimulation sites or at least as vigorous a stimulation protocol as was required to initiate arrhythmia prior to ablation, as well as achieving endpoints particular to whichever substrate-based ablation strategy is used.
2. If a “clinical” (spontaneously occurring) VT remains inducible at the end of the procedure, the likelihood of postprocedure recurrence is high.
3. Nonclinical VTs induced at the end of an ablation procedure have a recurrence rate during follow-up lower than persistently inducible clinical VTs but higher than if no VT is induced at the end of the ablation procedure. Clinicians should carefully consider the risks and benefits of targeting these nonclinical VTs, which entail longer procedure time and potential risk.
4. It is reasonable to consider using NIPS 2–3 days after ablation to refine the prognosis (see Section 10.4). If clinical VT can be initiated at that time, repeat ablation may be considered, although the risks and benefits of this approach have not been defined.

Section 10 Postprocedural Care

10.1. Postprocedural Care: Access, Anticoagulation, Disposition

10.1.1. Postprocedural Care: Access

Recommendations for management of venous access sites after catheter ablation of VA

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	A	1. Manual compression is effective in achieving hemostasis after venous access for VT ablation.	S10.1.2.1–S10.1.2.3
IIa	B-R	2. Venous access closure using temporary purse-string or figure-of-8 suture techniques can be useful in achieving faster hemostasis and earlier ambulation and reducing pain or discomfort associated with hemostasis compared to manual compression.	S10.1.2.1, S10.1.2.2

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. Although little has been written on postprocedural management of access sites specifically after catheter VT ablation, practices have been adapted by extrapolating results from other procedures requiring femoral venous or arterial access. Manual compression has been the standard of care for achievement of hemostasis after venous sheath removal. In randomized studies of other electrophysiology procedures comparing manual compression with temporary suture closures or a vascular closure device, comparable high rates of hemostasis had been achieved (S10.1.2.1–S10.1.2.3). Extrapolating these results

to ventricular ablation procedures, manual compression is effective in achieving hemostasis, though time to hemostasis can be expected to be shorter with temporary suture techniques compared with manual compression (S10.1.2.1–S10.1.2.3).

2. The use of a temporary purse-string or figure-of-8 suture that can be removed after achieving hemostasis has been studied after venous access in other ablation procedures, such as for AF, in which procedures are routinely performed on uninterrupted anticoagulation and/or with large-bore catheters (S10.1.2.4–S10.1.2.8). Compared with manual compression, temporary suture techniques can yield faster hemostasis and ambulation times and reduce the pain or discomfort associated with hemostasis. Two randomized studies have shown shorter times to hemostasis and ambulation, with one reporting reduced rates of access complications compared with manual compression (S10.1.2.1,S10.1.2.2).

Vascular closure device-based methods for venous closure have not been specifically studied for electrophysiology procedures (S10.1.2.9–S10.1.2.11). One randomized study compared manual compression to an extravascular closure system that delivers resorbable polyethylene glycol sealant external to the vessel at the sheath access point in 208 patients with a 5, 6, or 7 Fr sheath in the common femoral vein (S10.1.2.3). There were no vascular complications in either arm. Time to hemostasis was significantly shorter in the device arm (0.12 ± 0.89 vs 7.6 ± 5.7 minutes; $P < .001$).

When the writing committee was surveyed regarding venous access hemostasis, all the respondents used manual compression, approximately half (53%) used temporary figure-of-8 or purse-string sutures some of the time, and only 1 (6%) had used a vascular closure device.

Given that venous access complications are driven primarily by insertion difficulties or concomitant arterial access, reduction in venous access complication outcomes might be best achieved with methods such as use of ultrasound at insertion, rather than by device- or suture-based methods.

Recommendation for management of arterial access sites after catheter ablation of VA

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	A	1. Achieving arterial access site hemostasis using either manual compression or a vascular closure device is recommended.	S10.1.2.12,S10.1.2.13

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. Arterial access after VT ablation is typically closed by manual compression or use of vascular closure devices, with comparably high success rates in achieving hemostasis. Though not specifically studied for VT ablation access closure, manual compression and vascular closure device use have been extensively studied for coronary or structural intervention procedures. Several systematic reviews and randomized trials have demonstrated shorter time to

hemostasis, with little need for compression, shorter time to mobilization, and lower hematoma rates with use of vascular closure devices for arterial closure compared with manual compression, with no differences in vascular injury, thrombosis, or infection (S10.1.2.12,S10.1.2.13). Extrapolating these results to arterial closure after VT ablation, vascular closure device use could reduce the time to hemostasis and ambulation and reduce the risk of hematoma, compared with manual compression. Vascular injury rates for pseudoaneurysm, dissection, or arteriovenous fistula might be more reflective of insertion difficulties rather than of closure methods.

Use of vascular closure devices is generally avoided if the arteriotomy site is at or distal to the common femoral bifurcation, if contamination of the sheath is suspected, or if the posterior wall of the artery is suspected to have been punctured.

When the writing committee was surveyed regarding arterial access hemostasis, 42% of the respondents had used manual compression 100% of the time, 29% had used temporary figure-of-8 or purse-string sutures 1%–25% of the time, and 67% had used a vascular closure device at least some of the time (24% had used a vascular closure device over half the time).

Recommendations for management of epicardial access sites after catheter ablation of VA

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	C-EO	1. If pericardial bleeding or cardiac tamponade has occurred during epicardial VT ablation, a pericardial drain should be left in place until bleeding has resolved.	
IIa	B-NR	2. The instillation of intrapericardial corticosteroids can be effective in reducing pericarditic chest pain after epicardial VT mapping or ablation.	S10.1.2.14,S10.1.2.15
IIa	B-NR	3. To reduce pericardial pain after epicardial VT ablation, unless pericardial bleeding or cardiac tamponade has occurred, it is reasonable to remove all pericardial access sheaths at the end of the procedure.	S10.1.2.14,S10.1.2.15
IIb	C-EO	4. Leaving a pericardial drain in place might be reasonable in patients at high risk for late bleeding or cardiac tamponade after epicardial VT ablation.	

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. If pericardial bleeding or cardiac tamponade has occurred during the procedure, the pericardial drain should ideally be left in place until there is minimal drainage output. This often requires observation in an intensive care unit and follow-up echocardiograms to assess for residual or loculation of fluid.
2. Epicardial access for VT ablation can be associated with postprocedure pericarditic pain and acute pericarditis. The use of steroids can reduce the incidence of postprocedure pericardial chest pain. In an animal model, triamcinolone 2 mg/kg significantly attenuated inflammation and postprocedure inflammatory adhesion formation after epicardial mapping and ablation (S10.1.2.16). Della Bella et al. (S10.1.2.14) compiled the epicardial VT ablation

experience of 6 European high-volume VT ablation centers. Of 218 patients, postprocedural precordial pain occurred in 21% and was considered severe in half of these. Oral steroids were used by 1 center routinely after 2007; 1 center routinely used intrapericardial steroids; and 4 centers did not use steroids. Dyrda et al. (S10.1.2.15) retrospectively evaluated the use of 3 therapeutic approaches on the incidence of pericarditis and AF after epicardial mapping and ablation for VT in 85 cases. The approach evolved over time from no steroids to systemic oral or intravenous steroids (1 mg/kg/day for 3 days) to intrapericardial steroids (triamcinolone acetate 2 mg/kg, injected into the pericardial space via a pigtail catheter and left in place by capping of the pigtail). Compared with no steroids, the incidence of pericarditic chest pain was lower with intrapericardial steroids (21.1% vs 58.8%; $P = .006$), but not with intravenous or oral steroids (43.4% vs 58.8%, $P = 0.31$). No difference was found in the occurrence of ECG findings for pericarditis with steroid therapy (36.8%, 30.0%, and 41.2% for intrapericardial steroids, intravenous or oral steroids, or no steroids, respectively), and a nonsignificant reduced incidence of chest pain with ECG changes was found with steroid use (13.2%, 10.0%, and 29.4% for intrapericardial steroids, intravenous or oral steroids, or no steroids, respectively).

Among the writing committee members, 75% reported instilling steroids in the pericardial space after epicardial mapping/ablation, with 2 reporting this practice only after extensive epicardial ablation. Methylprednisolone, triamcinolone, or triamcinolone acetate were used by 40%–50%, and 9% had used dexamethasone. Only 8% instilled lidocaine into the pericardial space after ablation. In the absence of bleeding or tamponade, 38% leave a pericardial drain in place after epicardial ablation, usually for 8–24 hours (89%) or >24 hours (11%).

3. Whether or not to leave a pericardial drain in place after epicardial ablation can be a difficult decision and is based on concerns for late cardiac tamponade. However, Della Bella et al. (S10.1.2.14) noted that precordial pain could be due to the pigtail left in the pericardium for continuous drainage. In the Dyrda et al. (S10.1.2.15) study the pigtail catheter was left in place <3 hours in 8% and 24 hours in 44%. More chest pain occurred if the pigtail was left in place 24 hours (51% vs 25%; $P = .012$); however, ECG changes were noted less frequently (19% vs 48%; $P = .006$).
4. In deciding whether to remove the pericardial drain, leaving a guidewire in the pericardial space after pericardial sheath removal and observing several minutes with intracardiac or transthoracic echo might be useful. This can exclude a “through and through” puncture of the RV, which will present with early significant hemorrhage after sheath removal.

A pericardial drain may be left in place after epicardial ablation based on concerns for late cardiac tamponade. Della Bella et al. (S10.1.2.14) noted that 4 of 8 patients with cardiac tamponade occurred late, which provided the rationale for leaving a pericardial drain in place. In the study by Dyrda et al.

(S10.1.2.15), postprocedure pericardial effusion or tamponade occurred in 13 patients, observed 18 ± 14 hours after the procedure. There were 4 cases of severe pericardial bleeding, occurring acutely in 2 and delayed in 2. The delayed cases were given low-molecular-weight heparin or heparin plus dual antiplatelet therapy.

If the drain is left in place, longer duration can be associated with pericarditic chest pain (see above), and removal of the drain within 24 hours might reduce the incidence of pericarditic chest pain.

10.1.2. Atrial Fibrillation After Epicardial Ventricular Arrhythmia Ablation—

The use of amiodarone might be reasonable to lower the risk of new-onset AF after epicardial VT ablation in patients with evidence of acute pericarditis. AF is reported to occur in 4.1%–19.5% (S10.1.2.16–S10.1.2.18) of patients after epicardial VT ablation and tends to occur more commonly in those with signs of pericarditis. In the study by Dyrda et al. (S10.1.2.15), AF occurred in 8.3% of patients with no prior history of it. Median time to new-onset AF was 36 hours. Patients with pericarditic ECGs tended to be at greater risk of AF (16.7 vs 3.6%; $P = .091$). Mahapatra et al. (S10.1.2.18) reported a new AF incidence of 19.5%, and all had clinical symptoms of pericarditis. New AF was associated with younger age, longer epicardial ablation time (424 ± 169 vs 867 ± 450 seconds; $P < .001$), longer epicardial mapping time (103 ± 28 vs 135 ± 51 minutes; $P = .02$), RV puncture (9.1% vs 50.0%; $P = .02$), and pericarditis pain score at 24 hours (1.58 ± 0.79 vs 2.25 ± 0.16 ; $P = .03$). Prolonged drainage >24 hours was not associated with AF incidence ($P = .28$). The occurrence of pericarditis renders anticoagulation decisions challenging; however, the use of steroids was not associated with a lower incidence of AF after epicardial VT ablation in these studies (S10.1.2.16, S10.1.2.18). In contrast, the use of amiodarone was associated with lower rates of AF in this population (87.9% vs 12.5%; $P < .001$ in the study by Mahapatra et al.) (S10.1.2.16, S10.1.2.18). New-onset AF tends to be paroxysmal and self-limited after epicardial VT ablation. Amiodarone was used by only 1 writing committee member (4%) for AF prophylaxis after epicardial ablation. The writing committee did not feel there was sufficient evidence to make a recommendation about amiodarone use in this setting.

10.1.3. Postprocedural Care: Anticoagulation

Recommendations for anticoagulation after VA ablation procedures

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
IIa	C-LD	1. After less extensive endocardial VT ablation, treatment with an antiplatelet agent for a limited period of time is reasonable.	S10.1.4.1, S10.1.4.2
IIa	C-LD	2. Heparin reversal with protamine for sheath removal after ablation is reasonable.	S10.1.4.3, S10.1.4.4
IIb	C-LD	3. After extensive endocardial VT ablation, treatment with an oral anticoagulant for a limited period of time might be reasonable.	S10.1.4.1, S10.1.4.2
IIb	C-EO	4. The use of heparin bridging after endocardial VT ablation may be considered but can be associated with an increased risk of periprocedural bleeding.	

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. Antiplatelet therapy for less extensive endocardial VT ablation appears to be safe, with no significant bleeding nor thromboembolism risks. The Multicenter Thermocool Ventricular Tachycardia Ablation Trial has recommended antiplatelet therapy with aspirin 325 mg/day or anticoagulation with warfarin for 3 months after ablation, if ablation has been performed over an area with >3 cm between ablation sites (S10.1.4.1). No procedure-related thromboembolic complication or stroke had been detected by neurological examination. In the study by Siontis et al. (S10.1.4.2), in patients with less extensive ablation, antiplatelet agents with full-dose aspirin or clopidogrel plus aspirin were used at the physician's discretion instead of therapeutic anticoagulation. Among 24 patients discharged on only antiplatelet agents (4 low-dose aspirin, 9 full-dose aspirin, 1 clopidogrel, 10 combination of aspirin and clopidogrel), no definite or possible thromboembolic events and no bleeding events were documented in the first 3 months. Among the writing committee, over 90% prescribe either antiplatelets or anticoagulants after endocardial VA ablation, with various criteria used to decide which. When antiplatelets were used (almost exclusively aspirin), the most common duration was 4 to 6 weeks.
2. Anticoagulation during left-sided VT ablation procedures is generally reversed for sheath removal, typically with protamine. In a retrospective cohort study of 158 patients undergoing RF catheter ablation, including 11 for VAs, 116 received protamine and 42 did not (S10.1.4.3). No significant difference in thrombotic events was observed between groups (one pulmonary embolism in the protamine group and 0 thrombotic events in the control group). Among 150 patients undergoing AF ablation, a randomized trial reported that compared to control, protamine reversal of heparin led to a trend toward shorter duration of manual compression (20 ± 9 vs 24 ± 16 minutes; $P = .06$) and a shorter time to ambulation (316 ± 80 vs 480 ± 92 minutes; $P < .001$), with no differences in vascular access complications or thromboembolic events (S10.1.4.4).
3. After extensive endocardial VT ablation, use of anticoagulation for a period of time has frequently been included as part of postprocedural practice, although there are no comparison studies. In the Multicenter Thermocool Ventricular Tachycardia Ablation Trial, antiplatelet therapy with aspirin 325 mg/day or anticoagulation with warfarin was administered for 3 months after ablation if ablation was performed over an area with >3 cm between ablation sites (S10.1.4.1). No procedure-related thromboembolic complication or stroke was detected. The anticoagulation regimens used in other major prospective clinical trials are described in Table 9. Siontis et al. (S10.1.4.2) evaluated an anticoagulation protocol after VT ablation of large LV endocardial ablation areas (>3 cm between ablation sites) in 217 patients with infarct-related VT without evidence of procedure-related pericardial effusion. Starting 8 hours after access site hemostasis, an infusion of lowdose, slowly escalating unfractionated heparin 600–900 U/h was administered for 6 hours, along with initiation of warfarin. This was followed by 3 months of anticoagulation, or longer if another

indication was present. If a direct oral anticoagulant had been administered prior to the procedure, it was resumed as early as 48 hours after sheath removal if there was no bleeding. With thiregimen, in-hospital bleeding occurred in 6% and an arterial thromboembolic event occurred in 1 (0.6%) patient who had received bridging anticoagulation. Among the 214 patients discharged, 89% were prescribed systemic anticoagulation, and no definite or possible thromboembolic events were documented in the first 3 months; 1 patient had a major bleeding event. Of the writing committee members, approximately two-thirds initiate anticoagulation after LV endocardial ablation in some patients, generally after more extensive lesions. The postprocedural anticoagulation protocols in the major prospective studies of VT catheter ablation are outlined in Table 9.

4. Heparin bridging to oral anticoagulation or ambulation has been practiced but can be associated with a small risk of periprocedural bleeding after VT ablation. Among patients bridged with low-molecular-weight heparin to oral anticoagulation after VT ablation, Siontis et al. (S10.1.4.2) reported in-hospital bleeding in 6% of the patients. Of the two-thirds of writing committee members who initiate anticoagulation after endocardial LV ablation, 60% initiate heparin until this has been established, and a further 20% if starting warfarin until the international normalized ratio is >2, but not if starting a direct oral anticoagulant. See Section 5.5 for further discussion of this topic, including the writing committee's practices.

10.1.4. Postprocedural Care: Disposition—After completion of VT ablation, patients are generally monitored on telemetry in the hospital for at least one day, and often longer for VT ablation in cases of SHD or heart failure. Patients who are hemodynamically unstable, who require hemodynamic or ventilator support, or who have had pericardial bleeding, cardiac tamponade, or a pericardial drain left in place are usually monitored and stabilized in an intensive care unit prior to transfer to a regular telemetry floor. Selected right-sided ablation patients may be discharged the same day, if stable, but patients with significant comorbidities, any instability, or left-sided VA ablation are typically monitored >24 hours. Transtelephonic or CIED remote monitoring is often used to facilitate follow-up (see Section 10.4). Outpatient follow-up generally occurs by 1–4 months after the procedure.

A survey of the writing committee showed that 42% routinely have patients monitored in an intensive care unit after ventricular ablation. Stable patients are kept in the hospital for 1 day (overnight) by 35%, 2 days by 52%, 3 days by 9%, and .3 days by 4% of the writing committee members.

10.2. Incidence and Management of Complications

10.2.1. Introduction—Ablation of VAs is an invasive procedure that can be performed in patients with or without SHD. Despite new technologies and progress in techniques in recent years, complications are expected, especially in patients with more severe disease. In fact, the incidence of complications related to VT ablation is higher in patients with SHD than in idiopathic VT (S10.2.9.1–S10.2.9.3).

For the purpose of this document, major complications are defined as those that result in prolongation of hospital stay or another hospitalization, those that require additional intervention for treatment, and/or those that result in significant injury or death. All other complications, such as small hematomas not requiring intervention, are defined as minor. A recent meta-analysis reported major complication rates of 8%–10% after VT ablations (S10.2.9.4). Slightly higher complication rates have been reported in administrative and registry real-world studies compared with clinical trials (9.39% vs 7.97%) (S10.2.9.4). The most common complication reported is vascular damage, followed by pericardial complications (cardiac tamponade, hemopericardium, pericarditis). Although new technologies and techniques have been incorporated for VT ablations, the rate of complications has not decreased, perhaps because more patients at high risk have been scheduled for ablation (S10.2.9.2). It is also notable that in one recent study, the complication rates were independently related to operators and to timing of the procedure, with significantly higher complication rates when the ablation was begun after 2 pm (10% vs 5%; $P < .0001$) (S10.2.9.5).

10.2.2. Mortality—Interpretation of mortality in the setting of VT ablations in patients with SHD is difficult, given mortality can be a consequence of procedure-related complications but can also be related to procedural failure (incessant or recurrent VT). Table 10 shows the incidence of in-hospital or early mortality in patients who underwent VT ablation. Some clinical trials have shown no mortality, whereas other studies have reported rates of in-hospital or early mortality as high as 3% (S10.2.9.1,S10.2.9.2,S10.2.9.6–S10.2.9.10). Some of the following predictors of in-hospital or early mortality have been reported: smoking, hypothyroidism, fluid and electrolyte disturbances, chronic renal failure, peripheral vascular disease, lower LVEF, history of AF, ICM, and multiple slow VTs (S10.2.9.1,S10.2.9.6,S10.2.9.7). It is also important to note that VT ablation in low- or medium-volume centers has been shown to be an independent predictor of mortality in these complex ablations (S10.2.9.1).

10.2.3. Acute Periprocedural Hemodynamic Decompensation and Cardiogenic Shock—Periprocedural AHD, defined as sustained systolic hypotension despite optimized doses of vasopressors or requiring mechanical HS and procedure discontinuation, can occur in up to 11% of VT ablations in patients with SHD (S10.2.9.10). The occurrence of AHD is associated with increased in-hospital and long-term mortality, higher recurrence of VT, and procedure failure (S10.2.9.10,S10.2.9.12–S10.2.9.14). Some of the following predictors of AHD have been reported, although they were tested only in a univariate analysis: older age, diabetes mellitus, ICM, NYHA class III/IV, VT storm, lower EF, prolonged procedure duration, and general anesthesia. Ablation should be carefully planned in these patients (S10.2.9.10). A score was developed to identify higher-risk patients, who might benefit from periprocedural HS. The PAAINESD score is further discussed in Section 5.1.

Some measures can help to prevent these complications: close monitoring of fluid balance and diuresis, careful attention to hemodynamic status, optimization of medical status before ablation, avoidance of VT induction in higher-risk patients, preferring substrate mapping

ablation, and avoidance of general anesthesia and certain drugs that can result in myocardial depression, including propofol. Use of a pLVAD, as discussed in Section 6.4, might be helpful in specific cases (S10.2.9.11–S10.2.9.14).

The pLVAD has been used in two scenarios: prophylactic, when higher-risk patients are identified, and for rescue during an acute complication. When used prophylactically, the pLVAD has been shown to prevent AHD and has a lower 30-day mortality (4.2% vs 58%). Mathuria et al. (S10.2.9.15) showed a similar 30-day mortality among patients with prophylactic use compared to the non-pLVAD group (4.2% vs 3.1%), although the first group showed a higher PAINESD score (16.5 vs 13.5; $P = .02$). Other studies have failed to demonstrate the benefit of pLVAD, although the fact that these devices are selected for patients with more severe disease is a bias that is not possible to rule out (S10.2.9.11, S10.2.9.13–S10.2.9.16). Furthermore, few randomized, prospective, controlled trials exist to identify the appropriate utility of this device in the setting of VA ablation.

10.2.4. Neurological Complications—Neurological complication is a rare but devastating event due to cerebral emboli or intracerebral hemorrhage that can occur with VA ablation, with a reported incidence of 0% to 2.7% (S10.2.9.1, S10.2.9.6–S10.2.9.9). It can occur during or shortly after the ablation procedure, usually in the first 24 hours, although in the 2 subsequent weeks following the procedure the risk is still present. Possible mechanisms for thromboembolic complications include thrombus formation on the catheter or on the tissue, air embolism, plaque disruption in the aortic arch, displacement of endocardial thrombus adhered to LV prior to ablation, char formation and/or tissue disruption during ablation, or generation of calcific emboli while passing a catheter through a heavily calcified aortic valve. Optimal anticoagulation during the procedure targeting ACT >300 seconds and adequate control of RF energy delivery parameters are important practices that could help to prevent thrombus formation during ablation. Given that air embolism can also occur, careful attention to sheath management is crucial. In the presence of specific neurological symptoms suggesting stroke or transient ischemic attack after ablation, brain MRI, CT, or cerebral angiography should be performed. If stroke is confirmed, thrombolytic therapy or endovascular mechanical or pharmacological therapy might be useful.

A possible neurological complication is asymptomatic microembolism that results from thrombus formation, gas, tissue and/or fat dislodgement, and/or air embolism (S10.2.9.17). The long-term consequences of this phenomenon are unknown.

10.2.5. Pericardial Complications: Cardiac Tamponade, Hemopericardium, and Pericarditis—Pericardial complication is the second most common reported complication of VT ablation in patients with SHD, being reported in 0% to 4.5% of procedures, although it can be higher in the subgroup of procedures in which pLVAD is used (S10.2.9.1, S10.2.9.6, S10.2.9.8, S10.2.9.9, S10.2.9.15, S10.2.9.16). In one study by Turagam et al. (S10.2.9.13), however, the incidence of cardiac tamponade was 5% vs 1.8% when HS was used in VT ablation procedures. A greater incidence of pericardial effusion is expected in epicardial compared with endocardial approaches (S10.2.9.18). Possible mechanisms of this complication are cardiac perforation due to overheating, direct trauma due to catheter manipulation, transseptal accident when this approach is chosen, or accident when using

a pericardial approach. The level of anticoagulation can also influence the possibility of hemopericardium, facilitating the perpetuation of bleeding. Cardiac tamponade must be suspected if there is a drop in blood pressure; thus, an arterial line for continuous blood pressure monitoring is important, and previous recordings of cardiac silhouette in the left anterior oblique view can be helpful. ICE can help to prevent or quickly detect this complication, allowing earlier initiation of appropriate treatment that might prevent more serious and irreversible consequences. The use of ICE in this setting is discussed in Section 8.6. When pericardial drainage is needed, anticoagulation therapy should be reevaluated, and reversing heparin effects with protamine might be necessary. Percutaneous pericardiocentesis and placement of a pericardial drain are frequently mandatory in cardiac tamponade. The aspirated pericardial blood can be returned to the central vein when the cardiac tamponade is diagnosed during the procedure, although this approach has not been systematically evaluated. The ablation procedure is usually interrupted unless bleeding stops shortly after drainage. In some cases, surgical repair might be necessary if the bleeding is not controlled. After drainage and clinical stability, a pericardial drain should be maintained during the first 24 hours, and echocardiography should be used for further evaluation and decisions regarding the timing of removing the pericardial drain. It is also important to carefully evaluate the appropriate timing of reintroducing anticoagulation therapy as needed.

Another pericardial complication that can be found after VT ablation is pericarditis. It can be the sole complication or it might follow pericardial drainage due to cardiac tamponade. Symptoms of chest pain and low-grade fever, leukocytosis, and elevated C-reactive protein levels are commonly observed; diagnosis can be confirmed with ECG or echocardiogram. Pericarditis is also typically more frequent when an epicardial approach is used. Steroid administration in the pericardial space might be useful to prevent pericarditis (see Section 10.1). Treatment with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, colchicine, and/or steroids is useful.

10.2.6. Vascular Injury—The incidence of vascular injury after VT ablation ranges from 0% to 8.6% and is the most common major complication reported after VT ablation procedures (S10.2.9.4). Vascular complications after VT ablation are typically related to vascular access and include hematoma, retroperitoneal hematoma, pseudoaneurysm, arteriovenous fistula, and dissection or occlusion of the artery accessed. Prior to sheath deployment, confirmation that the guide wire used for arterial access is indeed in the lumen and not in the arterial wall is important to avoid retrograde dissection of the iliac artery and aorta. This can be accomplished with techniques such as ultrasound or angiography. Furthermore, longer sheaths are preferable in the setting of tortuous peripheral vessels to avoid damage of the vessel wall with the catheter tip. Imaging of the aortic arch (with transesophageal echocardiography or CT) prior to ablation using a retrograde approach could help to identify patients at risk for thromboembolic events in the presence of mobile atheromas or thick plaque material. ICE can also be beneficial to identify plaque material in the ascending aorta prior to a planned retrograde approach. Many electrophysiologists curve the ablation catheter to a “J” shape in the descending aorta to minimize trauma to the aortic valve when mapping the LV with a retrograde approach. The catheter is then prolapsed into the LV.

In higher-risk patients, such as those with high body mass index, body surface area <1.6 m², hypertension, age >70 years, baseline anemia, and known peripheral vascular disease, ultrasound-guided percutaneous access and careful management of anticoagulation status should be strongly considered (S10.2.9.19). Reversal of heparin with protamine before sheath removal can also be useful to prevent groin hematoma. There are no conclusive data demonstrating that the use of vascular closure devices decreases this complication compared with manual compression (S10.2.9.20).

Large hematomas after sheath removal are the most common complication and are usually self-limited, but they can be large enough to result in blood transfusion. When femoral arterial pseudoaneurysm and arteriovenous fistulas are suspected, ultrasound Doppler or CT scan are useful imaging tools for diagnosis. A CT scan can also be useful in patients with back pain and acute anemia after ablation to evaluate for retroperitoneal hematoma. Percutaneous thrombin injection, surgical repair of pseudoaneurysm and arteriovenous fistula, and surgical evacuation of large hematomas are possible interventions for these vascular complications.

10.2.7. Myocardial Ischemia, Coronary Artery Damage—Myocardial ischemia can occur during VT ablation due to hypotension after VT induction or other causes. MI was reported in 1.7% in a large retrospective database study (S10.2.9.1). Caution is crucial especially in patients with known nontreatable ischemia, possibly with avoidance of VT induction. Coronary artery damage can also cause myocardial ischemia. Although injury to coronary arteries is rare, it can occur while crossing the aortic root with the catheter when using the retrograde aortic approach, with ablation in the coronary cusps, or with dislodgement of the ablation catheter in the LVOT. ICE, as discussed in Section 8.6, can be useful for continuous visualization of the ablation catheter and the coronary artery ostium. Coronary angiography and continuous protection using a coronary angioplasty wire can be useful when the ablation site is close to a coronary ostium (S10.2.9.21).

10.2.8. Valve Injury—Valve injury is a rare but potentially fatal complication of VT ablation (Table 10). The incidence of valve injury is reported in up to 0.7% of cases (S10.2.9.6–S10.2.9.9); when using the retrograde aortic approach, it can occur while crossing the aortic valve with the ablation catheter. Entrapment of the ablation catheter in the mitral or tricuspid valve can also occur using transseptal or retrograde approaches. Energy delivery direct to the valve apparatus can lead to valve injury. Ablation of the papillary muscle could result in this complication, and it can occur with both RF energy and cryoablation (S10.2.9.22,S10.2.9.23).

Clinical presentations of this complication include acute cardiovascular collapse, new murmurs, and symptoms of worsening congestive heart failure. It is important to note that this complication can occur immediately after the ablation when resulting from entrapment or mechanical damage, but when resulting from direct energy delivery to the papillary muscle, symptoms can appear weeks after the ablation procedure.

To prevent valve damage, one should be careful whenever manipulating the catheter when crossing the aortic valve and mapping near the mitral valve. ICE is useful to continuously

visualize catheter position and its relationship with the valve apparatus and papillary muscle (see details of ICE in Section 8.6). Surgical intervention might be needed for removal of an entangled catheter or for repair of a damaged valve. Given the acute damage of the valve, repair instead of valve replacement is always preferable whenever possible.

10.2.9. Atrioventricular Block—AV block is reported in up to 1.4% of the VT ablations (S10.2.9.1,S10.2.9.6–S10.2.9.9), and it can occur when ablation is performed in the septal region near the conduction system. It can be anticipated in patients with a known diseased conduction system, especially in patients with previous complete bundle branch block (either right or left) and energy delivered to the basal septum (either left or right sided). If the ablation is performed during VT, monitoring of AV conduction is not possible, and ablation during sinus rhythm might be preferable. Although many VT ablations are performed in patients with a CIED, the need for RV pacing could worsen LVEF, and upgrade to CRT might be required.

10.3. Hemodynamic Deterioration and Proarrhythmia

Recommendation for echocardiography after VA ablation

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
I	C-LD	1. Echocardiography should be performed in case of hemodynamic deterioration post-VT ablation to assess for pericardial effusion and cardiac tamponade.	S10.3.1

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. Cardiac tamponade is a serious and potentially reversible cause of hemodynamic deterioration after VA ablation. It can present intraprocedure, postprocedure, or late. When suspected, immediate transthoracic, transesophageal, or ICE should be performed to assess for the presence of a pericardial effusion or thrombus (S10.3.1).

Synopsis—Extensive ablation in viable myocardium and repeated VT episodes with hypotension that can cause myocardial ischemia can lead to worsening of heart failure or cardiogenic shock in patients with impaired ventricular function. In patients with scar-related VT, particularly after MI, heart failure accounts for more than one-third of mortality during follow-up late after ablation and exceeds 10% per year in some studies (S10.3.2–S10.3.10). In the Multicenter Thermocool Ventricular Tachycardia Ablation Trial, 35% of 1-year mortality was due to heart failure (S10.3.3). In another study, despite the lack of a difference in the EF for the entire group, 14 of 62 (22.5%) patients did have a decline in EF when a repeat echocardiogram was performed within 7 days of the ablation (S10.3.10). However, some observations suggest that VT ablation might not be the sole nor major cause of the heart failure, given several studies that assessed preand postablation LV function did not report adverse effects on LVEF before and after ablation (S10.3.11,S10.3.12). Clinical pre- and intraprocedural variables indicating poorer clinical status, such as low LVEF, chronic kidney disease, VT storm, and unmappable VTs can predict mortality after VT ablation. The International VT Ablation Center Collaborative Group Study reported that patients with more severe illness who have multiple comorbidities who received HS during

ablation had higher mortality (S10.3.13). Therefore, the reported heart failure mortality could be in line with expectations for these patients. Of 100 early mortality cases, 48 (48%) patients had early recurrent VT preceding death, although the time course from time of first VT recurrence to death was highly variable. Refractory VT was the cause of death in 22% of cases, with another 39% dying of other cardiac causes (most commonly advanced heart failure) (S10.3.14).

Nevertheless, hemodynamic deterioration and early mortality from heart failure after ablation remain concerns, especially in patients with fragile hemodynamic status, patients with evident hemodynamic deterioration, or patients who required extensive ablation in areas of normal ventricular myocardium or near coronary arteries. Close monitoring of LV function and potential need for continued hemodynamic monitoring and/or support might be necessary after such ventricular ablation procedures.

Nontransmural and incomplete lesions can form a new or modified substrate, promoting arrhythmia after ablation; indeed, the majority of VTs recurring after ablation of postinfarction VT are new VTs, as determined by analysis of ICD electrograms and repeat ablation procedures (S10.3.15).

10.4. Follow-up of Patients Post Catheter Ablation of Ventricular Tachycardia

Recommendation for NIPS after catheter ablation of VT

COR	LOE	Recommendations	References
IIa	B-NR	1. NIPS can be useful in the several days following VT catheter ablation to inform further management, including ICD programming, predicting the risk of VT recurrence, and/or considering a repeat VT catheter ablation.	S10.4.1,S10.4.2

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

- Some advocate for routine PES, largely NIPS, shortly after VT catheter ablation and before discharging patients. However, there are no data from RCTs on the clinical usefulness of such a practice. Data on NIPS after VT catheter ablation were generated by two observational studies. In one such study, 132 patients with SHD underwent NIPS an average of 3 days after VT catheter ablation; 59 (44.7%) patients had no inducible VT, 49 (37.1%) had inducible nonclinical VT, and 24 (18.2%) had inducible clinical VT. At 1 year, patients with inducible clinical VT had a significantly lower VT-free survival than patients with no inducible VT (<30% vs >80%; $P < .001$). The authors concluded that when patients with VT and SHD have no VT or have only inducible nonclinical VT at the end of ablation or if they are too unstable to undergo final programmed stimulation during ablation, NIPS can be useful in the subsequent several days to further define the risk of VT recurrence, and if clinical VT is inducible during NIPS, to consider repeating VT catheter ablation due to the high risk of recurrence during follow-up (S10.4.1). In another study, 218 PESs (186 noninvasive and 32 invasive) were performed an average of 6 days after ablation on beta-blocker therapy in 210 consecutive patients. The positive and negative

predictive values of PES for VT recurrence over 1 year of follow-up were 53% and 88%, respectively, and the negative predictive value was highest among patients with IHD and those with an LVEF >35% (S10.4.2). However, these studies were single-center, retrospective studies that did not include a large number of patients and that did not subject all patients undergoing VT catheter ablation to PES (S10.4.1,S10.4.2). As such, the routine performance of NIPS is currently not standard of care, and decisions regarding NIPS should be individualized until more data from prospective studies, preferably RCTs, emerge on the clinical usefulness of NIPS post-VT catheter ablation.

Summary—Close follow-up of patients after VT catheter ablation is critically important because complications can be detected and addressed during this period, and decisions regarding postprocedure management are made. These decisions relate to whether to perform NIPS, whether to maintain the patient on an AAD, and how to best program and follow ICDs in patients with such devices.

Another important decision after VT catheter ablation is whether to maintain a patient on an AAD, and if so, what medication to choose and for what duration. No RCTs have specifically addressed these issues, and RCTs of VT catheter ablation implemented different protocols related to postprocedure use of AADs (Table 9). In 3 clinical trials of VT catheter ablation, preablation AADs (mostly amiodarone) were continued either for 3 to 6 months or for an unspecified period of time (S10.4.3–S10.4.6). In 3 other clinical trials of VT ablation, the use of AADs post-VT catheter ablation was either discouraged or left to the discretion of the treating physician (S10.4.7–S10.4.9). In the SMASH-VT trial, no patient received an AAD (other than beta blockers) before the primary endpoint was reached (S10.4.10). Given this variability in the use of AADs post-VT ablation in prior RCTs, there is no standard of care for their use in this scenario; as such, decisions in this regard should be individualized based on patient characteristics and findings of the PES at the end of the VT catheter ablation (or later), keeping in mind the toxicities of these medications and their potential detrimental effects on survival (S10.4.11). In particular, given its toxicities, many favor discontinuing amiodarone after ablation. In fact, amiodarone use had decreased in several prospective cohort studies of VT ablation (S10.4.4,S10.4.6,S10.4.9), and dose reduction or discontinuation had been feasible in patients who were not inducible post ablation in 1 single-center experience (S10.4.12).

Data on the best programming parameters of ICDs post-VT catheter ablation are scarce, given that studies of optimal ICD programming were conducted largely in patients with no prior VT (S10.4.13–S10.4.15). In a few clinical trials of VT catheter ablation, information on ICD programming was not provided (S10.4.3,S10.4.4,S10.4.10). In the clinical trials that provided information on ICD programming, programming instructions to the sites were variable (Table 9). However, based on current practice, ICDs may be programmed with a 10–20 bpm margin if the patient has slower VT and, where appropriate, with ATP and prolonged arrhythmia detection durations and higher detection rates for VF. Although not specific to post-VT catheter ablation programming, the HRS document on optimal programming of ICDs can be a useful resource (S10.4.15). Given the risk of VT recurrence

after VT ablation, it is imperative to follow ICDs closely, preferably with remote monitoring that allows earlier detection and treatment of VT recurrences (S10.4.16).

10.5. Assessing the Outcomes of Catheter Ablation

10.5.1. Introduction—Important outcomes of clinical trials for VA catheter ablation include procedure-related complications and mortality, recurrent VA, long-term mortality, and quality of life. It is also important to recognize that outcomes are also impacted by the many factors following ablation that have the potential to influence the frequency and nature of arrhythmia recurrences (Figure 13).

10.5.2. Recurrent Arrhythmias—Recurrence of any sustained VT is an important endpoint for patients who have ICDs. In patients with SHD and ICDs, the QRS morphology of recurrent VT compared with preablation VT is frequently difficult to assess and is not usually reported in clinical trials. The ECG morphology of spontaneous VTs terminated by ICDs is often unknown, and the QRS morphology of VTs can be altered by changes in the arrhythmia substrate and AAD therapy after ablation. Any spontaneous sustained VT might be of clinical significance, whether it was observed previously or not. Clinical trials assessing the effect of catheter ablation on recurrent sustained VT have reported the time from the ablation procedure to the first recurrence of VT in Kaplan–Meier curves (S10.5.7.1–S10.5.7.4). These curves provide important information and are widely understood. They fail, however, to capture other potential benefits of the procedure, including reduction in VT burden, prevention of VT storm, conversion of symptomatic episodes causing ICD shocks to asymptomatic episodes terminated by ATP, and reductions in medications.

For patients with nonsustained VAs and PVCs, outcome assessment is determined by ambulatory monitoring of a duration sufficient to detect recurrences, based on the frequency of the arrhythmia prior to treatment, and considering the goals of therapy.

10.5.3. Arrhythmia Burden—Several studies have reported arrhythmia burden using the patient as their own reference during a specified time prior to ablation and comparing the arrhythmia frequency after ablation in patients who have ICDs (S10.5.7.5–S10.5.7.7). This type of analysis can be challenging. The number of VT episodes before and after ablation can be influenced by programmed ICD detection criteria and AAD management. It is also difficult to know how to assign a “frequency” to preablation incessant VT or VT storm for comparison with single VT recurrences post ablation. Prior ICD interrogations are not always available to confirm the arrhythmia burden. The VT burden that has clinical relevance has not been defined and likely would vary depending on other patient factors. Further work on methods by which arrhythmia burden can best be assessed and compared in patients undergoing VT ablation is needed.

10.5.4. Ventricular Tachycardia Storm—VT storm has been defined as 3 or more separate episodes of sustained VT in a 24-hour period, and it has been associated with poorer outcomes (S10.5.7.8). Prevention of VT storm is an important goal. Again, ICD programming has an important influence on detection and counting of these events.

10.5.5. Hospitalizations—Hospitalizations for cardiovascular disease and recurrent VA are also potentially important outcomes. Recurrent arrhythmias can be precipitated by intercurrent illness. Whether a patient requires hospitalization for a recurrence is sometimes a subjective assessment.

10.5.6. Patient-Reported Outcomes—Assessing the impact of therapies on quality of life and measuring patient-reported outcomes is endorsed by the AHA and the European Society of Cardiology (S10.5.7.9, S10.5.7.10). In the United States, the Food and Drug Administration has also recently implemented the Medical Device Development Tools program in which patient-reported outcome tools can be submitted for evaluation and potential certification allowing their use for clinical outcome assessment in trials of medical devices (S10.5.7.11).

Assessment of the impact of ablation on quality of life is likely to reflect not only the outcome of ablation therapy, but also the severity of the arrhythmia's impact on the patient prior to ablation. For example, a recent trial of left ventricular assist devices (LVADs) found that LVADs improved health status in patients with heart failure who had low self-reported quality of life, but not in those with acceptable quality of life at the time of LVAD implantation (S10.5.7.12). The measure of quality of life prior to ablation is potentially useful information for defining who benefits from ablation.

Although a number of validated tools are available, the interpretation of findings can also be challenging. The VANISH trial assessed quality of life using 4 validated instruments (Short Form 36 Health Survey, the Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator Concerns Questionnaire, the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, and the EuroQol five dimensions questionnaire) (S10.5.7.13). Among 16 different measures of quality of life at 6 months and 1 year that were compared with baseline, persistent improvements were observed in some (energy and fatigue), transient improvements that were no longer present at 1 year in others (eg, social functioning), and no improvement was found in yet others (eg, general health perceptions scale). How to integrate multiple measures to assess net benefit of the procedure could be a challenge.

10.5.7. Mortality—Assessing mortality after ablation is important. Although mortality is relatively high in many VA ablation populations, and VA recurrence has been associated with increased mortality, no trial has yet shown a mortality benefit from catheter ablation. Efforts to enroll a sufficient number of patients will be key to conclusively establishing the impact of ablation on mortality in a randomized trial (S10.5.7.4,S10.5.7.14). Recently, risk scores for predicting mortality in patients with SHD undergoing VT ablation have been developed, and await further validation (S10.5.7.15,S10.5.7.16).

Section 11 Training and Institutional Requirements and Competencies

11.1. Training Requirements and Competencies for Catheter Ablation of Ventricular Arrhythmias

Recommendation for training requirements and competencies for catheter ablation of VA

COR	LOE	Recommendations
I	C-EO	1. For clinical cardiac electrophysiologists who perform catheter ablation of VAs, appropriate advanced training and continued lifelong learning is recommended.

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. Catheter ablation of VAs requires advanced skills in clinical cardiac electrophysiology. Physicians performing these procedures should be trained at electrophysiology programs with expertise in complex VA ablations and should meet the general and advanced training requirements for clinical cardiac electrophysiologists during training or with an experienced mentor if novel procedures and approaches have developed after training.

11.1.1. Training Requirements—For adult electrophysiologists in the United States, these training competencies are outlined in the *2015 ACC COCATS 4: Task Force 11: Training in Arrhythmia Diagnosis and Management, Cardiac Pacing, and Electrophysiology report*, the *2015 ACC/AHA/HRS Advanced Training Statement on Clinical Cardiology Electrophysiology*, and the *2009 EHRA/HRS Expert Consensus on Catheter Ablation of Ventricular Arrhythmias* (S11.1.8.1–S11.1.8.3). For pediatric electrophysiologists in the United States, these training competencies are outlined in the *2015 SPCTD/ACC/AAP/AHA Task Force 4: Pediatric Cardiology Fellowship Training in Electrophysiology* and the *2013 Recommendations for Advanced Fellowship Training in Clinical Pediatric and Congenital Electrophysiology: A Report from the Training and Credentialing Committee of the Pediatric and Congenital Electrophysiology Society* (S11.1.8.4,S11.1.8.5). Although these requirements mainly reflect fellowship training in the United States, other countries have requirements that are similar, including the Working Group of Pacing, Electrophysiology of the French Society of Cardiology (S11.1.8.6). Training requirements vary from country to country, but irrespective of local differences, appropriate advanced training and continued lifelong learning are strongly recommended for all clinical cardiac electrophysiologists who perform catheter ablation for VAs.

As the field of catheter ablation for VAs continues to evolve with introduction of new approaches, technology, and application in higher-risk and more complex patients (ie, adults with VADs and CHD), trainees and practicing operators specializing in these ablations are expected to continue to maintain their core and specialized competencies as outlined in the *2017 ACC/HRS Lifelong Learning Statement of Clinical Cardiac Electrophysiology Specialists* (S11.1.8.7). These procedures should be performed by select qualified cardiac electrophysiologists with a practice focus on VAs at programs with expertise.

Physicians performing catheter ablation for VAs should achieve proficiency in 6 core competency domains promulgated by the Accreditation Council of Graduate Medical Education and American Board of Medical Specialties. These 6 core competency domains include Medical Knowledge, Patient Care and Procedural Skills, Systems-Based Practices, Practice-Based Learning and Improvement, Professionalism, and Interpersonal and Communications Skills (S11.1.8.8).

11.1.2. Medical Knowledge—A detailed understanding of cardiac anatomy is required, including the conduction system, the coronary arterial and venous systems, and anatomical variations due to underlying heart disease. Knowledge is necessary of the mechanisms and pathophysiology of VAs, with a particular focus on the relationship between these arrhythmias and acquired, inherited, or SHD; sympathetic and parasympathetic tone; and drugs. This understanding, along with proficiency in electrocardiographic interpretation, helps guide appropriate mapping and ablation approaches. In addition, knowledge of patient-specific factors such as disease type, severity, and comorbidities; procedural risks; and alternative therapies such as AADs, surgery, or device therapy should be used to guide appropriate patient selection.

11.1.3. Patient Care and Procedural Skills—A comprehensive and structured approach to the clinical evaluation and management of patients with VAs undergoing catheter ablation is necessary across all settings (inpatient and outpatient) and time points (preprocedural planning, periprocedural and intraprocedural care, and postprocedural follow-up). Trainees should demonstrate and maintain these proficiencies as pertains to their practice area of focus. A clear understanding of the various approaches and systems used (mapping, ablation, and imaging) and proficient demonstration of the skills to perform VA ablations are required. Additional advanced technical skills are needed for those seeking to perform epicardial ablations or ablations in CHD. Given patients undergoing complex VA ablations often have underlying medical conditions and comorbidities, recognition of the potential need for a multidisciplinary approach and coordination with other specialists (anesthesiologists, surgeons, interventional cardiologists, cardiologists, heart failure specialists, and/or intensivists) will ensure optimal procedural outcomes.

11.1.4. Systems-Based Practice—Complications can occur in catheter ablation procedures for VAs that can range from minimal to fatal. These can include vascular injury, thromboembolic events, cardiac tamponade, malignant VA including electrical storm, acute MI, and hemodynamic instability or collapse. A careful understanding of the potential procedural complications that might arise from either the patient's underlying medical conditions or the electrophysiology procedure itself is necessary. When appropriate, the use of a multidisciplinary team for the management of high-risk patients should be in place for prevention, prompt detection, timely intervention, and optimal management of complications. Functional systems should be in place to promptly care for patients in case of unanticipated complications.

11.1.5. Practice-Based Learning and Improvement—All trainees should continue to participate in lifelong learning to maintain and enhance skills and knowledge through

self-assessment, regular literature review, updated practice guidelines, consensus document and appropriate use criteria, and attending appropriate scholarly meetings with a focus on VAs.

11.1.6. Professionalism—On the basis of personal expertise and technical skills, practicing clinical cardiac electrophysiologists and trainees should practice within their scope as pertains to the specialized field of catheter ablation for VAs.

11.1.7. Interpersonal and Communications Skills—Catheter ablation for VAs can be challenging due to increased procedural risk and complexity necessitating additional technical expertise. Practicing clinical cardiac electrophysiologists and trainees should engage patients, families, and interprofessional teams in a shared decision-making approach. These physicians must develop the skills to communicate and counsel effectively regarding the diagnosis, risks, and benefits of catheter ablation, and alternative management options.

11.1.8. Ionizing Radiation—Awareness is rising of the detrimental effects on patients, the electrophysiology staff, and the operator of ionizing radiation during imaging. The use of low-frame rate fluoroscopy equipment, pre- and intraprocedural imaging with ICE, as well as 3D EAM, all contribute to reduce radiation exposure. Appropriate education of all personnel involved in the procedure on the risks and benefits of radiation is key for safer imaging. Societal recommendations have emphasized the importance of awareness of the principles of radiation safety and their implementation on a day-by-day basis while using radiation for medical imaging (S11.1.8.9,S11.1.8.10).

11.2. Institutional Requirements for Catheter Ablation of Ventricular Tachycardia

Recommendations for institutional requirements for catheter ablation of VT

COR	LOE	Recommendations
I	C-EO	1. Patients with certain underlying medical conditions and comorbidities undergoing complex VA ablations who are deemed to have increased procedural risk should undergo procedures in a hospital-based electrophysiology laboratory.
I	C-EO	2. Onsite interventional cardiology expertise is recommended for electrophysiology procedures requiring coronary imaging to delineate coronary anatomy for epicardial ablation, aortography to delineate coronary ostia for SV VT ablation, and need for placement of HS devices.
I	C-EO	3. Onsite cardiothoracic surgical backup is recommended for electrophysiology procedures requiring pericardial access due to the potential need for emergent sternotomy and cardiopulmonary bypass.
I	C-EO	4. Availability of anesthesia personnel is recommended for all patients undergoing catheter ablation of VAs.

Recommendation-Specific Supportive Text

1. High-risk patients include those with advanced heart failure, severe ventricular dysfunction, severe valvular dysfunction, prosthetic heart valves, CHD, inherited arrhythmia disorders, recent MI, recent stroke, chronic kidney disease, severe obstructive pulmonary disease, pulmonary hypertension, severe/morbid obesity, active oral anticoagulation, and advanced or pediatric age groups. Due to the potential risks associated with catheter ablation in close proximity to

the coronary arteries and epicardial ablation, these procedures should be performed in a hospital-based electrophysiology lab with immediate availability of interventional cardiology or cardiothoracic surgical support (S11.2.1).

2. Interventional procedures, including coronary angiography, aortography, and deployment of percutaneous HS devices (pLVAD, IABP), requires advanced skills and should be performed by appropriately trained personnel.
3. Epicardial ablation and mapping requires pericardial access that carries the risk of ventricular laceration or perforation. Inadvertent injury to the ventricle can result in life-threatening tamponade and hemodynamic collapse, which might require emergent surgical intervention via sternotomy. Immediate surgical intervention is critical and dependent on designated surgical backup (in-room or onsite with a clear notification protocol in place) and immediate access to an emergency surgical tray (S11.2.1).
4. Due to procedural complexities and patient factors, including underlying medical conditions and comorbidities, the use of anesthesia services (anesthesiologist, nurse anesthetist, or equivalent trained personnel) provides optimal periprocedural patient care and additional expertise in the setting of life-threatening complications (S11.2.1).

11.3. Ventricular Tachycardia Network and Ventricular Tachycardia Unit

VT ablation is a domain of specialized centers with high operational expertise. Within these centers, logistics for optimizing patient flow and referral are crucial. Therefore, many tertiary referral centers for VT ablation have established their network of regional referring centers to improve patient admission and early VT ablation. Few studies have documented their experience with this concept (S11.3.1,S11.3.2).

Conceptionally, “VT networks” centralize around highly experienced centers capable of all techniques associated with VT and electrical storm ablation, including epicardial ablation and HS. Discussion with a multidisciplinary team and immediate transfer should be possible. Electrophysiology staff should be available on a 24/7 basis. Although acute ablation, defined as ablation for rhythm stabilization within 8 hours after onset, is rare, there is a potential need for catheter ablation on a 24/7 basis (S11.3.1–S11.3.3). However, very few electrophysiology labs worldwide have this capacity.

Dedicated VT units have been established in some tertiary referral centers to expedite the transfer and management of patients with VT in a specialized intensive care unit. The optimal technical set-up of a dedicated VT unit has not been defined, but 12-lead ECG monitoring, online device telemetry, and intensive care unit facilities are helpful. The organization of VT units and networks would likely vary substantially across different countries according to the local health care delivery organization and resources. The ability to provide 24/7 catheter ablation might be useful for effective early rhythm stabilization, specifically in unstable patients with recurrent VA; however, comparisons with standards of care, including pharmacological suppression, HS, and neuromodulation, have not been made (S11.3.1,S11.3.2). Less than half of the writing committee members’ institutions have

a formal capacity to perform VA ablations outside of regular working hours (necessitating personnel to be called into the hospital overnight or on weekends), although two-thirds had performed such a procedure after hours in exceptional circumstances, almost all between <1 and 5 times per year. Opinions were divided about whether a formal on-call schedule for VA ablation was necessary.

Section 12 Future Directions

12.1. Clinical Trials of Catheter Ablation of Ventricular Tachycardia

12.1.1. Introduction—The development of high-quality randomized trial evidence to establish an optimal therapy for VT has been challenging, despite the clinical importance of the question. Relatively few RCTs have been published comparing catheter ablation with noninterventional therapies. Recent consensus and guideline documents have consistently stressed the importance of further research in the field (S12.1.4.1–S12.1.4.3), particularly the need for prospective randomized trials. Important clinical questions remain regarding optimal techniques for catheter ablation, its optimal role and timing in ischemic and nonischemic cardiomyopathies, as well as the relative merits of catheter ablation in comparison with antiarrhythmic or other pharmacological therapy. Published randomized trials completed thus far have included patients with ICM. Three trials compared catheter ablation to standard care in the setting of initial presentations with VAs (S12.1.4.4), with presentations with tolerated VT (S12.1.4.5), and with nontolerated VT (S12.1.4.6). One trial compared ablation to more aggressive AAD therapy for patients with VT despite first-line AAD treatment (S12.1.4.7). At least 8 trials of catheter ablation for VT have been initiated but were terminated because of insufficient enrollment (STAR-VT, [NCT02130765](#); VeTAMed, [NCT01798277](#); INTERVENE, [NCT02301390](#); AVATAR, [NCT02114528](#); ASPIRE, [NCT01557842](#); CEASE-VT, [NCT01097330](#); BERLIN-VT, [NCT01045668](#); CALYPSO, [NCT01576042](#)), of which only CALYPSO has published results available. Trials comparing various methods of VT ablation or treatment have been reported [VISTA (S12.1.4.8); Ultra High Density mapping, [NCT02083016](#) (S12.1.4.9)] or are listed as ongoing on trial registry sites [MAGNETIC VT (S12.1.4.10); Impress, [NCT03531502](#); ZFOVA zero fluoro trial, [NCT03041519](#); Ripple Mapping, [NCT02216760](#)]. Barriers to completion of research in this area are significant, and include patient and provider biases and preferences (particularly for randomized trials of procedures compared to pharmacotherapy), challenges to standardization of procedural techniques and endpoints, as well as trial funding and reimbursement (S12.1.4.11).

12.1.2. Ongoing Randomized Controlled Trials—Several randomized trials comparing catheter ablation with medical therapy are ongoing. The PARTITA trial ([NCT01547208](#)) is enrolling and following 586 patients with ICD implantation, and randomly allocating 176 who present with first ICD shocks to early catheter ablation vs withholding ablation until electrical storm occurs, with a primary composite endpoint of heart failure hospitalization and death from any cause. The PAUSE-SCD trial ([NCT02848781](#)) is enrolling 120 patients with ICM or NICM and randomly allocating them to ICD implant followed by catheter ablation or medical therapy with a composite endpoint of recurrent VT, cardiovascular rehospitalization, and all-cause mortality. The IMPRESS

TRIAL (NCT03531502) is enrolling 75 patients with cardiomyopathy who experienced a first ICD shock and is performing a NIPS procedure with a noninvasive mapping system (Cardioinsight, Medtronic). If the NIPS procedure is positive, then patients will be randomized to catheter ablation guided by noninvasive mapping or standard medical therapy with a primary endpoint of ICD shocks. The PREVENTIVE VT Trial (NCT03421834) is a randomized trial of 60 patients with a subset of ICM (chronic total occlusion of infarct-related area). Patients will be randomized to ICD alone or ICD plus catheter ablation, with an endpoint of time to first ICD therapy or VT-related hospitalization. The VANISH2 trial (NCT02830360) is enrolling 366 patients with prior MI who present with sustained monomorphic VT and is randomizing them to receive either catheter ablation or AAD therapy, with a primary composite endpoint of death, appropriate shock, or VT storm.

12.1.3. Endpoints for Prospective Clinical Trials of Ventricular Tachycardia

Ablation—Although observational studies have demonstrated an association between recurrent VAs and mortality (S12.1.4.12–S12.1.4.15), no prospective study thus far has demonstrated a significant beneficial effect of VT ablation on survival. Successful completion of a prospective trial of VT ablation with a primary outcome of mortality is challenging due to competing mortality risks in patients with VT. In the VANISH trial, there was no clear effect of ablation on mortality in comparison with escalated AAD therapy (S12.1.4.7,S12.1.4.16), likely because arrhythmia was an infrequent cause of death in the trial, and because additional interventions were undertaken when patients experienced clinical deterioration or recurrent arrhythmia. For randomized trials enrolling patients with low-frequency VT events, the use of time-to-event endpoints (rather than measures of arrhythmia burden) has traditionally been preferred, given clinicians and patients might not tolerate large burdens of recurrent arrhythmia events without considering treatment alternatives to assigned therapy. For randomized trials specifically enrolling patients with high VT burden, the use of reduction in VT burden provides a meaningful endpoint for both patient satisfaction and scientific inquiry.

12.1.4. Future Clinical Studies—Further research is required to identify optimal methods for arrhythmia suppression and to understand the influences of each method on arrhythmia outcomes, as well as on cardiac function, symptoms, quality of life (S12.1.4.17), cost-effectiveness (S12.1.4.18,S12.1.4.19), and long-term outcomes. The best first-line therapy for VT, beyond the use of ICDs, remains undetermined, as does the most appropriate time to intervene. Ablation outcomes in nonischemic cardiomyopathies, specific disease states, and patient subgroups also require further study. Developing, funding, and executing patient-centered, prospective, and randomized trials are strongly encouraged to move the field of VT ablation forward in ways that promote patient health and minimize confounding biases.

12.2. Future Directions in the Treatment of Patients With Ventricular Arrhythmias

12.2.1. Introduction—Awareness of the importance of VAs as a frequent and potentially modifiable cause of death has led to increased development of novel methods to improve VT mapping (both inside and outside the body), VT treatment (inside and outside the body), and identification of an individual patient's status, along the spectrum of cardiac illness.

12.2.2. Advances in Mapping—Cardiac mapping has made an important advance with the development of tools that employ multiple small, closely spaced electrodes. These tools provide higher resolution mapping during sustained VT and sinus rhythm (see Section 8.1).

Outside of catheter mapping, tools have been created to take advantage of coronary vasculature access to intramyocardial structures. The most common example involves mapping the perforatorveins(S12.2.4.1)facilitatedbytheuseofelectrically protected wires into septal perforating branches (S12.2.4.2), the use of cold saline (S12.2.4.3), and the use of dual site pace mapping (S12.2.4.4) to identify intramural VA origins.

Advances in cardiac imaging are enabling a more comprehensive understanding of the 3D nature of cardiac scarring and the dynamic nature of ventricular electrophysiology. Preprocedural imaging with CMR and CT is increasingly being used to identify abnormal myocardium, but its value as a stand-alone ablation guide has not yet been proven. Studies are underway to address this possibility.

The synthesis of cardiac imaging with detailed cardiac mapping is furthering the understanding of the relationship between the VT circuit and myocardial scarring. Important observations have been made from mapping several surfaces of the heart simultaneously (S12.2.4.5). Additional observations can be made by comparing maps and electrograms in sinus rhythm, RV pacing, LV pacing and sustained VT (S12.2.4.6). However, these detailed maps are time-consuming to create, potentially exposing patients to additional procedural risk. The translation of these observations into tangible value for the operator or for improved patient outcomes remains to be proven and is an area of active investigation.

Entirely noninvasive electrophysiological mapping has been developed with ECGI. This technology combines body surface unipolar electrograms obtained from a vest of electrodes with patient-specific heart-torso geometry. Local ECGs are reconstructed on the surface of the heart geometry, requiring a single beat of arrhythmia. ECGI has been shown to localize the site of VT origin (S12.2.4.7,S12.2.4.8), ventricular scar locations (S12.2.4.9), and risk assessment for future VT events in a small cohort of patients with IHD and ICDs (S12.2.4.10). Compared with catheter-based mapping, noninvasive ECGI mapping of VT does not provide similar local bipolar electrogram detail and ECGI does not image during ventricular diastole. Unipolar ECG reconstructions on the ventricular surface can infer activation patterns from deeper structures, such as septum or papillary muscles, but further refinement is needed to reliably map these structures. Advantages include the ability to map both ventricles simultaneously in a single beat, obtaining an immediate sense of VT entrance and exit locations, as well as mapping unstable VT/VF. Outside of the electrophysiology lab, ECGI offers possibilities for clinical VT risk stratification, such as was recently demonstrated with Brugada syndrome (S12.2.4.11), long QT syndrome (S12.2.4.12), and early repolarization syndrome (S12.2.4.13).

12.2.3. Advances in Ablation—A better understanding of biophysical properties of RF energy to create ablative heat in both normal and abnormal ventricular myocardium has driven important innovations. A change in the catheter irrigant to half normal saline alters the local ionic content, which creates a larger ablation lesion (S12.2.4.14). In prospective

clinical use, this approach has translated into high rates of successful ablations in patients who failed traditional ablation (S12.2.4.15), although direct comparisons with conventional irrigant are lacking. Caution should be exercised, given the authors reported a 12% rate of steam pop with this technique.

Alternate forms of delivering RF energy have been developed. The most prominent of such technologies is a catheter with a needle that can be deployed into ventricular myocardium to both map and ablate (S12.2.4.16). Clinical trials in patients with VA are underway (NCT01791543; NCT03204981). Advantages include more precise intramyocardial mapping, injection of dye to assess location of potential ablation using fluoroscopy, and deeper ablation lesions than standard catheter ablation. Disadvantages include the difficulty ensuring safe use and unpredictable energy distribution. A warm saline-infused needle ablation catheter, capable of creating large lesions, is currently being studied in patients with ICM and VT (NCT02994446) (S12.2.4.17). Alternative methods for RF delivery to larger areas are under development, including expandable catheter tips that form spheres or round lattice structures.

Beyond RF energy to create thermal ablation, alternative energies have been studied to control VT. These alternatives include localized intracoronary alcohol injection, locally applied pulsed field direct current electroporation, and noninvasive focused stereotactic radiation. Coronary ethanol infusion has been performed in both an antegrade (S12.2.4.18) and retrograde fashion (S12.2.4.19) on patients for the treatment of refractory VT. Electroporation using localized direct current is a technology in rapid development with significant advantages of speed (seconds) and a tissue-selective ablation effect in preclinical models. Its safety and efficacy in humans has yet to be established. Stereotactic radioablation is a noninvasive and rapid (minutes) method for delivery of photons (X-rays), protons, or heavy ions (carbon) into selected cardiac tissue. The use of noninvasive photon ablation in humans was first reported in 2015 (S12.2.4.20). The development of an entirely noninvasive process for mapping and ablating VT, combining ECGI, cardiac imaging, and stereotactic cardiac ablation, represents a promising new option in the field (S12.2.4.21).

Outside of a direct ablative effect on the diseased myocardium, modulation of the nervous system can alter the likelihood that VT will occur. Neuromodulation is largely designed to decrease sympathetic tone and enhance parasympathetic tone. There are many locations at which to manipulate the nervous system, including cervical vagal stimulation, transcutaneous auricular vagal stimulation, baroreceptor activation therapy, spinal cord stimulation, ganglionated plexus ablation, renal sympathetic denervation, and left CSD.

12.2.4. Advances in Patient Evaluation—As we progress into a future where artificial intelligence becomes increasingly used for predictive analytics, the field of VT ablation is ripe for such advances. In silico multiscale cardiac modeling of patients with ICM has provided an exciting possibility for the development of a patient-specific a priori ablation strategy (S12.2.4.22). Beyond this approach, the use of predictive tools can further help physicians to identify the right patients, the proper timing of the procedure, and the expected outcomes with various forms of ablation techniques to ultimately offer the right

patient the right ablation at the right cardiac location at the right time in the course of the disease.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Appendix

Appendix 1

Author disclosure table

Writing group member	Employment	Honoraria/ Speaking/ Consulting	Speakers' bureau	Research *	Fellowship support *	Ownership/ Partnership/ Principal/ Majority stockholder	Stock or stock options	Intellectual property/ Royalties	
Edmond M. Cronin, MB, BCh, BAO, FHRS, CCDS, CEPS-A (Chair)	Hartford Hospital, Hartford, Connecticut	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Frank M. Bogun, MD (Vice-Chair)	University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Philippe Maury, MD (EHRA Chair)	University Hospital Rangueil, Toulouse, France	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Petr Peichl, MD, PhD (EHRA Vice-Chair)	Institute for Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Prague, Czech Republic	1: Abbott; 1: Biosense Webster; 1: BIOTRONIK	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Minglong Chen, MD, PhD, FHRS (APHS Chair)	Jiangsu Province Hospital, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Narayanan Nambodiri, MBBS, MD (APHS Vice-Chair)	Sree Chitra Institute for Medical Sciences and Technology, Thiruvananthapuram, India	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Luis Aguinaga, MD, PhD, FESC, FACC (LAHRS Chair)	Centro Privado de Cardiología, Tucuman, Argentina	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Luiz Roberto Leite, MD, PhD, FHRS (LAHRS Vice-Chair)	Instituto Brasflia de Arritmia, Brasflia, Brazil	None	1: Boehringer Ingelheim	None	None	None	None	None	
Sana M. Al-Khatib, MD, MHS, FHRS, CCDS	Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	

Writing group member	Employment	Honoraria/ Speaking/ Consulting	Speakers' bureau	Research *	Fellowship support *	Ownership/ Partnership/ Principal/ Majority stockholder	Stock or stock options	Intellectual property/ Royalties	
Elad Anter, MD	Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts	2: Itamar Medical; 3: Boston Scientific; 4: Biosense Webster	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Antonio Berrueto, MD, PhD	Heart Institute, Teknon Medical Center, Barcelona, Spain	1: Biosense Webster	1: Biosense Webster	2: Biosense Webster	None	None	None	None	
David J. Callans, MD, FHRS, CCDS	University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	1: Abbott Laboratories; 1: BIOTRONIK; 1: Medtronic; 1: Wolters Kluwer; 2: Boston Scientific	None	4: NIH	2: BIOTRONIK; 2: Boston Scientific; 4: Abbott; 4: Biosense Webster; 4: Medtronic	None	None	None	
Mina K. Chung, MD, FHRS	Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio	2: ABIM	None	5: AHA; 5: NIH	None	None	None	1: Elsevier; 1: Up to Date	
Phillip Cuculich, MD	Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri	2: Medtronic	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Andre d'Avila, MD, PhD	Hospital Cardiologico SOS Cardio, Florianopolis, Brazil	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Barbara J. Deal, MD, FACC	Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Paolo Della Bella, MD	Ospedale San Raffaele, Milan, Italy	2: Biosense Webster; 2: Boston Scientific; 3: Abbott	None	None	2: Medtronic; 3: Abbott Vascular; 3: Biosense Webster; 3: BIOTRONIK;	None	None	None	

Writing group member	Employment	Honoraria/ Speaking/ Consulting	Speakers' bureau	Research*	Fellowship support*	Ownership/ Partnership/ Principal/ Majority stockholder	Stock or stock options	Intellectual property/ Royalties	
Thomas Deneke, MD, PhD, FHRS	Herz- und Gefäß-Klinik, Bad Neustadt, Germany	None	None	None	4: Boston Scientific	None	None	None	
Timm-Michael Dickfeld, MD, PhD, FACC, FHRS	University of Maryland, Baltimore, Maryland	1: Abbott Laboratories; 1: Biosense Webster; 1: Impulse Dynamics; 1: Philips	None	1: GE Healthcare	None	None	None	None	
Claudio Hadid, MD	Hospital General de Agudos Cosme Argerich, Buenos Aires, Argentina	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Haris M. Haqqani, MBBS, PhD, FHRS	University of Queensland, The Prince Charles Hospital, Chermside, Australia	0: Abbott Laboratories; 0: Boston Scientific; 0: Medtronic	None	3: Biosense Webster	None	None	None	None	
G. Neal Kay, MD, CCDS	University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Rakesh Latchamsetty, MD, FHRS	University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan	None	1: BIOTRONIK	None	None	None	None	None	
Francis Marchlinski, MD, FHRS	University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	1: Abbott; 1: Biosense Webster; 1: Boston Scientific; 1: Medtronic; 2: BIOTRONIK	None	1: Abbott; 4: Biosense Webster	2: BIOTRONIK; 2: Boston Scientific; 4: Abbott; 4: Biosense Webster; 4: Medtronic	None	None	None	
John M. Miller, MD, FHRS	Indiana University School of Medicine, Krannert Institute of Cardiology, Indianapolis, Indiana	1: Abbott Laboratories; 1: Biosense Webster; 1: Boston Scientific; 1: BIOTRONIK; 1: Medtronic	None	None	3: Biosense Webster; 3: Boston Scientific; 3: Medtronic	None	None	1: Elsevier	
Akihiko Nogami, MD, PhD	University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan	1: Abbott Laboratories	None	4: Medtronic	None	None	None	None	
Akash R. Patel, MD, FHRS, CEPS-P	University of California San Francisco Benioff Children's Hospital, San Francisco, California	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Rajeev Kumar Pathak, MBBS, PhD, FHRS	Australian National University, Canberra Hospital, Canberra, Australia	1: BIOTRONIK	1: Medtronic	None	None	None	None	None	

Writing group member	Employment	Honoraria/ Speaking/ Consulting	Speakers' bureau	Research*	Fellowship support*	Ownership/ Partnership/ Principal/ Majority stockholder	Stock or stock options	Intellectual property/ Royalties	
Luis C. Saenz Morales, MD	CardioInfantil Foundation, Cardiac Institute, Bogota, Columbia	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Pasquale Santangeli, MD, PhD	University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	1: Abiome; 1: Baylis Medical; 1: Biosense Webster; 1: Medtronic; 1: Stereotaxis; 2: Abbott Laboratories	None	None	None	None	None	None	
John L. Sapp, Jr., MD, FHRS	Queen Elizabeth II Health Sciences Centre, Halifax, Canada	1: Abbott Vascular; 1: Biosense Webster; 1: Medtronic	None	4: Abbott Vascular; 5: Biosense Webster	None	None	None	1: Biosense Webster	
Andrea Sarkozy, MD, PhD, FEHRA	University Hospital Antwerp, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium	1: Biosense Webster; 1: BIOTRONIK	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Kyoko Soejima, MD	Kyorin University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan	2: Abbott Laboratories; 2: Boehringer Ingelheim	3: Medtronic	None	None	None	None	None	
William G. Stevenson, MD, FHRS	Vanderbilt University Heart and Vascular Center, Nashville, Tennessee	1: Abbott; 1: BIOTRONIK; 1: Boston Scientific; 1: Medtronic	None	None	None	None	None	0: Biosense Webster; 0: Brigham and Women's Hospital	
Usha B. Tedrow, MD, MS, FHRS	Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts	1: Abbott; 1: Biosense Webster; 1: Medtronic	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Wendy S. Tzou, MD, FHRS	University of Colorado Denver, Aurora, Colorado	1: Abbott; 1: Biosense Webster; 1: BIOTRONIK; 1: Boston Scientific; 1: Medtronic	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Niraj Varma, MD, PhD	Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio	1: BIOTRONIK; 1: Medtronic	None	3: Abbott	None	None	None	None	
Katja Zeppenfeld, MD, PhD, FESC, FEHRA	Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands	1: Abbott	None	5: Biosense Webster	3: Biosense Webster	None	None	None	

Number value: 0 = \$0; 1 = \$10,000; 2 => \$10,000 to \$25,000; 3 => \$25,000 to \$50,000; 4 => \$50,000 to \$100,000; 5 => \$100,000.

ABIM = American Board of Internal Medicine; ACC = American College of Cardiology; AHA = American Heart Association; APHRS = Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society; EHRA = European Heart Rhythm Association; LAHRS = Latin American Heart Rhythm Society; NIH = National Institutes of Health.

* Research and fellowship support are classed as programmatic support. Sources of programmatic support are disclosed but are not regarded as a relevant relationship with industry for writing group members or reviewers.

Appendix 2

Reviewer disclosure table

Peer reviewer	Employment	Honoraria/ Speaking/ Consulting	Speakers' bureau	Research [*]	Fellowship support [*]	Ownership/ Partnership/ Principal/ Majority stockholder	Stock or stock options	Intellectual property/ Royalties	Other
Samuel J. Asirvatham, MD, FHRS	Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, Minnesota	1: Abbott; 1: BIOTRONIK; 1: Boston Scientific; 1: Medtronic	None	None	None	None	None	1: AliveCor	None
Eduardo Back Sternick, MD, PhD	Faculdade Ciências Médicas de Minas Gerais, Brazil	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Janice Chyou, MD	Northport VA Medical Center, Northport, New York; Agile Health, New York, New York	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Sabine Ernst, MD, PhD	Royal Brompton and Harefield Hospitals, London, England	2: Biosense Webster; 2: Stereotaxis	None	3: Other; 4: Baylis; 4: Spectrum Dynamics	None	None	None	None	None
Guilherme Fenelon, MD, PhD	Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil	1: Libbs	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Edward P. Gerstenfeld, MD, MS, FACC	University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California	1: Abbott Vascular; 1: Biosense Webster; 1: Boston Scientific; 1: Medtronic	None	4: Abbott Vascular; 4: Biosense Webster	None	None	None	None	None
Gerhard Hindricks, MD	Heart Center Leipzig at the University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany	None	None	4: Abbott Vascular	None	None	None	None	None
Koichi Inoue, MD, PhD	Sakurabashi-Watanabe Hospital, Osaka, Japan	2: Biosense Webster; 2: Medtronic Japan	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Jeffrey J. Kim, MD	Baylor College of Medicine, Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, Texas	None	None	None	3: Medtronic	None	None	None	None

Peer reviewer	Employment	Honoraria/ Speaking/ Consulting	Speakers' bureau	Research *	Fellowship support*	Ownership/ Partnership/ Principal/ Majority stockholder	Stock or stock options	Intellectual property/ Royalties	Other
Kousik Krishnan, MD, FHRS, FACC	Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois	1: ZOLL	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Karl-Heinz Kuck, MD, FHRS	Asklepios Klinik St. Georg, Hamburg, Germany	1: Abbott Vascular; 1: Biosense Webster; 1: Boston Scientific; 1: Edwards Lifesciences; 1: Medtronic	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Martin Ortiz Avalos, MD	Hospital San Angel Inn Universidad, Mexico City, Mexico	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Thomas Paul, MD, FACC, FHRS	Georg August University Medical Center, Göttingen, Germany	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Mauricio I. Scanavacca, MD, PhD	Instituto Do Coracao, Sao Paulo, Brazil	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Roderick Tung, MD, FHRS	The University of Chicago Medicine, Center for Arrhythmia Care, Heart and Vascular Center, Chicago, Illinois	2: Abbott	None	2: Abbott	3: Abbott; 3: Medtronic; 3: Boston Scientific	None	None	None	None
Jamie Voss, MBChB	Middlemore Hospital, Auckland, New Zealand	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Takumi Yamada, MD	University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama	1: Nihon Kohden; 2: Abbott; 2: Japan Lifeline	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Teiichi Yamane, MD, PhD, FHRS	Jikei University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan	1: Boehringer Ingelheim; 1: Bristol-Myers Squibb; 2: Abbott Laboratories; 2: Medtronic Japan	None	None	None	None	None	None	None

Number value: 0 = \$0; 1 = \$10,000; 2 = > \$10,000 to \$25,000; 3 = > \$25,000 to \$50,000; 4 = > \$50,000 to \$100,000; 5 = > \$100,000.

* Research and fellowship support are classed as programmatic support. Sources of programmatic support are disclosed but are not regarded as a relevant relationship with industry for writing group members or reviewers.

ABBREVIATIONS

AAD	antiarrhythmic drug
ACT	activated clotting time
AF	atrial fibrillation
AHD	acute hemodynamic decompensation
AI	anatomical isthmus
AIV	anterior interventricular vein
AMC	aortomitral continuity
ANS	autonomic nervous system
ARVC	arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy
ATP	antitachycardia pacing
AV	atrioventricular
BBR	bundle branch reentry
BBRVT	bundle branch reentrant ventricular tachycardia
cAMP	cyclic adenosine monophosphate
CCM	Chagas cardiomyopathy
CHD	congenital heart disease
ChD	Chagas disease
CIED	cardiovascular implantable electronic device
CL	cycle length
CMR	cardiac magnetic resonance imaging
COR	class of recommendation
CRT	cardiac resynchronization therapy
CS	coronary sinus
CSD	cardiac sympathetic denervation
CT	computed tomography
DCM	dilated cardiomyopathy

D-TGA	d-transposition of the great arteries
EAM	electroanatomical mapping
ECG	electrocardiogram
ECGI	electrocardiographic imaging
EF	ejection fraction
GCV	great cardiac vein
HCM	hypertrophic cardiomyopathy
HR	hazard ratio
HS	hemodynamic support
IABP	intra-aortic balloon pump
ICD	implantable cardioverter defibrillator
ICE	intracardiac echocardiography
ICM	ischemic cardiomyopathy
IHD	ischemic heart disease
LAF	left anterior fascicle
LAVA	local abnormal ventricular activity
LBB	left bundle branch
LBBB	left bundle branch block
LGE	late gadolinium enhancement
LMNA	lamin A/C
LOE	level of evidence
LP	late potential
LPF	left posterior fascicle
LSV	left sinus of Valsalva
LV	left ventricle
LVAD	left ventricular assist device
LVEF	left ventricular ejection fraction
LVNC	left ventricular noncompaction
LVOT	left ventricular outflow tract

MDCT	multidetector cardiac computed tomography
MI	myocardial infarction
MRI	magnetic resonance imaging
NCSV	noncoronary sinus of Valsalva
NICM	nonischemic cardiomyopathy
NIPS	noninvasive programmed stimulation
NYHA	New York Heart Association
OR	odds ratio
OT	outflow tract
PES	programmed electrical stimulation
PET	positron emission tomography
pLVAD	percutaneous left ventricular assist device
PPI	postpacing interval
PVC	premature ventricular complex
RBB	right bundle branch
RBBB	right bundle branch block
RCT	randomized controlled trial
RF	radiofrequency
RSV	right sinus of Valsalva
RV	right ventricle
RVOT	right ventricular outflow tract
RWI	relationship with industry and other entities
SD	standard deviation
SHD	structural heart disease
SHFM	Seattle Heart Failure Model
SOO	site of origin
SPECT	single-photon emission computerized tomography
SV	sinus of Valsalva
SVT	supraventricular tachycardia

3D	three-dimensional
VA	ventricular arrhythmia
VAD	ventricular assist device
VF	ventricular fibrillation
VSD	ventricular septal defect
VT	ventricular tachycardia

References

- S111. Aliot EM, Stevenson WG, Almendral-Garrote JM, et al. EHRA/HRS expert consensus on catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias: developed in a partnership with the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC), and the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS); in collaboration with the American College of Cardiology (ACC) and the American Heart Association (AHA). *Heart Rhythm* 2009; 6:886–933. [PubMed: 19467519]
- S112. Hosseini SM, Rozen G, Saleh A, et al. Catheter ablation for cardiac arrhythmias: utilization and in-hospital complications, 2000 to 2013. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol* 2017;3:1240–1248. [PubMed: 29759619]
- S113. Raatikainen MJP, Arnar DO, Merkely B, Nielsen JC, Hindricks G, Heidbuchel H, Camm J. A decade of information on the use of cardiac implantable electronic devices and interventional electrophysiological procedures in the European Society of Cardiology Countries: 2017 report from the European Heart Rhythm Association. *Europace* 2017;19(Suppl. 2):ii1–ii90. [PubMed: 28903470]
- S114. Al-Khatib SM, Stevenson WG, Ackerman MJ, et al. 2017 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *Heart Rhythm* 2018;15:e73–e189. [PubMed: 29097319]
- S115. Puri SG, Blomström-Lundqvist C, Mazzanti A, et al.; Task Force for the Management of Patients with Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). 2015 ESC guidelines for the management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: the Task Force for the Management of Patients with Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Europace* 2015;17:1601–1687. [PubMed: 26318695]
- S121. Indik JH, Patton KK, Beardsall M, et al. HRS clinical document development methodology manual and policies: executive summary. *Heart Rhythm* 2017; 14:e495–e500. [PubMed: 28965612]
- S122. Halperin JL, Levine GN, Al-Khatib SM, et al. Further evolution of the ACC/AHA clinical practice guideline recommendation classification system: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2016; 67:1572–1574. [PubMed: 26409257]
- S123. Martinez BK, Baker WL, Konopka A, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in ischemic heart disease. *Heart Rhythm* 2019;10 [Epub ahead of print].
- S211. Couch OA Jr. Cardiac aneurysm with ventricular tachycardia and subsequent excision of aneurysm. *Circulation* 1959;20:251–253. [PubMed: 13671713]
- S212. Mason JW, Stinson EB, Winkle RA, et al. Relative efficacy of blind left ventricular aneurysm resection for the treatment of recurrent ventricular tachycardia. *Am J Cardiol* 1982;49:241–248. [PubMed: 6976115]

- S213. Guiraudon G, Fontaine G, Frank R, et al. Encircling endocardial ventriculotomy: a new surgical treatment for life-threatening ventricular tachycardias resistant to medical treatment following myocardial infarction. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1978;26:438–444. [PubMed: 753158]
- S214. Guiraudon G, Klein GJ, Gulamhusein S, et al. Total disconnection of the right ventricular free wall: surgical treatment of right ventricular tachycardia associated with right ventricular dysplasia. *Circulation* 1983; 67:463–470. [PubMed: 6848239]
- S215. Josephson ME, Harken AH, Horowitz LN. Endocardial excision: a new surgical technique for the treatment of recurrent ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation* 1979;60:1430–1439. [PubMed: 498470]
- S216. Josephson ME, Horowitz LN, Farshidi A, Kastor JA. Recurrent sustained ventricular tachycardia. 1. Mechanisms. *Circulation* 1978;57:431–439. [PubMed: 624152]
- S217. Josephson ME, Horowitz LN, Farshidi A, Spear JF, Kastor JA, Moore EN. Recurrent sustained ventricular tachycardia. 2. Endocardial mapping. *Circulation* 1978;57:440–447. [PubMed: 624153]
- S218. Josephson ME, Horowitz LN, Spielman SR, Greenspan AM, VandePol C, Harken AH. Comparison of endocardial catheter mapping with intraoperative mapping of ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation* 1980;61:395–404. [PubMed: 7351066]
- S219. Hargrove WC 3rd, Miller JM, Vassallo JA, Josephson ME. Improved results in the operative management of ventricular tachycardia related to inferior wall infarction: importance of the annular isthmus. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1986;92:726–732. [PubMed: 3762202]
- S2110. Caceres J, Werner P, Jazayeri M, Akhtar M, Tchou P. Efficacy of cryosurgery alone for refractory monomorphic sustained ventricular tachycardia due to inferior wall infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1988;11:1254–1259. [PubMed: 3366999]
- S2111. Miller JM, Kienzle MG, Harken AH, Josephson ME. Subendocardial resection for ventricular tachycardia: predictors of surgical success. *Circulation* 1984;70:624–631. [PubMed: 6478565]
- S2112. Hartzler GO. Electrode catheter ablation of refractory focal ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1983;2:1107–1113. [PubMed: 6630783]
- S2113. Fontaine G, Tonet JL, Frank R, Rougier I. Clinical experience with fulguration and antiarrhythmic therapy for the treatment of ventricular tachycardia. *Chest* 1989;95:785–797. [PubMed: 2924608]
- S2114. Josephson ME, Horowitz LN, Waxman HL, et al. Sustained ventricular tachycardia: role of the 12-lead electrocardiogram in localizing site of origin. *Circulation* 1981;64:257–272. [PubMed: 7249295]
- S2115. Josephson ME, Waxman HL, Cain ME, Gardner MJ, Buxton AE. Ventricular activation during ventricular endocardial pacing. II. Role of pacemapping to localize origin of ventricular tachycardia. *Am J Cardiol* 1982; 50:11–22. [PubMed: 7090993]
- S2116. Miller J, Marchlinski F, Buxton A, Josephson ME. Relationship between the 12-lead electrocardiogram during ventricular tachycardia and endocardial site of origin in patients with coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 1988; 77:759–766. [PubMed: 3349580]
- S2117. Levine JH, Spear JF, Weisman HF, et al. The cellular electrophysiologic changes induced by high-energy electrical ablation in canine myocardium. *Circulation* 1986;73:818–829. [PubMed: 3948378]
- S2118. Haines DE, Verow AF. Observations on electrode-tissue interface temperature and effect on electrical impedance during radiofrequency ablation of ventricular myocardium. *Circulation* 1990;82:1034–1038. [PubMed: 2393987]
- S2119. Klein LS, Shih HT, Hackett FK, Zipes DP, Miles WM. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients without structural heart disease. *Circulation* 1992;85:1666–1674. [PubMed: 1572025]
- S2120. Morady F, Harvey M, Kalbfleisch SJ, El-Atassi R, Calkins H, Langberg JJ. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 1993;87:363–372. [PubMed: 8425285]
- S2121. Morady F, Kadish A, Rosenheck S, et al. Concealed entrainment as a guide for catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with prior myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1991;17:678–689. [PubMed: 1993788]

- S2122. Stevenson WG, Khan H, Sager P, et al. Identification of reentry circuit sites during catheter mapping and radiofrequency ablation of ventricular tachycardia late after myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 1993; 88:1647–1670. [PubMed: 8403311]
- S2123. Stevenson WG, Friedman PL, Sager PT, et al. Exploring postinfarction reentrant ventricular tachycardia with entrainment mapping. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1997;29:1180–1189. [PubMed: 9137211]
- S2124. Bogun F, Bahu M, Knight BP, et al. Comparison of effective and ineffective target sites that demonstrate concealed entrainment in patients with coronary artery disease undergoing radiofrequency ablation of ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation* 1997;95:183–190. [PubMed: 8994435]
- S2125. El Shalakany A, Hadjis T, Papageorgiou P, et al. Entrainment mapping criteria for the prediction of termination of ventricular tachycardia by single radiofrequency lesion in patients with coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 1999;99:2283–2289. [PubMed: 10226094]
- S2126. Callans DJ, Zado E, Sarter BH, Schwartzman D, Gottlieb CD, Marchlinski FE. Efficacy of radiofrequency catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia in healed myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* 1998; 82:429–432. [PubMed: 9723628]
- S2127. Stevenson WG, Friedman PL, Kocovic D, Sager PT, Saxon LA, Pavri B. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia after myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 1998;98:308–314. [PubMed: 9711935]
- S2128. Marchlinski FE, Callans DJ, Gottlieb CD, Zado E. Linear ablation lesions for control of unmappable ventricular tachycardia in patients with ischemic and nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* 2000;101:1288–1296. [PubMed: 10725289]
- S2129. Marchlinski F, Garcia F, Siadatan A, et al. Ventricular tachycardia/ventricular fibrillation ablation in the setting of ischemic heart disease. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2005;16:S59–S70. [PubMed: 16138888]
- S2130. Arenal A, Glez-Torrecilla E, Ortiz M, et al. Ablation of electrograms with an isolated, delayed component as treatment of unmappable monomorphic ventricular tachycardias in patients with structural heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003;41:81–92. [PubMed: 12570949]
- S2131. Bogun F, Good E, Reich S, et al. Isolated potentials during sinus rhythm and pace-mapping within scars as guides for ablation of post-infarction ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;47:2013–2019. [PubMed: 16697318]
- S2132. Hsia HH, Lin D, Sauer WH, Callans DJ, Marchlinski FE. Relationship of late potentials to the ventricular tachycardia circuit defined by entrainment. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol* 2009;26:21–29. [PubMed: 19639397]
- S2133. Vergara P, Trevisi N, Ricco A, et al. Late potentials abolition as an additional technique for reduction of arrhythmia recurrence in scar related ventricular tachycardia ablation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2012;23:621–627. [PubMed: 22486970]
- S2134. Soejima K, Stevenson WG, Maisel WH, Sapp JL, Epstein LM. Electrically unexcitable scar mapping based on pacing threshold for identification of the reentry circuit isthmus: feasibility for guiding ventricular tachycardia ablation. *Circulation* 2002;106:1678–1683. [PubMed: 12270862]
- S2135. Arenal A, del Castillo S, Gonzalez-Torrecilla E, et al. Tachycardia-related channel in the scar tissue in patients with sustained monomorphic ventricular tachycardias: influence of the voltage scar definition. *Circulation* 2004; 110:2568–2574. [PubMed: 15492309]
- S2136. Hsia HH, Lin D, Sauer WH, Callans DJ, Marchlinski FE. Anatomical characterization of endocardial substrate for hemodynamically stable reentrant ventricular tachycardia: identification of endocardial conducting channels. *Heart Rhythm* 2006;3:503–512. [PubMed: 16648052]
- S2137. Mountantonakis SE, Park RE, Frankel DS, et al. Relationship between voltage map channels and the location of critical isthmus sites in patients with post-infarction cardiomyopathy and ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;61:2088–2095. [PubMed: 23524215]
- S2138. Berruezo A, Fernandez-Armenta J, Andreu D, et al. Scar dechanneling: new method for scar-related left ventricular tachycardia substrate ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2015;8:326–336. [PubMed: 25583983]

- S2139. Jais P, Maury P, Khairy P, et al. Elimination of local abnormal ventricular activities: a new end point for substrate modification in patients with scar-related ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation* 2012;125:2184–2196. [PubMed: 22492578]
- S2140. Tung R, Mathuria N, Michowitz Y, et al. Functional pace-mapping responses for identification of targets for catheter ablation of scar-mediated ventricular tachycardia. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2012;5:264–272. [PubMed: 22333344]
- S2141. de Chillou C, Groben L, Magnin-Poull I, et al. Localizing the critical isthmus of postinfarct ventricular tachycardia: the value of pace-mapping during sinus rhythm. *Heart Rhythm* 2014;11:175–181. [PubMed: 24513915]
- S2142. Tzou WS, Frankel DS, Hegeman T, et al. Core isolation of critical arrhythmia elements for treatment of multiple scar-based ventricular tachycardias. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2015;8:353–361. [PubMed: 25681389]
- S2143. Di Biase L, Santangeli P, Burkhardt DJ, et al. Endo-epicardial homogenization of the scar versus limited substrate ablation for the treatment of electrical storms in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012; 60:132–141. [PubMed: 22766340]
- S2144. Hsia HH, Marchlinski FE. Characterization of the electroanatomic substrate for ventricular tachycardia in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2002;25:1114–1127. [PubMed: 12164454]
- S2145. Hsia HH, Callans DJ, Marchlinski FE. Characterization of endocardial electrophysiological substrate in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy and monomorphic ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation* 2003; 108:704–710. [PubMed: 12885746]
- S2146. Marchlinski FE, Zado E, Dixit S, et al. Electroanatomic substrate and outcome of catheter ablation therapy for ventricular tachycardia in setting of right ventricular cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* 2004;110:2293–2298. [PubMed: 15477406]
- S2147. Haqqani HM, Tschabrunn CM, Tzou WS, et al. Isolated septal substrate for ventricular tachycardia in nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy: incidence, characterization, and implications. *Heart Rhythm* 2011;8:1169–1176. [PubMed: 21392586]
- S2148. Betensky BP, Kapa S, Desjardins B, et al. Characterization of trans-septal activation during septal pacing: criteria for identification of intramural ventricular tachycardia substrate in nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2013;6:1123–1130. [PubMed: 24106241]
- S2149. Oloriz T, Silberbauer J, Maccabelli G, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmia in non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy: antero-septal versus inferolateral scar sub-types. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2014;7:414–423. [PubMed: 24785410]
- S2150. Sosa E, Scanavacca M, d'Avila A, Pilleggi F. A new technique to perform epicardial mapping in the electrophysiology laboratory. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 1996;7:531–536. [PubMed: 8743758]
- S2151. Della Bella P, Brugada J, Zeppenfeld K, et al. Epicardial ablation for ventricular tachycardia: a European multicenter study. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2011;4:653–659. [PubMed: 21841191]
- S2152. Sacher F, Roberts-Thomson K, Maury P, et al. Epicardial ventricular tachycardia ablation a multicenter safety study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010; 55:2366–2372. [PubMed: 20488308]
- S2153. Cano O, Hutchinson M, Lin D, et al. Electroanatomic substrate and ablation outcome for suspected epicardial ventricular tachycardia in left ventricular nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;54:799–808. [PubMed: 19695457]
- S2154. Garcia FC, Bazan V, Zado ES, Ren JF, Marchlinski FE. Epicardial substrate and outcome with epicardial ablation of ventricular tachycardia in arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia. *Circulation* 2009; 120:366–375. [PubMed: 19620503]
- S2155. Santangeli P, Zado ES, Supple G, Haqqani HM, Garcia FC, Tschabrunn C, Callans DJ, Lin D, Dixit S, Hutchinson MD, Riley M, Marchlinski FE. Long-term outcome with catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2015;8:1413–1421. [PubMed: 26546346]

- S2156. Berruezo A, Fernandez-Armenta J, Mont L, et al. Combined endocardial and epicardial catheter ablation in arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia incorporating scar dechanneling technique. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2012;5:111–121. [PubMed: 22205683]
- S2157. Hutchinson MD, Gerstenfeld EP, Desjardins B, et al. Endocardial unipolar voltage mapping to detect epicardial ventricular tachycardia substrate in patients with nonischemic left ventricular cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2011;4:49–55. [PubMed: 21131557]
- S2158. Polin GM, Haqqani H, Tzou W, et al. Endocardial unipolar voltage mapping to identify epicardial substrate in arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia. *Heart Rhythm*2011;8:76–83. [PubMed: 20933099]
- S2159. Sosa E, Scanavacca M, d'Avila A, Oliveira F, Ramires JA. Nonsurgical transthoracic epicardial catheter ablation to treat recurrent ventricular tachycardia occurring late after myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2000; 35:1442–1449. [PubMed: 10807445]
- S2160. Izquierdo M, Sanchez-Gómez JM, Ferrero de Loma-Osorio A, et al. Endo-epicardial versus only-endocardial ablation as a first line strategy for the treatment of ventricular tachycardia in patients with ischemic heart disease. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:882–889. [PubMed: 26056239]
- S2161. Santangeli P, Marchlinski FE, Zado ES, et al. Percutaneous epicardial ablation of ventricular summit: outcomes and electrocardiogram predictors of success. *Circ Arrhythmia Electrophysiol*2015;8:337–343.
- S2162. Calkins H, Epstein A, Packer D, et al.; for the Cooled RF Multi Center Investigators Group. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with structural heart disease using cooled radiofrequency energy: results of a prospective multicenter study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2000; 35:1905–1914. [PubMed: 10841242]
- S2163. Stevenson WG, Wilber DJ, Natale A, et al. Irrigated radiofrequency catheter ablation guided by electroanatomic mapping for recurrent ventricular tachycardia after myocardial infarction: the multicenter thermocool ventricular tachycardia ablation trial. *Circulation*2008;118:2773–2782. [PubMed: 19064682]
- S2164. Marchlinski FE, Haffajee CI, Beshai JF, et al. Long-term success of irrigated radiofrequency catheter ablation of sustained ventricular tachycardia: post-approval THERMOCOOL VT trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2016;67:674–683. [PubMed: 26868693]
- S2165. Tokuda M, Sobieszcyk P, Eisenhauer AC, et al. Transcoronary ethanol ablation for recurrent ventricular tachycardia after failed catheter ablation: an update. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2011;4:889–896. [PubMed: 21984361]
- S2166. Sacher F, Sobieszcyk P, Tedrow U, et al. Transcoronary ethanol ventricular tachycardia ablation in the modern electrophysiology era. *Heart Rhythm*2008;5:62–68. [PubMed: 18180021]
- S2167. Koruth JS, Dukkipati S, Miller MA, Neuzil P, d'Avila A, Reddy VY. Bipolar irrigated radiofrequency ablation: a therapeutic option for refractory intramural atrial and ventricular tachycardia circuits. *Heart Rhythm*2012; 9:1932–1941. [PubMed: 22863684]
- S2168. Nguyen DT, Gerstenfeld EP, Tzou WS, et al. Radiofrequency ablation using an open irrigated electrode cooled with half-normal saline. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2017;3:1103–1110. [PubMed: 29759492]
- S2169. Sapp JL, Bueckler C, Pike R, et al. Initial human feasibility of infusion needle catheter ablation for refractory ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation*2013; 128:2289–2295. [PubMed: 24036605]
- S2170. Sternick EB, Gerken LM, Scarpelli RB, Soares FC. Cooling with near-freezing saline improves efficacy of cool-tip radiofrequency catheter ablation. *Heart Rhythm*2010;7:983–986. [PubMed: 20346415]
- S2171. Della Bella P, Bisceglia C, Tung R. Multielectrode contact mapping to assess scar modification in post-myocardial infarction ventricular tachycardia patients. *Europace*2012;14(Suppl. 2):ii7–ii12. [PubMed: 22832917]
- S2172. Anter E, Tschabrunn CM, Buxton AE, Josephson ME. High-resolution mapping of post-infarction reentrant ventricular tachycardia—electrophysiological characterization of the circuit. *Circulation*2016;134:314–327. [PubMed: 27440005]
- S2173. Nakahara S, Tung R, Ramirez RJ, et al. Distribution of late potentials within infarct scars assessed by ultra high-density mapping. *Heart Rhythm*2010; 7:1817–1824. [PubMed: 20682358]

- S2174. Van Herendael H, Zado ES, Haqqani H, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular fibrillation: importance of left ventricular outflow tract and papillary muscle triggers. *Heart Rhythm* 2014;11:566–573. [PubMed: 24398086]
- S2175. Betensky BP, Park RE, Marchlinski FE, et al. The V(2) transition ratio: a new electrocardiographic criterion for distinguishing left from right ventricular outflow tachycardia origin. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;57:2255–2262. [PubMed: 21616286]
- S2176. Mountantonakis SE, Frankel DS, Tschabrunn CM, et al. Ventricular arrhythmias from the coronary venous system: prevalence, mapping, and ablation. *Heart Rhythm* 2015;12:1145–1153. [PubMed: 25766774]
- S2177. Kanagaratnam L, Tomassoni G, Schweikert R, et al. Ventricular tachycardia as arising from the aortic sinus of Valsalva: an under recognized variant of left outflow tract ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2001; 37:1408–1414. [PubMed: 11300454]
- S2178. Yamada T, Doppalapudi H, McElderry HT, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the papillary muscles in the left ventricle: prevalence, electrocardiographic and electrophysiological characteristics, and results of the radiofrequency catheter ablation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2010;21:62–69. [PubMed: 19793147]
- S2179. Yamada T, McElderry HT, Doppalapudi H, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the left ventricular summit: anatomic concepts relevant to ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2010;3:616–623. [PubMed: 20855374]
- S2180. Mountantonakis S, Frankel DS, Gerstenfeld EP, et al. Reversal of outflow tract ventricular premature depolarization-induced cardiomyopathy with ablation: effect of residual arrhythmia burden and preexisting cardiomyopathy on outcome. *Heart Rhythm* 2011;8:1608–1614. [PubMed: 21699837]
- S2181. Yokokawa M, Good E, Crawford T, et al. Recovery from left ventricular dysfunction after ablation of frequent premature ventricular complexes. *Heart Rhythm* 2013;10:172–175. [PubMed: 23099051]
- S2241. Buxton AE, Waxman HL, Marchlinski FE, Simson MB, Cassidy D, Josephson ME. Right ventricular tachycardia: clinical and electrophysiologic characteristics. *Circulation* 1983;68:917–927. [PubMed: 6137291]
- S2242. Lerman BB. Response of nonreentrant catecholamine-mediated ventricular tachycardia to endogenous adenosine and acetylcholine: evidence for myocardial receptor-mediated effects. *Circulation* 1993;87:382–390. [PubMed: 8425287]
- S2243. Lerman BB, Belardinelli L, West GA, Berne RM, DiMarco JP. Adenosine-sensitive ventricular tachycardia: evidence suggesting cyclic AMP-mediated triggered activity. *Circulation* 1986;74:270–280. [PubMed: 3015453]
- S2244. Lerman BB, Stein K, Engelstein ED, et al. Mechanism of repetitive monomorphic ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation* 1995;92:421–429. [PubMed: 7634458]
- S2245. Sung RJ, Keung EC, Nguyen NX, Huycke EC. Effects of beta-adrenergic blockade on verapamil-responsive and verapamil-irresponsive sustained ventricular tachycardias. *J Clin Invest* 1988;81:688–699. [PubMed: 2893808]
- S2246. Tada H, Ito S, Naito S, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmia arising from the mitral annulus: a distinct subgroup of idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;45:877–886. [PubMed: 15766824]
- S2247. Tada H, Tadokoro K, Ito S, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the tricuspid annulus: prevalence, electrocardiographic characteristics, and results of radiofrequency catheter ablation. *Heart Rhythm* 2007;4:7–16. [PubMed: 17198982]
- S2248. Tada H, Tadokoro K, Miyaji K, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias arising from the pulmonary artery: prevalence, characteristics, and topography of the arrhythmia origin. *Heart Rhythm* 2008;5:419–426. [PubMed: 18313601]
- S2249. Sorgente A, Epicoco G, Ali H, et al. Negative concordance pattern in bipolar and unipolar recordings: an additional mapping criterion to localize the site of origin of focal ventricular arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm* 2016; 13:519–526. [PubMed: 26552752]
- S22410. Tedrow UB, Stevenson WG. Recording and interpreting unipolar electrograms to guide catheter ablation. *Heart Rhythm* 2011;8:791–796. [PubMed: 21193061]

- S22411. Yamada T, Yoshida Y, Inden Y, Murohara T, Kay GN. Idiopathic premature ventricular contractions exhibiting preferential conduction within the aortic root. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2010;33:e10–e13. [PubMed: 19804490]
- S22412. Antzelevitch C, Shimizu W. Cellular mechanisms underlying the long QT syndrome. *Curr Opin Cardiol*2002;17:43–51. [PubMed: 11790933]
- S22413. Haissaguerre M, Extramiana F, Hocini M, et al. Mapping and ablation of ventricular fibrillation associated with long-QT and Brugada syndromes. *Circulation*2003;108:925–928. [PubMed: 12925452]
- S22414. Lerman BB. Mechanism of outflow tract tachycardia. *Heart Rhythm*2007; 4:973–976. [PubMed: 17599688]
- S22415. Damle RS, Landers M, Kelly PA, Reiter MJ, Mann DE. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia originating in the left anterior fascicle. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*1998; 21:1155–1158. [PubMed: 9604250]
- S22416. Lopera G, Stevenson WG, Soejima K, et al. Identification and ablation of three types of ventricular tachycardia involving the His-Purkinje system in patients with heart disease. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2004;15:52–58. [PubMed: 15028072]
- S22417. Bogun F, Good E, Reich S, et al. Role of Purkinje fibers in post-infarction ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2006;48:2500–2507. [PubMed: 17174189]
- S22418. Spitzer KW, Pollard AE, Yang L, Zaniboni M, Cordeiro JM, Huelsing DJ. Cell-to-cell electrical interactions during early and late repolarization. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2006;17(Suppl. 1):S8–S14. [PubMed: 16686687]
- S22419. Kumar S, Romero J, Mehta NK, et al. Long-term outcomes after catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with and without structural heart disease. *Heart Rhythm*2016;13:1957–1963. [PubMed: 27392945]
- S22420. El-Shalakany A, Hadjis T, Papageorgiou P, Monahan K, Epstein L, Josephson ME. Entrainment/mapping criteria for the prediction of termination of ventricular tachycardia by single radiofrequency lesion in patients with coronary artery disease. *Circulation*1999;99:2283–2289. [PubMed: 10226094]
- S22421. Josephson ME, Horowitz LN, Farshidi A, Kastor JA. Recurrent sustained ventricular tachycardia. I. Mechanisms. *Circulation*1978;57:431–440. [PubMed: 624152]
- S22422. Vaseghi M, Hu TY, Tung R, et al. Outcomes of catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia based on etiology in nonischemic heart disease: an international Ventricular Tachycardia Ablation Center collaborative study. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2018;4:1141–1150. [PubMed: 30236386]
- S22423. Marchlinski FE, Zado E, Dixit S, et al. Electroanatomic substrate and outcome of catheter ablation therapy for ventricular tachycardia in setting of right ventricular cardiomyopathy. *Circulation*2004;110:2293–2298. [PubMed: 15477406]
- S22424. Kumar S, Androulakis AF, Sellal JM, et al. Multicenter experience with catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia in Lamin A/C cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2016;9:e004357. [PubMed: 27506821]
- S22425. Kumar S, Barbhuiya C, Nagashima K, et al. Ventricular tachycardia in cardiac sarcoidosis: characterization of ventricular substrate and outcomes of catheter ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:87–93. [PubMed: 25527825]
- S22426. Sosa E, Scanavacca M, d'Avila A, Bellotti G, Pilleggi F. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia guided by nonsurgical epicardial mapping in chronic Chagasic heart disease. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*1999;22:128–130. [PubMed: 9990612]
- S22427. Kapel GF, Sacher F, Deckers OM, et al. Arrhythmogenic anatomical isthmuses identified by electroanatomical mapping are the substrate for ventricular tachycardia in repaired tetralogy of Fallot. *Eur Heart J*2017; 38:268–276. [PubMed: 28182233]
- S22428. Eckart RE, Hruczkowski TW, Tedrow UB, Koplman BA, Epstein LM, Stevenson WG. Sustained ventricular tachycardia associated with corrective valve surgery. *Circulation*2007;116:2005–2011. [PubMed: 17923574]
- S22429. Stevenson WG, Khan H, Sager P, et al. Identification of reentry circuit sites during catheter mapping and radiofrequency ablation of ventricular tachycardia late after myocardial infarction. *Circulation*1993; 88:1647–1670. [PubMed: 8403311]

- S22430. Stevenson WG, Friedman PL, Kocovic D, Sager PT, Saxon LA, Pavri B. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia after myocardial infarction. *Circulation*1998;98:308–314. [PubMed: 9711935]
- S22431. Wilber DJ, Kopp DE, Glascock DN, Kinder CA, Kall JG. Catheter ablation of the mitral isthmus for ventricular tachycardia associated with inferior infarction. *Circulation*1995;92:3481–3489. [PubMed: 8521570]
- S22432. Nagashima K, Tedrow UB, Koplan BA, et al.Reentrant ventricular tachycardia originating from the periaortic region in the absence of overt structural heart disease. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:99–106. [PubMed: 24363351]
- S22433. Glashan CA, Androulakis AFA, Tao Q, et al.Whole human heart histology to validate electroanatomical voltage mapping in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy and ventricular tachycardia. *Eur Heart J*2018;39:2867–2875. [PubMed: 29617764]
- S22434. Pogwizd SM, Hoyt RH, Saffitz JE, Corr PB, Cox JL, Cain ME. Reentrant and focal mechanisms underlying ventricular tachycardia in the human heart. *Circulation*1992;86:1872–1887. [PubMed: 1451259]
- S22435. Anter E, Kleber AG, Rottmann M, et al.Infarct-related ventricular tachycardia: redefining the electrophysiological substrate of the isthmus during sinus rhythm. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2018;4:1033–1048. [PubMed: 30139485]
- S22436. Dillon SM, Allessie MA, Ursell PC, Wit AL. Influences of anisotropic tissue structure on reentrant circuits in the epicardial border zone of subacute canine infarcts. *Circ Res*1988;63:182–206. [PubMed: 3383375]
- S22437. Downar E, Kimber S, Harris L, et al.Endocardial mapping of ventricular tachycardia in the intact human heart. II. Evidence for multiuse reentry in a functional sheet of surviving myocardium. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1992; 20:869–878. [PubMed: 1527297]
- S22438. de Bakker JM, van Capelle FJ, Janse MJ, et al.Reentry as a cause of ventricular tachycardia in patients with chronic ischemic heart disease: electrophysiologic and anatomic correlation. *Circulation*1988; 77:589–606. [PubMed: 3342490]
- S22439. Baba S, Dun W, Cabo C, Boyden PA. Remodeling in cells from different regions of the reentrant circuit during ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation*2005;112:2386–2396. [PubMed: 16203911]
- S22440. Peters NS, Wit AL. Myocardial architecture and ventricular arrhythmogenesis. *Circulation*1998;97:1746–1754. [PubMed: 9591770]
- S22441. de Bakker JM, van Capelle FJ, Janse MJ, et al.Slow conduction in the infarcted human heart. ‘Zigzag’ course of activation. *Circulation*1993; 88:915–926. [PubMed: 8353918]
- S22442. de Bakker JM, Stein M, van Rijen HV. Three-dimensional anatomic structure as substrate for ventricular tachycardia/ventricular fibrillation. *Heart Rhythm*2005;2:777–779. [PubMed: 15992738]
- S22443. Porta-Sanchez A, Jackson N, Lukac P, et al.Multicenter study of ischemic ventricular tachycardia ablation with decrement-evoked potential (DEEP) mapping with extra stimulus. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2018;4:307–315. [PubMed: 30089555]
- S22444. Raiman M, Tung R. Automated isochronal late activation mapping to identify deceleration zones: rationale and methodology of a practical electroanatomic mapping approach for ventricular tachycardia ablation. *Comput Biol Med*2018;102:336–340. [PubMed: 30033360]
- S22445. Bogun F, Good E, Reich S, et al.Isolated potentials during sinus rhythm and pace-mapping within scars as guides for ablation of post-infarction ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2006; 47:2013–2019. [PubMed: 16697318]
- S22446. Cheniti G, Vlachos K, Meo M, et al.Mapping and ablation of idiopathic ventricular fibrillation. *Front Cardiovasc Med*2018;5:123. [PubMed: 30280100]
- S22447. Kleber AG, Rudy Y. Basic mechanisms of cardiac impulse propagation and associated arrhythmias. *Physiol Rev*2004;84:431–488. [PubMed: 15044680]
- S22448. Caceres J, Jazayeri M, McKinnie J, et al.Sustained bundle branch reentry as a mechanism of clinical tachycardia. *Circulation*1989; 79:256–270. [PubMed: 2914345]

- S22449. Crijns HJ, Smeets JL, Rodriguez LM, Meijer A, Wellens HJ. Cure of interfascicular reentrant ventricular tachycardia by ablation of the anterior fascicle of the left bundle branch. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*1995; 6:486–492. [PubMed: 7551317]
- S22450. Huang J, Dossall DJ, Cheng KA, Li L, Rogers JM, Ideker RE. The importance of Purkinje activation in long duration ventricular fibrillation. *J Am Heart Assoc*2014;3:e000495. [PubMed: 24584738]
- S22451. Jeyaratnam J, Umapathy K, Masse S, et al.Relating spatial heterogeneities to rotor formation in studying human ventricular fibrillation. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc*2011;2011:231–234.
- S22452. Haissaguerre M, Shah DC, Jais P, et al.Role of Purkinje conducting system in triggering of idiopathic ventricular fibrillation. *Lancet*2002; 359:677–678. [PubMed: 11879868]
- S22453. Kim YH, Xie F, Yashima M, et al.Role of papillary muscle in the generation and maintenance of reentry during ventricular tachycardia and fibrillation in isolated swine right ventricle. *Circulation*1999; 100:1450–1459. [PubMed: 10500048]
- S22454. Haissaguerre M, Hocini M, Cheniti G, et al.Localized structural alterations underlying a subset of unexplained sudden cardiac death. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2018;11:e006120. [PubMed: 30002064]
- S231. Aliot EM, Stevenson WG, Almendral-Garrote JM, et al.EHRA/HRS expert consensus on catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias: developed in a partnership with the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC), and the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS); in collaboration with the American College of Cardiology (ACC) and the American Heart Association (AHA). *Heart Rhythm*2009; 6:886–933. [PubMed: 19467519]
- S232. Crosson JE, Callans DJ, Bradley DJ, et al.PACES/HRS expert consensus statement on the evaluation and management of ventricular arrhythmias in the child with a structurally normal heart. *Heart Rhythm*2014; 11:e55–e78. [PubMed: 24814375]
- S241. Yamada T, Kay GN. Anatomical consideration in catheter ablation of idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias. *Arrhythm Electrophysiol Rev*2016;5:203–209. [PubMed: 28116086]
- S242. Della Bella P, Maccabelli G, Carbucicchio C. Anatomical assessment for catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia. In: Auricchio A, ed. *Cardiac Imaging in Electrophysiology*. London: Springer-Verlag; 2012, p. 95–104.
- S243. Enriquez A, Malavassi F, Saenz LC, Supple G, Santangeli P, Marchlinski FE, Garcia FC. How to map and ablate left ventricular summit arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm*2017;14:141–148. [PubMed: 27664373]
- S244. Saremi F, Muresian H, Sanchez-Quintana D. Coronary veins: comprehensive CT-anatomic classification and review of variants and clinical implications. *Radiographics*2012;32:E1–E32. [PubMed: 22236907]
- S245. Ho SY. Anatomic insights for catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia. *Heart Rhythm*2009;6(Suppl. 8):S77–S80. [PubMed: 19539540]
- S246. Ho SY, Nihoyannopoulos P. Anatomy, echocardiography, and normal right ventricular dimensions. *Heart*2006;92(Suppl. 1):i2–i13. [PubMed: 16543598]
- S247. Sánchez-Quintana D, Ho SY, Climent V, Murillo M, Cabrera JA. Anatomic evaluation of the left phrenic nerve relevant to epicardial and endocardial catheter ablation: implications for phrenic nerve injury. *Heart Rhythm*2009;6:764–768. [PubMed: 19427272]
- S248. Yamada T, Litovsky SH, Kay GN. The left ventricular ostium: an anatomic concept relevant to idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2008;1:396–404. [PubMed: 19808434]
- S249. McAlpine WA. *Heart and Coronary Arteries: An Anatomical Atlas for Clinical Diagnosis, Radiological Investigation, and Surgical Treatment*. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1975.
- S311. Al-Khatib SM, Stevenson WG, Ackerman MJ, et al.2017 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *Heart Rhythm*2018;15:e73–e189. [PubMed: 29097319]

- S312. Shen WK, Sheldon RS, Benditt DG, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/HRS guideline for the evaluation and management of patients with syncope: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2017;70:e39–e110. [PubMed: 28286221]
- S313. Yarlagadda RK, Iwai S, Stein KM, et al. Reversal of cardiomyopathy in patients with repetitive monomorphic ventricular ectopy originating from the right ventricular outflow tract. *Circulation* 2005;112:1092–1097. [PubMed: 16103234]
- S314. Agarwal V, Vittinghoff E, Whitman IR, Dewland TA, Dukes JW, Marcus GM. Relation between ventricular premature complexes and incident heart failure. *Am J Cardiol* 2017;119:1238–1242. [PubMed: 28214002]
- S315. Heidbüchel H, Tack J, Vanneste L, Ballet A, Ector H, Van de Werf F. Significance of arrhythmias during the first 24 hours of acute myocardial infarction treated with alteplase and effect of early administration of a beta-blocker or a bradycardiac agent on their incidence. *Circulation* 1994;89:1051–1059. [PubMed: 8124790]
- S316. Moss AJ, Zareba W, Hall WJ, et al.; Multicenter Automatic Defibrillator Implantation Trial II Investigators. Prophylactic implantation of a defibrillator in patients with myocardial infarction and reduced ejection fraction. *N Engl J Med* 2002;346:877–883. [PubMed: 11907286]
- S317. Priori SG, Wilde AA, Horie M, et al. HRS/EHRA/APHRS expert consensus statement on the diagnosis and management of patients with inherited primary arrhythmia syndromes: document endorsed by HRS, EHRA, and APHRS in May 2013 and by ACCF, AHA, PACES, and AEPC in June 2013. *Heart Rhythm* 2013;10:1932–1963. [PubMed: 24011539]
- S318. Gersh BJ, Maron BJ, Bonow RO, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011; 58:e212–e260. [PubMed: 22075469]
- S319. Marcus FI, McKenna WJ, Sherrill D, et al. Diagnosis of arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia: proposed modification of the task force criteria. *Circulation* 2010;121:1533–1541. [PubMed: 20172911]
- S3110. Pedersen CT, Kay GN, Kalman J, et al. EHRA/HRS/APHRS expert consensus on ventricular arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm* 2014; 11:e166–e196. [PubMed: 25179489]
- S3111. Birnie DH, Sauer WH, Bogun F, et al. HRS expert consensus statement on the diagnosis and management of arrhythmias associated with cardiac sarcoidosis. *Heart Rhythm* 2014;11:1305–1323. [PubMed: 24819193]
- S3112. Ehret GB, Voide C, Gex-Fabry M, et al. Drug-induced long QT syndrome in injection drug users receiving methadone: high frequency in hospitalized patients and risk factors. *Arch Intern Med* 2006;166:1280–1287. [PubMed: 16801510]
- S3113. Choy AM, Lang CC, Chomsky DM, Rayos GH, Wilson JR, Roden DM. Normalization of acquired QT prolongation in humans by intravenous potassium. *Circulation* 1997;96:2149–2154. [PubMed: 9337183]
- S3114. Bardy GH, Lee KL, Mark DB, et al.; Sudden Cardiac Death in Heart Failure Trial (SCD-HeFT) Investigators. Amiodarone or an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator for congestive heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 352:225–237. [PubMed: 15659722]
- S3115. Antiarrhythmics versus Implantable Defibrillators (AVID) Investigators. A comparison of antiarrhythmic-drug therapy with implantable defibrillators in patients resuscitated from near-fatal ventricular arrhythmias. *N Engl J Med* 1997;337:1576–1583. [PubMed: 9411221]
- S3116. Calkins H, Shyr Y, Frumin H, Schork A, Morady F. The value of the clinical history in the differentiation of syncope due to ventricular tachycardia, atrioventricular block, and neurocardiogenic syncope. *Am J Med* 1995; 98:365–373. [PubMed: 7709949]
- S3117. Zimetbaum P, Josephson ME. Evaluation of patients with palpitations. *N Engl J Med* 1998;338:1369–1373. [PubMed: 9571258]
- S3211. Brugada P, Brugada J, Mont L, Smeets J, Andries EW. A new approach to the differential diagnosis of a regular tachycardia with a wide QRS complex. *Circulation* 1991;83:1649–1659. [PubMed: 2022022]

- S3212. Wellens HJ, Bar FW, Lie KI. The value of the electrocardiogram in the differential diagnosis of a tachycardia with a widened QRS complex. *Am J Med*1978;64:27–33. [PubMed: 623134]
- S3213. Verecke A, Duray G, Szenasi G, Altemose GT, Miller JM. New algorithm using only lead aVR for differential diagnosis of wide QRS complex tachycardia. *Heart Rhythm*2008;5:89–98. [PubMed: 18180024]
- S3214. Ohe T, Shimomura K, Aihara N, et al. Idiopathic sustained left ventricular tachycardia: clinical and electrophysiologic characteristics. *Circulation*1988;77:560–568. [PubMed: 3342487]
- S3215. Dixit S, Gerstenfeld EP, Callans DJ, Marchlinski FE. Electrocardiographic patterns of superior right ventricular outflow tract tachycardias: distinguishing septal and free-wall sites of origin. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2003;14:1–7. [PubMed: 12625602]
- S3216. Callans DJ, Menz V, Schwartzman D, Gottlieb CD, Marchlinski FE. Repetitive monomorphic tachycardia from the left ventricular outflow tract: electrocardiographic patterns consistent with a left ventricular site of origin. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1997;29:1023–1027. [PubMed: 9120154]
- S3217. Kanagaratnam L, Tomassoni G, Schweikert R, et al. Ventricular tachycardias arising from the aortic sinus of valsalva: an under-recognized variant of left outflow tract ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2001; 37:1408–1414. [PubMed: 11300454]
- S3218. Crawford T, Mueller G, Good E, et al. Ventricular arrhythmias originating from papillary muscles in the right ventricle. *Heart Rhythm*2010; 7:725–730. [PubMed: 20206325]
- S3219. Yamada T, McElderry HT, Okada T, et al. Idiopathic focal ventricular arrhythmias originating from the anterior papillary muscle in the left ventricle. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2009;20:866–872. [PubMed: 19298560]
- S32110. Li S, Wang Z, Shan Z, et al. Surface electrocardiography characteristics and radiofrequency catheter ablation of idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the left infero-septal papillary muscles: differences from those originating from the left posterior fascicle. *Europace*2018; 20:1028–1034. [PubMed: 28449078]
- S32111. Berruezo A, Mont L, Nava S, Chueca E, Bartholomay E, Brugada J. Electrocardiographic recognition of the epicardial origin of ventricular tachycardias. *Circulation*2004;109:1842–1847. [PubMed: 15078793]
- S32112. Daniels DV, Lu YY, Morton JB, et al. Idiopathic epicardial left ventricular tachycardia originating remote from the sinus of Valsalva: electrophysiological characteristics, catheter ablation, and identification from the 12-lead electrocardiogram. *Circulation*2006;113:1659–1666. [PubMed: 16567566]
- S32113. Bazan V, Gerstenfeld EP, Garcia FC, et al. Site-specific twelve-lead ECG features to identify an epicardial origin for left ventricular tachycardia in the absence of myocardial infarction. *Heart Rhythm*2007;4:1403–1410. [PubMed: 17954399]
- S32114. Valles E, Bazan V, Marchlinski FE. ECG Criteria to identify epicardial ventricular tachycardia in nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2010;3:63–71. [PubMed: 20008307]
- S32115. Bazan V, Bala R, Garcia FC, et al. Twelve-lead ECG features to identify ventricular tachycardia arising from the epicardial right ventricle. *Heart Rhythm*2006;3:1132–1139. [PubMed: 17018339]
- S32116. Perez-Rodon J, Martinez-Alday J, Baron-Esquivias G, et al. Prognostic value of the electrocardiogram in patients with syncope: data from the group for syncope study in the emergency room (GESINUR). *Heart Rhythm*2014;11:2035–2044. [PubMed: 24993462]
- S32117. Marcus FI, McKenna WJ, Sherrill D, et al. Diagnosis of arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia: proposed modification of the task force criteria. *Circulation*2010;121:1533–1541. [PubMed: 20172911]
- S32118. Baldasseroni S, Opasich C, Gorini M, et al. Left bundle-branch block is associated with increased 1-year sudden and total mortality rate in 5517 outpatients with congestive heart failure: a report from the Italian network on congestive heart failure. *Am Heart J*2002;143:398–405. [PubMed: 11868043]
- S32119. Desai AD, Yaw TS, Yamazaki T, Kaykha A, Chun S, Froelicher VF. Prognostic significance of quantitative QRS duration. *Am J Med*2006; 119:600–606. [PubMed: 16828632]

- S32120. Dhar R, Alsheikh-Ali AA, Estes NA 3rd, et al. Association of prolonged QRS duration with ventricular tachyarrhythmias and sudden cardiac death in the Multicenter Automatic Defibrillator Implantation Trial II (MADIT-II). *Heart Rhythm*2008;5:807–813. [PubMed: 18534364]
- S32121. Freedman RA, Alderman EL, Sheffield LT, Saporito M, Fisher LD. Bundle branch block in patients with chronic coronary artery disease: angiographic correlates and prognostic significance. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1987;10:73–80. [PubMed: 3597997]
- S32122. Iuliano S, Fisher SG, Karasik PE, Fletcher RD, Singh SN. QRS duration and mortality in patients with congestive heart failure. *Am Heart J*2002; 143:1085–1091. [PubMed: 12075267]
- S32123. Zimetbaum PJ, Buxton AE, Batsford W, et al. Electrocardiographic predictors of arrhythmic death and total mortality in the multicenter unsustained tachycardia trial. *Circulation*2004;110:766–769. [PubMed: 15289365]
- S3221. Solomon SD, Zelenkofske S, McMurray JJ, et al. Sudden death in patients with myocardial infarction and left ventricular dysfunction, heart failure, or both. *N Engl J Med*2005;352:2581–2588. [PubMed: 15972864]
- S3222. Gula LJ, Klein GJ, Hellkamp AS, et al. Ejection fraction assessment and survival: an analysis of the Sudden Cardiac Death in Heart Failure Trial (SCD-HeFT). *Am Heart J*2008;156:1196–1200. [PubMed: 19033019]
- S3223. Yoon Y, Ktagawa K, Kato S, et al. Prognostic value of unrecognized myocardial infarction detected by late gadolinium-enhanced MRI in diabetic patients with normal global and regional left ventricular systolic function. *Eur Radiol*2013;23:2101–2108. [PubMed: 23553584]
- S3224. Olivetto I, Maron M, Autore C, et al. Assessment and significance of left ventricular mass by cardiovascular magnetic resonance in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2008;52:559–566. [PubMed: 18687251]
- S3225. Desjardins B, Yokokawa M, Good E, et al. Characteristics of intramural scar in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy and relation to intramural ventricular arrhythmias. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2013;6:891–897. [PubMed: 23985383]
- S3226. Dweck M, Abgral R, Trivieri M, et al. Hybrid magnetic resonance imaging and positron emission tomography with fluorodeoxyglucose to diagnose active cardiac sarcoidosis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*2018;11:94–107. [PubMed: 28624396]
- S3227. Piers SR, Tao Q, van Huls van Taxis CF, Schalij MJ, van der Geest RJ, Zeppenfeld K. Contrast-enhanced MRI-derived scar patterns and associated ventricular tachycardias in nonischemic cardiomyopathy: implications for the ablation strategy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2013;6:875–883. [PubMed: 24036134]
- S3228. Brugada J, Aguinaga L, Mont L, Betriu A, Mulet J, Sanz G. Coronary artery revascularization in patients with sustained ventricular arrhythmias in the chronic phase of a myocardial infarction: effects on the electrophysiologic substrate and outcome. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2001;37:529–533. [PubMed: 11216974]
- S3229. Nageh M, Kim J, Chen L, Yao JF. Implantable defibrillators for secondary prevention of sudden cardiac death in cardiac surgery patients with perioperative ventricular arrhythmias. *J Am Heart Assoc*2014;3:e000686. [PubMed: 25146702]
- S32210. Elsokkari I, Parkash R, Gray C, et al. Effect of coronary revascularization on long-term clinical outcomes in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy and recurrent ventricular arrhythmia. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2018; 41:775–779. [PubMed: 29750365]
- S32211. Contijoch F, Rogers K, Rears H, et al. Quantification of left ventricular function with premature ventricular complexes reveals variable hemodynamics. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2016;9:e003520. [PubMed: 27009416]
- S32212. White JA, Fine NM, Gula L, et al. Utility of cardiovascular magnetic resonance in identifying substrate for malignant ventricular arrhythmias. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*2012;5:12–20. [PubMed: 22038987]
- S32213. Al-Khatib SA, Stevenson WG, Ackerman MJ, et al. 2017 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *Heart Rhythm*2018;15:e73–e189. [PubMed: 29097319]

- S32214. Birnie D, Sauer W, Bogun F. HRS expert consensus statement on the diagnosis and management of arrhythmias associated with cardiac sarcoidosis. *Heart Rhythm*2014;11:1305–1323. [PubMed: 24819193]
- S32215. Berntsen RF, Gunnes P, Lie M, Rasmussen K. Surgical revascularization in the treatment of ventricular tachycardia and fibrillation exposed by exercise-induced ischaemia. *Eur Heart J*1993;14:1297–1303. [PubMed: 8262074]
- S3231. Aquaro GD, Pingitore A, Strata E, Di Bella G, Molinaro S, Lombardi M. Cardiac magnetic resonance predicts outcome in patients with premature ventricular complexes of left bundle branch block morphology. *J Am Col Cardiol*2010;56:1235–1243.
- S3232. Yokokawa M, Siontis KC, Kim HM, et al. Value of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging and programmed ventricular stimulation in patients with frequent premature ventricular complexes undergoing radiofrequency ablation. *Heart Rhythm*2017;14:1695–1701. [PubMed: 28688990]
- S3233. Scott PA, Rosengarten JA, Curzen NP, Morgan JM. Late gadolinium enhancement cardiac magnetic resonance imaging for the prediction of ventricular tachyarrhythmic events: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Heart Fail*2013;15:1019–1027. [PubMed: 23558217]
- S3234. Kuruvilla S, Adenaw N, Katwal AB, Lipinski MJ, Kramer CM, Salerno M. Late gadolinium enhancement on cardiac magnetic resonance predicts adverse cardiovascular outcomes in nonischemic cardiomyopathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*2014; 7:250–258. [PubMed: 24363358]
- S3235. Di Marco A, Anguera I, Schmitt M, et al. Late gadolinium enhancement and the risk for ventricular arrhythmias or sudden death in dilated cardiomyopathy: systematic review and meta-analysis. *JACC Heart Fail*2017; 5:28–38. [PubMed: 28017348]
- S3236. Ganesan AN, Gunton J, Nucifora G, McGavigan AD, Selvanayagam JB. Impact of late gadolinium enhancement on mortality, sudden death and major adverse cardiovascular events in ischemic and nonischemic cardiomyopathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol*2018; 254:230–237. [PubMed: 29407096]
- S3237. Becker M, Cornel J, van de Ven P, van Rossum AC, Allaart CP, Germans T. The prognostic value of late gadolinium-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy: a review and meta-analysis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*2018;11:1274–1284. [PubMed: 29680351]
- S3238. Disertori M, Rigoni M, Pace N, et al. Myocardial fibrosis assessment by LGE is a powerful predictor of ventricular tachyarrhythmias in ischemic and nonischemic LV dysfunction: a meta-analysis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*2016;9:1046–1055. [PubMed: 27450871]
- S3239. Hasdemir C, Yuksel A, Camli D, et al. Late gadolinium enhancement CMR in patients with tachycardia-induced cardiomyopathy caused by idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2012; 35:465–470. [PubMed: 22303908]
- S32310. Sarrazin JF, Labounty T, Kuhne M, et al. Impact of radiofrequency ablation of frequent post-infarction premature ventricular complexes on left ventricular ejection fraction. *Heart Rhythm*2009;6:1543–1549. [PubMed: 19879531]
- S32311. El Kadri M, Yokokawa M, Labounty T, et al. Effect of ablation of frequent premature ventricular complexes on left ventricular function in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm*2015;12:706–713. [PubMed: 25527251]
- S32312. Oebel S, Dinov B, Arya A, et al. ECG morphology of premature ventricular contractions predicts the presence of myocardial fibrotic substrate on cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in patients undergoing ablation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2017;28:1316–1323. [PubMed: 28791747]
- S32313. Perez-David E, Arenal A, Rubio-Guivernau J, et al. Noninvasive identification of ventricular tachycardia-related conducting channels using contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in patients with chronic myocardial infarction: comparison of signal intensity scar mapping and endocardial voltage mapping. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2011;57:184–194. [PubMed: 21211689]
- S32314. Xie S, Desjardins B, Kubala M, et al. Association of regional epicardial right ventricular electrogram voltage amplitude and late gadolinium enhancement distribution on cardiac magnetic resonance in patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy: implications for ventricular tachycardia ablation. *Heart Rhythm*2018;15:987–993. [PubMed: 29501666]

- S32315. Wang Z, Zhang H, Peng H, et al. Voltage combined with pace mapping is simple and effective for ablation of noninducible premature ventricular contractions originating from the right ventricular outflow tract. *Clin Cardiol*2016;39:733–738. [PubMed: 28026917]
- S3241. Niwano SY, Wakisaka H, Niwano H, et al. Prognostic significance of frequent premature ventricular contractions originating from the ventricular outflow tract in patients with normal left ventricular function. *Heart*2009; 95:1230–1237. [PubMed: 19429571]
- S3242. Baman TS, Lange DC, Ilg KJ, et al. Relationship between burden of premature ventricular complexes and left ventricular function. *Heart Rhythm*2010;7:865–869. [PubMed: 20348027]
- S41. Halperin JL, Levine GN, Al-Khatib SM, et al. Further evolution of the ACC/AHA clinical practice guideline recommendation classification system: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*2016; 133:1426–1428. [PubMed: 26399660]
- S42. Al-Khatib SM, Stevenson WG, Ackerman MJ, et al. 2017 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *Heart Rhythm*2018; 15:e73–e189. [PubMed: 29097319]
- S411. Ling Z, Liu Z, Su L, et al. Radiofrequency ablation versus antiarrhythmic medication for treatment of ventricular premature beats from the right ventricular outflow tract: prospective randomized study. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:237–243. [PubMed: 24523413]
- S412. Zhang F, Yang B, Chen H, Ju W, Kojodjojo P, Cao K, Chen M. Magnetic versus manual catheter navigation for mapping and ablation of right ventricular outflow tract ventricular arrhythmias: a randomized controlled study. *Heart Rhythm*2013;10:1178–1183. [PubMed: 23692891]
- S413. Krittayaphong R, Sriratanasathavorn C, Dumavibhat C, et al. Electrocardiographic predictors of long term outcomes after radiofrequency ablation in patients with right-ventricular outflow tract tachycardia. *Europace*2006; 8:601–606. [PubMed: 16772366]
- S414. Vestal M, Wen MS, Yeh SJ, Wang CC, Lin FC, Wu D. Electrocardiographic predictors of failure and recurrence in patients with idiopathic right ventricular outflow tract tachycardia and ectopy who underwent radiofrequency catheter ablation. *J Electrocardiol*2003;36:327–332. [PubMed: 14661169]
- S415. Miyazawa K, Ueda M, Kondo Y, Hayashi T, Nakano M, Ishimura M, Nakano M, Kobayashi Y. Rapid mapping and differentiation in ventricular outflow tract arrhythmia using non-contact mapping. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2017;49:41–49. [PubMed: 28386822]
- S416. Akdeniz C, Gul EE, Celik N, Karacan M, Tuzcu V. Catheter ablation of idiopathic right ventricular arrhythmias in children with limited fluoroscopy. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2016;46:355–360. [PubMed: 27184808]
- S417. Morady F, Kadish AH, DiCarlo L, Kou WH, Winston S, deBuitler M, Calkins H, Rosenheck S, Sousa J. Long-term results of catheter ablation of idiopathic right ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation*1990;82:2093–2099. [PubMed: 2242533]
- S418. Liao Z, Zhan X, Wu S, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the pulmonary sinus cusp: prevalence, electrocardiographic/electrophysiological characteristics, and catheter ablation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2015;66:2633–2644. [PubMed: 26670064]
- S419. Bogun F, Crawford T, Reich S, Koelling TM, Armstrong W, Good E, Jongnarangsin K, Marine JE, Chugh A, Pelosi F, Oral H, Morady F. Radiofrequency ablation of frequent, idiopathic premature ventricular complexes: comparison with a control group without intervention. *Heart Rhythm*2007; 4:863–867. [PubMed: 17599667]
- S4110. Chen H, Shehata M, Swerdlow C, et al. Intramural outflow tract ventricular tachycardia: anatomy, mapping, and ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:978–981. [PubMed: 25336368]
- S4111. Teh AW, Reddy VY, Koruth JS, et al. Bipolar radiofrequency catheter ablation for refractory ventricular outflow tract arrhythmias. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2014;25:1093–1099. [PubMed: 24890707]
- S4112. Lamba J, Redfearn DP, Michael KA, Simpson CS, Abdollah H, Baranchuk A. Radiofrequency catheter ablation for the treatment of idiopathic premature ventricular contractions originating

- from the right ventricular outflow tract: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2014;37:73–78. [PubMed: 23980900]
- S4113. Calkins H, Kalbfleisch J, El-Atassi R, Langberg J, Morady F. Relation between efficacy of radiofrequency catheter ablation and site of origin of idiopathic ventricular tachycardia. *Am J Cardiol*1993;71:827–833. [PubMed: 8456762]
- S4114. Rodriguez LM, Smeets JL, Timmermans C, Wellens HJ. Predictors for successful ablation of right- and left-sided idiopathic ventricular tachycardia. *Am J Cardiol*1997;79:309–314. [PubMed: 9036750]
- S4115. Coggins DL, Lee RJ, Sweeney J, et al. Radiofrequency catheter ablation as a cure for idiopathic tachycardia of both left and right ventricular origin. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1994;23:1333–1341. [PubMed: 8176091]
- S4116. Wen MS, Yeh SJ, Wang CC, Lin FC, Chen IC, Wu D. Radiofrequency ablation therapy in idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia with no obvious structural heart disease. *Circulation*1994;89:1690–1696. [PubMed: 8149535]
- S4117. Movsowitz C, Schwartzman D, Callans DJ, et al. Idiopathic right ventricular outflow tract tachycardia: narrowing the anatomic location for successful ablation. *Am Heart J*1996;131:930–936. [PubMed: 8615312]
- S4118. Frey B, Kreiner G, Fritsch S, Veit F, Gossinger HD. Successful treatment of idiopathic left ventricular outflow tract tachycardia by catheter ablation or minimally invasive surgical cryoablation. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2000;23:870–876. [PubMed: 10833708]
- S4119. Krebs ME, Krause PC, Engelstein ED, Zipes DP, Miles WM. Ventricular tachycardias mimicking those arising from the right ventricular outflow tract. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2000;11:45–51. [PubMed: 10695461]
- S4120. Kumagai K, Fukuda K, Wakayama Y, et al. Electrocardiographic characteristics of the variants of idiopathic left ventricular outflow tract ventricular tachyarrhythmias. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2008;19:495–501. [PubMed: 18266673]
- S4121. Latchamsetty R, Yokokawa M, Morady F, et al. Multicenter outcomes for catheter ablation of idiopathic premature ventricular complexes. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2015;1:116–123. [PubMed: 29759353]
- S4122. Kamakura S, Shimizu W, Matsuo K, et al. Localization of optimal ablation site of idiopathic ventricular tachycardia from right and left ventricular outflow tract by body surface ECG. *Circulation*1998;98:1525–1533. [PubMed: 9769306]
- S4123. Yamada T, Yoshida N, Murakami Y, et al. Electrocardiographic characteristics of ventricular arrhythmias originating from the junction of the left and right coronary sinuses of Valsalva in the aorta: the activation pattern as a rationale for the electrocardiographic characteristics. *Heart Rhythm*2008;5:184–192. [PubMed: 18242537]
- S4124. Tada H, Naito S, Ito S, et al. Significance of two potentials for predicting successful catheter ablation from the left sinus of Valsalva for left ventricular epicardial tachycardia. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2004;27:1053–1059. [PubMed: 15305952]
- S4125. Ouyang F, Fotuhi P, Ho SY, et al. Repetitive monomorphic ventricular tachycardia originating from the aortic sinus cusp: electrocardiographic characterization for guiding catheter ablation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2002; 39:500–508. [PubMed: 11823089]
- S4126. Callans DJ, Menz V, Schwartzman D, Gottlieb CD, Marchlinski FE. Repetitive monomorphic tachycardia from the left ventricular outflow tract: electrocardiographic patterns consistent with a left ventricular site of origin. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1997;29:1023–1027. [PubMed: 9120154]
- S4127. Bala R, Garcia FC, Hutchinson MD, et al. Electrocardiographic and electrophysiologic features of ventricular arrhythmias originating from the right/left coronary cusp commissure. *Heart Rhythm*2010;7:312–322. [PubMed: 20097621]
- S4128. Tada H, Nogami A, Naito S, et al. Left ventricular epicardial outflow tract tachycardia: a new distinct subgroup of outflow tract tachycardia. *Jpn Circ J*2001;65:723–730. [PubMed: 11502049]
- S4129. Baman TS, Ilg KJ, Gupta SK, et al. Mapping and ablation of epicardial idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias from within the coronary venous system. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2010;3:274–279. [PubMed: 20400776]

- S4130. Carrigan T, Patel S, Yokokawa M, et al. Anatomic relationships between the coronary venous system, surrounding structures, and the site of origin of epicardial ventricular arrhythmias. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2014; 25:1336–1342. [PubMed: 25066476]
- S4131. Santangeli P, Marchlinski FE, Zado ES, et al. Percutaneous epicardial ablation of ventricular arrhythmias arising from the left ventricular summit: outcomes and electrocardiogram correlates of success. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:337–343. [PubMed: 25637596]
- S4132. Nagashima K, Choi EK, Lin KY, et al. Ventricular arrhythmias near the distal great cardiac vein: challenging arrhythmia for ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:906–912. [PubMed: 25110163]
- S4133. Yamada T, Murakami Y, Yoshida N, et al. Efficacy of electroanatomic mapping in the catheter ablation of premature ventricular contractions originating from the right ventricular outflow tract. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2007;19:187–194. [PubMed: 17891452]
- S4134. Wang Z, Zhang H, Peng H, et al. Voltage combined with pace mapping is simple and effective for ablation of noninducible premature ventricular contractions originating from the right ventricular outflow tract. *Clin Cardiol*2016;39:733–738. [PubMed: 28026917]
- S4135. Zhong L, Lee YH, Huang XM, et al. Relative efficacy of catheter ablation vs antiarrhythmic drugs in treating premature ventricular contractions: a single-center retrospective study. *Heart Rhythm*2014;11:187–193. [PubMed: 24157533]
- S421. Van Herendael H, Garcia F, Lin D, et al. Idiopathic right ventricular arrhythmias not arising from the outflow tract: prevalence, electrocardiographic characteristics, and outcome of catheter ablation. *Heart Rhythm*2011;8:511–518. [PubMed: 21129502]
- S422. Sadek MM, Benhayon D, Sureddi R, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the moderator band: electrocardiographic characteristics and treatment by catheter ablation. *Heart Rhythm*2015;12:67–75. [PubMed: 25240695]
- S423. Crawford T, Mueller G, Good E, et al. Ventricular arrhythmias originating from papillary muscles in the right ventricle. *Heart Rhythm*2010;7:725–730. [PubMed: 20206325]
- S424. Tada H, Tadokoro K, Miyaji K, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias arising from the pulmonary artery: prevalence, characteristics, and topography of the arrhythmia origin. *Heart Rhythm*2008;5:419–426. [PubMed: 18313601]
- S425. Tada H, Tadokoro K, Ito S, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the tricuspid annulus: prevalence, electrocardiographic characteristics, and results of radiofrequency catheter ablation. *Heart Rhythm*2007;4:7–16. [PubMed: 17198982]
- S426. Santoro F, DiBiase L, Hranitzky P, et al. Ventricular tachycardia originating from the septal papillary muscle of the right ventricle: electrocardiographic and electrophysiological characteristics. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2015; 26:145–150. [PubMed: 25229319]
- S427. Sasaki K, Sasaki S, Kimura M, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias arising from the basal septum of the right ventricle: characteristics and significance of junctional rhythm appearing during ablation. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2016;45:159–167. [PubMed: 26739485]
- S428. Yue-Chun L, Wen-Wu Z, Na-Dan Z, et al. Idiopathic premature ventricular contractions and ventricular tachycardias originating from the vicinity of tricuspid annulus: results of radiofrequency catheter ablation in thirty-five patients. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*2012;10:12–32.
- S429. Yamada T, Yoshida N, Itoh T, Litovsky SH, Doppalapudi H, McElderry HT, Kay GN. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the parietal band: electrocardiographic and electrophysiological characteristics and outcome of catheter ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2017; 10:e005099. [PubMed: 28794085]
- S4210. Ceresnak SR, Pass RH, Krumerman AK, Kim SG, Nappo L, Fisher JD. Characteristics of ventricular tachycardia arising from the inflow region of the right ventricle. *J Electrocardiol*2012;45:385–390. [PubMed: 22554461]
- S4211. Yamada T, Yoshida N, Litovsky SH, Itoh T, Doppalapudi H, Kay GN. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the infundibular muscles: prevalence, electrocardiographic and electrophysiological characteristics, and outcome of catheter ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2018;11:e005749. [PubMed: 29874168]

- S4212. Li T, Zhan XZ, Xue YM, et al. Combined approach improves the outcomes of catheter ablation of idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the vicinity of tricuspid annulus. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2014;37:624–629. [PubMed: 24456278]
- S4213. Lian-Pin W, Yue-Chun L, Jing-Lin Z, et al. Catheter ablation of idiopathic premature ventricular contractions and ventricular tachycardias originating from right ventricular septum. *PLoS One*2013;8:e67038. [PubMed: 23825610]
- S4214. Enriquez A, Pathak RK, Santangeli P, et al. Inferior lead discordance in ventricular arrhythmias: a specific marker for certain arrhythmia locations. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2017;28:1179–1186. [PubMed: 28677887]
- S4215. Doppalapudi H, Yamada T, McElderry HT, Plumb VJ, Epstein AE, Kay GN. Ventricular tachycardia originating from the posterior papillary muscle in the left ventricle: a distinct clinical syndrome. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2008;1:23–29. [PubMed: 19808390]
- S4216. Yamada T, McElderry HT, Okada T, et al. Idiopathic focal ventricular arrhythmias originating from the anterior papillary muscle in the left ventricle. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2009;20:866–872. [PubMed: 19298560]
- S4217. Yamada T, Doppalapudi H, McElderry HT, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the papillary muscles in the left ventricle: prevalence, electrocardiographic and electrophysiological characteristics, and results of the radiofrequency catheter ablation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2010;21:62–69. [PubMed: 19793147]
- S4218. Yamada T, Doppalapudi H, McElderry HT, et al. Electrocardiographic and electrophysiological characteristics in idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the papillary muscles in the left ventricle: relevance for catheter ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2010;3:324–331. [PubMed: 20558848]
- S4219. Bassil G, Liu CF, Markowitz SM, et al. Comparison of robotic magnetic navigation-guided and manual catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias arising from the papillary muscles. *Europace*2018;20(Suppl. 2):ii5–ii10. [PubMed: 29722854]
- S4220. Ban JE, Lee HS, Lee DI, et al. Electrophysiological characteristics related to outcome after catheter ablation of idiopathic ventricular arrhythmia originating from the papillary muscle in the left ventricle. *Korean Circ J*2013;43:811–818. [PubMed: 24385992]
- S4221. Yokokawa M, Good E, Desjardins B, et al. Predictors of successful catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias arising from the papillary muscles. *Heart Rhythm*2010;7:1654–1659. [PubMed: 20637311]
- S4222. Rivera S, Ricapito Mde L, Tomas L, et al. Results of cryoenergy and radiofrequency-based catheter ablation for treating ventricular arrhythmias arising from the papillary muscles of the left ventricle, guided by intracardiac echocardiography and image integration. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2016;9:e003874. [PubMed: 27069089]
- S4223. Al’Aref SJ, Ip JE, Markowitz SM, et al. Differentiation of papillary muscle from fascicular and mitral annular ventricular arrhythmias in patients with and without structural heart disease. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015; 8:616–624. [PubMed: 25925230]
- S4224. Wasmer K, Köbe J, Decherer DG, et al. Ventricular arrhythmias from the mitral annulus: patient characteristics, electrophysiological findings, ablation, and prognosis. *Heart Rhythm*2013;10:783–788. [PubMed: 23416375]
- S4225. Kumagai K, Yamauchi Y, Takahashi A, et al. Idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia originating from the mitral annulus. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2005;16:1029–1036. [PubMed: 16191111]
- S4226. Tada H, Ito S, Naito S, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmia arising from the mitral annulus: a distinct subgroup of idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2005;45:877–886. [PubMed: 15766824]
- S4227. Yue-Chun L, Cheng Z, Jun H, Jun-Hua C, Jing-Lin Z, Jia-Feng L. Catheter ablation of idiopathic premature ventricular contractions and ventricular tachycardias originating from the vicinity of endocardial and epicardial mitral annulus. *PLoS One*2013;8:e80777. [PubMed: 24312241]
- S4228. Yamada T, Doppalapudi H, McElderry HT, Kay GN. Idiopathic mitral annular PVCs with multiple breakthroughs and preferential conduction unmasked by radiofrequency catheter ablation. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2012;35:e112–e115. [PubMed: 21091747]

- S4229. Yamada T, Litovsky SH, Kay GN. The left ventricular ostium: an anatomic concept relevant to idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias. *Circ Arrhythmia Electrophysiol*2008;1:396–404.
- S4230. Chen J, Hoff PI, Rossvoll O, et al. Ventricular arrhythmias originating from the aortomitral continuity: an uncommon variant of left ventricular outflow tract tachycardia. *Europace*2012;14:388–395. [PubMed: 21979993]
- S4231. Hai JJ, Chahal AA, Friedman PA, et al. Electrophysiologic characteristics of ventricular arrhythmias arising from the aortic mitral continuity-potential role of the conduction system. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2015;26:158–163. [PubMed: 25425429]
- S4232. Yamada T, McElderry HT, Doppalapudi H, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the left ventricular summit: anatomic concepts relevant to ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2010;3:616–623. [PubMed: 20855374]
- S4233. Baman TS, Ilg KJ, Gupta SK, et al. Mapping and ablation of epicardial idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias from within the coronary venous system. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2010;3:274–279. [PubMed: 20400776]
- S4234. Mountantonakis SE, Frankel DS, Tschabrunn CM, et al. Ventricular arrhythmias from the coronary venous system: prevalence, mapping, and ablation. *Heart Rhythm*2015;12:1145–1153. [PubMed: 25766774]
- S4235. Meininger GR, Berger RD. Idiopathic ventricular tachycardia originating in the great cardiac vein. *Heart Rhythm*2006;3:464–466. [PubMed: 16567296]
- S4236. Yamada T, Doppalapudi H, Litovsky SH, McElderry HT, Kay GN. Challenging radiofrequency catheter ablation of idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the left ventricular summit near the left main coronary artery. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2016;9:e004202. [PubMed: 27729345]
- S4237. Yokokawa M, Latchamsetty R, Good E, et al. Ablation of epicardial ventricular arrhythmias from nonepicardial sites. *Heart Rhythm*2011;8:1525–1529. [PubMed: 21703218]
- S4238. Jauregui Abularach ME, Campos B, Park KM, et al. Ablation of ventricular arrhythmias arising near the anterior epicardial veins from the left sinus of Valsalva region: ECG features, anatomic distance, and outcome. *Heart Rhythm*2012;9:865–873. [PubMed: 22306618]
- S4239. Yokokawa M, Good E, Chugh A, et al. Intramural idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating in the intraventricular septum: mapping and ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2012;5:258–263. [PubMed: 22407415]
- S4240. Doppalapudi H, Yamada T, Ramaswamy K, Ahn J, Kay GN. Idiopathic focal epicardial ventricular tachycardia originating from the crux of the heart. *Heart Rhythm*2009;6:44–50. [PubMed: 19121799]
- S4241. Kawamura M, Gerstenfeld EP, Vedantham V, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmia originating from the cardiac crux or inferior septum. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:1152–1158. [PubMed: 25225238]
- S4242. Larroussi L, Badhwar N. Ventricular tachycardia arising from cardiac crux: electrocardiogram recognition and site of ablation. *Card Electrophysiol Clin*2016;8:109–113. [PubMed: 26920178]
- S4243. Yui Y, Sekiguchi Y, Nogami A, et al. Electrophysiological characteristics and radiofrequency catheter ablation treatment of idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias successfully ablated from the ostium of the coronary sinus. *Circ J*2017;81:1807–1815. [PubMed: 28652528]
- S4244. Komatsu Y, Otomo K, Taniguchi H, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias arising from the right ventricular septum close to the His bundle: features of the local electrogram at the optimal ablation site. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2011;22:878–885. [PubMed: 21332864]
- S4245. Yamada T, Plumb VJ, McElderry HT, Doppalapudi H, Epstein AE, Kay GN. Focal ventricular arrhythmias originating from the left ventricle adjacent to the membranous septum. *Europace*2010;12:1467–1474. [PubMed: 20682558]
- S4246. Wei HQ, Guo XG, Liu X, et al. Safety and efficacy of catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias with para-Hisian origin via a systematic direct approach from the aortic sinus cusp. *Heart Rhythm*2018;15:1626–1633. [PubMed: 29803021]
- S4247. Yamauchi Y, Aonuma K, Takahashi A, et al. Electrocardiographic characteristics of repetitive monomorphic right ventricular tachycardia originating near the His-bundle. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2005;16:1041–1048. [PubMed: 16191113]

- S4248. Komatsu Y, Taniguchi H, Miyazaki S, et al. Two distinct electrocardiographic forms of idiopathic ventricular arrhythmia originating in the vicinity of the His bundle. *Europace* 2012;14:1778–1785. [PubMed: 22622137]
- S4249. Enriquez A, Tapias C, Rodriguez D, et al. How to map and ablate parahisian ventricular arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm* 2018;15:1268–1274. [PubMed: 29522894]
- S4250. Santangeli P, Hutchinson MD, Supple GE, Callans DJ, Marchlinski FE, Garcia FC. Right atrial approach for ablation of ventricular arrhythmias arising from the left posterior-superior process of the left ventricle. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2016;9:e004048. [PubMed: 27412430]
- S4251. Li A, Zuberi Z, Bradfield JS, et al. Endocardial ablation of ventricular ectopic beats arising from the basal inferoseptal process of the left ventricle. *Heart Rhythm* 2018;15:1356–1362. [PubMed: 29709577]
- S4252. Tavares L, Dave A, Valderrabano M. Successful ablation of premature ventricular contractions originating from the inferoseptal process of the left ventricle using a coronary sinus approach. *Heart Rhythm Case Rep* 2018; 4:371–374. [PubMed: 30116711]
- S4253. Luo S, Zhan X, Ouyang F, et al. Catheter ablation of right-sided para-Hisian ventricular arrhythmias using a simple pacing strategy. *Heart Rhythm* 2019; 16:380–387. [PubMed: 30248458]
- S431. Latchamsetty RY, Morady M, Kim F, et al. Multicenter outcomes for catheter ablation of idiopathic premature ventricular complexes. *JACC Clinical Electrophysiol* 2015;1:116–123.
- S432. Singh SN, Fletcher RD, Fisher SG, et al. Amiodarone in patients with congestive heart failure and asymptomatic ventricular arrhythmia: survival trial of antiarrhythmic therapy in congestive heart failure. *N Engl J Med* 1995;333:77–82. [PubMed: 7539890]
- S433. Mountantonakis SE, Frankel DS, Gerstenfeld EP, et al. Reversal of outflow tract ventricular premature depolarization-induced cardiomyopathy with ablation: effect of residual arrhythmia burden and preexisting cardiomyopathy on outcome. *Heart Rhythm* 2011;8:1608–1614. [PubMed: 21699837]
- S434. Zang M, Zhang T, Mao J, Zhou S, He B. Beneficial effects of catheter ablation of frequent premature ventricular complexes on left ventricular function. *Heart* 2014;100:787–793. [PubMed: 24670420]
- S435. Lee A, Denman R, Haqqani HM. Ventricular ectopy in the context of left ventricular systolic dysfunction: risk factors and outcomes following catheter ablation. *Heart Lung Circ* 2019;28:379–388. [PubMed: 29482954]
- S436. Bogun F, Crawford T, Reich S, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of frequent, idiopathic premature ventricular complexes: comparison with a control group without intervention. *Heart Rhythm* 2007;4:863–867. [PubMed: 17599667]
- S437. Takemoto M, Yoshimura H, Ohba Y, et al. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of premature ventricular complexes from right ventricular outflow tract improves left ventricular dilation and clinical status in patients without structural heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;45:1259–1265. [PubMed: 15837259]
- S438. Baman TS, Lange DC, Ilg KJ, et al. Relationship between burden of premature ventricular complexes and left ventricular function. *Heart Rhythm* 2010;7:865–869. [PubMed: 20348027]
- S439. Yarlagadda RK, Iwai S, Stein KM, et al. Reversal of cardiomyopathy in patients with repetitive monomorphic ventricular ectopy originating from the right ventricular outflow tract. *Circulation* 2005;112:1092–1097. [PubMed: 16103234]
- S4310. Wijnmaalen AP, Delgado V, Schalij MJ, et al. Beneficial effects of catheter ablation on left ventricular and right ventricular function in patients with frequent premature ventricular contractions and preserved ejection fraction. *Heart* 2010;96:1275–1280. [PubMed: 20659945]
- S4311. Sarrazin JF, Labounty T, Kuhne M, et al. Impact of radiofrequency ablation of frequent post-infarction premature ventricular complexes on left ventricular ejection fraction. *Heart Rhythm* 2009;6:1543–1549. [PubMed: 19879531]
- S4312. El Kadri M, Yokokawa M, Labounty T, et al. Effect of ablation of frequent premature ventricular complexes on left ventricular function in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm* 2015;12:706–713. [PubMed: 25527251]

- S4313. Haïssaguerre M, Shoda M, Jaïs P, et al. Mapping and ablation of idiopathic ventricular fibrillation. *Circulation*2002;106:962–967. [PubMed: 12186801]
- S4314. Knecht S, Sacher F, Wright M, et al. Long-term follow-up of idiopathic ventricular fibrillation ablation: a multicenter study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2009; 54:522–528. [PubMed: 19643313]
- S4315. Peichl P, Cihák R, Kozeluhová M, Wichterle D, Vancura V, Kautzner J. Catheter ablation of arrhythmic storm triggered by monomorphic ectopic beats in patients with coronary artery disease. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2010;27:51–59. [PubMed: 19937101]
- S4316. Haïssaguerre M, Extramiana F, Hocini M, et al. Mapping and ablation of ventricular fibrillation associated with long-QT and Brugada syndromes. *Circulation*2003;108:925–928. [PubMed: 12925452]
- S4317. Sadek MM, Benhayon D, Sureddi R, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the moderator band: electrocardiographic characteristics and treatment by catheter ablation. *Heart Rhythm*2015;12:67–75. [PubMed: 25240695]
- S4318. Lakkireddy D, Di Biase L, Ryschon K, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of premature ventricular ectopy improves the efficacy of cardiac resynchronization therapy in nonresponders. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2012;60:1531–1539. [PubMed: 22999718]
- S4319. Kanei Y, Friedman M, Ogawa N, Hanon S, Lam P, Schweitzer P. Frequent premature ventricular complexes originating from the right ventricular outflow tract are associated with left ventricular dysfunction. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol*2008;13:81–85. [PubMed: 18234010]
- S4320. Shanmugam N, Chua TP, Ward D. ‘Frequent’ ventricular bigeminy—a reversible cause of dilated cardiomyopathy. How frequent is ‘frequent’? *Eur J Heart Fail*2006;8:869–873. [PubMed: 16714145]
- S4321. Yokokawa M, Kim HM, Good E, et al. Relation of symptoms and symptom duration to premature ventricular complex-induced cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm*2012;9:92–95. [PubMed: 21855522]
- S4322. Olgun H, Yokokawa M, Baman T, et al. The role of interpolation in PVC-induced cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm*2011;8:1046–1049. [PubMed: 21376837]
- S441. Sapp JL, Wells GA, Parkash R, et al. Ventricular tachycardia ablation versus escalation of antiarrhythmic drugs. *N Engl J Med*2016;375:111–121. [PubMed: 27149033]
- S442. Stevenson WG, Wilber DJ, Natale A, et al.; Multicenter Thermocool VT Ablation Trial Investigators. Irrigated radiofrequency catheter ablation guided by electroanatomic mapping for recurrent ventricular tachycardia after myocardial infarction: the multicenter thermocool ventricular tachycardia ablation trial. *Circulation*2008;118:2773–2782. [PubMed: 19064682]
- S443. Tanner H, Hindricks G, Volkmer M, et al. Catheter ablation of recurrent scar-related ventricular tachycardia using electroanatomical mapping and irrigated ablation technology: results of the prospective multicenter Euro-VT-study. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2010;21:47–53. [PubMed: 19656251]
- S444. Marchlinski FE, Haffajee CI, Beshai JF, et al. Long-term success of irrigated radiofrequency catheter ablation of sustained ventricular tachycardia: post-approval THERMOCOOL VT trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2016;67:674–683. [PubMed: 26868693]
- S445. Carbucicchio C, Santamaria M, Trevisi N, et al. Catheter ablation for the treatment of electrical storm in patients with implantable cardioverter-defibrillators: short- and long-term outcomes in a prospective single-center study. *Circulation*2008;117:462–469. [PubMed: 18172038]
- S446. Deneke T, Shin D, Lawo T, et al. Catheter ablation of electrical storm in a collaborative hospital network. *Am J Cardiol*2011;108:233–239. [PubMed: 21529742]
- S447. Muser D, Liang JJ, Pathak RK, et al. Long-term outcomes of catheter ablation of electrical storm in nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy compared with ischemic cardiomyopathy. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2017; 3:767–778. [PubMed: 29759543]
- S448. Kumar S, Fujii A, Kapur S, et al. Beyond the storm: comparison of clinical factors, arrhythmogenic substrate, and catheter ablation outcomes in structural heart disease patients with versus those without a history of ventricular tachycardia storm. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2017; 28:56–67. [PubMed: 27781325]

- S449. Nayyar S, Ganesan AN, Brooks AG, Sullivan T, Roberts-Thomson KC, Sanders P. Venturing into ventricular arrhythmia storm: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J*2013;34:560–569. [PubMed: 23264584]
- S4410. Reddy VY, Reynolds MR, Neuzil P, et al. Prophylactic catheter ablation for the prevention of defibrillator therapy. *N Engl J Med*2007; 357:2657–2665. [PubMed: 18160685]
- S4411. Kuck KH, Schaumann A, Eckhardt L, et al.; for the VTACH Study Group. Catheter ablation of stable ventricular tachycardia before defibrillator implantation in patients with coronary heart disease (VTACH): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*2010;375:31–40. [PubMed: 20109864]
- S4412. Al-Khatib SM, Daubert JP, Anstrom KJ, et al. Catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia in patients with an implantable cardioverter defibrillator (CALYPSO) pilot trial. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2015;26:151–157. [PubMed: 25332150]
- S4413. Kuck KH, Tilz RR, Deneke T, et al.; SMS Investigators. Impact of substrate modification by catheter ablation on implantable cardioverter–defibrillator interventions in patients with unstable ventricular arrhythmias and coronary artery disease: results from the multicenter randomized controlled SMS (Substrate Modification Study). *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2017; 10:e004422. [PubMed: 28292751]
- S4414. Martinez BK, Baker WL, Konopka A, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in ischemic heart disease. *Heart Rhythm*2019;10 [Epub ahead of print].
- S4415. Littmann L, Svenson RH, Gallagher JJ, et al. Functional role of the epicardium in postinfarction ventricular tachycardia: observations derived from computerized epicardial activation mapping, entrainment, and epicardial laser photoablation. *Circulation*1991;83:1577–1591. [PubMed: 2022017]
- S4416. Sosa E, Scanavacca M, d'Avila A, Oliveira F, Ramires JA. Nonsurgical transthoracic epicardial catheter ablation to treat recurrent ventricular tachycardia occurring late after myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2000; 35:1442–1449. [PubMed: 10807445]
- S4417. Schmidt B, Chun KR, Baensch D, Antz M, Koektuerk B, Tilz RR, Metzner A, Ouyang F, Kuck KH. Catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia after failed endocardial ablation: epicardial substrate or inappropriate endocardial ablation? *Heart Rhythm*2010;7:1746–1752. [PubMed: 20709191]
- S4418. Di Biase L, Santangeli P, Burkhardt DJ, et al. Endo-epicardial homogenization of the scar versus limited endocardial substrate ablation for the treatment of electrical storms in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2012;60:132–141. [PubMed: 22766340]
- S4419. Izquierdo M, Sánchez-Gómez JM, Ferrero de Loma-Osorio A, Martínez A, Bellver A, Peláez A, Núñez J, Núñez C, Chorro J, Ruiz-Granell R. Endo-epicardial versus only-endocardial ablation as a first line strategy for the treatment of ventricular tachycardia in patients with ischemic heart disease. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:882–889. [PubMed: 26056239]
- S4420. Deyell MW, Steinberg C, Doucette S, et al. Mexiletine or catheter ablation after amiodarone failure in the VANISH trial. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2018;29:603–608. [PubMed: 29356207]
- S4421. Izquierdo M, Ruiz-Granell R, Ferrero A, et al. Ablation or conservative management of electrical storm due to monomorphic ventricular tachycardia: differences in outcome. *Europace*2012;14:1734–1739. [PubMed: 22696517]
- S4422. Morawski S, Pruszkowska P, Sredniawa B, Lenarczyk R, Kalarus Z. Long-term outcome of catheter ablation and other form of therapy for electrical storm in patients with implantable cardioverter-defibrillators. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2017;50:227–234. [PubMed: 29064045]
- S4423. Palaniswamy C, Kolte D, Harikrishnan P, et al. Catheter ablation of postinfarction ventricular tachycardia: ten-year trends in utilization, in-hospital complications, and in-hospital mortality in the United States. *Heart Rhythm*2014;11:2056–2063. [PubMed: 25016150]
- S4424. Sapp J, Nova Scotia Health Authority. Antiarrhythmics or Ablation for Ventricular Tachycardia 2 (VANISH2). Available at <https://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT02830360>.
- S4425. Maury P, Baratto F, Zeppenfeld K, et al. Radio-frequency ablation as primary management of well-tolerated sustained monomorphic ventricular tachycardia in patients with structural

- heart disease and left ventricular ejection fraction over 30%. *Eur Heart J*2014;35:1479–1485. [PubMed: 24536081]
- S4426. Pauriah M, Cismaru G, Magnin-Poull I, et al. A stepwise approach to the management of postinfarct ventricular tachycardia using catheter ablation as the first-line treatment: a single-center experience. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2013;6:351–356. [PubMed: 23512203]
- S4427. Della Bella P, De Ponti R, Uriarte JA, et al. Catheter ablation and antiarrhythmic drugs for haemodynamically tolerated post-infarction ventricular tachycardia: long-term outcome in relation to acute electrophysiological findings. *Eur Heart J*2002;23:414–424. [PubMed: 11846499]
- S4428. Tung R, Michowitz Y, Yu R, et al. Epicardial ablation of ventricular tachycardia: an institutional experience of safety and efficacy. *Heart Rhythm*2013;10:490–498. [PubMed: 23246598]
- S4429. Sarkozy A, Tokuda M, Tedrow UB, et al. Epicardial ablation of ventricular tachycardia in ischemic heart disease. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2013; 6:1115–1122. [PubMed: 24107459]
- S4430. Hendriks AA, Khan M, Geller L, et al. Ventricular tachycardia in ischemic cardiomyopathy; a combined endo-epicardial ablation as the first procedure versus a stepwise approach (EPILOGUE)—study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials*2015;16:487. [PubMed: 26514959]
- S4431. Acosta J, Fernández-Armenta J, Penela D, et al. Infarct transmuralty as a criterion for first-line endo-epicardial substrate-guided ventricular tachycardia ablation in ischemic cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm*2016; 13:85–95. [PubMed: 26165946]
- S451. Muser D, Santangeli P, Castro SA, et al. Long-term outcome after catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2016;9:e004328. [PubMed: 27733494]
- S452. Proietti R, Essebag V, Beardsall J, et al. Substrate-guided ablation of haemodynamically tolerated and intolerated ventricular tachycardia in patients with structural heart disease: effect of cardiomyopathy type and acute success on long-term outcome. *Europace*2015;17:461–467. [PubMed: 25488957]
- S453. Dinov B, Arya A, Bertagnolli L, et al. Early referral for ablation of scar-related ventricular tachycardia is associated with improved acute and long-term outcomes: results from the Heart Center of Leipzig ventricular tachycardia registry. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:1144–1151. [PubMed: 25262159]
- S454. Dinov B, Fiedler L, Schönbauer R, et al. Outcomes in catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in dilated nonischemic cardiomyopathy compared with ischemic cardiomyopathy: results from the Prospective Heart Centre of Leipzig VT (HELP-VT) study. *Circulation*2014;129:728–736. [PubMed: 24211823]
- S455. Tokuda M, Tedrow UB, Kojodjojo P, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in nonischemic heart disease. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2012;5:992–1000. [PubMed: 22942218]
- S456. Tung R, Vaseghi M, Frankel DS, et al. Freedom from recurrent ventricular tachycardia after catheter ablation is associated with improved survival in patients with structural heart disease: an International VT Ablation Center Collaborative Group study. *Heart Rhythm*2015;12:1997–2007. [PubMed: 26031376]
- S457. Muser D, Liang JJ, Pathak RK, et al. Long-term outcomes of catheter ablation of electrical storm in nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy compared with ischemic cardiomyopathy. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2017;3:767–778. [PubMed: 29759543]
- S458. Arya A, Bode K, Piorkowski C, et al. Catheter ablation of electrical storm due to monomorphic ventricular tachycardia in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy: acute results and its effect on long-term survival. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2010;33:1504–1509. [PubMed: 20636312]
- S459. Carbucicchio C, Santamaria M, Trevisi N, et al. Catheter ablation for the treatment of electrical storm in patients with implantable cardioverter-defibrillators: short- and long-term outcomes in a prospective single-center study. *Circulation*2008;117:462–469. [PubMed: 18172038]
- S4510. Hu J, Zeng S, Zhou Q, et al. Can ventricular tachycardia non-inducibility after ablation predict reduced ventricular tachycardia recurrence and mortality in patients with non-ischemic cardiomyopathy? A meta-analysis of twentyfour observational studies. *Int J Cardiol*2016;222:689–695. [PubMed: 27521538]

- S4511. Della Bella P, Brugada J, Zeppenfeld K, et al. Epicardial ablation for ventricular tachycardia: a European multicenter study. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2011;4:653–659. [PubMed: 21841191]
- S4512. Sacher F, Roberts-Thomson K, Maury P, et al. Epicardial ventricular tachycardia ablation a multicenter safety study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2010;55:2366–2372. [PubMed: 20488308]
- S4513. Cano O, Hutchinson M, Lin D, et al. Electroanatomic substrate and ablation outcome for suspected epicardial ventricular tachycardia in left ventricular nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2009;54:799–808. [PubMed: 19695457]
- S4514. Jelic D, Joel B, Good E, et al. Role of radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in cardiac sarcoidosis: report from a multicenter registry. *Heart Rhythm*2009;6:189–195. [PubMed: 19187909]
- S4515. Naruse Y, Sekiguchi Y, Nogami A, et al. Systematic treatment approach to ventricular tachycardia in cardiac sarcoidosis. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:407–413. [PubMed: 24837644]
- S4516. Kumar S, Barbhaiya C, Nagashima K, et al. Ventricular tachycardia in cardiac sarcoidosis: characterization of ventricular substrate and outcomes of catheter ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:87–93. [PubMed: 25527825]
- S4517. Muser D, Santangeli P, Pathak RK, et al. Long-term outcomes of catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with cardiac sarcoidosis. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2016;9:e004333. [PubMed: 27516457]
- S4518. Papageorgiou N, Providência R, Bronis K, et al. Catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia in patients with cardiac sarcoidosis: a systematic review. *Europace*2018;20:682–691. [PubMed: 28444174]
- S4519. Kumar S, Androulakis AF, Sellal JM, et al. Multicenter experience with catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia in lamin A/C cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2016;9:e004357. [PubMed: 27506821]
- S4520. Delacretaz E, Stevenson WG, Ellison KE, Maisel WH, Friedman PL. Mapping and radiofrequency catheter ablation of the three types of sustained monomorphic ventricular tachycardia in nonischemic heart disease. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2000;11:11–17. [PubMed: 10695454]
- S4521. Soejima K, Stevenson WG, Sapp JL, Selwyn AP, Couper G, Epstein LM. Endocardial and epicardial radiofrequency ablation of ventricular tachycardia associated with dilated cardiomyopathy: the importance of low-voltage scars. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2004;43:1834–1842. [PubMed: 15145109]
- S4522. Nakahara S, Tung R, Ramirez RJ, et al. Characterization of the arrhythmogenic substrate in ischemic and nonischemic cardiomyopathy implications for catheter ablation of hemodynamically unstable ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2010;55:2355–2365. [PubMed: 20488307]
- S4523. Liuba I, Marchlinski FE. The substrate and ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circ J*2013;77:1957–1966. [PubMed: 23856652]
- S4524. Bänsch D, Böcker D, Brunn J, Weber M, Breithardt G, Block M. Clusters of ventricular tachycardias signify impaired survival in patients with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy and implantable cardioverter defibrillators. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2000;36:566–573. [PubMed: 10933373]
- S4525. Hohnloser SH, Al-Khalidi HR, Pratt CM, et al.; Shock Inhibition Evaluation with AzimiLiDe (SHIELD) Investigators. Electrical storm in patients with an implantable defibrillator: incidence, features, and preventive therapy: insights from a randomized trial. *Eur Heart J*2006;27:3027–3032. [PubMed: 17050586]
- S4526. Poole JE, Johnson GW, Hellkamp AS, et al. Prognostic importance of defibrillator shocks in patients with heart failure. *N Engl J Med*2008; 359:1009–1017. [PubMed: 18768944]
- S4527. Gasparini M, Menozzi C, Proclemer A, et al. A simplified biventricular defibrillator with fixed long detection intervals reduces implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) interventions and heart failure hospitalizations in patients with non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy implanted for primary prevention: the RELEVANT [Role of long dEtection window programming in patients

- with Left Ventricular dysfunction, Non-ischemic Etiology in primary prevention treated with a biventricular ICD] study. *Eur Heart J*2009; 30:2758–2767. [PubMed: 19567380]
- S4528. Santangeli P, Muser D, Maeda S, et al. Comparative effectiveness of antiarrhythmic drugs and catheter ablation for the prevention of recurrent ventricular tachycardia in patients with implantable cardioverter-defibrillators: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Heart Rhythm*2016;13:1552–1559. [PubMed: 26961297]
- S4529. Packer DL, Prutkin JM, Hellkamp AS, et al. Impact of implantable cardioverter-defibrillator, amiodarone, and placebo on the mode of death in stable patients with heart failure: analysis from the sudden cardiac death in heart failure trial. *Circulation*2009;120:2170–2176. [PubMed: 19917887]
- S4530. Göke Ian Y, Mohanty S, Gianni C, et al. Scar homogenization versus limited-substrate ablation in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy and ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2016;68:1990–1998. [PubMed: 27788854]
- S4531. Della Bella P, Baratto F, Tsiachris D, et al. Management of ventricular tachycardia in the setting of a dedicated unit for the treatment of complex ventricular arrhythmias: long-term outcome after ablation. *Circulation*2013; 127:1359–1368. [PubMed: 23439513]
- S4532. Komatsu Y, Maury P, Sacher F, et al. Impact of substrate-based ablation of ventricular tachycardia on cardiac mortality in patients with implantable cardioverter-defibrillators. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2015; 26:1230–1238. [PubMed: 26332030]
- S4533. Pasotti M, Klersy C, Pilotto A, et al. Long-term outcome and risk stratification in dilated cardiomyopathies. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2008; 52:1250–1260. [PubMed: 18926329]
- S4534. Kumar S, Baldinger SH, Gandjbakhch E, et al. Long-term arrhythmic and nonarrhythmic outcomes of Lamin A/C mutation carriers. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2016;68:2299–2307. [PubMed: 27884249]
- S461. Cohen TJ, Chien WW, Lurie KG, et al. Radiofrequency catheter ablation for treatment of bundle branch reentrant ventricular tachycardia: results and long-term follow-up. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1991;18:1767–1773. [PubMed: 1960328]
- S462. Blank Z, Dhala A, Deshpande S, Sra J, Jazayeri M, Akhtar M. Bundle branch reentrant ventricular tachycardia: cumulative experience in 48 patients. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*1993;4:253–262. [PubMed: 8269297]
- S463. Mehdirdad AA, Keim S, Rist K, Tchou P. Long-term clinical outcome of right bundle branch radiofrequency catheter ablation for treatment of bundle branch reentrant ventricular tachycardia. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*1995; 18(12 Pt 1):2135–2143. [PubMed: 8771124]
- S464. Pathak RK, Fahed J, Santangeli P, et al. Long-term outcome of catheter ablation for treatment of bundle branch re-entrant tachycardia. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2018;4:331–338. [PubMed: 30089558]
- S465. Narasimhan C, Jazayeri MR, Sra J, et al. Ventricular tachycardia in valvular heart disease: facilitation of bundle-branch reentry by valve surgery. *Circulation*1997;96:4307–4313. [PubMed: 9416897]
- S466. Li YG, Grönfeld G, Israel C, Bogun F, Hohnloser SH. Bundle branch reentrant tachycardia in patients with apparent normal His-Purkinje conduction: the role of functional conduction impairment. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2002;13:1233–1239. [PubMed: 12521339]
- S467. Schmidt B, Tang M, Chun KR, et al. Left bundle branch-Purkinje system in patients with bundle branch reentrant tachycardia: lessons from catheter ablation and electroanatomic mapping. *Heart Rhythm*2009;6:51–58. [PubMed: 19121800]
- S468. Blanck Z, Jazayeri M, Dhala A, Deshpande S, Sra J, Akhtar M. Bundle branch reentry: a mechanism of ventricular tachycardia in the absence of myocardial or valvular dysfunction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1993;22:1718–1722. [PubMed: 8227845]
- S469. Chen H, Shi L, Yang B, et al. Electrophysiological characteristics of bundle branch reentry ventricular tachycardia in patients without structural heart disease. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2018;11:e006049. [PubMed: 29986947]
- S4610. Nogami A, Naito S, Tada H, et al. Demonstration of diastolic and presystolic Purkinje potentials as critical potentials in a macroreentry circuit of verapamil-sensitive idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2000;36:811–823. [PubMed: 10987604]

- S4611. Ouyang F, Cappato R, Ernst S, et al. Electroanatomic substrate of idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia: unidirectional block and macroreentry within the Purkinje network. *Circulation* 2002;105:462–469. [PubMed: 11815429]
- S4612. Liu Y, Fang Z, Yang B, et al. Catheter ablation of fascicular ventricular tachycardia: long-term clinical outcomes and mechanisms of recurrence. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2015;8:1443–1451. [PubMed: 26386017]
- S4613. Nakagawa H, Beckman KJ, McClelland JH, et al. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia guided by a Purkinje potential. *Circulation* 1993;88:2607–2617. [PubMed: 8252671]
- S4614. Chen M, Yang B, Zou J, et al. Non-contact mapping and linear ablation of the left posterior fascicle during sinus rhythm in the treatment of idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia. *Europace* 2005;7:138–144. [PubMed: 15763527]
- S4615. Kottkamp H, Chen X, Hindricks G, et al. Idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia: new insights into electrophysiological characteristics and radiofrequency catheter ablation. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 1995;18:1285–1297. [PubMed: 7659584]
- S4616. Lin D, Hsia HH, Gerstenfeld EP, et al. Idiopathic fascicular left ventricular tachycardia: linear ablation lesion strategy for noninducible or nonsustained tachycardia. *Heart Rhythm* 2005;2:934–939. [PubMed: 16171747]
- S4617. Tada H, Nogami A, Naito S, et al. Retrograde Purkinje potential activation during sinus rhythm following catheter ablation of idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 1998;9:1218–1224. [PubMed: 9835267]
- S4618. Tsuchiya T, Okumura K, Honda T, et al. Significance of late diastolic potential preceding Purkinje potential in verapamil-sensitive idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation* 1999;99:2408–2413. [PubMed: 10318662]
- S4619. Wen MS, Yeh SJ, Wang CC, Lin FC, Wu D. Successful radiofrequency ablation of idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia at a site away from the tachycardia exit. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1997;30:1024–1031. [PubMed: 9316534]
- S4620. Arya A, Haghjoo M, Emkanjoo Z, et al. Comparison of presystolic Purkinje and late diastolic potentials for selection of ablation site in idiopathic verapamil sensitive left ventricular tachycardia. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol* 2004; 11:135–141. [PubMed: 15383777]
- S4621. Liu Q, Shehata M, Jiang R, et al. Macroreentrant loop in ventricular tachycardia from the left posterior fascicle: new implications for mapping and ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2016;9:e004272. [PubMed: 27635071]
- S4622. Guo XG, Liu X, Zhou GB, et al. Clinical, electrocardiographic, and electrophysiological characteristics of left upper septal fascicular ventricular tachycardia. *Europace* 2018;20:673–681. [PubMed: 28160481]
- S4623. Collins KK, Schaffer MS, Liberman L, et al. Fascicular and nonfascicular left ventricular tachycardias in the young: an international multicenter study. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2013;24:640–648. [PubMed: 23437865]
- S4624. Suzuki T, Nakamura Y, Yoshida S, et al. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of idiopathic left anterior fascicular ventricular tachycardia in children. *Heart Rhythm* 2014;11:1948–1956. [PubMed: 24954241]
- S4625. Fishberger SB, Olen MM, Rollinson NL, Rossi AF. Creation of partial fascicular block: an approach to ablation of idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia in the pediatric population. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2015;38:209–215. [PubMed: 25469902]
- S4626. Saul JP, Kanter RJ, Abrams D, et al. PACES/HRS expert consensus statement on the use of catheter ablation in children and patients with congenital heart disease: developed in partnership with the Pediatric and Congenital Electrophysiology Society (PACES) and the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS). Endorsed by the governing bodies of PACES, HRS, the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), the American Heart Association (AHA), and the Association for European Pediatric and Congenital Cardiology (AEPC). *Heart Rhythm* 2016;13:e251–e289. [PubMed: 26899545]

- S4627. Talib AK, Nogami A, Morishima I, et al. Non-reentrant fascicular tachycardia: clinical and electrophysiological characteristics of a distinct type of idiopathic ventricular tachycardia. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2016; 9:e004177. [PubMed: 27729344]
- S4628. Lopera G, Stevenson WG, Soejima K, et al. Identification and ablation of three types of ventricular tachycardia involving the His-Purkinje system in patients with heart disease. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2004; 15:52–58. [PubMed: 15028072]
- S4629. Gonzalez RP, Scheinman MM, Lesh MD, Helmy I, Torres V, Van Hare GF. Clinical and electrophysiologic spectrum of fascicular tachycardias. *Am Heart J* 1994; 128:147–156. [PubMed: 8017268]
- S4630. Nogami A. Purkinje-related arrhythmias. Part I: monomorphic ventricular tachycardias. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2011; 34:624–650. [PubMed: 21410719]
- S4631. Hayashi M, Kobayashi Y, Iwasaki YK, et al. Novel mechanism of postinfarction ventricular tachycardia originating in surviving left posterior Purkinje fibers. *Heart Rhythm* 2006; 3:908–918. [PubMed: 16876739]
- S4632. Bogun F, Good E, Reich S, et al. Role of Purkinje fibers in post-infarction ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006; 48:2500–2507. [PubMed: 17174189]
- S4633. Crosson JE, Callans DJ, Bradley DJ, et al. PACES/HRS expert consensus statement on the evaluation and management of ventricular arrhythmias in the child with a structurally normal heart. *Heart Rhythm* 2014; 11:e55–e78. [PubMed: 24814375]
- S4634. Ozer S, Allen S, Schaffer MS. Adenosine- and verapamil-sensitive ventricular tachycardia in the newborn. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2001; 24:898–901. [PubMed: 11388113]
- S471. Gatzoulis MA, Till JA, Somerville J, Redington AN. Mechanoelectrical interaction in tetralogy of Fallot. QRS prolongation relates to right ventricular size and predicts malignant ventricular arrhythmias and sudden death. *Circulation* 1995; 92:231–237. [PubMed: 7600655]
- S472. Harrison DA, Harris L, Siu SC, et al. Sustained ventricular tachycardia in adult patients late after repair of tetralogy of Fallot. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1997; 30:1368–1373. [PubMed: 9350941]
- S473. Knauth AL, Gauvreau K, Powell AJ, et al. Ventricular size and function assessed by cardiac MRI predict major adverse clinical outcomes late after tetralogy of Fallot repair. *Heart* 2008; 94:211–216. [PubMed: 17135219]
- S474. Diller GP, Kempny A, Liodakis E, et al. Left ventricular longitudinal function predicts life-threatening ventricular arrhythmia and death in adults with repaired tetralogy of Fallot. *Circulation* 2012; 125:2440–2446. [PubMed: 22496160]
- S475. Koyak Z, Harris L, de Groot JR, et al. Sudden cardiac death in adult congenital heart disease. *Circulation* 2012; 126:1944–1954. [PubMed: 22991410]
- S476. Koyak Z, de Groot JR, Bouma BJ, et al. Sudden cardiac death in adult congenital heart disease: can the unpredictable be foreseen? *Europace* 2017; 19:401–406. [PubMed: 27247006]
- S477. Deal BJ, Scagliotti D, Miller SM, Gallastegui JL, Hariman RJ, Levitsky S. Electrophysiologic drug testing in symptomatic ventricular arrhythmias after repair of tetralogy of Fallot. *Am J Cardiol* 1987; 59:1380–1385. [PubMed: 3591695]
- S478. Oechslin EN, Harrison DA, Harris L, et al. Reoperation in adults with repair of tetralogy of Fallot: indications and outcomes. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1999; 118:245–251. [PubMed: 10424997]
- S479. Therrien J, Siu SC, Harris L, et al. Impact of pulmonary valve replacement on arrhythmia propensity late after repair of tetralogy of Fallot. *Circulation* 2001; 103:2489–2494. [PubMed: 11369690]
- S4710. Therrien J, Provost Y, Merchant N, Williams W, Colman J, Webb G. Optimal timing for pulmonary valve replacement in adults after tetralogy of Fallot repair. *Am J Cardiol* 2005; 95:779–782. [PubMed: 15757612]
- S4711. Mavroudis C, Deal BJ, Backer CL, Tsao S. Arrhythmia surgery in patients with and without congenital heart disease. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2008; 86:857–868. [PubMed: 18721574]
- S4712. Adamson L, Vohra HA, Haw MP. Does pulmonary valve replacement post repair of tetralogy of Fallot improve right ventricular function? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2009; 9:520–527. [PubMed: 19567499]

- S4713. Miyazaki A, Sakaguchi H, Ohuchi H, et al. Efficacy of hemodynamic-based management of tachyarrhythmia after repair of tetralogy of Fallot. *Circ J*2012;76:2855–2862. [PubMed: 22893279]
- S4714. Khairy P, Van Hare GF, Balaji S, et al. PACES/HRS expert consensus statement on the recognition and management of arrhythmias in adult congenital heart disease: developed in partnership between the Pediatric and Congenital Electrophysiology Society (PACES) and the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS). Endorsed by the governing bodies of PACES, HRS, the American College of Cardiology (ACC), the American Heart Association (AHA), the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), the Canadian Heart Rhythm Society (CHRS), and the International Society for Adult Congenital Heart Disease (ISACHD). *Heart Rhythm*2014;11:e102–e165. [PubMed: 24814377]
- S4715. Lin YS, Liu PH, Wu LS, Chen YM, Chang CJ, Chu PH. Major adverse cardiovascular events in adult congenital heart disease: a population-based follow-up study from Taiwan. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*2014;14:38. [PubMed: 24655794]
- S4716. Sabate Rotes A, Connolly HM, Warnes CA, et al. Ventricular arrhythmia risk stratification in patients with tetralogy of Fallot at the time of pulmonary valve replacement. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:110–116. [PubMed: 25416756]
- S4717. Gonska BD, Cao K, Raab J, Eigster G, Kreuzer H. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of right ventricular tachycardia late after repair of congenital heart defects. *Circulation*1996;94:1902–1908. [PubMed: 8873666]
- S4718. Morwood JG, Triedman JK, Berul CI, et al. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in children and young adults with congenital heart disease. *Heart Rhythm*2004;1:301–308. [PubMed: 15851174]
- S4719. Zeppenfeld K, Schalij MJ, Bartelings MM, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia after repair of congenital heart disease: electroanatomic identification of the critical right ventricular isthmus. *Circulation*2007;116:2241–2252. [PubMed: 17967973]
- S4720. Kriebel T, Saul JP, Schneider H, Sigler M, Paul T. Noncontact mapping and radiofrequency catheter ablation of fast and hemodynamically unstable ventricular tachycardia after surgical repair of tetralogy of Fallot. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2007;50:2162–2168. [PubMed: 18036455]
- S4721. Kapel GF, Reichlin T, Wijnmaalen AP, et al. Left-sided ablation of ventricular tachycardia in adults with repaired tetralogy of Fallot: a case series. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:889–897. [PubMed: 25151630]
- S4722. Kapel GF, Reichlin T, Wijnmaalen AP, et al. Re-entry using anatomically determined isthmuses: a curable ventricular tachycardia in repaired congenital heart disease. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:102–109. [PubMed: 25422392]
- S4723. van Zyl M, Kapa S, Padmanabhan D, et al. Mechanism and outcomes of catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia in adults with repaired congenital heart disease. *Heart Rhythm*2016;13:1449–1454. [PubMed: 26961296]
- S4724. Kapel GF, Sacher F, Dekkers OM, et al. Arrhythmogenic anatomical isthmuses identified by electroanatomical mapping are the substrate for ventricular tachycardia in repaired tetralogy of Fallot. *Eur Heart J*2017;38:268–276. [PubMed: 28182233]
- S4725. Sandhu A, Ruckdeschel E, Sauer WH, et al. Perioperative electrophysiology study in patients with tetralogy of Fallot undergoing pulmonary valve replacement will identify those at high risk of subsequent ventricular tachycardia. *Heart Rhythm*2018;15:679–685. [PubMed: 29330130]
- S4726. Furushima H, Chinushi M, Sugiura H, et al. Ventricular tachycardia late after repair of congenital heart disease: efficacy of combination therapy with radiofrequency catheter ablation and class III antiarrhythmic agents and long-term outcome. *J Electrocardiol*2006;39:219–224. [PubMed: 16580423]
- S4727. Tokuda M, Tedrow UB, Kojodjojo P, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in nonischemic heart disease. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2012;5:992–1000. [PubMed: 22942218]
- S4728. Laredo M, Frank R, Waintraub X, et al. Ten-year outcomes of monomorphic ventricular tachycardia catheter ablation in repaired tetralogy of Fallot. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis*2017;110:292–302. [PubMed: 28347634]

- S4729. Harrild DM, Berul CI, Cecchin F, et al. Pulmonary valve replacement in tetralogy of Fallot: impact on survival and ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation*2009;119:445–451. [PubMed: 19139389]
- S4730. Tracy CM, Epstein AE, Darbar D, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/HRS focused update of the 2008 guidelines for device-based therapy of cardiac rhythm abnormalities: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Heart Rhythm*2012;9:1737–1753. [PubMed: 22975672]
- S481. Berruezo A, Acosta J, Fernández-Armenta J, et al. Safety, long-term outcomes and predictors of recurrence after first-line combined endoepicardial ventricular tachycardia substrate ablation in arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy. Impact of arrhythmic substrate distribution pattern. A prospective multicenter study. *Europace*2017;19:607–616. [PubMed: 28431051]
- S482. Jiang H, Zhang X, Yang Q, et al. Catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia in patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Cardiol*2016;71:639–649. [PubMed: 27920450]
- S483. Philips B, te Riele AS, Sawant A, et al. Outcomes and ventricular tachycardia recurrence characteristics after epicardial ablation of ventricular tachycardia in arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm*2015;12:716–725. [PubMed: 25530221]
- S484. Santangeli P, Zado ES, Supple GE, et al. Long-term outcome with catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:1413–1421. [PubMed: 26546346]
- S485. Philips B, Madhavan S, James C, et al. Outcomes of catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2012;5:499–505. [PubMed: 22492430]
- S486. Bai R, Di Biase L, Shivkumar K, et al. Ablation of ventricular arrhythmias in arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy: arrhythmia-free survival after endo-epicardial substrate based mapping and ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2011;4:478–485. [PubMed: 21665983]
- S487. Garcia FC, Bazan V, Zado ES, Ren JF, Marchlinski FE. Epicardial substrate and outcome with epicardial ablation of ventricular tachycardia in arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia. *Circulation*2009; 120:366–375. [PubMed: 19620503]
- S488. Dalal D, Jain R, Tandri H, et al. Long-term efficacy of catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2007;50:432–440. [PubMed: 17662396]
- S489. Verma A, Kilicaslan F, Schweikert RA, et al. Short- and long-term success of substrate-based mapping and ablation of ventricular tachycardia in arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia. *Circulation*2005;111:3209–3216. [PubMed: 15956125]
- S4810. Marchlinski FE, Zado E, Dixit S, et al. Electroanatomic substrate and outcome of catheter ablative therapy for ventricular tachycardia in setting of right ventricular cardiomyopathy. *Circulation*2004;110:2293–2298. [PubMed: 15477406]
- S4811. Nogami A, Sugiyasu A, Tada H, et al. Changes in the isolated delayed component as an endpoint of catheter ablation in arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy: predictor for long-term success. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2008;19:681–688. [PubMed: 18284499]
- S4812. Müssigbrodt A, Efimova E, Knopp H, et al. Should all patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy undergo epicardial catheter ablation? *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2017;48:193–199. [PubMed: 27900527]
- S4813. Pokushalov E, Romanov A, Turov A, Artyomenko S, Shirokova N, Karaskov A. Percutaneous epicardial ablation of ventricular tachycardia after failure of endocardial approach in the pediatric population with arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia. *Heart Rhythm*2010;7:1406–1410. [PubMed: 20601157]
- S4814. Pappone C, Brugada J, Vicedomini G, et al. Electrical substrate elimination in 135 consecutive patients with Brugada syndrome. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2017;10:e005053. [PubMed: 28500178]

- S4815. Brugada J, Pappone C, Berruezo A, et al. Brugada syndrome phenotype elimination by epicardial substrate ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:1373–1381. [PubMed: 26291334]
- S4816. Zhang P, Tung R, Zhang Z, et al. Characterization of the epicardial substrate for catheter ablation of Brugada syndrome. *Heart Rhythm*2016;13:2151–2158. [PubMed: 27453126]
- S4817. Nademanee K, Veerakul G, Chandanamatta P, et al. Prevention of ventricular fibrillation episodes in Brugada syndrome by catheter ablation over the anterior right ventricular outflow tract epicardium. *Circulation*2011;123:1270–1279. [PubMed: 21403098]
- S4818. Berruezo A, Fernández-Armenta J, Mont L, et al. Combined endocardial and epicardial catheter ablation in arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia incorporating scar dechanneling technique. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2012;5:111–121. [PubMed: 22205683]
- S4819. Towbin JA, McKenna WJ, Abrams DJ, et al. 2019 HRS expert consensus statement on evaluation, risk stratification, and management of arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm*201959 [Epub ahead of print].
- S4820. Orgeron GM, James CA, Te Riele A, et al. Implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy in arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy: predictors of appropriate therapy, outcomes, and complications. *J Am Heart Assoc*2017;6:e006242. [PubMed: 28588093]
- S4821. Riley MP, Zado E, Bala R, et al. Lack of uniform progression of endocardial scar in patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy and ventricular tachycardia. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2010; 3:332–338. [PubMed: 20558846]
- S4822. Berte B, Sacher F, Venlet J, et al. VT Recurrence after ablation: incomplete ablation or disease progression? A multicentric European study. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2016;27:80–87. [PubMed: 26471955]
- S4823. Link MS, Laidlaw D, Polonsky B, et al. Ventricular arrhythmias in the North American multidisciplinary study of ARVC: predictors, characteristics, and treatment. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2014;64:119–125. [PubMed: 25011714]
- S4824. Corrado D, Leoni L, Link MS, et al. Implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy for prevention of sudden death in patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia. *Circulation*2003;108:3084–3091. [PubMed: 14638546]
- S4825. Corrado D, Calkins H, Link MS, et al. Prophylactic implantable defibrillator in patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia and no prior ventricular fibrillation or sustained ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation*2010;122:1144–1152. [PubMed: 20823389]
- S4826. Belhassen B, Glick A, Viskin S. Efficacy of quinidine in high-risk patients with Brugada syndrome. *Circulation*2004;110:1731–1737. [PubMed: 15381640]
- S4827. Della Bella P, Brugada J, Zeppenfeld K, et al. Epicardial ablation for ventricular tachycardia: a European multicenter study. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2011;4:653–659. [PubMed: 21841191]
- S4828. Sacher F, Roberts-Thomson K, Maury P, et al. Epicardial ventricular tachycardia ablation a multicenter safety study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2010; 55:2366–2372. [PubMed: 20488308]
- S491. Dukkupati SR, d'Avila A, Soejima K, et al. Long-term outcomes of combined epicardial and endocardial ablation of monomorphic ventricular tachycardia related to hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2011;4:185–194. [PubMed: 21270104]
- S492. Santangeli P, Di Biase L, Lakkireddy D, et al. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: safety and feasibility. *Heart Rhythm*2010;7:1036–1042. [PubMed: 20493276]
- S493. Ueda A, Fukamizu S, Soejima K, et al. Clinical and electrophysiological characteristics in patients with sustained monomorphic reentrant ventricular tachycardia associated with dilated-phase hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Europace*2012;14:734–740. [PubMed: 22048994]
- S494. Inada K, Seiler J, Roberts-Thomson KC, et al. Substrate characterization and catheter ablation for monomorphic ventricular tachycardia in patients with apical hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2011;22:41–48. [PubMed: 20807280]
- S495. Igarashi M, Nogami A, Kurosaki K, et al. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and apical aneurysm. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2018;4:339–350. [PubMed: 30089559]

- S5131. Della Bella P, Baratto F, Tsiachris D, et al. Management of ventricular tachycardia in the setting of a dedicated unit for the treatment of complex ventricular arrhythmias: long-term outcome after ablation. *Circulation* 2013;127:1359–1368. [PubMed: 23439513]
- S5132. Santangeli P, Muser D, Zado ES, et al. Acute hemodynamic decompensation during catheter ablation of scar-related ventricular tachycardia: incidence, predictors, and impact on mortality. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2015;8:68–75. [PubMed: 25491601]
- S5133. Santangeli P, Frankel DS, Tung R, et al. Early mortality after catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with structural heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2017;69:2105–2115. [PubMed: 28449770]
- S5134. Tzou WS, Tung R, Frankel DS, et al. Ventricular tachycardia ablation in severe heart failure: an International Ventricular Tachycardia Ablation Center Collaboration analysis. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2017; 10:e004494. [PubMed: 28082527]
- S5135. Enriquez A, Liang J, Gentile J, et al. Outcomes of rescue cardiopulmonary support for periprocedural acute hemodynamic decompensation in patients undergoing catheter ablation of electrical storm. *Heart Rhythm* 2018;15:75–80. [PubMed: 28917560]
- S5136. Mathuria N, Wu G, Rojas-Delgado F, et al. Outcomes of pre-emptive and rescue use of percutaneous left ventricular assist device in patients with structural heart disease undergoing catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol* 2017; 48:27–34. [PubMed: 27497847]
- S5137. Iwasawa J, Koruth JS, Choudry S, et al. Independent assessment of the PAINESD risk stratification tool for predicting adverse outcomes after VT ablation. *Heart Rhythm* 2018;15:S623.
- S5138. Muser D, Liang JJ, Castro SA, et al. Outcomes with prophylactic use of percutaneous left ventricular assist devices in high-risk patients undergoing catheter ablation of scar-related ventricular tachycardia: a propensity-score matched analysis. *Heart Rhythm* 2018; 15:1500–1506. [PubMed: 29753944]
- S5139. Vakil KP, Roukoz H, Tung R, et al. Mortality prediction using a modified Seattle Heart Failure Model may improve patient selection for ventricular tachycardia ablation. *Am Heart J* 2015; 170:1099–1104. [PubMed: 26678631]
- S51310. Baratto F, Pappalardo F, Oloriz T, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for hemodynamic support of ventricular tachycardia ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2016;12:e004492.
- S5281. Dixit S, Gerstenfeld EP, Callans DJ, Marchlinski FE. Electrocardiographic patterns of superior right ventricular outflow tract tachycardias: distinguishing septal and free-wall sites of origin. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2003;14:1–7. [PubMed: 12625602]
- S5282. Yamauchi Y, Aonuma K, Takahashi A, et al. Electrocardiographic characteristics of repetitive monomorphic right ventricular tachycardia originating near the His-bundle. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2005; 16:1041–1048. [PubMed: 16191113]
- S5283. Tanner H, Hindricks G, Schirdewahn P, et al. Outflow tract tachycardia with R/S transition in lead V3: six different anatomic approaches for successful ablation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;45:418–423. [PubMed: 15680722]
- S5284. Ouyang F, Fotuhi P, Ho SY, et al. Repetitive monomorphic ventricular tachycardia originating from the aortic sinus cusp: electrocardiographic characterization for guiding catheter ablation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2002; 39:500–508. [PubMed: 11823089]
- S5285. Tada H, Ito S, Naito S, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmia arising from the mitral annulus: a distinct subgroup of idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005;45:877–886. [PubMed: 15766824]
- S5286. Good E, Desjardins B, Jongnarangsin K, et al. Ventricular arrhythmias originating from a papillary muscle in patients without prior infarction: a comparison with fascicular arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm* 2008; 5:1530–1537. [PubMed: 18984528]
- S5287. Yamada T, Doppalapudi H, McElderry HT, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the papillary muscles in the left ventricle: prevalence, electrocardiographic and electrophysiological characteristics, and results of the radiofrequency catheter ablation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2010;21:62–69. [PubMed: 19793147]

- S5288. Al'Aref SJ, Ip JE, Markowitz SM, et al. Differentiation of papillary muscle from fascicular and mitral annular ventricular arrhythmias in patients with and without structural heart disease. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2015; 8:616–624. [PubMed: 25925230]
- S5289. Kamakura S, Shimizu W, Matsuo K, et al. Localization of optimal ablation site of idiopathic ventricular tachycardia from right and left ventricular outflow tract by body surface ECG. *Circulation* 1998;98:1525–1533. [PubMed: 9769306]
- S52810. Betensky BP, Park RE, Marchlinski FE, et al. The V(2) transition ratio: a new electrocardiographic criterion for distinguishing left from right ventricular outflow tract tachycardia origin. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011; 57:2255–2262. [PubMed: 21616286]
- S52811. Kumagai KI. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias arising from the left ventricular outflow tract: Tips and tricks. *J Arrhythmia* 2014;30:211–221.
- S52812. Larroussi L, Badhwar N. Ventricular tachycardia arising from cardiac crux: electrocardiogram recognition and site of ablation. *Card Electrophysiol Clin* 2016;8:109–113. [PubMed: 26920178]
- S52813. Enriquez A, Pathak RK, Santangeli P, et al. Inferior lead discordance in ventricular arrhythmias: a specific marker for certain arrhythmia locations. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2017;28:1179–1186. [PubMed: 28677887]
- S52814. Miller JM, Marchlinski FE, Buxton AE, Josephson ME. Relationship between the 12-lead electrocardiogram during ventricular tachycardia and endocardial site of origin in patients with coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 1988;77:759–766. [PubMed: 3349580]
- S52815. Kuchar DL, Ruskin JN, Garan H. Electrocardiographic localization of the site of origin of ventricular tachycardia in patients with prior myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1989;13:893–903. [PubMed: 2926041]
- S52816. Segal OR, Chow AW, Wong T, et al. A novel algorithm for determining endocardial VT exit site from 12-lead surface ECG characteristics in human, infarct-related ventricular tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2007;18:161–168. [PubMed: 17338765]
- S52817. de Riva M, Watanabe M, Zeppenfeld K. Twelve-lead ECG of ventricular tachycardia in structural heart disease. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2015;8:951–962. [PubMed: 26286304]
- S52818. Yokokawa M, Liu TY, Yoshida K, et al. Automated analysis of the 12-lead electrocardiogram to identify the exit site of postinfarction ventricular tachycardia. *Heart Rhythm* 2012;9:330–334. [PubMed: 22001707]
- S52819. Yokokawa M, Jung DY, Joseph KK, Hero AO 3rd, Morady F, Bogun F. Computerized analysis of the 12-lead electrocardiogram to identify epicardial ventricular tachycardia exit sites. *Heart Rhythm* 2014;11:1966–1973. [PubMed: 24993461]
- S52820. Sapp JL, Bar-Tal M, Howes AJ, et al. Real-time localization of ventricular tachycardia origin from the 12-lead electrocardiogram. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol* 2017;3:687–699. [PubMed: 29759537]
- S52821. Berruezo A, Mont L, Nava S, Chueca E, Bartholomay E, Brugada J. Electrocardiographic recognition of the epicardial origin of ventricular tachycardias. *Circulation* 2004;109:1842–1847. [PubMed: 15078793]
- S52822. Bazan V, Bala R, Garcia FC, et al. Twelve-lead ECG features to identify ventricular tachycardia arising from the epicardial right ventricle. *Heart Rhythm* 2006;3:1132–1139. [PubMed: 17018339]
- S52823. Bazan V, Gerstenfeld EP, Garcia FC, et al. Site-specific twelve-lead ECG features to identify an epicardial origin for left ventricular tachycardia in the absence of myocardial infarction. *Heart Rhythm* 2007;4:1403–1410. [PubMed: 17954399]
- S52824. Valles E, Bazan V, Marchlinski FE. ECG criteria to identify epicardial ventricular tachycardia in nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2010;3:63–71. [PubMed: 20008307]
- S52825. Piers SR, de Riva Silva M, Kapel GF, Trines SA, Schlij MJ, Zeppenfeld K. Endocardial or epicardial ventricular tachycardia in nonischemic cardiomyopathy? The role of 12-lead ECG criteria in clinical practice. *Heart Rhythm* 2014;11:1031–1039. [PubMed: 24607720]
- S52826. Daniels DV, Lu YY, Morton JB, et al. Idiopathic epicardial left ventricular tachycardia originating remote from the sinus of Valsalva: electrophysiological characteristics, catheter

- ablation, and identification from the 12-lead electrocardiogram. *Circulation*2006;113:1659–1666. [PubMed: 16567566]
- S52827. Oloriz T, Silberbauer J, Maccabelli G, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmia in nonischemic cardiomyopathy: anteroseptal versus inferolateral scar sub-types. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:414–423. [PubMed: 24785410]
- S52828. Yoshida K, Liu TY, Scott C, et al. The value of defibrillator electrograms for recognition of clinical ventricular tachycardias and for pace mapping of post-infarction ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2010; 56:969–979. [PubMed: 20828650]
- S52829. Wang Y, Cuculich PS, Zhang J, et al. Noninvasive electroanatomic mapping of human ventricular arrhythmias with electrocardiographic imaging. *Sci Transl Med*2011;3:98ra84.
- S52830. Rudy Y. Noninvasive electrocardiographic imaging (ECGI) of arrhythmogenic substrates in humans. *Circ Res*2013;112:863–874. [PubMed: 23449548]
- S52831. Varma N, Strom M, Chung MK. Noninvasive voltage and activation mapping of ARVD/C using ECG imaging. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*2013; 6:1346–1347. [PubMed: 24332287]
- S52832. Zhang J, Cooper DH, Desouza KA, et al. Electrophysiologic scar substrate in relation to VT: noninvasive high-resolution mapping and risk assessment with ECGI. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2016;39:781–791. [PubMed: 27197804]
- S52833. Sapp JL, Dawoud F, Clements JC, Horacek BM. Inverse solution mapping of epicardial potentials: quantitative comparison with epicardial contact mapping. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2012;5:1001–1009. [PubMed: 22923272]
- S5341. Haines DE, Beheiry S, Akar JG, et al. Heart Rhythm Society expert consensus statement on electrophysiology laboratory standards: process, protocols, equipment, personnel, and safety. *Heart Rhythm*2014; 11:e9–e51. [PubMed: 24814989]
- S5342. Miller MA, Dukkupati SR, Mittnacht AJ, et al. Activation and entrainment mapping of hemodynamically unstable ventricular tachycardia using a percutaneous left ventricular assist devices. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2011; 58:1363–1371. [PubMed: 21920266]
- S5343. Lü F, Eckman PM, Liao KK, et al. Catheter ablation of hemodynamically unstable ventricular tachycardia with mechanical circulatory support. *Int J Cardiol*2013;168:3859–3865. [PubMed: 23863501]
- S5344. Servatius H, Höfeler T, Hoffmann BA, et al. Propofol sedation administered by cardiologists for patients undergoing catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia. *Europace*2016;18:1245–1251. [PubMed: 27247017]
- S5345. American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Sedation and Analgesia by Non-Anesthesiologists. Practice guidelines for sedation and analgesia by non-anesthesiologists. *Anesthesiology*2002; 96:1004–1017. [PubMed: 11964611]
- S5346. Conway A, Rolley J, Page K, Fullbrook P. Clinical practice guidelines for nurse-administered procedural sedation and analgesia in the cardiac catheterization laboratory: a modified Delphi study. *J Adv Nurs*2014; 70:1040–1053. [PubMed: 24329964]
- S541. Visser CA, Kan G, David GK, Lie KI, Durrer D. Two dimensional echocardiography in the diagnosis of left ventricular thrombus: a prospective study of 67 patients with anatomic validation. *Chest*1983;83:228–232. [PubMed: 6822107]
- S542. Ezekowitz MD, Wilson DA, Smith EO, et al. Comparison of Indium-111 platelet scintigraphy and two-dimensional echocardiography in the diagnosis of left ventricular thrombi. *N Engl J Med*1982;306:1509–1513. [PubMed: 7078607]
- S543. Stratton JR, Lighty GW Jr, Pearlman AS, Ritchie JL. Detection of left ventricular thrombus by two-dimensional echocardiography: sensitivity, specificity, and causes of uncertainty. *Circulation*1982;66:156–166. [PubMed: 7083502]
- S544. Thanigaraj S, Schechtman KB, Perez JE. Improved echocardiographic delineation of left ventricular thrombus with the use of intravenous second-generation contrast image enhancement. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*1999;12:1022–1026. [PubMed: 10588776]
- S545. Weinsaft JW, Kim HW, Shah DJ, et al. Detection of left ventricular thrombus by delayed-enhancement cardiovascular magnetic resonance prevalence and markers in patients with systolic dysfunction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2008;52:148–157. [PubMed: 18598895]

- S546. Weinsaft JW, Kim RJ, Ross M, et al. Contrast-enhanced anatomic imaging as compared to contrast-enhanced tissue characterization for detection of left ventricular thrombus. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2009;2:969–979. [PubMed: 19679285]
- S547. Siontis KC, Kim HM, Sharaf Dabbagh G, et al. Association of preprocedural cardiac magnetic resonance imaging with outcomes of ventricular tachycardia ablation in patients with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm* 2017;14:1487–1493. [PubMed: 28603002]
- S548. Zghaib T, Ipek EG, Hansford R, et al. Standard ablation versus magnetic resonance imaging-guided ablation in the treatment of ventricular tachycardia. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2018;11:e005973. [PubMed: 29330333]
- S549. Andreu D, Penela D, Acosta J, et al. Cardiac magnetic resonance-aided scar dechanneling: influence on acute and long-term outcomes. *Heart Rhythm* 2017;14:1121–1128. [PubMed: 28760258]
- S5410. Codreanu A, Odille F, Aliot E, et al. Electroanatomic characterization of postinfarct scars comparison with 3-dimensional myocardial scar reconstruction based on magnetic resonance imaging. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;52:839–842. [PubMed: 18755347]
- S5411. Desjardins B, Crawford T, Good E, et al. Infarct architecture and characteristics on delayed enhanced magnetic resonance imaging and electroanatomic mapping in patients with postinfarction ventricular arrhythmia. *Heart Rhythm* 2009;6:644–651. [PubMed: 19389653]
- S5412. Bogun FM, Desjardins B, Good E, et al. Delayed-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in nonischemic cardiomyopathy: utility for identifying the ventricular arrhythmia substrate. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;53:1138–1145. [PubMed: 19324259]
- S5413. Dickfeld T, Tian J, Ahmad G, et al. MRI-guided ventricular tachycardia ablation: integration of late gadolinium-enhanced 3D scar in patients with implantable cardioverter-defibrillators. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2011;4:172–184.
- S5414. Fernandez-Armenta J, Berruezo A, Andreu D, et al. Three-dimensional architecture of scar and conducting channels based on high resolution ceCMR: insights for ventricular tachycardia ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2013;6:528–537. [PubMed: 23685537]
- S5415. Gupta S, Desjardins B, Baman T, et al. Delayed-enhanced MR scar imaging and intraprocedural registration into an electroanatomical mapping system in post-infarction patients. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2012;5:207–210. [PubMed: 22340829]
- S5416. Marra MP, Leoni L, Bauce B, et al. Imaging study of ventricular scar in arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy: comparison of 3D standard electroanatomical voltage mapping and contrast-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2012;5:91–100. [PubMed: 22139887]
- S5417. Nakahara S, Vaseghi M, Ramirez RJ, et al. Characterization of myocardial scars: electrophysiological imaging correlates in a porcine infarct model. *Heart Rhythm* 2011;8:1060–1067. [PubMed: 21354335]
- S5418. Ghannam M, Cochet H, Jais P, et al. Correlation between computer tomography-derived scar topography and critical ablation sites in postinfarction ventricular tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2018;29:438–445. [PubMed: 29380921]
- S5419. Esposito A, Palmisano A, Antunes S, et al. Cardiac CT with delayed enhancement in the characterization of ventricular tachycardia structural substrate: relationship between CT-segmented scar and electro-anatomic mapping. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2016;9:822–832. [PubMed: 26897692]
- S5420. Tian J, Jeudy J, Smith MF, et al. Three-dimensional contrast-enhanced multidetector CT for anatomic, dynamic, and perfusion characterization of abnormal myocardium to guide ventricular tachycardia ablations. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2010;3:496–504. [PubMed: 20657032]
- S5421. Yamashita S, Sacher F, Mahida S, et al. Image integration to guide catheter ablation in scar-related ventricular tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2016;27:699–708. [PubMed: 26918883]
- S5422. Komatsu Y, Cochet H, Jadidi A, et al. Regional myocardial wall thinning at multidetector computed tomography correlates to arrhythmogenic substrate in postinfarction ventricular tachycardia: assessment of structural and electrical substrate. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2013;6:342–350. [PubMed: 23476043]

- S5423. Dickfeld T, Lei P, Dilsizian V, et al. Integration of three-dimensional scar maps for ventricular tachycardia ablation with positron emission tomography-computed tomography. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*2008;1:73–82. [PubMed: 19356409]
- S5424. Tian J, Smith MF, Chinnadurai P, et al. Clinical application of PET/CT fusion imaging for three-dimensional myocardial scar and left ventricular anatomy during ventricular tachycardia ablation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2009;20:567–604. [PubMed: 19207761]
- S5425. Andreu D, Ortiz-Perez JT, Boussy T, et al. Usefulness of contrast-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance in identifying the ventricular arrhythmia substrate and the approach needed for ablation. *Eur Heart J*2014;35:1316–1326. [PubMed: 24394378]
- S5426. Soto-Iglesias D, Acosta J, Penela D, et al. Image-based criteria to identify the presence of epicardial arrhythmogenic substrate in patients with transmural myocardial infarction. *Heart Rhythm*2018;15:814–821. [PubMed: 29427821]
- S5427. Cheitlin MD, Alpert JS, Armstrong WF, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for the clinical application of echocardiography: executive summary. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines (Committee on Clinical Application of Echocardiography). Developed in collaboration with the American Society of Echocardiography. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1997;29:862–879. [PubMed: 9091535]
- S5428. Cheitlin MD, Armstrong WF, Aurigemma GP, et al. ACC/AHA/ASE 2003 guideline update for the clinical application of echocardiography—summary article: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (ACC/AHA/ASE Committee to Update the 1997 Guidelines for the Clinical Application of Echocardiography). *J Am Coll Cardiol*2003;42:954–970. [PubMed: 12957449]
- S5429. Mansencal N, Nasr IA, Pilliere R, et al. Usefulness of contrast echocardiography for assessment of left ventricular thrombus after acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol*2007;99:1667–1670. [PubMed: 17560872]
- S5430. Srichai MB, Junor C, Rodriguez LL, et al. Clinical, imaging, and pathological characteristics of left ventricular thrombus: a comparison of contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging, transthoracic echocardiography, and transesophageal echocardiography with surgical or pathological validation. *Am Heart J*2006;152:75–84. [PubMed: 16824834]
- S5431. Russo RJ, Costa HS, Silva PD, et al. Assessing the risks associated with MRI in patients with a pacemaker or defibrillator. *N Engl J Med*2017;376:755–764. [PubMed: 28225684]
- S5432. Nazarian S, Hansford R, Roguin A, et al. A prospective evaluation of a protocol for magnetic resonance imaging of patients with implanted cardiac devices. *Ann Intern Med*2011;155:415–424. [PubMed: 21969340]
- S5433. Horwood L, Attili A, Luba F, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging in patients with cardiac implanted electronic devices: focus on contraindications to magnetic resonance imaging protocols. *Europace*2017;19:812–817. [PubMed: 27256419]
- S5434. Indik JH, Gimbel JR, Abe H, et al. 2017 HRS expert consensus statement on magnetic resonance imaging and radiation exposure in patients with cardiovascular implantable electronic devices. *Heart Rhythm*2017;14:e97–e153. [PubMed: 28502708]
- S5435. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2013;62:e147–e239. [PubMed: 23747642]
- S5436. Schelbert EB, Hsu LY, Anderson SA, et al. Late gadolinium-enhancement cardiac magnetic resonance identifies postinfarction myocardial fibrosis and the border zone at the near cellular level in ex vivo rat heart. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*2010;3:743–752. [PubMed: 20847191]
- S5437. Kim RJ, Fieno DS, Parrish TB, et al. Relationship of MRI delayed contrast enhancement to irreversible injury, infarct age, and contractile function. *Circulation*1999;100:1992–2002. [PubMed: 10556226]
- S5438. Yan AT, Shayne AJ, Brown KA, et al. Characterization of the peri-infarct zone by contrast-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance imaging is a powerful predictor of post-myocardial infarction mortality. *Circulation*2006; 114:32–39. [PubMed: 16801462]

- S5439. Schmidt A, Azevedo CF, Cheng A, et al. Infarct tissue heterogeneity by magnetic resonance imaging identifies enhanced cardiac arrhythmia susceptibility in patients with left ventricular dysfunction. *Circulation* 2007;115:2006–2014. [PubMed: 17389270]
- S5440. Roes SD, Borleffs CJ, van der Geest RJ, et al. Infarct tissue heterogeneity assessed with contrast-enhanced MRI predicts spontaneous ventricular arrhythmia in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy and implantable cardioverter-defibrillator. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2009;2:183–190. [PubMed: 19808591]
- S5441. Perez-David E, Arenal A, Rubio-Guivernau JL, et al. Noninvasive identification of ventricular tachycardia-related conducting channels using contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in patients with chronic myocardial infarction: comparison of signal intensity scar mapping and endocardial voltage mapping. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;57:184–194. [PubMed: 21211689]
- S5442. Sasaki T, Hansford R, Zviman MM, et al. Quantitative assessment of artifacts on cardiac magnetic resonance imaging of patients with pacemakers and implantable cardioverter-defibrillators. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2011; 4:662–670. [PubMed: 21946701]
- S5443. Rashid S, Rapacchi S, Vaseghi M, et al. Improved late gadolinium enhancement MR imaging for patients with implanted cardiac devices. *Radiology* 2014;270:269–274. [PubMed: 24086074]
- S5444. Cochet H, Komatsu Y, Sacher F, et al. Integration of merged delayed enhanced magnetic resonance imaging and multidetector computed tomography for the guidance of ventricular tachycardia ablation: a pilot study. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2013;24:419–426. [PubMed: 23252727]
- S5445. Desjardins B, Morady F, Bogun F. Effect of epicardial fat on electroanatomical mapping and epicardial catheter ablation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010; 56:1320–1327. [PubMed: 20888522]
- S5446. Yamashita S, Sacher F, Mahida S, et al. Role of high-resolution image integration to visualize left phrenic nerve and coronary arteries during epicardial ventricular tachycardia ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2015;8:371–380. [PubMed: 25713213]
- S5447. Tian J, Smith MF, Ahmad G, Dilsizian V, Jimenez A, Dickfeld T. Integration of 3-dimensional scar models from SPECT to guide ventricular tachycardia ablation. *J Nucl Med* 2012;53:894–901. [PubMed: 22577237]
- S5448. Fallavollita JA, Heavey BM, Luisi AJ Jr, et al. Regional myocardial sympathetic denervation predicts the risk of sudden cardiac arrest in ischemic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014;63:141–149. [PubMed: 24076296]
- S5449. Kammerling JJ, Green FJ, Watanabe AM, et al. Denervation supersensitivity of refractoriness in noninfarcted areas apical to transmural myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 1987;76:383–393. [PubMed: 3038369]
- S5450. Simoes MV, Barthel P, Matsunari I, et al. Presence of sympathetically denervated but viable myocardium and its electrophysiologic correlates after early revascularised, acute myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J* 2004;25:551–557. [PubMed: 15120051]
- S5451. Klein T, Abdulghani M, Smith M, et al. Three-dimensional ¹²³I-meta-iodobenzylguanidine cardiac innervation maps to assess substrate and successful ablation sites for ventricular tachycardia: feasibility study for a novel paradigm of innervation imaging. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2015;8:583–591. [PubMed: 25713216]
- S5452. Peichl P, Wichterle D, Cihak R, Aldhoon B, Kautzner J. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in the presence of an old endocavitary thrombus guided by intracardiac echocardiography. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2016;39:581–587. [PubMed: 26969894]
- S551. Douketis JD, Spyropoulos AC, Kaatz S, et al.; BRIDGE Investigators. Perioperative bridging anticoagulation in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373:823–833. [PubMed: 26095867]
- S552. Smith I, Kranke P, Murat I, et al. Perioperative fasting in adults and children: guidelines from the European Society of Anaesthesiology. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2011;28:556–569. [PubMed: 21712716]
- S553. American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Preoperative Fasting and the Use of Pharmacologic Agents to Reduce the Risk of Pulmonary Aspiration. Practice guidelines for preoperative fasting and the use of pharmacologic agents to reduce the risk of pulmonary aspiration: application to healthy patients undergoing elective procedures: an

updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Preoperative Fasting and the Use of Pharmacologic Agents to Reduce the Risk of Pulmonary Aspiration. *Anesthesiology*2017;126:376–393. [PubMed: 28045707]

- S611. Wutzler A, Mueller A, Loehr L, et al. Minimal and deep sedation during ablation of ventricular tachycardia. *Int J Cardiol*2014;172:161–164. [PubMed: 24447761]
- S612. Servatius H, Höfeler T, Hoffmann BA, et al. Propofol sedation administered by cardiologists for patients undergoing catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia. *Europace*2016;18:1245–1251. [PubMed: 27247017]
- S613. Nazer B, Woods C, Dewland T, Moyers B, Badhwar N, Gerstenfeld EP. Importance of ventricular tachycardia induction and mapping for patients referred for epicardial ablation. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2015;38:1333–1342. [PubMed: 26228002]
- S614. American Society of Anesthesiologists Committee on Quality Management and Departmental Administration. Continuum of depth of sedation: definition of general anesthesia and levels of sedation/analgesia. Available at <https://www.asahq.org/standards-and-guidelines/continuum-of-depth-of-sedation-definition-of-general-anesthesia-and-levels-of-sedationanalgesia>. Accessed October 12, 2018.
- S615. Lü F, Lin J, Benditt DG. Conscious sedation and anesthesia in the cardiac electrophysiology laboratory. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2013;24:237–245. [PubMed: 23130937]
- S616. Gallagher JD. Electrophysiological mechanisms for ventricular arrhythmias in patients with myocardial ischemia: anesthesiologic considerations, Pt II. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*1997;11:641–656. [PubMed: 9263102]
- S617. Mulpuru SK, Patel DV, Wilbur SL, Vasavada BC, Furqan T. Electrical storm and termination with propofol therapy: a case report. *Int J Cardiol*2008;128:e6–e8. [PubMed: 17692956]
- S618. King S, Banker D. Etomidate as an antiarrhythmic. *Br J Anaesth*2005; 95:425.
- S619. Mandel JE, Hutchinson MD, Marchlinski FE. Remifentanyl–midazolam sedation provides hemodynamic stability and comfort during epicardial ablation of ventricular tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2011;22:464–466. [PubMed: 20812933]
- S6110. Nof E, Reichlin T, Enriquez AD, et al. The impact of general anesthesia on initiation and stability of VT during catheter ablation. *Heart Rhythm*2015; 12:2213–2220. [PubMed: 26072026]
- S6111. Ramoul K, Tafer N, Sacher F, et al. Conscious sedation with sufentanil and midazolam for epicardial VT ablation. *J Innov Card Rhythm Manag*2012; 3:849–853.
- S621. Sharma PS, Padala SK, Gunda S, Koneru JN, Ellenbogen KA. Vascular complications during catheter ablation of cardiac arrhythmias: a comparison between vascular ultrasound guided access and conventional vascular access. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2016;27:1160–1166. [PubMed: 27433795]
- S622. Tanaka-Esposito CC, Chung MK, Abraham JM, Cantillon DJ, Abi-Saleh B, Tchou PJ. Real-time ultrasound guidance reduces total and major vascular complications in patients undergoing pulmonary vein antral isolation on therapeutic warfarin. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2013;37:163–168. [PubMed: 23585239]
- S623. Yamagata K, Wichterle D, Roubíček T, et al. Ultrasound-guided versus conventional femoral venipuncture for catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation: a multicentre randomized efficacy and safety trial (ULTRA-FAST trial). *Europace*2018;20:1107–1114. [PubMed: 28575490]
- S624. Sobolev M, Shiloh AL, Di Biase L, Slovut DP. Ultrasound-guided cannulation of the femoral vein in electrophysiological procedures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Europace*2017;19:850–855. [PubMed: 27207813]
- S625. Seto AH, Abu-Fadel MS, Sparling JM, et al. Real-time ultrasound guidance facilitates femoral arterial access and reduces vascular complications: FAUST (Femoral Arterial Access With Ultrasound Trial). *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*2010;3:751–758. [PubMed: 20650437]
- S626. Bohnen M, Stevenson WG, Tedrow UB, et al. Incidence and predictors of major complications from contemporary catheter ablation to treat cardiac arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm*2011;8:1661–1666. [PubMed: 21699857]

- S627. Tilz RR, Makimoto H, Lin T, et al. In vivo left-ventricular contact force analysis: comparison of antegrade transseptal with retrograde transaortic mapping strategies and correlation of impedance and electrical amplitude with contact force. *Europace*2014;16:1387–1395. [PubMed: 24493339]
- S6341. d'Avila A, Gutierrez P, Scanavacca M, et al. Effects of radiofrequency pulses delivered in the vicinity of the coronary arteries: implications for nonsurgical transthoracic epicardial catheter ablation to treat ventricular tachycardia. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2002;25:1488–1495. [PubMed: 12418747]
- S6342. Kawamura M, Kobayashi Y, Ito H, et al. Epicardial ablation with cooled tip catheter close to the coronary arteries is effective and safe in the porcine heart if the ventricular potential is being monitored in the epicardium and endocardium. *Circ J*2006;70:926–932. [PubMed: 16799250]
- S6343. Viles-Gonzalez JF, de Castro Miranda R, Scanavacca M, Sosa E, d'Avila A. Acute and chronic effects of epicardial radiofrequency applications delivered on epicardial coronary arteries. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2011;4:526–531. [PubMed: 21685298]
- S6344. Sacher F, Roberts-Thomson K, Maury P, et al. Epicardial ventricular tachycardia ablation a multicenter safety study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2010; 55:2366–2372. [PubMed: 20488308]
- S6345. Roberts-Thomson KC, Seiler J, Steven D, et al. Percutaneous access of the epicardial space for mapping ventricular and supraventricular arrhythmias in patients with and without prior cardiac surgery. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2010;21:406–411. [PubMed: 19912446]
- S6346. Tschabrunn CM, Haqqani HM, Cooper JM, et al. Percutaneous epicardial ventricular tachycardia ablation after noncoronary cardiac surgery or pericarditis. *Heart Rhythm*2013;10:165–169. [PubMed: 23059131]
- S6347. Killu AM, Ebrille E, Asirvatham SJ, et al. Percutaneous epicardial access for mapping and ablation is feasible in patients with prior cardiac surgery, including coronary bypass surgery. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015; 8:94–101. [PubMed: 25575533]
- S6348. Soejima K, Couper G, Cooper JM, Sapp JL, Epstein LM, Stevenson WG. Subxiphoid surgical approach for epicardial catheter-based mapping and ablation in patients with prior cardiac surgery or difficult pericardial access. *Circulation*2004;110:1197–1201. [PubMed: 15337702]
- S6349. Michowitz Y, Mathuria N, Tung R, et al. Hybrid procedures for epicardial catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia: value of surgical access. *Heart Rhythm*2010;7:1635–1643. [PubMed: 20633702]
- S63410. Bai R, Patel D, Di Biase L, et al. Phrenic nerve injury after catheter ablation: should we worry about this complication? *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2006;17:944–948. [PubMed: 16800858]
- S63411. Di Biase L, Burkhardt JD, Pelargonio G, et al. Prevention of phrenic nerve injury during epicardial ablation: comparison of methods for separating the phrenic nerve from the epicardial surface. *Heart Rhythm*2009;6:957–961. [PubMed: 19560084]
- S63412. Bogun FM, Desjardins B, Good E, et al. Delayed-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in nonischemic cardiomyopathy: utility for identifying the ventricular arrhythmia substrate. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2009;53:1138–1145. [PubMed: 19324259]
- S63413. Cano O, Hutchinson M, Lin D, et al. Electroanatomic substrate and ablation outcome for suspected epicardial ventricular tachycardia in left ventricular nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2009; 54:799–808. [PubMed: 19695457]
- S63414. Hutchinson MD, Gerstenfeld EP, Desjardins B, et al. Endocardial unipolar voltage mapping to detect epicardial ventricular tachycardia substrate in patients with nonischemic left ventricular cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2011;4:49–55. [PubMed: 21131557]
- S63415. Basso C, Thiene G, Corrado D, Angelini A, Nava A, Valente M. Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy. Dysplasia, dystrophy, or myocarditis? *Circulation*1996;94:983–991. [PubMed: 8790036]
- S63416. Wichter T, Paul TM, Eckardt L, et al. Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy. Antiarrhythmic drugs, catheter ablation, or ICD? *Herz*2005;30:91–101. [PubMed: 15875097]
- S63417. Garcia FC, Bazan V, Zado ES, Ren JF, Marchlinski FE. Epicardial substrate and outcome with epicardial ablation of ventricular tachycardia in arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia. *Circulation*2009;120:366–375. [PubMed: 19620503]

- S63418. Berruezo A, Fernandez-Armenta J, Mont L, et al. Combined endocardial and epicardial catheter ablation in arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia incorporating scar dechanneling technique. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2012;5:111–121. [PubMed: 22205683]
- S63419. Bai R, Di Biase L, Shivkumar K, et al. Ablation of ventricular arrhythmias in arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy: arrhythmia-free survival after endo-epicardial substrate based mapping and ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2011;4:478–485. [PubMed: 21665983]
- S63420. Dello Russo A, Casella M, Pieroni M, et al. Drug-refractory ventricular tachycardias after myocarditis: endocardial and epicardial radiofrequency catheter ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2012;5:492–498. [PubMed: 22294614]
- S63421. Koplan BA, Soejima K, Baughman K, Epstein LM, Stevenson WG. Refractory ventricular tachycardia secondary to cardiac sarcoid: electrophysiologic characteristics, mapping, and ablation. *Heart Rhythm*2006; 3:924–929. [PubMed: 16876741]
- S63422. Papageorgiou N, Providência R, Bronis K, et al. Catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia in patients with cardiac sarcoidosis: a systematic review. *Europace*2018;20:682–691. [PubMed: 28444174]
- S63423. Sosa E, Scanavacca M, d'Avila A, Pilleggi F. A new technique to perform epicardial mapping in the electrophysiology laboratory. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*1996;7:531–536. [PubMed: 8743758]
- S63424. Sosa E, Scanavacca M, d'Avila A, et al. Endocardial and epicardial ablation guided by nonsurgical transthoracic epicardial mapping to treat recurrent ventricular tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*1998;9:229–239. [PubMed: 9580377]
- S63425. Scanavacca M. Epicardial ablation for ventricular tachycardia in chronic Chagas heart disease. *Arq Bras Cardiol*2014;102:524–528. [PubMed: 25004413]
- S63426. Henz BD, do Nascimento TA, Dietrich CO, et al. Simultaneous epicardial and endocardial substrate mapping and radiofrequency catheter ablation as first-line treatment for ventricular tachycardia and frequent ICD shocks in chronic Chagasic cardiomyopathy. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2009; 26:195–205. [PubMed: 19757003]
- S63427. Nademanee K, Veerakul G, Chandanamatta P, et al. Prevention of ventricular fibrillation episodes in Brugada syndrome by catheter ablation over the anterior right ventricular outflow tract epicardium. *Circulation*2011;123:1270–1279. [PubMed: 21403098]
- S63428. Szel T, Antzelevitch C. Abnormal repolarization as the basis for late potentials and fractionated electrograms recorded from epicardium in experimental models of Brugada syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2014; 63:2037–2045. [PubMed: 24657694]
- S63429. Brugada J, Pappone C, Berruezo A, et al. Brugada syndrome phenotype elimination by epicardial substrate ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:1373–1381. [PubMed: 26291334]
- S63430. Fernandes GC, Fernandes A, Cardoso R, et al. Ablation strategies for the management of symptomatic Brugada syndrome: a systematic review. *Heart Rhythm*2018;15:1140–1147. [PubMed: 29572085]
- S63431. Downar E, Parson ID, Mickleborough LL, Cameron DA, Yao LC, Waxman MB. On-line epicardial mapping of intraoperative ventricular arrhythmias: initial clinical experience. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1984; 4:703–714. [PubMed: 6481011]
- S63432. Kaltenbrunner W, Cardinal R, Dubuc M, et al. Epicardial and endocardial mapping of ventricular tachycardia in patients with myocardial infarction. Is the origin of the tachycardia always subendocardially localized? *Circulation*1991;84:1058–1071. [PubMed: 1884439]
- S63433. Littmann L, Svenson RH, Gallagher JJ, et al. Functional role of the epicardium in postinfarction ventricular tachycardia. Observations derived from computerized epicardial activation mapping, entrainment, and epicardial laser photoablation. *Circulation*1991;83:1577–1591. [PubMed: 2022017]
- S63434. Blanchard SM, Walcott GP, Wharton JM, Ideker RE. Why is catheter ablation less successful than surgery for treating ventricular tachycardia that results from coronary artery disease? *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*1994; 17:2315–2335. [PubMed: 7885941]

- S63435. Gonska BD, Cao K, Schaumann A, Dorszewski A, von zur Mühlen F, Kreuzer H. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in 136 patients with coronary artery disease: results and long-term follow-up. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1994;24:1506–1514. [PubMed: 7930283]
- S63436. Stevenson WG, Friedman PL, Kocovic D, Sager PT, Saxon LA, Pavri B. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia after myocardial infarction. *Circulation*1998;98:308–314. [PubMed: 9711935]
- S63437. Daniels DV, Lu YY, Morton JB, et al. Idiopathic epicardial left ventricular tachycardia originating remote from the sinus of Valsalva: electrophysiological characteristics, catheter ablation, and identification from the 12-lead electrocardiogram. *Circulation*2006;113:1659–1666. [PubMed: 16567566]
- S63438. Doppalapudi H, Yamada T, Ramaswamy K, Ahn J, Kay GN. Idiopathic focal epicardial ventricular tachycardia originating from the crux of the heart. *Heart Rhythm*2009;6:44–50. [PubMed: 19121799]
- S63439. Yamada T, McElderry HT, Doppalapudi H, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the left ventricular summit: anatomic concepts relevant to ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2010;3:616–623. [PubMed: 20855374]
- S63440. Yamada T, Doppalapudi H, Litovsky SH, McElderry HT, Kay GN. Challenging radiofrequency catheter ablation of idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the left ventricular summit near the left main coronary artery. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2016;9:e004202. [PubMed: 27729345]
- S63441. Tung R, Nakahara S, Ramirez R, Lai C, Fishbein MC, Shivkumar K. Distinguishing epicardial fat from scar: analysis of electrograms using high-density electroanatomic mapping in a novel porcine infarct model. *Heart Rhythm*2010;7:389–395. [PubMed: 20185114]
- S63442. Svenson RH, Littmann L, Gallagher JJ, et al. Termination of ventricular tachycardia with epicardial laser photocoagulation: a clinical comparison with patients undergoing successful endocardial photocoagulation alone. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1990;15:163–170. [PubMed: 2295728]
- S63443. Soejima K, Stevenson WG, Sapp JL, Selwyn AP, Couper G, Epstein LM. Endocardial and epicardial radiofrequency ablation of ventricular tachycardia associated with dilated cardiomyopathy: the importance of low-voltage scars. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2004;43:1834–1842. [PubMed: 15145109]
- S63444. Della Bella P, Brugada J, Zeppenfeld K, et al. Epicardial ablation for ventricular tachycardia: a European multicenter study. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2011;4:653–659. [PubMed: 21841191]
- S63445. Wijnmaalen AP, van der Geest RJ, van Huls van Taxis CF, et al. Head-to-head comparison of contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging and electroanatomical voltage mapping to assess post-infarct scar characteristics in patients with ventricular tachycardias: real-time image integration and reversed registration. *Eur Heart J*2011;32:104–114. [PubMed: 20864488]
- S63446. Andreu D, Berruezo A, Ortiz-Pérez JT, et al. Integration of 3D electroanatomic maps and magnetic resonance scar characterization into the navigation system to guide ventricular tachycardia ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2011;4:674–683. [PubMed: 21880674]
- S63447. Berruezo A, Mont L, Nava S, Chueca E, Bartholomay E, Brugada J. Electrocardiographic recognition of the epicardial origin of ventricular tachycardias. *Circulation*2004;109:1842–1847. [PubMed: 15078793]
- S63448. Martinek M, Stevenson WG, Inada K, Tokuda M, Tedrow UB. QRS characteristics fail to reliably identify ventricular tachycardias that require epicardial ablation in ischemic heart disease. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2012;23:188–193. [PubMed: 21955120]
- S63449. Bazan V, Bala R, Garcia FC, et al. Twelve-lead ECG features to identify ventricular tachycardia arising from the epicardial right ventricle. *Heart Rhythm*2006;3:1132–1139. [PubMed: 17018339]
- S63450. Bazan V, Gerstenfeld EP, Garcia FC, et al. Site-specific twelve-lead ECG features to identify an epicardial origin for left ventricular tachycardia in the absence of myocardial infarction. *Heart Rhythm*2007;4:1403–1410. [PubMed: 17954399]

- S63451. Vallès E, Bazan V, Marchlinski FE. ECG criteria to identify epicardial ventricular tachycardia in nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2010;3:63–71. [PubMed: 20008307]
- S63452. Bala R, Ren JF, Hutchinson MD, et al. Assessing epicardial substrate using intracardiac echocardiography during VT ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2011;4:667–673. [PubMed: 21880675]
- S63453. Gunda S, Reddy M, Pillarisetti J, et al. Differences in complication rates between large bore needle and a long micropuncture needle during epicardial access: time to change clinical practice? *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:890–895. [PubMed: 26078278]
- S63454. Kumar S, Bazaz R, Barbhaiya CR, et al. “Needle-in-needle” epicardial access: preliminary observations with a modified technique for facilitating epicardial interventional procedures. *Heart Rhythm*2015;12:1691–1697. [PubMed: 25828599]
- S63455. Cronin EM, Zweibel SL. Transatrial pericardial insufflation of carbon dioxide to facilitate percutaneous pericardial access for ablation of ventricular tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2016;27:615. [PubMed: 26478269]
- S63456. Silberbauer J, Gomes J, O’Nunain S, Kirubakaran S, Hildick-Smith D, McCreedy J. Coronary vein exit and carbon dioxide insufflation to facilitate subxiphoid epicardial access for ventricular mapping and ablation: first experience. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2017;3:514–521. [PubMed: 29759609]
- S63457. d’Avila A, Houghtaling C, Gutierrez P, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular epicardial tissue: a comparison of standard and cooled-tip radiofrequency energy. *Circulation*2004;109:2363–2369. [PubMed: 15096448]
- S63458. Aryana A, O’Neill PG, Pujara DK, et al. Impact of irrigation flow rate and intrapericardial fluid on cooled-tip epicardial radiofrequency ablation. *Heart Rhythm*2016;13:1602–1611. [PubMed: 27165695]
- S63459. Lustgarten DL, Bell S, Hardin N, Calame J, Spector PS. Safety and efficacy of epicardial cryoablation in a canine model. *Heart Rhythm*2005; 2:82–90. [PubMed: 15851270]
- S63460. d’Avila A, Aryana A, Thiagalingam A, et al. Focal and linear endocardial and epicardial catheter-based cryoablation of normal and infarcted ventricular tissue. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2008;31:1322–1331. [PubMed: 18811814]
- S63461. Di Biase L, Al-Ahamad A, Santangeli P, et al. Safety and outcomes of cryoablation for ventricular tachyarrhythmias: results from a multicenter experience. *Heart Rhythm*2011;8:968–974. [PubMed: 21376835]
- S63462. Sacher F, Wright M, Derval N, et al. Endocardial versus epicardial ventricular radiofrequency ablation: utility of in vivo contact force assessment. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2013;6:144–150. [PubMed: 23392586]
- S63463. Wong MC, Edwards G, Spence SJ, et al. Characterization of catheter-tissue contact force during epicardial radiofrequency ablation in an ovine model. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2013;6:1222–1228. [PubMed: 24134869]
- S63464. Jesel L, Sacher F, Komatsu Y, et al. Characterization of contact force during endocardial and epicardial ventricular mapping. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:1168–1173. [PubMed: 25258362]
- S63465. Saba MM, Akella J, Gammie J, et al. The influence of fat thickness on the human epicardial bipolar electrogram characteristics: measurements on patients undergoing open-heart surgery. *Europace*2009;11:949–953. [PubMed: 19546189]
- S63466. Abbara S, Desai JC, Cury RC, Butler J, Nieman K, Reddy V. Mapping epicardial fat with multi-detector computed tomography to facilitate percutaneous transepical arrhythmia ablation. *Eur J Radiol*2006; 57:417–422. [PubMed: 16434161]
- S63467. Silaghi A, Piercecchi-Marti MD, Grino M, et al. Epicardial adipose tissue extent: relationship with age, body fat distribution, and coronaropathy. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*2008;16:2424–2430. [PubMed: 18719675]
- S63468. Ahn SG, Lim HS, Joe DY, et al. Relationship of epicardial adipose tissue by echocardiography to coronary artery disease. *Heart*2008;94:e7. [PubMed: 17923467]

- S63469. Hong KN, Russo MJ, Liberman EA, et al. Effect of epicardial fat on ablation performance: a three-energy source comparison. *J Card Surg*2007; 22:521–524. [PubMed: 18039220]
- S63470. Desjardins B, Morady F, Bogun F. Effect of epicardial fat on electroanatomical mapping and epicardial catheter ablation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2010;56:1320–1327. [PubMed: 20888522]
- S63471. Koruth JS, Aryana A, Dukkipati SR, et al. Unusual complications of percutaneous epicardial access and epicardial mapping and ablation of cardiac arrhythmias. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2011;4:882–888. [PubMed: 22007036]
- S63472. Kumar S, Barbhaiya CR, Baldinger SH, et al. Epicardial phrenic nerve displacement during catheter ablation of atrial and ventricular arrhythmias: procedural experience and outcomes. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015; 8:896–904. [PubMed: 25963395]
- S63473. Yamada T, McElderry HT, Platonov M, Doppalapudi H, Kay GN. Aspirated air in the pericardial space during epicardial catheterization may elevate the defibrillation threshold. *Int J Cardiol*2009;135:e34–e35. [PubMed: 18593642]
- S63474. de Moura EG, Silva GL, de Moura ET, et al. Esophageal perforation after epicardial ablation: an endoscopic approach. *Endoscopy*2015; 47:E592–E593. [PubMed: 26671539]
- S63475. Koruth JS, Chu EW, Bhardwaj R, Dukkipati S, Reddy VY. Esophageal damage during epicardial ventricular tachycardia ablation. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2017;3:1470–1471. [PubMed: 29759680]
- S63476. Pisani CF, de Medeiros Lopes MAA, Bellotti H, Kopel L, Lage SG, Scanavacca MI. Esophagopleural fistula following epicardial ventricular tachycardia catheter ablation. *Europace*2018;20:672. [PubMed: 29522199]
- S641. Miller MA, Dukkipati SR, Mittnacht AJ, et al. Activation and entrainment mapping of hemodynamically unstable ventricular tachycardia using a percutaneous left ventricular assist device. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2011;58:1363–1371. [PubMed: 21920266]
- S642. Reddy YM, Chinitz L, Mansour M, et al. Percutaneous left ventricular assist devices in ventricular tachycardia ablation: multicenter experience. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:244–250. [PubMed: 24532564]
- S643. Baratto F, Pappalardo F, Oloriz T, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for hemodynamic support of ventricular tachycardia ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2016;9:e004492. [PubMed: 27932426]
- S644. Kusa S, Miller MA, Whang W, et al. Outcomes of ventricular tachycardia ablation using percutaneous left ventricular assist devices. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2017;10:e004717. [PubMed: 28576780]
- S645. Mathuria N, Wu G, Rojas-Delgado F, et al. Outcomes of pre-emptive and rescue use of percutaneous left ventricular assist device in patients with structural heart disease undergoing catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2017;48:27–34. [PubMed: 27497847]
- S646. Turagam MK, Vuddanda V, Atkins D, et al. Hemodynamic support in ventricular tachycardia ablation: an International VT Ablation Center Collaborative Group Study. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2017; 3:1534–1543. [PubMed: 29759835]
- S647. Enriquez A, Liang J, Gentile J, et al. Outcomes of rescue cardiopulmonary support for periprocedural acute hemodynamic decompensation in patients undergoing catheter ablation of electrical storm. *Heart Rhythm*2018; 15:75–80. [PubMed: 28917560]
- S648. Santangeli P, Muser D, Zado ES, et al. Acute hemodynamic decompensation during catheter ablation of scar-related ventricular tachycardia: incidence, predictors, and impact on mortality. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015; 8:68–75. [PubMed: 25491601]
- S649. Della Bella P, Baratto F, Tsiachris D, et al. Management of ventricular tachycardia in the setting of a dedicated unit for the treatment of complex ventricular arrhythmias: long-term outcome after ablation. *Circulation*2013; 127:1359–1368. [PubMed: 23439513]
- S651. Reddy VY, Reynolds MR, Neuzil P, et al. Prophylactic catheter ablation for the prevention of defibrillator therapy. *N Engl J Med*2007;357:2657–2665. [PubMed: 18160685]
- S652. Kuck KH, Schaumann A, Eckardt L, et al.; VTACH Study Group. Catheter ablation of stable ventricular tachycardia before defibrillator implantation in patients with coronary heart

disease (VTACH): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*2010;375:31–40. [PubMed: 20109864]

- S653. Al-Khatib SM, Daubert JP, Anstrom KJ, et al. Catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia in patients with an implantable cardioverter defibrillator (CALYPSO) pilot trial. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2015;26:151–157. [PubMed: 25332150]
- S654. Sapp JL, Wells GA, Parkash R, et al. Ventricular tachycardia ablation versus escalation of antiarrhythmic drugs. *N Engl J Med*2016;375:111–121. [PubMed: 27149033]
- S655. Kuck KH, Tilz RR, Deneke T, et al.; SMS Investigators. Impact of substrate modification by catheter ablation on implantable cardioverter-defibrillator interventions in patients with unstable ventricular arrhythmias and coronary artery disease: results from the multicenter randomized controlled SMS (Substrate Modification Study). *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2017; 10:e004422. [PubMed: 28292751]
- S656. Calkins H, Epstein A, Packer D, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with structural heart disease using cooled radiofrequency energy: results of a prospective multicenter study. Cooled RF Multi Center Investigators Group. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2000;35:1905–1914. [PubMed: 10841242]
- S657. Siontis KC, Jamé S, Sharaf Dabbagh G, et al. Thromboembolic prophylaxis protocol with warfarin after radiofrequency catheter ablation of infarct-related ventricular tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2018; 29:584–590. [PubMed: 29315941]
- S658. Tanner H, Hindricks G, Volkmer M, et al. Catheter ablation of recurrent scar-related ventricular tachycardia using electroanatomical mapping and irrigated ablation technology: results of the prospective multicenter Euro-VT-study. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2010;21:47–53. [PubMed: 19656251]
- S659. Peichl P, Wichterle D, Pavlu L, Cihak R, Aldhoon B, Kautzner J. Complications of catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia: a single-center experience. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:684–690. [PubMed: 24958396]
- S6510. Whitman IR, Gladstone RA, Badhwar N, et al. Brain emboli after left ventricular endocardial ablation. *Circulation*2017;135:867–877. [PubMed: 28119381]
- S6511. Baetz BE, Gerstenfeld EP, Kolansky DM, Spinler SA. Bivalirudin use during radiofrequency catheter ablation procedures in two patients with a history of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. *Pharmacotherapy*2010;30:952. [PubMed: 20812431]
- S6512. Di Biase L, Burkhardt JD, Lakkireddy D, et al. Ablation of stable VTs versus substrate ablation in ischemic cardiomyopathy: the VISTA randomized multicenter trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2015;66:2872–2882. [PubMed: 26718674]
- S6513. Di Biase L, Santangeli P, Burkhardt DJ, et al. Endo-epicardial homogenization of the scar versus limited substrate ablation for the treatment of electrical storms in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2012; 60:132–141. [PubMed: 22766340]
- S6514. Page SP, Duncan ER, Thomas G, et al. Epicardial catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia in heparinized patients. *Europace*2013;15:284–289. [PubMed: 23002196]
- S6515. Sawhney V, Breitenstein A, Ullah W, et al. Epicardial catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia on uninterrupted warfarin: a safe approach for those with a strong indication for peri-procedural anticoagulation? *Int J Cardiol*2016;222:57–61. [PubMed: 27454616]
- S661. Wilson W, Taubert KA, Gewitz M, et al. Prevention of infective endocarditis: guidelines from the American Heart Association: a guideline from the American Heart Association Rheumatic Fever, Endocarditis, and Kawasaki Disease Committee, Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, and the Council on Clinical Cardiology, Council on Cardiovascular Surgery and Anesthesia, and the Quality of Care and Outcomes Research Interdisciplinary Working Group. *Circulation*2007;116:1736–1754. [PubMed: 17446442]
- S71. Lerman BB, Belardinelli L, West GA, Berne RM, DiMarco JP. Adenosine-sensitive ventricular tachycardia: evidence suggesting cyclic AMP-triggered activity. *Circulation*1986;74:270–280. [PubMed: 3015453]
- S72. Lerman BB. Mechanism, diagnosis and treatment of outflow tract tachycardia. *Nat Rev Cardiol*2015;12:597–608. [PubMed: 26283265]

- S73. Buxton AE, Waxman HL, Marchlinski FE, Untereker WJ, Waspe LE, Josephson ME. Role of triple ventricular extrastimuli during electrophysiologic study of patients with documented sustained ventricular arrhythmias. *Circulation*1984;69:532–540. [PubMed: 6692515]
- S74. Hummel JD, Strickberger SA, Daoud E, et al. Results and efficiency of programmed ventricular stimulation with four extrastimuli compared with one, two and three extrastimuli. *Circulation*1994;90:2827–2832. [PubMed: 7994827]
- S75. Josephson ME. *Clinical Cardiac Electrophysiology: Techniques and Interpretations*, 5th ed. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer; 2015, Chapter 11, p. 479.
- S76. Yoshida K, Liu TY, Scott C, et al. The value of defibrillator electrograms for recognition of clinical ventricular tachycardias and for pace mapping of postinfarction ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2010;56:969–979. [PubMed: 20828650]
- S77. de Riva M, Piers SR, Kapel GF, et al. Reassessing noninducibility as ablation endpoint of post-infarction ventricular tachycardia: the impact of left ventricular function. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:853–862. [PubMed: 25969540]
- S78. Silberbauer J, Oloriz T, Maccabelli G, et al. Noninducibility and late potential abolition: a novel combined prognostic procedural end point for catheter ablation of postinfarction ventricular tachycardia. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:424–435. [PubMed: 24833642]
- S79. Wolf M, Sacher F, Cochet H, et al. Long-term outcome of substrate modification in ablation of post-myocardial infarction ventricular tachycardia. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2018;11:e005635. [PubMed: 29440186]
- S710. Kuck KH, Tilz RR, Deneke T, et al. Impact of substrate modification by catheter ablation on implantable cardioverter-defibrillator interventions in patients with unstable ventricular arrhythmias and coronary artery disease: results from the multicenter randomized controlled SMS (Substrate Modification Study). *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2017;10:e004422. [PubMed: 28292751]
- S711. Ghanbari H, Baser K, Yokokawa M, et al. Noninducibility in postinfarction ventricular tachycardia as an end point for ventricular tachycardia ablation and its effects on outcomes: a meta-analysis. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:677–683. [PubMed: 24879789]
- S712. Yokokawa M, Kim HM, Baser K, et al. Predictive value of programmed ventricular stimulation after catheter ablation of post-infarction ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2015;65:1954–1959. [PubMed: 25913000]
- S713. Rothman SA, Hsia HH, Cossu SF, Chmielewski IL, Buxton AE, Miller JM. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of postinfarction ventricular tachycardia: long-term success and the significance of inducible nonclinical arrhythmias. *Circulation*1997;96:3499–3508. [PubMed: 9396447]
- S714. Frankel DS, Mountantonakis SE, Zado ES, et al. Noninvasive programmed ventricular stimulation early after ventricular tachycardia ablation to predict risk of late recurrence. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2012;59:1529–1535. [PubMed: 22516442]
- S715. Piers SR, Leong DP, van Huls van Taxis CF, et al. Outcome of ventricular tachycardia ablation in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy: the impact of noninducibility. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2013;6:513–521. [PubMed: 23619893]
- S716. Lerman BB. Response of nonreentrant catecholamine-mediated ventricular tachycardia to endogenous adenosine and acetylcholine: evidence for myocardial receptor-mediated effects. *Circulation*1993;87:382–390. [PubMed: 8425287]
- S8111. Tschabrunn CM, Roujol S, Dorman NC, Nezafat R, Josephson ME, Anter E. High-resolution mapping of ventricular scar: comparison between single and multielectrode catheters. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2016;9:e003841. [PubMed: 27307518]
- S8112. Leshem E, Tschabrunn CM, Jang J, et al. High-resolution mapping of ventricular scar: evaluation of a novel integrated multielectrode mapping and ablation catheter. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2017;3:220–231. [PubMed: 29759516]
- S8113. Berte B, Relan J, Sacher F, et al. Impact of electrode type on mapping of scar-related VT. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2015;26:1213–1223. [PubMed: 26198475]
- S8114. Anter E, Tschabrunn CM, Josephson ME. High-resolution mapping of scar-related atrial arrhythmias using smaller electrodes with closer interelectrode spacing. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:537–545. [PubMed: 25792508]

- S8115. Anter E, Tschabrunn CM, Buxton AE, Josephson ME. High-resolution mapping of postinfarction reentrant ventricular tachycardia: electrophysiological characterization of the circuit. *Circulation*2016;134:314–327. [PubMed: 27440005]
- S8116. Josephson ME, Anter E. Substrate mapping for ventricular tachycardia: assumptions and misconceptions. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2015; 1:341–352. [PubMed: 29759461]
- S8117. Ciaccio EJ, Coromilas J, Wit AL, Peters NS, Garan H. Formation of reentrant circuits in the mid-myocardial infarct border zone. *Comput Biol Med*2016;71:205–213. [PubMed: 26945600]
- S8118. Tung R, Nakahara S, Maccabelli G, et al.Ultra high-density multipolar mapping with double ventricular access: a novel technique for ablation of ventricular tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2011;22:49–56. [PubMed: 20653813]
- S8119. Tung R, Nakahara S, Ramirez R, et al.Accuracy of combined endocardial and epicardial electroanatomic mapping of a reperfused porcine infarct model: a comparison of electrofield and magnetic systems with histopathologic correlation. *Heart Rhythm*2011;8:439–447. [PubMed: 21056123]
- S81110. Magtibay K, Massé S, Asta J, et al.Physiological assessment of ventricular myocardial voltage using omnipolar electrograms. *J Am Heart Assoc*2017;6:e006447. [PubMed: 28862942]
- S81111. Viswanathan K, Mantziari L, Butcher C, et al.Evaluation of a novel high-resolution mapping system for catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm*2017;14:176–183. [PubMed: 27867071]
- S821. Josephson ME. Recurrent ventricular tachycardia, *Clinical Cardiac Electrophysiology: Techniques and Interpretations*, 5th ed. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer; 2015.
- S822. Josephson ME, Anter E. Substrate mapping for ventricular tachycardia: assumptions and misconceptions. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2015; 1:341–352. [PubMed: 29759461]
- S823. Miller JM, Harken AH, Hargrove WC, Josephson ME. Pattern of endocardial activation during sustained ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1985; 6:1280–1287. [PubMed: 4067106]
- S824. Anter E, Tschabrunn CM, Buxton AE, Josephson ME. High-resolution mapping of postinfarction reentrant ventricular tachycardia: electrophysiological characterization of the circuit. *Circulation*2016;134:314–327. [PubMed: 27440005]
- S825. Miller MA, Dukkipati SR, Mittnacht AJ, et al.Activation and entrainment mapping of hemodynamically unstable ventricular tachycardia using a percutaneous left ventricular assist device. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2011;58:1363–1371. [PubMed: 21920266]
- S826. Turagam MK, Vuddanda V, Atkins D, et al.Hemodynamic support in ventricular tachycardia ablation: an International VT Ablation Center Collaborative Group Study. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2017;3:1534–1543. [PubMed: 29759835]
- S8321. Stevenson WG, Friedman PL, Sager PT, et al.Exploring postinfarction reentrant ventricular tachycardia with entrainment mapping. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1997;29:1180–1189. [PubMed: 9137211]
- S8322. Kadish AH, Childs K, Schmaltz S, Morady F. Differences in QRS configuration during unipolar pacing from adjacent sites: implications for the spatial resolution of pace-mapping. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1991; 17:143–151. [PubMed: 1987218]
- S8323. Kalbfleisch SJ, Sousa J, el-Atassi R, Calkins H, Langberg J, Morady F. Repolarization abnormalities after catheter ablation of accessory atrioventricular connections with radiofrequency current. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1991; 18:1761–1766. [PubMed: 1960327]
- S8324. Morady F, Kadish A, Rosenheck S, et al.Concealed entrainment as a guide for catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with prior myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1991;17:678–689. [PubMed: 1993788]
- S8325. Fontaine G, Frank R, Tonet J, Grosgeat Y. Identification of a zone of slow conduction appropriate for VT ablation: theoretical and practical considerations. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*1989;12:262–267. [PubMed: 2466262]
- S8326. Stevenson WG, Khan H, Sager P, et al.Identification of reentry circuit sites during catheter mapping and radiofrequency ablation of ventricular tachycardia late after myocardial infarction. *Circulation*1993; 88:1647–1670. [PubMed: 8403311]

- S8327. Bogun F, Kim HM, Han J, et al. Comparison of mapping criteria for hemodynamically tolerated, postinfarction ventricular tachycardia. *Heart Rhythm* 2006;3:20–26. [PubMed: 16399047]
- S8328. Bogun F, Bahu M, Knight B, et al. Response to pacing at sites of isolated diastolic potentials during ventricular tachycardia in patients with previous myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1997;30:505–513. [PubMed: 9247525]
- S8329. Bogun F, Knight B, Goyal R, et al. Discrete systolic potentials during ventricular tachycardia in patients with prior myocardial infarction. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 1999;10:364–369. [PubMed: 10210499]
- S83210. Tung S, Soejima K, Maisel WH, Suzuki M, Epstein L, Stevenson WG. Recognition of far-field electrograms during entrainment mapping of ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003;42:110–115. [PubMed: 12849669]
- S83211. Sarrazin JF, Kuehne M, Wells D, et al. High-output pacing in mapping of postinfarction ventricular tachycardia. *Heart Rhythm* 2008;5:1709–1714. [PubMed: 19084809]
- S83212. Stevenson WG, Weiss J, Wiener I, Wohlgeleit D, Yeatman L. Localization of slow conduction in a ventricular tachycardia circuit: implications for catheter ablation. *Am Heart J* 1987;114:1253–1258. [PubMed: 3673897]
- S83213. El-Shalakany A, Hadjis T, Papageorgiou P, Monahan K, Epstein L, Josephson ME. Entrainment/mapping criteria for the prediction of termination of ventricular tachycardia by single radiofrequency lesion in patients with coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 1999;99:2283–2289. [PubMed: 10226094]
- S83214. Kumar S, Baldinger SH, Romero J, et al. Substrate-based ablation versus ablation guided by activation and entrainment mapping for ventricular tachycardia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2016;27:1437–1447. [PubMed: 27574120]
- S841. Gerstenfeld EP, Dixit S, Callans DJ, Rajawat Y, Rho R, Marchlinski FE. Quantitative comparison of spontaneous and paced 12-lead electrocardiogram during right ventricular outflow tract ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003;41:2046–2053. [PubMed: 12798580]
- S842. Kurosaki K, Nogami A, Sakamaki M, et al. Automated template matching to pinpoint the origin of right ventricular outflow tract tachycardia. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2009;32:S47–S51. [PubMed: 19250111]
- S843. De Chillou C, Groben L, Magnin-Poull I, et al. Localizing the critical isthmus of postinfarct ventricular tachycardia: the value of pace-mapping during sinus rhythm. *Heart Rhythm* 2014;11:175–181. [PubMed: 24513915]
- S844. Calkins H, Kalbfleisch SJ, El-atassi R, Langberg JJ, Morady F. Relation between efficacy of radiofrequency catheter ablation and site of origin of idiopathic ventricular tachycardia. *Am J Cardiol* 1993;71:827–833. [PubMed: 8456762]
- S845. Bogun F, Taj M, Ting M, et al. Spatial resolution of pace mapping of idiopathic ventricular tachycardia/ectopy originating in the right ventricular outflow tract. *Heart Rhythm* 2008;5:339–344. [PubMed: 18313589]
- S846. Brunckhorst CB, Stevenson WG, Soejima K, et al. Relationship of slow conduction detected by pace-mapping to ventricular tachycardia re-entry circuit sites after infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003;41:802–809. [PubMed: 12628726]
- S847. Stevenson WG, Sager PT, Natterson PD, Saxon LA, Middlekauff HR, Wiener I. Relation of pace mapping QRS configuration and conduction delay to ventricular tachycardia reentry circuits in human infarct scars. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1995;26:481–488. [PubMed: 7608454]
- S848. Brunckhorst CB, Delacretaz E, Soejima K, Maisel WH, Friedman PL, Stevenson WG. Identification of the ventricular tachycardia isthmus after infarction by pace mapping. *Circulation* 2004;110:652–659. [PubMed: 15289385]
- S849. Bogun F, Good E, Reich S, et al. Isolated potentials during sinus rhythm and pace-mapping within scars as guides for ablation of post-infarction ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;47:2013–2019. [PubMed: 16697318]
- S8410. Bogun F, Bahu M, Knight BP, et al. Response to pacing at sites of isolated diastolic potentials during ventricular tachycardia in patients with previous myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1997;30:505–513. [PubMed: 9247525]

- S8411. Josephson ME, Waxman HL, Cain ME, Gardner MJ, Buxton AE. Ventricular activation during ventricular endocardial pacing: II. Role of pace-mapping to localize origin of ventricular tachycardia. *Am J Cardiol*1982; 50:11–22. [PubMed: 7090993]
- S8412. Goyal R, Harvey M, Daoud EG, et al. Effect of coupling interval and pacing cycle length on morphology of paced ventricular complexes. *Circulation*1996;94:2843–2849. [PubMed: 8941111]
- S8413. Yoshida K, Liu TY, Scott C, et al. The value of defibrillator electrograms for recognition of clinical ventricular tachycardias and for pace mapping of postinfarction ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2010;56:969–979. [PubMed: 20828650]
- S8414. Azegami K, Wilber DJ, Arruda M, Lin AC, Denman RA. Spatial resolution of pacemapping and activation mapping in patients with idiopathic right ventricular outflow tract tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2005; 16:823–829. [PubMed: 16101622]
- S8415. Soejima K, Stevenson WG, Maisel WH, Sapp JL, Epstein LM. Electrically unexcitable scar mapping based on pacing threshold for identification of the reentry circuit isthmus: feasibility for guiding ventricular tachycardia ablation. *Circulation*2002;106:1678–1683. [PubMed: 12270862]
- S8416. Kadish AH, Schmaltz S, Morady F. A comparison of QRS complexes resulting from unipolar and bipolar pacing: implications for pace-mapping. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*1991;14:823–832. [PubMed: 1712960]
- S8521. Reddy VY, Reynolds MR, Neuzil P, et al. Prophylactic catheter ablation for the prevention of defibrillator therapy. *N Engl J Med*2007; 357:2657–2665. [PubMed: 18160685]
- S8522. Di Biase L, Burkhardt JD, Lakkireddy D, et al. Ablation of stable VTs versus substrate ablation in ischemic cardiomyopathy: the VISTA randomized multicenter trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2015;66:2872–2882. [PubMed: 26718674]
- S8523. Calkins H, Epstein A, Packer D, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with structural heart disease using cooled radiofrequency energy: results of a prospective multicenter study. Cooled RF Multi Center Investigators Group. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2000;35:1905–1914. [PubMed: 10841242]
- S8524. Stevenson WG, Wilber DJ, Natale A, et al. Irrigated radiofrequency catheter ablation guided by electroanatomic mapping for recurrent ventricular tachycardia after myocardial infarction: the multicenter thermocool ventricular tachycardia ablation trial. *Circulation*2008;118:2773–2782. [PubMed: 19064682]
- S8525. Sapp JL, Wells GA, Parkash R, et al. Ventricular tachycardia ablation versus escalation of antiarrhythmic drugs. *N Engl J Med*2016; 375:111–121. [PubMed: 27149033]
- S8526. Kuck K-H, Tilz RR, Deneke T, et al. Impact of substrate modification by catheter ablation on implantable cardioverter-defibrillator interventions in patients with unstable ventricular arrhythmias and coronary artery disease: results from the multicenter randomized controlled SMS (Substrate Modification Study). *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2017; 10:e004422. [PubMed: 28292751]
- S8527. Volkmer M, Ouyang F, Deger F, et al. Substrate mapping vs. tachycardia mapping using CARTO in patients with coronary artery disease and ventricular tachycardia: impact on outcome of catheter ablation. *Europace*2006;8:968–976. [PubMed: 17043071]
- S8528. Makimoto H, Nakajima I, Miyamoto K, et al. Clinical impact of mapping strategies for treatment of ventricular tachycardias in patients with structural heart disease. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2015;38:630–640. [PubMed: 25639823]
- S8529. Carbucicchio C, Ahmad Raja N, Di Biase L, et al. High-density substrate-guided ventricular tachycardia ablation: role of activation mapping in an attempt to improve procedural effectiveness. *Heart Rhythm*2013; 10:1850–1858. [PubMed: 24055940]
- S85210. Briceño DF, Romero J, Villablanca PA, et al. Long-term outcomes of different ablation strategies for ventricular tachycardia in patients with structural heart disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Europace*2018;20:104–115. [PubMed: 28575378]
- S85211. Kumar S, Baldinger SH, Romero J, et al. Substrate-based ablation versus ablation guided by activation and entrainment mapping for ventricular tachycardia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2016;27:1437–1447. [PubMed: 27574120]

- S85212. Acosta J, Penela D, Andreu D, et al. Multielectrode vs. point-by-point mapping for ventricular tachycardia substrate ablation: a randomized study. *Europace* 2018;20:512–519. [PubMed: 28069835]
- S85213. Berte B, Relan J, Sacher F, et al. Impact of electrode type on mapping of scar-related VT. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2015;26:1213–1223. [PubMed: 26198475]
- S85214. Yamashita S, Cochet H, Sacher F, et al. Impact of new technologies and approaches for post-myocardial infarction ventricular tachycardia ablation during long-term follow-up. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2016; 9:e003901. [PubMed: 27406604]
- S85215. Hutchinson MD, Gerstenfeld EP, Desjardins B, et al. Endocardial unipolar voltage mapping to detect epicardial ventricular tachycardia substrate in patients with nonischemic left ventricular cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythmia Electrophysiol* 2011;4:49–55.
- S85216. Polin GM, Haqqani H, Tzou W, et al. Endocardial unipolar voltage mapping to identify epicardial substrate in arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia. *Heart Rhythm* 2011;8:76–83. [PubMed: 20933099]
- S85217. Chopra N, Tokuda M, Ng J, et al. Relation of the unipolar low-voltage penumbra surrounding the endocardial low-voltage scar to ventricular tachycardia circuit sites and ablation outcomes in ischemic cardiomyopathy. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2014;25:602–608. [PubMed: 24576211]
- S85218. Soto-Becerra R, Bazan V, Bautista W, et al. Ventricular tachycardia in the setting of Chagasic cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2017; 10:e004950. [PubMed: 29133379]
- S85219. Desjardins B, Yokokawa M, Good E, et al. Characteristics of intramural scar in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy and relation to intramural ventricular arrhythmias. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2013; 6:891–897. [PubMed: 23985383]
- S85220. Stevenson WG, Friedman PL, Kocovic D, Sager PT, Saxon LA, Pavri B. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia after myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 1998;98:308–314. [PubMed: 9711935]
- S85221. Miller JM, Kienzle MG, Harken AH, Josephson ME. Subendocardial resection for ventricular tachycardia: predictors of surgical success. *Circulation* 1984;70:624–631. [PubMed: 6478565]
- S85222. de Bakker JM, van Capelle FJ, Janse MJ, et al. Slow conduction in the infarcted human heart. 'Zigzag' course of activation. *Circulation* 1993; 88:915–926. [PubMed: 8353918]
- S85223. Callans DJ, Ren JF, Michele J, Marchlinski FE, Dillon SM. Electroanatomic left ventricular mapping in the porcine model of healed anterior myocardial infarction: correlation with intracardiac echocardiography and pathological analysis. *Circulation* 1999;100:1744–1750. [PubMed: 10525495]
- S85224. Marchlinski FE, Callans DJ, Gottlieb CD, Zado E. Linear ablation lesions for control of unmappable ventricular tachycardia in patients with ischemic and nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* 2000;101:1288–1296. [PubMed: 10725289]
- S85225. Soejima K, Stevenson WG, Maisel WH, Sapp JL, Epstein LM. Electrically unexcitable scar mapping based on pacing threshold for identification of the reentry circuit isthmus: feasibility for guiding ventricular tachycardia ablation. *Circulation* 2002;106:1678–1683. [PubMed: 12270862]
- S85226. Ghashan CA, Androulakis AFA, Tao Q, et al. Whole human heart histology to validate electroanatomical voltage mapping in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy and ventricular tachycardia. *Eur Heart J* 2018;39:2867–2875. [PubMed: 29617764]
- S85227. Tung R, Josephson ME, Bradfield JS, Shivkumar K. Directional influences of ventricular activation on myocardial scar characterization: voltage mapping with multiple wavefronts during ventricular tachycardia ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2016;9:e004155. [PubMed: 27516464]
- S85228. Santangeli P, Marchlinski FE. Substrate mapping for unstable ventricular tachycardia. *Heart Rhythm* 2016;13:569–583. [PubMed: 26410105]
- S85229. Arenal A, Glez-Torrecilla E, Ortiz M, et al. Ablation of electrograms with an isolated, delayed component as treatment of unmappable monomorphic ventricular tachycardias in patients with structural heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003;41:81–92. [PubMed: 12570949]
- S85230. Bogun F, Good E, Reich S, et al. Isolated potentials during sinus rhythm and pace-mapping within scars as guides for ablation of post-infarction ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006;47:2013–2019. [PubMed: 16697318]

- S85231. Nogami A, Sugiyasu A, Tada H, et al. Changes in the isolated delayed component as an endpoint of catheter ablation in arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy: predictor for long-term success. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2008;19:681–688. [PubMed: 18284499]
- S85232. Vergara P, Trevisi N, Ricco A, et al. Late potentials abolition as an additional technique for reduction of arrhythmia recurrence in scar related ventricular tachycardia ablation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2012; 23:621–627. [PubMed: 22486970]
- S85233. Mountantonakis SE, Park RE, Frankel DS, et al. Relationship between voltage map “channels” and the location of critical isthmus sites in patients with post-infarction cardiomyopathy and ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2013;61:2088–2095. [PubMed: 23524215]
- S85234. Josephson ME, Anter E. Substrate mapping for ventricular tachycardia assumptions and misconceptions. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2015; 1:341–352. [PubMed: 29759461]
- S85235. Jaïs P, Maury P, Khairy P, et al. Elimination of local abnormal ventricular activities: a new end point for substrate modification in patients with scar-related ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation*2012;125:2184–2196. [PubMed: 22492578]
- S85236. Acosta J, Andreu D, Penela D, et al. Elucidation of hidden slow conduction by double ventricular extrastimuli: a method for further arrhythmic substrate identification in ventricular tachycardia ablation procedures. *Europace*2018;20:337–346. [PubMed: 28017938]
- S85237. Porta-Sánchez A, Jackson N, Lukac P, et al. Multicenter study of ischemic ventricular tachycardia ablation with decrement-evoked potential (DEEP) mapping with extra stimulus. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2018;4:307–315. [PubMed: 30089555]
- S85238. de Riva M, Naruse Y, Ebert M, et al. Targeting the hidden substrate unmasked by right ventricular extrastimulation improves ventricular tachycardia ablation outcome after myocardial infarction. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2018;4:316–327. [PubMed: 30089556]
- S85239. Nakahara S, Tung R, Ramirez RJ, et al. Distribution of late potentials within infarct scars assessed by ultra high-density mapping. *Heart Rhythm*2010;7:1817–1824. [PubMed: 20682358]
- S85240. Irie T, Yu R, Bradfield JS, et al. Relationship between sinus rhythm late activation zones and critical sites for scar-related ventricular tachycardia: systematic analysis of isochronal late activation mapping. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:390–399. [PubMed: 25740836]
- S85241. Berruezo A, Fernández-Armenta J, Andreu D, et al. Scar dechanneling: new method for scar-related left ventricular tachycardia substrate ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:326–336. [PubMed: 25583983]
- S85242. Tilz RR, Makimoto H, Lin T, et al. Electrical isolation of a substrate after myocardial infarction: a novel ablation strategy for unmappable ventricular tachycardias—feasibility and clinical outcome. *Europace*2014; 16:1040–1052. [PubMed: 24574495]
- S85243. Tzou WS, Frankel DS, Hegeman T, et al. Core isolation of critical arrhythmia elements for treatment of multiple scar-based ventricular tachycardias. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:353–361. [PubMed: 25681389]
- S85244. Di Biase L, Santangeli P, Burkhardt DJ, et al. Endo-epicardial homogenization of the scar versus limited substrate ablation for the treatment of electrical storms in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2012;60:132–141. [PubMed: 22766340]
- S8621. Ouyang F, Fotuhi P, Ho SY, et al. Repetitive monomorphic ventricular tachycardia originating from the aortic sinus cusp: electrocardiographic characterization for guiding catheter ablation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2002;39:500–508. [PubMed: 11823089]
- S8622. Hoffmayer KS, Dewland TA, Hsia HH, et al. Safety of radiofrequency catheter ablation without coronary angiography in aortic cusp ventricular arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm*2014;11:1117–1121. [PubMed: 24732373]
- S8623. Hachiya H, Aonuma K, Yamauchi Y, Igawa M, Nogami A, Iesaka Y. How to diagnose, locate, and ablate coronary cusp ventricular tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2002;13:551–556. [PubMed: 12108495]
- S8624. Yamada T, McElderry HT, Doppalapudi H, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the aortic root prevalence, electrocardiographic and electrophysiologic characteristics, and results of radiofrequency catheter ablation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2008;52:139–147. [PubMed: 18598894]

- S8625. Baman TS, Ilg KJ, Gupta SK, et al. Mapping and ablation of epicardial idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias from within the coronary venous system. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2010;3:274–279. [PubMed: 20400776]
- S8626. Yokokawa M, Latchamsetty R, Good E, et al. Ablation of epicardial ventricular arrhythmias from nonepicardial sites. *Heart Rhythm*2011; 8:1525–1529. [PubMed: 21703218]
- S8627. D'Avila A, Gutierrez P, Scanavacca M, et al. Effects of radiofrequency pulses delivered in the vicinity of the coronary arteries: implications for nonsurgical transthoracic epicardial catheter ablation to treat ventricular tachycardia. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2002;25:1488–1495. [PubMed: 12418747]
- S8628. Sacher F, Roberts-Thomson K, Maury P, et al. Epicardial ventricular tachycardia ablation a multicenter safety study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2010; 55:2366–2372. [PubMed: 20488308]
- S8629. Good E, Desjardins B, Jongnarangsin K, et al. Ventricular arrhythmias originating from a papillary muscle in patients without prior infarction: a comparison with fascicular arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm*2008; 5:1530–1537. [PubMed: 18984528]
- S86210. Yokokawa M, Good E, Desjardins B, et al. Predictors of successful catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias arising from the papillary muscles. *Heart Rhythm*2010;7:1654–1659. [PubMed: 20637311]
- S86211. Yamada T, McElderry HT, Okada T, et al. Idiopathic focal ventricular arrhythmias originating from the anterior papillary muscle in the left ventricle. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2009;20:866–872. [PubMed: 19298560]
- S86212. Yamada T, Doppalapudi H, McElderry HT, et al. Electrocardiographic and electrophysiological characteristics in idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the papillary muscles in the left ventricle: relevance for catheter ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2010; 3:324–331. [PubMed: 20558848]
- S86213. Yamada T, Doppalapudi H, McElderry HT, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the papillary muscles in the left ventricle: prevalence, electrocardiographic and electrophysiological characteristics, and results of the radiofrequency catheter ablation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2010;21:62–69. [PubMed: 19793147]
- S86214. Bassil G, Liu CF, Markowitz SM, et al. Comparison of robotic magnetic navigation-guided and manual catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias arising from the papillary muscles. *Europace*2018;20:ii5–ii10. [PubMed: 29722854]
- S86215. Ban JE, Lee HS, Lee DI, et al. Electrophysiological characteristics related to outcome after catheter ablation of idiopathic ventricular arrhythmia originating from the papillary muscle in the left ventricle. *Korean Circ J*2013;43:811–818. [PubMed: 24385992]
- S86216. Crawford T, Mueller G, Good E, et al. Ventricular arrhythmias originating from papillary muscles in the right ventricle. *Heart Rhythm*2010; 7:725–730. [PubMed: 20206325]
- S86217. Rivera S, Rikapito Mde L, Tomas L, et al. Results of cryoenergy and radiofrequency-based catheter ablation for treating ventricular arrhythmias arising from the papillary muscles of the left ventricle, guided by intracardiac echocardiography and image integration. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2016;9:e003874. [PubMed: 27069089]
- S86218. Proietti R, Rivera S, Dussault C, et al. Intracardiac echo-facilitated 3D electroanatomical mapping of ventricular arrhythmias from the papillary muscles: assessing the 'fourth dimension' during ablation. *Europace*2017;19:21–28. [PubMed: 27485578]
- S86219. Peichl P, Baran J, Wichterle D, et al. The tip of the muscle is a dominant location of ventricular ectopy originating from papillary muscles in the left ventricle. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2018;29:64–70. [PubMed: 28884872]
- S86220. Lee A, Hamilton-Craig C, Denman R, Haqqani HM. Catheter ablation of papillary muscle arrhythmias: implications of mitral valve prolapse and systolic dysfunction. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2018;41:750–758. [PubMed: 29732567]
- S86221. Ren JF, Marchlinski FE. Early detection of iatrogenic pericardial effusion: importance of intracardiac echocardiography. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*2010;3:127. [PubMed: 20129583]
- S86222. Filgueiras-Rama D, de Torres-Alba F, Castrejón-Castrejón S, et al. Utility of intracardiac echocardiography for catheter ablation of complex cardiac arrhythmias in a medium-volume training center. *Echocardiography*2015; 32:660–670. [PubMed: 25109241]

- S86223. Weintraub AR, Schwartz SL, Smith J, Hsu TL, Pandian NG. Intracardiac two-dimensional echocardiography in patients with pericardial effusion and cardiac tamponade. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*1991;4:571–576. [PubMed: 1760178]
- S86224. Bala R, Ren JF, Hutchinson MD, et al. Assessing epicardial substrate using intracardiac echocardiography during VT ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2011;4:667–673. [PubMed: 21880675]
- S86225. Peichl P, Wichterle D, Cihak R, Aldhoon B, Kautzner J. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in the presence of an old endocavitary thrombus guided by intracardiac echocardiography. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2016;39:581–587. [PubMed: 26969894]
- S86226. Callans DJ, Ren JF, Michele J, Marchlinski FE, Dillon SM. Electroanatomic left ventricular mapping in the porcine model of healed anterior myocardial infarction: correlation with intracardiac echocardiography and pathological analysis. *Circulation*1999;100: 1744–1750. [PubMed: 10525495]
- S86227. Nguyen DT, Zipse M, Borne RT, Zheng L, Tzou WS, Sauer WH. Use of tissue electric and ultrasound characteristics to predict and prevent steam-generated cavitation during high-power radiofrequency ablation. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2018;4:491–500. [PubMed: 30067489]
- S86228. Von Bergen NH, Bansal S, Gingerich J, Law IH. Nonfluoroscopic and radiation-limited ablation of ventricular arrhythmias in children and young adults: a case series. *Pediatric Cardiology*2011;32:743–747. [PubMed: 21442398]
- S86229. Tuzco VS. Significant reduction of fluoroscopy in pediatric catheter ablation procedures: long-term experience from a single center. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2012;35:1067–1073. [PubMed: 22817263]
- S86230. Cano O, Alonso P, Osca J, et al. Initial experience with a new image integration module designed for reducing radiation exposure during electrophysiological ablation procedures. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2015; 26:662–670. [PubMed: 25773190]
- S86231. Reents T, Buiatti A, Ammar S, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias using a fluoroscopy image integration module. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2015;38:700–705. [PubMed: 25790434]
- S86232. Cano O, Andres A, Osca J, et al. Safety and feasibility of a minimally fluoroscopic approach for ventricular tachycardia ablation in patients with structural heart disease: influence of the ventricular tachycardia substrate. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2016;9:e003706. [PubMed: 26850881]
- S86233. Cheitlin MD, Alpert JS, Armstrong WF, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for the clinical application of echocardiography: executive summary. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines (Committee on Clinical Application of Echocardiography). *J Am Coll Cardiol*1997;29:862–879. [PubMed: 9091535]
- S86234. Eitel C, Piorkowski C, Hindricks G, Gutberlet M. Electrophysiology study guided by real-time magnetic resonance imaging. *Eur Heart J*2012; 33:1975. [PubMed: 22108828]
- S86235. Piorkowski C, Grothoff M, Gaspar T, et al. Cavotricuspid isthmus ablation guided by real-time magnetic resonance imaging. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2013;6:e7–e10. [PubMed: 23424226]
- S86236. Grant EK, Berul CI, Cross RR, et al. Acute cardiac MRI assessment of radiofrequency ablation lesions for pediatric ventricular arrhythmia: feasibility and clinical correlation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2017; 28:517–522. [PubMed: 28245348]
- S86237. Nazarian S, Kantsevov SV, Zviman MM, et al. Feasibility of endoscopic guidance for nonsurgical transthoracic atrial and ventricular epicardial ablation. *Heart Rhythm*2008;5:1115–1119. [PubMed: 18675221]
- S871. Khaykin Y, Skanes A, Whaley B, et al. Real-time integration of 2D intracardiac echocardiography and 3D electroanatomical mapping to guide ventricular tachycardia ablation. *Heart Rhythm*2008;5:1396–1402. [PubMed: 18929326]
- S872. Sporton SC, Earley MJ, Nathan AW, Schilling RJ. Electroanatomic versus fluoroscopic mapping for catheter ablation procedures: a prospective randomized study. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2004;15:310–315. [PubMed: 15030422]

- S873. Earley MJ, Showkathali R, Alzetani M, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of arrhythmias guided by non-fluoroscopic catheter location: a prospective randomized trial. *Eur Heart J*2006;27:1223–1229. [PubMed: 16613932]
- S874. Marchlinski FE, Callans DJ, Gottlieb CD, Zado E. Linear ablation lesions for control of unmappable ventricular tachycardia in patients with ischemic and nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circulation*2000;101:1288–1296. [PubMed: 10725289]
- S875. Khongphatthanayothin A, Kosar E, Nademanee K. Nonfluoroscopic three-dimensional mapping for arrhythmia ablation: tool or toy? *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2000;11:239–243. [PubMed: 10749346]
- S876. Stevenson WG, Wilber DJ, Natale A, et al. Irrigated radiofrequency catheter ablation guided by electroanatomic mapping for recurrent ventricular tachycardia after myocardial infarction: the multicenter thermocool ventricular tachycardia ablation trial. *Circulation*2008;118:2773–2782. [PubMed: 19064682]
- S877. Reithmann C, Hahnefeld A, Remp T, et al. Electroanatomic mapping of endocardial right ventricular activation as a guide for catheter ablation in patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2003;26:1308–1316. [PubMed: 12822746]
- S878. Zeppenfeld K, Schalij MJ, Bartelings MM, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia after repair of congenital heart disease. *Circulation*2007; 116:2241–2252. [PubMed: 17967973]
- S879. Codreanu A, Odille F, Aliot E, et al. Electroanatomic characterization of post-infarct scars comparison with 3-dimensional myocardial scar reconstruction based on magnetic resonance imaging. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2008; 52:839–842. [PubMed: 18755347]
- S8710. Zhang F, Yang B, Chen H, et al. Magnetic versus manual catheter navigation for mapping and ablation of right ventricular outflow tract ventricular arrhythmias: a randomized controlled study. *Heart Rhythm*2013; 10:1178–1183. [PubMed: 23692891]
- S8711. Dinov B, Schoenbauer R, Wojdyla-Horodyska A, et al. Long-term efficacy of single procedure remote magnetic catheter navigation for ablation of ischemic ventricular tachycardia: a retrospective study. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2012;23:499–505. [PubMed: 22313170]
- S8712. Szili-Torok T, Schwagten B, Akca F, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardias Using remote magnetic navigation: a consecutive case-control study. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2012;23:948–954. [PubMed: 22554147]
- S8713. Akca F, Theuns DA, Abkenari LD, de Groot NM, Jordaens L, Szili-Torok T. Outcomes of repeat catheter ablation using magnetic navigation or conventional ablation. *Europace*2013;15:1426–1431. [PubMed: 23493412]
- S8714. Bauernfeind T, Akca F, Schwagten B, et al. The magnetic navigation system allows safety and high efficacy for ablation of arrhythmias. *Europace*2011; 13:1015–1021. [PubMed: 21508006]
- S8715. Gepstein L, Hayam G, Ben-Haim SA. A novel method for nonfluoroscopic catheter-based electroanatomical mapping of the heart. In vitro and in vivo accuracy results. *Circulation*1997;95:1611–1622. [PubMed: 9118532]
- S8716. Krummen DE, Hayase J, Vampola SP, et al. Modifying ventricular fibrillation by targeted rotor substrate ablation: proof-of-concept from experimental studies to clinical VF. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2015;26:1117–1126. [PubMed: 26179310]
- S8717. Nademanee K, Kosar EM. A nonfluoroscopic catheter-based mapping technique to ablate focal ventricular tachycardia. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*1998;21:1442–1447. [PubMed: 9670189]
- S8718. Stevenson WG, Delacretaz E, Friedman PL, Ellison KE. Identification and ablation of macroreentrant ventricular tachycardia with the CARTO electroanatomical mapping system. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*1998; 21:1448–1456. [PubMed: 9670190]
- S8719. Tomassoni G, Stanton M, Richey M, Leonelli FM, Beheiry S, Natale A. Epicardial mapping and radiofrequency catheter ablation of ischemic ventricular tachycardia using a three-dimensional nonfluoroscopic mapping system. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*1999;10:1643–1648. [PubMed: 10636195]
- S8720. Soejima K, Suzuki M, Maisel W, et al. Catheter ablation in patients with multiple and unstable ventricular tachycardias after myocardial infarction: short ablation lines guided by reentry circuit isthmuses and sinus rhythm mapping. *Circulation*2001;104:664–669. [PubMed: 11489772]

- S8721. Soejima K, Stevenson WG, Maisel WH, Sapp JL, Epstein LM. Electrically unexcitable scar mapping based on pacing threshold for identification of the reentry circuit isthmus: feasibility for guiding ventricular tachycardia ablation. *Circulation*2002;106:1678–1683. [PubMed: 12270862]
- S8722. Reddy VY, Neuzil P, Taborsky M, Ruskin JN. Short-term results of substrate mapping and radiofrequency ablation of ischemic ventricular tachycardia using a saline-irrigated catheter. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2003; 41:2228–2236. [PubMed: 12821253]
- S8723. Arenal A, Glez-Torrecilla E, Ortiz M, et al. Ablation of electrograms with an isolated, delayed component as treatment of unmappable monomorphic ventricular tachycardias in patients with structural heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2003;41:81–92. [PubMed: 12570949]
- S8724. Soejima K, Stevenson WG, Sapp JL, Selwyn AP, Couper G, Epstein LM. Endocardial and epicardial radiofrequency ablation of ventricular tachycardia associated with dilated cardiomyopathy: the importance of low-voltage scars. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2004;43:1834–1842. [PubMed: 15145109]
- S8725. Marchlinski F, Zado E, Dixit S, et al. Electroanatomic substrate and outcome of catheter ablation therapy for ventricular tachycardia in setting of right ventricular cardiomyopathy. *Circulation*2004;110:2293–2298. [PubMed: 15477406]
- S8726. Ouyang F, Cappato R, Ernst S, et al. Electroanatomic substrate of idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia: unidirectional block and macroreentry within the Purkinje network. *Circulation*2002;105:462–469. [PubMed: 11815429]
- S8727. Faddis MN, Blume W, Finney J, et al. Novel, magnetically guided catheter for endocardial mapping and radiofrequency catheter ablation. *Circulation*2002;106:2980–2985. [PubMed: 12460882]
- S8728. Shauer A, De Vries L, Akca F, et al. Clinical research: remote magnetic navigation vs. manually controlled catheter ablation of right ventricular outflow tract arrhythmias: a retrospective study. *Europace*2018;20(Suppl. 2):ii28–ii32. [PubMed: 29722855]
- S8729. Skoda J, Arya A, Garcia F, et al. Catheter ablation of ischemic ventricular tachycardia with remote magnetic navigation: STOP-VT multicenter trial. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2016;27(Suppl. 1):S29–S37. [PubMed: 26969220]
- S8730. Kawamura M, Scheinman MM, Tseng ZH, Lee BK, Marcus GM, Badhwar N. Comparison of remote magnetic navigation ablation and manual ablation of idiopathic ventricular arrhythmia after failed manual ablation. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2017;48:35–42. [PubMed: 27314679]
- S8731. Jin Q, Jacobsen PK, Pehrson S, Chen X. Acute and long term outcomes of catheter ablation using remote magnetic navigation for the treatment of electrical storm in patients with severe ischemic heart failure. *Int J Cardiol*2015; 183:11–16. [PubMed: 25662047]
- S8732. Arya A, Eitel C, Bollmann A, et al. Catheter ablation of scar-related ventricular tachycardia in patients with electrical storm using remote magnetic catheter navigation. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2010;33:1312–1318. [PubMed: 20723092]
- S8733. Haghjoo M, Hindricks G, Bode K, Piorkowski C, Bollmann A, Arya A. Initial clinical experience with the new irrigated tip magnetic catheter for ablation of scar-related sustained ventricular tachycardia: a small case series. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2009;20:935–939. [PubMed: 19175449]
- S8734. Di Biase L, Santangeli P, Studillo V, et al. Endo-epicardial ablation of ventricular arrhythmias in the left ventricle with the remote magnetic navigation system and the 3.5-mm open irrigated magnetic catheter: results from a large single-center case-control series. *Heart Rhythm*2010;7:1029–1035. [PubMed: 20434589]
- S8735. Aryana A, d'Avila A, Heist EK, et al. Remote magnetic navigation to guide endocardial and epicardial catheter mapping of scar-related ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation*2007;115:1191–1200. [PubMed: 17296855]
- S8736. Qian P, De Silva K, Kumar S, et al. Early and long-term outcomes after manual and remote magnetic navigation-guided catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia. *Europace*2018;20(Suppl. 2):ii11–ii21. [PubMed: 29722861]
- S8737. Di Biase L, Tung R, Szili-Torok T, et al.; MAGNETIC VT Investigators. MAGNETIC VT study: a prospective, multicenter, post-market randomized controlled trial comparing VT ablation outcomes using remote magnetic navigation-guided substrate mapping and ablation

- versus manual approach in a low LVEF population. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2017;48:237–245. [PubMed: 28064433]
- S9191. Tokuda M, Kojodjojo P, Tung S, et al.Characteristics of clinical and induced ventricular tachycardia throughout multiple ablation procedures. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2016;27:88–94. [PubMed: 26519347]
- S9192. Kumar S, Barbhayya CR, Sobieszczyk P, et al.Role of alternative interventional procedures when endo- and epicardial catheter ablation attempts for ventricular arrhythmias fail. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015; 8:606–615. [PubMed: 25925229]
- S9193. Soejima K, Couper G, Cooper JM, Sapp JL, Epstein LM, Stevenson WG. Subxiphoid surgical approach for epicardial catheter-based mapping and ablation in patients with prior cardiac surgery or difficult pericardial access. *Circulation*2004;110:1197–1201. [PubMed: 15337702]
- S9194. Tokuda M, Kojodjojo P, Tung S, et al.Acute failure of catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia due to structural heart disease: causes and significance. *J Am Heart Assoc*2013;2:e000072. [PubMed: 23727700]
- S9195. Dinov B, Fiedler L, Schonbauer R, et al.Outcomes in catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in dilated nonischemic cardiomyopathy compared with ischemic cardiomyopathy: results from the Prospective Heart Centre of Leipzig VT (HELP-VT) Study. *Circulation*2014;129:728–736. [PubMed: 24211823]
- S9196. Demolin JM, Eick OJ, Munch K, Koullick E, Nakagawa H, Wittkampf FH. Soft thrombus formation in radiofrequency catheter ablation. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2002;25:1219–1222. [PubMed: 12358173]
- S9197. Matsudaira K, Nakagawa H, Wittkampf FH, et al.High incidence of thrombus formation without impedance rise during radiofrequency ablation using electrode temperature control. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2003;26:1227–1237. [PubMed: 12765451]
- S9198. Nakagawa H, Yamanashi WS, Pitha JV, et al.Comparison of in vivo tissue temperature profile and lesion geometry for radiofrequency ablation with a saline-irrigated electrode versus temperature control in a canine thigh muscle preparation. *Circulation*1995;91:2264–2273. [PubMed: 7697856]
- S9199. Weiss C, Antz M, Eick O, Eshagzaiy K, Meinertz T, Willems S. Radiofrequency catheter ablation using cooled electrodes: impact of irrigation flow rate and catheter contact pressure on lesion dimensions. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2002;25:463–469. [PubMed: 11991372]
- S91910. Yokoyama K, Nakagawa H, Wittkampf FH, Pitha JV, Lazzara R, Jackman WM. Comparison of electrode cooling between internal and open irrigation in radiofrequency ablation lesion depth and incidence of thrombus and steam pop. *Circulation*2006;113:11–19. [PubMed: 16380552]
- S91911. Guerra JM, Jorge E, Raga S, et al.Effects of open-irrigated radiofrequency ablation catheter design on lesion formation and complications: in vitro comparison of 6 different devices. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2013; 24:1157–1162. [PubMed: 23718822]
- S91912. Gonzalez-Suarez A, Berjano E, Guerra JM, Gerardo-Giorda L. Computational modeling of open-irrigated electrodes for radiofrequency cardiac ablation including blood motion-saline flow interaction. *PLoS One*2016;11:e0150356. [PubMed: 26938638]
- S91913. Skrumeda LL, Mehra R. Comparison of standard and irrigated radiofrequency ablation in the canine ventricle. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*1998;9:1196–1205. [PubMed: 9835264]
- S91914. Matsumoto N, Kishi R, Kasugai H, et al.Experimental study on the effectiveness and safety of radiofrequency catheter ablation with the cooled ablation system. *Circ J*2003;67:154–158. [PubMed: 12547999]
- S91915. Cooper JM, Sapp JL, Tedrow U, et al.Ablation with an internally irrigated radiofrequency catheter: learning how to avoid steam pops. *Heart Rhythm*2004;1:329–333. [PubMed: 15851179]
- S91916. Seiler J, Roberts-Thomson KC, Raymond JM, Vest J, Delacretaz E, Stevenson WG. Steam pops during irrigated radiofrequency ablation: feasibility of impedance monitoring for prevention. *Heart Rhythm*2008;5:1411–1416. [PubMed: 18929327]
- S91917. Ikeda A, Nakagawa H, Lambert H, et al.Relationship between catheter contact force and radiofrequency lesion size and incidence of steam pop in the beating canine heart: electrogram amplitude, impedance, and electrode temperature are poor predictors of electrode-tissue contact force and lesion size. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:1174–1180. [PubMed: 25381331]

- S91918. Sacher F, Wright M, Derval N, et al. Endocardial versus epicardial ventricular radiofrequency ablation: utility of in vivo contact force assessment. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2013;6:144–150. [PubMed: 23392586]
- S91919. Hendriks AA, Akca F, Dabiri Abkenari L, et al. Safety and clinical outcome of catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias using contact force sensing: consecutive case series. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2015;26:1224–1229. [PubMed: 26200478]
- S91920. Elsokkari I, Sapp JL, Doucette S, et al. Role of contact force in ischemic scar-related ventricular tachycardia ablation; optimal force required and impact of left ventricular access route. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol* 2018;53:323–331. [PubMed: 29946899]
- S91921. Ariyaratna N, Kumar S, Thomas SP, Stevenson WG, Michaud GF. Role of contact force sensing in catheter ablation of cardiac arrhythmias: evolution or history repeating itself? *JACC Clin Electrophysiol* 2018;4:707–723. [PubMed: 29929663]
- S91922. Nakagawa H, Wittkampf FH, Yamanashi WS, et al. Inverse relationship between electrode size and lesion size during radiofrequency ablation with active electrode cooling. *Circulation* 1998;98:458–465. [PubMed: 9714097]
- S91923. Nguyen DT, Gerstenfeld EP, Tzou WS, et al. Radiofrequency ablation using an open irrigated electrode cooled with half-normal saline. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol* 2017;3:1103–1110. [PubMed: 29759492]
- S91924. Nguyen DT, Olson M, Zheng L, Barham W, Moss JD, Sauer WH. Effect of irrigant characteristics on lesion formation after radiofrequency energy delivery using ablation catheters with actively cooled tips. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2015;26:792–798. [PubMed: 25864402]
- S91925. Nguyen DT, Tzou WS, Sandhu A, et al. Prospective multicenter experience with cooled radiofrequency ablation using high impedance irrigant to target deep myocardial substrate refractory to standard ablation. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol* 2018;4:1176–1185. [PubMed: 30236391]
- S91926. Yamada T, Maddox WR, McElderry HT, Doppalapudi H, Plumb VJ, Kay GN. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from intramural foci in the left ventricular outflow tract: efficacy of sequential versus simultaneous unipolar catheter ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2015;8:344–352. [PubMed: 25637597]
- S91927. Sivagangabalan G, Barry MA, Huang K, et al. Bipolar ablation of the interventricular septum is more efficient at creating a transmural line than sequential unipolar ablation. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2010;33:16–26. [PubMed: 20449877]
- S91928. Ring ME, Huang SK, Graham AR, Gorman G, Bharati S, Lev M. Catheter ablation of the ventricular septum with radiofrequency energy. *Am Heart J* 1989;117:1233–1240. [PubMed: 2729053]
- S91929. Piers SR, Dyrda K, Tao Q, Zeppenfeld K. Bipolar ablation of ventricular tachycardia in a patient after atrial switch operation for dextro-transposition of the great arteries. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2012;5:e38–e40. [PubMed: 22511664]
- S91930. Koruth JS, Dukkipati S, Miller MA, Neuzil P, d'Avila A, Reddy VY. Bipolar irrigated radiofrequency ablation: a therapeutic option for refractory intramural atrial and ventricular tachycardia circuits. *Heart Rhythm* 2012; 9:1932–1941. [PubMed: 22863684]
- S91931. Nguyen DT, Tzou WS, Brunnquell M, et al. Clinical and biophysical evaluation of variable bipolar configurations during radiofrequency ablation for treatment of ventricular arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm* 2016;13:2161–2171. [PubMed: 27424078]
- S91932. Sapp JL, Cooper JM, Soejima K, et al. Deep myocardial ablation lesions can be created with a retractable needle-tipped catheter. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2004;27:594–599. [PubMed: 15125714]
- S91933. Sapp JL, Cooper JM, Zei P, Stevenson WG. Large radiofrequency ablation lesions can be created with a retractable infusion-needle catheter. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2006;17:657–661. [PubMed: 16836718]
- S91934. Berte B, Cochet H, Magat J, et al. Irrigated needle ablation creates larger and more transmural ventricular lesions compared with standard unipolar ablation in an ovine model. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2015; 8:1498–1506. [PubMed: 26359480]

- S91935. Sapp JL, Beeckler C, Pike R, et al. Initial human feasibility of infusion needle catheter ablation for refractory ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation* 2013;128:2289–2295. [PubMed: 24036605]
- S91936. John RM, Connell J, Termin P, et al. Characterization of warm saline enhanced radiofrequency ablation lesions in the infarcted porcine ventricular myocardium. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2014;25:309–316. [PubMed: 24151953]
- S91937. Khairy P, Rivard L, Guerra PG, et al. Morphometric ablation lesion characteristics comparing 4, 6, and 8 mm electrode-tip cryocatheters. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2008;19:1203–1207. [PubMed: 18554194]
- S91938. Deisenhofer I, Zrenner B, Yin YH, et al. Cryoablation versus radiofrequency energy for the ablation of atrioventricular nodal reentrant tachycardia (the CYRANO Study): results from a large multicenter prospective randomized trial. *Circulation* 2010;122:2239–2245. [PubMed: 21098435]
- S91939. Opel A, Murray S, Kamath N, et al. Cryoablation versus radiofrequency ablation for treatment of atrioventricular nodal reentrant tachycardia: cryoablation with 6-mm-tip catheters is still less effective than radiofrequency ablation. *Heart Rhythm* 2010;7:340–343. [PubMed: 20185106]
- S91940. Gordon JP, Liang JJ, Pathak RK, et al. Percutaneous cryoablation for papillary muscle ventricular arrhythmias after failed radiofrequency catheter ablation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2018;29:1654–1663. [PubMed: 30106213]
- S91941. Guiraudon GM, Thakur RK, Klein GJ, Yee R, Guiraudon CM, Sharma A. Encircling endocardial cryoablation for ventricular tachycardia after myocardial infarction: experience with 33 patients. *Am Heart J* 1994; 128:982–989. [PubMed: 7942492]
- S91942. Frapier JM, Hubaut JJ, Pasquie JL, Chaptal PA. Large encircling cryoablation without mapping for ventricular tachycardia after anterior myocardial infarction: long-term outcome. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1998; 116:578–583. [PubMed: 9766585]
- S91943. Baust JM, Robilotto A, Guerra P, et al. Assessment of a novel cryoablation device for the endovascular treatment of cardiac tachyarrhythmias. *SAGE Open Med* 2018;6. 2050312118769797. [PubMed: 29770216]
- S91944. Brugada P, de Swart H, Smeets JL, Bar FW, Wellens HJ. Termination of tachycardias by interrupting blood flow to the arrhythmogenic area. *Am J Cardiol* 1988;62:387–392. [PubMed: 3414515]
- S91945. Kay GN, Epstein AE, Bubien RS, Anderson PG, Dailey SM, Plumb VJ. Intracoronary ethanol ablation for the treatment of recurrent sustained ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1992;19:159–168. [PubMed: 1729328]
- S91946. Segal OR, Wong T, Chow AW, et al. Intra-coronary guidewire mapping—a novel technique to guide ablation of human ventricular tachycardia. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol* 2007;18:143–154. [PubMed: 17464557]
- S91947. Sacher F, Sobieszczyk P, Tedrow U, et al. Transcoronary ethanol ventricular tachycardia ablation in the modern electrophysiology era. *Heart Rhythm* 2008;5:62–68. [PubMed: 18180021]
- S91948. Tokuda M, Sobieszczyk P, Eisenhauer AC, et al. Transcoronary ethanol ablation for recurrent ventricular tachycardia after failed catheter ablation: an update. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2011;4:889–896. [PubMed: 21984361]
- S91949. Kreidieh B, Rodriguez-Manero M, Schurmann P, Ibarra-Cortez SH, Dave AS, Valderrabano M. Retrograde coronary venous ethanol infusion for ablation of refractory ventricular tachycardia. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2016;9:e004352.
- S91950. Baher A, Shah DJ, Valderrabano M. Coronary venous ethanol infusion for the treatment of refractory ventricular tachycardia. *Heart Rhythm* 2012; 9:1637–1639. [PubMed: 22683748]
- S91951. Kato K, Tanaka A, Hasegawa S, Kametani R. Successful ethanol injection into the anterior interventricular cardiac vein for ventricular premature contractions arising from the left ventricular summit. *Heart Rhythm Case Rep* 2018;4:310–313. [PubMed: 30023279]
- S91952. Sharma A, Wong D, Weidlich G, et al. Noninvasive stereotactic radiosurgery (CyberHeart) for creation of ablation lesions in the atrium. *Heart Rhythm* 2010;7:802–810. [PubMed: 20156591]
- S91953. Cuculich PS, Schill MR, Kashani R, et al. Noninvasive cardiac radiation for ablation of ventricular tachycardia. *N Engl J Med* 2017;377:2325–2336. [PubMed: 29236642]

- S91954. Loo BW Jr, Soltys SG, Wang L, et al. Stereotactic ablative radiotherapy for the treatment of refractory cardiac ventricular arrhythmia. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:748–750. [PubMed: 26082532]
- S91955. Lehmann HI, Graeff C, Simoniello P, et al. Feasibility study on cardiac arrhythmia ablation using high-energy heavy ion beams. *Sci Rep*2016; 6:38895. [PubMed: 27996023]
- S91956. Lehmann HI, Deisher AJ, Takami M, et al. External arrhythmia ablation using photon beams: ablation of the atrioventricular junction in an intact animal model. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2017;10:e004304. [PubMed: 28408649]
- S9271. Maury P, Rollin A, Mondoly P, Duparc A. Management of outflow tract ventricular arrhythmias. *Curr Opin Cardiol*2015;30:50–57. [PubMed: 25389648]
- S9272. Bala R, Marchlinski FE. Electrocardiographic recognition and ablation of outflow tract ventricular tachycardia. *Heart Rhythm*2007;4:366–370. [PubMed: 17341405]
- S9273. Wang C, Zhang Y, Hong F, Huang Y. Pulmonary artery: a pivotal site for catheter ablation in idiopathic RVOT ventricular arrhythmias. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2017;40:803–807. [PubMed: 28585685]
- S9274. Hutchinson MD, Garcia FC. An organized approach to the localization, mapping, and ablation of outflow tract ventricular arrhythmias. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2013;24:1189–1197. [PubMed: 24015911]
- S9275. Asirvatham SJ. Correlative anatomy for the invasive electrophysiologist: outflow tract and supralvalvar arrhythmia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2009;20:955–968. [PubMed: 19490263]
- S9276. Hai JJ, Lachman N, Syed FF, Desimone CV, Asirvatham SJ. The anatomic basis for ventricular arrhythmia in the normal heart: what the student of anatomy needs to know. *Clin Anat*2014;27:885–893. [PubMed: 24446306]
- S9277. Yamada T, McElderry HT, Doppalapudi H, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the left ventricular summit: anatomic concepts relevant to ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2010;3:616–623. [PubMed: 20855374]
- S9278. Ouyang F, Fotuhi P, Ho SY, et al. Repetitive monomorphic ventricular tachycardia originating from the aortic sinus cusp: electrocardiographic characterization for guiding catheter ablation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2002;39:500–508. [PubMed: 11823089]
- S9279. Wasmer K, Köbe J, Decherer DG, et al. Ventricular arrhythmias from the mitral annulus: patient characteristics, electrophysiological findings, ablation, and prognosis. *Heart Rhythm*2013;10:783–788. [PubMed: 23416375]
- S92710. Yamauchi Y, Aonuma K, Takahashi A, et al. Electrocardiographic characteristics of repetitive monomorphic right ventricular tachycardia originating near the His-bundle. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2005;16:1041–1048. [PubMed: 16191113]
- S92711. Tada H, Tadokoro K, Ito S, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the tricuspid annulus: prevalence, electrocardiographic characteristics, and results of radiofrequency catheter ablation. *Heart Rhythm*2007;4:7–16. [PubMed: 17198982]
- S92712. Lerman BB. Mechanism, diagnosis, and treatment of outflow tract tachycardia. *Nat Rev Cardiol*2015;12:597–608. [PubMed: 26283265]
- S92713. Dixit S, Marchlinski FE. Clinical characteristics and catheter ablation of left ventricular outflow tract tachycardia. *Curr Cardiol Rep*2001;3:305–313. [PubMed: 11406089]
- S92714. Joshi S, Wilber DJ. Ablation of idiopathic right ventricular outflow tract tachycardia: current perspectives. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2005; 16(Suppl. 1):S52–S58. [PubMed: 16138887]
- S92715. Bogun F, Taj M, Ting M, et al. Spatial resolution of pace mapping of idiopathic ventricular tachycardia/ectopy originating in the right ventricular outflow tract. *Heart Rhythm*2008;5:339–344. [PubMed: 18313589]
- S92716. Yamada T, Murakami Y, Yoshida N, et al. Preferential conduction across the ventricular outflow septum in ventricular arrhythmias originating from the aortic sinus cusp. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2007;50:884–891. [PubMed: 17719476]
- S92717. Tanner H, Hindricks G, Schirdewahn P, et al. Outflow tract tachycardia with R/S transition in lead V3: six different anatomic approaches for successful ablation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2005;45:418–423. [PubMed: 15680722]

- S92718. Zhang F, Chen M, Yang B, et al. Electrocardiographic algorithm to identify the optimal target ablation site for idiopathic right ventricular outflow tract ventricular premature contraction. *Europace* 2009;11:1214–1220. [PubMed: 19706640]
- S92719. Betensky BP, Park RE, Marchlinski FE, et al. The V(2) transition ratio: a new electrocardiographic criterion for distinguishing left from right ventricular outflow tract tachycardia origin. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;57:2255–2262.
- S92720. Yoshida N, Yamada T, McElderry HT, et al. A novel electrocardiographic criterion for differentiating a left from right ventricular outflow tract tachycardia origin: the V2S/V3R index. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2014;25:747–753. [PubMed: 24612087]
- S92721. Hai JJ, Desimone CV, Vaidya VR, Asirvatham SJ. Endocavitary structures in the outflow tract: anatomy and electrophysiology of the conus papillary muscles. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2014;25:94–98. [PubMed: 24102678]
- S92722. Latchamsetty R, Yokokawa M, Morady F, et al. Multicenter outcomes for catheter ablation of idiopathic premature ventricular complexes. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol* 2015;1:116–123. [PubMed: 29759353]
- S92723. Ling Z, Liu Z, Su L, et al. Radiofrequency ablation versus antiarrhythmic medication for treatment of ventricular premature beats from the right ventricular outflow tract: prospective randomized study. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2014;7:237–243. [PubMed: 24523413]
- S92724. Gard JJ, Asirvatham S. Outflow tract ventricular tachycardia. *Tex Heart Inst J* 2012;39:526–528. [PubMed: 22949769]
- S92725. Tada H. Catheter ablation of tachyarrhythmias from the aortic sinuses of Valsalva—when and how? *Circ J* 2012;76:791–800. [PubMed: 22451447]
- S92726. Scanavacca M, Lara S, Hardy C, Pisani CF. How to identify & treat epicardial origin of outflow tract tachycardias. *J Atr Fibrillation* 2015;7:1195. [PubMed: 27957159]
- S92727. Yoshida N, Inden Y, Uchikawa T, et al. Novel transitional zone index allows more accurate differentiation between idiopathic right ventricular outflow tract and aortic sinus cusp ventricular arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm* 2011;8:349–356. [PubMed: 21078412]
- S92728. Kaseno K, Tada H, Tanaka S, et al. Successful catheter ablation of left ventricular epicardial tachycardia originating from the great cardiac vein: a case report and review of the literature. *Circ J* 2007;71:1983–1988. [PubMed: 18037758]
- S92729. Yamada T, Yoshida N, Murakami Y, et al. Electrocardiographic characteristics of ventricular arrhythmias originating from the junction of the left and right coronary sinuses of Valsalva in the aorta: the activation pattern as a rationale for the electrocardiographic characteristics. *Heart Rhythm* 2008;5:184–192. [PubMed: 18242537]
- S92730. Bala R, Garcia FC, Hutchinson MD, et al. Electrocardiographic and electrophysiologic features of ventricular arrhythmias originating from the right/left coronary cusp commissure. *Heart Rhythm* 2010;7:312–322. [PubMed: 20097621]
- S92731. Ebrille E, Chandra VM, Syed F, et al. Distinguishing ventricular arrhythmia originating from the right coronary cusp, peripulmonic valve area, and the right ventricular outflow tract: utility of lead I. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2014;25:404–410. [PubMed: 24806530]
- S92732. Wang YB, Ma J, Dong JZ, et al. Catheter ablation of premature ventricular contractions originating in the aortic sinus cusp or great cardiac vein: two QRS morphologies with one origin. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2015; 38:1029–1038. [PubMed: 25953101]
- S92733. Yamada T, Yoshida N, Doppalapudi H, Litovsky SH, McElderry HT, Kay GN. Efficacy of an anatomical approach in radiofrequency catheter ablation of idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the left ventricular outflow tract. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2017;10:e004959. [PubMed: 28500177]
- S92734. Yamada T, Lau YR, Litovsky SH, et al. Prevalence and clinical, electrocardiographic, and electrophysiologic characteristics of ventricular arrhythmias originating from the noncoronary sinus of Valsalva. *Heart Rhythm* 2013;10:1605–1612. [PubMed: 23969069]
- S92735. Yamada T, McElderry HT, Doppalapudi H, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the aortic root: prevalence, electrocardiographic and electrophysiologic characteristics, and results of radiofrequency catheter ablation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;52:139–147. [PubMed: 18598894]

- S92736. Vaidya V, Syed F, Desimone C, et al. Outflow tract ventricular tachycardia mapped to the coronary arteries: anatomical correlates and management strategies. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2013;24:1416–1422. [PubMed: 24102848]
- S92737. Andrade FM, Ribeiro DC, Babinski MA, Cisne R, Góes ML. Triangle of Brocq and Mouchet: anatomical study in Brazilian cadavers and clinical implications. *J Morphol Sci*2010;27:127–129.
- S92738. Santangeli P, Marchlinski FE, Zado ES, et al. Percutaneous epicardial ablation of ventricular arrhythmias arising from the left ventricular summit: outcomes and electrocardiogram correlates of success. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:337–343. [PubMed: 25637596]
- S92739. Komatsu Y, Nogami A, Shinoda Y, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the vicinity of the communicating vein of cardiac venous systems at the left ventricular summit. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2018;11:e005386. [PubMed: 29326128]
- S92740. Muser D, Santangeli P. Ventricular arrhythmias linked to the left ventricular summit communicating veins: a new mapping approach for an old ablation problem. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2018;11:e006105. [PubMed: 29326132]
- S92741. Yamada T, Doppalapudi H, Litovsky SH, McElderry HT, Kay GN. Challenging radiofrequency catheter ablation of idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the left ventricular summit near the left main coronary artery. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2016;9:e004202. [PubMed: 27729345]
- S92742. Nagashima K, Choi EK, Lin KY, et al. Ventricular arrhythmias near the distal great cardiac vein: challenging arrhythmia for ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:906–912. [PubMed: 25110163]
- S92743. Baman TS, Ilg KJ, Gupta SK, et al. Mapping and ablation of epicardial idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias from within the coronary venous system. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2010;3:274–279. [PubMed: 20400776]
- S92744. Chen Y-H, Lin J-F. Catheter ablation of idiopathic epicardial ventricular arrhythmias originating from the vicinity of the coronary sinus system. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2015;26:1160–1167. [PubMed: 26175213]
- S92745. Choi EK, Nagashima K, Lin KY, et al. Surgical cryoablation for ventricular tachyarrhythmia arising from the left ventricular outflow tract region. *Heart Rhythm*2015;12:1128–1136. [PubMed: 25697752]
- S92746. Mulpuru SK, Feld GK, Madani M, Sawhney NS. A novel minimally-invasive surgical approach for ablation of ventricular tachycardia originating near the proximal left anterior descending artery. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2012;5:e95–e97. [PubMed: 23074330]
- S92747. Aziz Z, Moss JD, Jabbarzadeh M, Hellstrom J, Balkhy H, Tung R. Totally endoscopic robotic epicardial ablation of refractory left ventricular summit arrhythmia: first-in-man. *Heart Rhythm*2017;14:135–138. [PubMed: 27614027]
- S92748. Yamada T, McElderry HT, Doppalapudi H, Kay GN. Catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias originating in the vicinity of the His bundle: significance of mapping the aortic sinus cusp. *Heart Rhythm*2008;5:37–42. [PubMed: 18180020]
- S92749. Di Biase L, Al-Ahamad A, Santangeli P, et al. Safety and outcomes of cryoablation for ventricular tachyarrhythmias: results from a multicenter experience. *Heart Rhythm*2011;8:968–974. [PubMed: 21376835]
- S92750. Yokokawa M, Good E, Chugh A, et al. Intramural idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating in the intraventricular septum: mapping and ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2012;5:258–263. [PubMed: 22407415]
- S92751. Yokokawa M, Morady F, Bogun F. Injection of cold saline for diagnosis of intramural ventricular arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm*2016;13:78–82. [PubMed: 26325530]
- S92752. Kawamura Y, Kikuchi K, Nadeau R, Page P, Cardinal R. Identification of deep septal ventricular tachycardia substrates from epicardial breakthrough characteristics. *J Cardiol*1995;26:349–355. [PubMed: 8558413]
- S92753. Yokokawa M, Jung DY, Hero AO III, Baser K, Morady F, Bogun F. Single- and dual-site pace mapping of idiopathic septal intramural ventricular arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm*2016;13:72–77. [PubMed: 26325532]

- S92754. Yamada T, Maddox WR, McElderry HT, Doppalapudi H, Plumb VJ, Kay GN. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from intramural foci in the left ventricular outflow tract: efficacy of sequential versus simultaneous unipolar catheter ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:344–352. [PubMed: 25637597]
- S92755. Teh AW, Reddy VY, Koruth JS, et al. Bipolar radiofrequency catheter ablation for refractory ventricular outflow tract arrhythmias. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2014;25:1093–1099. [PubMed: 24890707]
- S92756. Sapp JL, Beeckler C, Pike R, et al. Initial human feasibility of infusion needle catheter ablation for refractory ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation*2013;128:2289–2295. [PubMed: 24036605]
- S9311. Wasmer K, Köbe J, Dechering DG, et al. Ventricular arrhythmias from the mitral annulus: patient characteristics, electrophysiological findings, ablation, and prognosis. *Heart Rhythm*2013;10:783–788. [PubMed: 23416375]
- S9312. Kumagai K, Yamauchi Y, Takahashi A, et al. Idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia originating from the mitral annulus. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2005;16:1029–1036. [PubMed: 16191111]
- S9313. Tada H, Ito S, Naito S, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmia arising from the mitral annulus: a distinct subgroup of idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2005;45:877–886. [PubMed: 15766824]
- S9314. Al'Aref SJ, Ip JE, Markowitz SM, et al. Differentiation of papillary muscle from fascicular and mitral annular ventricular arrhythmias in patients with and without structural heart disease. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015; 8:616–624. [PubMed: 25925230]
- S9315. Yue-Chun L, Wen-Wu Z, Na-Dan Z, et al. Idiopathic premature ventricular contractions and ventricular tachycardias originating from the vicinity of tricuspid annulus: results of radiofrequency catheter ablation in thirty-five patients. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*2012;12:32. [PubMed: 22551200]
- S9316. Tada H, Tadokoro K, Ito S, et al. Idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the tricuspid annulus: prevalence, electrocardiographic characteristics, and results of radiofrequency catheter ablation. *Heart Rhythm*2007;4:7–16. [PubMed: 17198982]
- S9317. Yamada T, Kay GN. Anatomical consideration in catheter ablation of idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias. *Arrhythm Electrophysiol Rev*2016; 5:203–209. [PubMed: 28116086]
- S9321. Latchamsetty RY, Yokokawa M, Morady F, et al. Multicenter outcomes for catheter ablation of idiopathic premature ventricular complexes. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2015;1:116–123. [PubMed: 29759353]
- S9322. Abouezzeddine O, Suleiman M, Buescher T, et al. Relevance of endocavitary structures in ablation procedures for ventricular tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2010;21:245–254. [PubMed: 19817930]
- S9323. Doppalapudi H, Yamada T, McElderry HT, Plumb VJ, Epstein AE, Kay GN. Ventricular tachycardia originating from the posterior papillary muscle in the left ventricle: a distinct clinical syndrome. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2008;1:23–29. [PubMed: 19808390]
- S9324. Enriquez A, Supple GE, Marchlinski FE, Garcia FC. How to map and ablate papillary muscle ventricular arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm*2017; 14:1721–1728. [PubMed: 28668624]
- S9325. Bogun F, Desjardins B, Crawford T, et al. Post-infarction ventricular arrhythmias originating in papillary muscles. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2008; 51:1794–1802. [PubMed: 18452787]
- S9326. Sternick EB, Correa F, Negri R, Scarpelli RB, Gerken LM. Reversible cardiomyopathy provoked by focal ventricular arrhythmia originating from the base of the posterior papillary muscle. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2009;25:67–72. [PubMed: 19148724]
- S9327. Lee A, Hamilton-Craig C, Denman R, Haqqani HM. Catheter ablation of papillary muscle arrhythmias: implications of mitral valve prolapse and systolic dysfunction. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2018;41:750–758. [PubMed: 29732567]
- S9328. Santoro F, Di Biase L, Hranitzky P, et al. Ventricular fibrillation triggered by PVCs from papillary muscles: clinical features and ablation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2014;25:1158–1164. [PubMed: 24946987]
- S9329. Yuan Hong-Tao, Yang M, Zhong L, et al. Ventricular premature contraction associated with mitral valve prolapse. *Int J Cardiol*2016; 221:1144–1149. [PubMed: 27522301]

- S93210. Sriram CS, Syed FF, Ferguson ME, et al. Malignant bileaflet mitral valve prolapse syndrome in patients with otherwise idiopathic out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;62:222–230. [PubMed: 23563135]
- S93211. Basso C, Perazzolo Marra M, Rizzo S, et al. Arrhythmic mitral valve prolapse and sudden cardiac death. *Circulation* 2015;132:556–566. [PubMed: 26160859]
- S93212. Naksuk N, Kapa S, Asirvatham SJ. Spectrum of ventricular arrhythmias arising from papillary muscle in the structurally normal heart. *Card Electrophysiol Clin* 2016;8:555–565. [PubMed: 27521089]
- S93213. Peichl P, Baran J, Wichterle D, et al. The tip of the muscle is a dominant location of ventricular ectopy originating from papillary muscles in the left ventricle. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2018;29:64–70. [PubMed: 28884872]
- S93214. Al'Aref SJ, Ip JE, Markowitz SM, et al. Differentiation of papillary muscle from fascicular and mitral annular ventricular arrhythmias in patients with and without structural heart disease. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2015; 8:616–624. [PubMed: 25925230]
- S93215. Yamada T, Doppalapudi H, McElderry HT, et al. Electrocardiographic and electrophysiological characteristics in idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating from the papillary muscles in the left ventricle: relevance for catheter ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2010;3:324–331. [PubMed: 20558848]
- S93216. Itoh T, Yamada T. Usefulness of pace mapping in catheter ablation of left ventricular papillary muscle ventricular arrhythmias with a preferential conduction. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2018;29:889–899. [PubMed: 29537721]
- S93217. Yokokawa M, Good E, Desjardins B, et al. Predictors of successful catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias arising from the papillary muscles. *Heart Rhythm* 2010;7:1654–1659. [PubMed: 20637311]
- S93218. Rivera S, Rikapito Mde L, Tomas L, et al. Results of cryoenergy and radiofrequency-based catheter ablation for treating ventricular arrhythmias arising from the papillary muscles of the left ventricle, guided by intracardiac echocardiography and image integration. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2016;9:e003874. [PubMed: 27069089]
- S93219. Mochizuki A, Nagahara D, Takahashi H, Saito R, Fujito T, Miura T. Worsening of mitral valve regurgitation after radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmia originating from a left ventricular papillary muscle. *Heart Rhythm Case Rep* 2017;3:215–218. [PubMed: 28491805]
- S93220. Desimone CV, Hu T, Ebrille E, et al. Catheter ablation related mitral valve injury: the importance of early recognition and rescue mitral valve repair. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2014;25:971–975. [PubMed: 24758402]
- S94241. Guerot C, Valere PE, Castillo-Fenoy A, Tricot R. [Tachycardia by branch-to-branch reentry]. *Arch Mal Coeur Vaiss* 1974;67:1–11. [PubMed: 4211197]
- S94242. Akhtar M, Damato AN, Batsford WP, Ruskin JN, Ogunkelu JB, Vargas G. Demonstration of re-entry within the His-Purkinje system in man. *Circulation* 1974;50:1150–1162. [PubMed: 4138986]
- S94243. Blanck Z, Dhala A, Deshpande S, Sra J, Jazayeri M, Akhtar M. Bundle branch reentrant ventricular tachycardia: cumulative experience in 48 patients. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 1993;4:253–262. [PubMed: 8269297]
- S94244. Lloyd EA, Zipes DP, Heger JJ, Prystowsky EN. Sustained ventricular tachycardia due to bundle branch reentry. *Am Heart J* 1982; 104:1095–1097. [PubMed: 7137002]
- S94245. Touboul P, Kirkorian G, Atallah G, Moleur P. Bundle branch reentry: a possible mechanism of ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation* 1983; 67:674–680. [PubMed: 6821912]
- S94246. Narasimhan C, Jazayeri MR, Sra J, et al. Ventricular tachycardia in valvular heart disease: facilitation of sustained bundle-branch reentry by valve surgery. *Circulation* 1997;96:4307–4313. [PubMed: 9416897]
- S94247. Eckart RE, Hruczkowski TW, Tedrow UB, et al. Sustained ventricular tachycardia associated with corrective valve surgery. *Circulation* 2007; 116:2005–2011. [PubMed: 17923574]

- S94248. Merino JL, Carmona JR, Fernandez-Lozano I, Peinado R, Basterra N, Sobrino JA. Mechanisms of sustained ventricular tachycardia in myotonic dystrophy: implications for catheter ablation. *Circulation*1998; 98:541–546. [PubMed: 9714111]
- S94249. Negri SM, Cowan MD. Becker muscular dystrophy with bundle branch reentry ventricular tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*1998; 9:652–654. [PubMed: 9654233]
- S942410. Takeda K, Takemoto M, Mukai Y, et al. Bundle branch re-entry ventricular tachycardia in a patient with myotonic dystrophy. *J Cardiol*2009; 53:463–466. [PubMed: 19477392]
- S942411. Andress JD, Vander Salm TJ, Huang SK. Bidirectional bundle branch reentry tachycardia associated with Ebstein’s anomaly: cured by extensive cryoablation of the right bundle branch. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*1991;14:1639–1647. [PubMed: 1721154]
- S942412. Barra S, Moreno N, Providência R, Gonçalves H, Primo JJ. Incessant slow bundle branch reentrant ventricular tachycardia in a young patient with left ventricular noncompaction. *Rev Port Cardiol*2013;32:523–529. [PubMed: 23747052]
- S942413. Dora SK, Valaparambil A, Namboodiri N, Bohora SR, Tharakan J. Bundle branch reentry ventricular tachycardia in arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2008;21:215–218. [PubMed: 18246417]
- S942414. Rodriguez-Manero M, Sacher F, de Asmundis C, et al. Monomorphic ventricular tachycardia in patients with Brugada syndrome: a multicenter retrospective study. *Heart Rhythm*2016;13:669–682. [PubMed: 26538325]
- S942415. Blanck Z, Jazayeri M, Dhala A, Deshpande S, Sra J, Akhtar M. Bundle branch reentry: a mechanism of ventricular tachycardia in the absence of myocardial or valvular dysfunction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1993; 22:1718–1722. [PubMed: 8227845]
- S942416. Blanck Z, Deshpande S, Jazayeri MR, Akhtar M. Catheter ablation of the left bundle branch for the treatment of sustained bundle branch reentrant ventricular tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*1995;6:40–43. [PubMed: 7743008]
- S942417. Philips T, Ramchurn H, De Roy L. Reverse BBRVT in a structurally normal heart. *Acta Cardiol*2012;67:603–607. [PubMed: 23252015]
- S942418. Simons GR, Sorrentino RA, Zimmerman LI, Wharton JM, Natale A. Bundle branch reentry tachycardia and possible sustained interfascicular reentry tachycardia with a shared unusual induction pattern. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*1996;7:44–50. [PubMed: 8718983]
- S942419. Roberts JD, Gollob MH, Young C, et al. Bundle branch re-entrant ventricular tachycardia: novel genetic mechanisms in a life-threatening arrhythmia. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2017;3:276–288. [PubMed: 29759522]
- S942420. Caceres J, Jazayeri M, McKinnie J, et al. Sustained bundle branch reentry as a mechanism of clinical tachycardia. *Circulation*1989; 79:256–270. [PubMed: 2914345]
- S942421. Delacretaz E, Stevenson WG, Ellison KE, Maisel WH, Friedman PL. Mapping and radiofrequency catheter ablation of the three types of sustained monomorphic ventricular tachycardia in nonischemic heart disease. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2000;11:11–17. [PubMed: 10695454]
- S942422. Cantillon DJ, Bianco C, Wazni OM, et al. Electrophysiologic characteristics and catheter ablation of ventricular tachyarrhythmias among patients with heart failure on ventricular assist device support. *Heart Rhythm*2012;9:859–864. [PubMed: 22293139]
- S942423. Wang CW, Sterba R, Tchou P. Bundle branch reentry ventricular tachycardia with two distinct left bundle branch block morphologies. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*1997;8:688–693. [PubMed: 9209970]
- S942424. Wang PJ, Friedman PL. “Clockwise” and “counterclockwise” bundle branch reentry as a mechanism for sustained ventricular tachycardia masquerading as supraventricular tachycardia. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*1989;12:1426–1432. [PubMed: 2476768]
- S942425. Tchou P, Mehdirdad AA. Bundle branch reentry ventricular tachycardia. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*1995;18:1427–1437. [PubMed: 7567596]
- S942426. Blanck Z, Akhtar M. Ventricular tachycardia due to sustained bundle branch reentry: diagnostic and therapeutic considerations. *Clin Cardiol*1993;16:619–622. [PubMed: 8370195]

- S942427. Mehdiraz AA, Keim S, Rist K, Mazgalev T, Tchou P. Asymmetry of retrograde conduction and reentry within the His-Purkinje system: a comparative analysis of left and right ventricular stimulation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1994;24:177–184. [PubMed: 8006263]
- S942428. Li YG, Gronefeld G, Israel C, Bogun F, Hohnloser SH. Bundle branch reentrant tachycardia in patients with apparent normal His-Purkinje conduction: the role of functional conduction impairment. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2002;13:1233–1239. [PubMed: 12521339]
- S942429. Reddy CP, Harris B. Gap phenomenon in “the right and left bundle branch systems” during retrograde conduction in man. *Am Heart J*1979;97:216–224. [PubMed: 760452]
- S942430. Akhtar M, Denker S, Lehmann MH, Mahmud R. Macro-reentry within the His Purkinje system. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*1983;6:1010–1028. [PubMed: 6195622]
- S942431. Fisher JD. Bundle branch reentry tachycardia: why is the HV interval often longer than in sinus rhythm? The critical role of anisotropic conduction. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2001;5:173–176. [PubMed: 11342754]
- S942432. Merino JL, Peinado R, Fernandez-Lozano I, Sobrino N, Sobrino JA. Transient entrainment of bundle-branch reentry by atrial and ventricular stimulation: elucidation of the tachycardia mechanism through analysis of the surface ECG. *Circulation*1999;100:1784–1790. [PubMed: 10534465]
- S942433. Merino JL, Peinado R, Fernandez-Lozano I, et al. Bundle-branch reentry and the postpacing interval after entrainment by right ventricular apex stimulation: a new approach to elucidate the mechanism of wide-QRS-complex tachycardia with atrioventricular dissociation. *Circulation*2001;103:1102–1108. [PubMed: 11222473]
- S942434. Reithmann C, Hahnefeld A, Oversohl N, Ulbrich M, Remp T, Steinbeck G. Reinitiation of ventricular macroreentry within the His-Purkinje system by back-up ventricular pacing: a mechanism of ventricular tachycardia storm. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2007;30:225–235. [PubMed: 17338720]
- S942435. Berger RD, Orias D, Kasper EK, Calkins H. Catheter ablation of coexistent bundle branch and interfascicular reentrant ventricular tachycardias. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*1996;7:341–347. [PubMed: 8777482]
- S942436. Crijns HJ, Smeets JL, Rodriguez LM, Meijer A, Wellens HJ. Cure of interfascicular reentrant ventricular tachycardia by ablation of the anterior fascicle of the left bundle branch. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*1995; 6:486–492. [PubMed: 7551317]
- S942437. Lopera G, Stevenson WG, Soejima K, et al. Identification and ablation of three types of ventricular tachycardia involving the His-Purkinje system in patients with heart disease. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2004; 15:52–58. [PubMed: 15028072]
- S942438. Cohen TJ, Chien WW, Lurie KG, et al. Radiofrequency catheter ablation for treatment of bundle branch reentrant ventricular tachycardia: results and long-term follow-up. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1991;18:1767–1773. [PubMed: 1960328]
- S942439. Crijns HJ, Kingma JH, Gosselink AT, Lie K. Comparison in the same patient of aberrant conduction and bundle branch reentry after dofetilide, a new selective class III antiarrhythmic agent. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*1993;16:1006–1016. [PubMed: 7685880]
- S942440. Nogami A. Purkinje-related arrhythmias. Part I: Monomorphic ventricular tachycardias. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2011;34:624–650. [PubMed: 21410719]
- S942441. Nogami A. Idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia: assessment and treatment. *Card Electrophysiol Rev*2002;6:448–457. [PubMed: 12438827]
- S942442. Morishima I, Nogami A, Tsuboi H, Sone T. Verapamil-sensitive left anterior fascicular ventricular tachycardia associated with a healed myocardial infarction: changes in the delayed Purkinje potential during sinus rhythm. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2008;22:233–237. [PubMed: 18600438]
- S942443. Hayashi M, Kobayashi Y, Iwasaki YK, et al. Novel mechanism of postinfarction ventricular tachycardia originating in surviving left posterior Purkinje fibers. *Heart Rhythm*2006;3:908–918. [PubMed: 16876739]
- S942444. Bogun F, Good E, Reich S, et al. Role of Purkinje fibers in post-infarction ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2006;48:2500–2507. [PubMed: 17174189]

- S942445. Metzner A, Ouyang F, Wissner E, Kuck KH. Monomorphic and polymorphic ventricular tachycardias arising from the His-Purkinje system: what do we know? *Future Cardiol* 2011;7:835–846. [PubMed: 22050068]
- S942446. Talib AK, Nogami A, Morishima I, et al. Non-reentrant fascicular tachycardia: clinical and electrophysiological characteristics of a distinct type of idiopathic ventricular tachycardia. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2016;9:e004177. [PubMed: 27729344]
- S942447. Markowitz SM, Stein KM, Engelstein ED, Lerman BB. AV nodal-His-Purkinje reentry: a novel form of tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 1995;6:400–409. [PubMed: 7551310]
- S942448. Tchou P, Jazayeri M, Denker S, Dongas J, Caceres J, Akhtar M. Transcatheter electrical ablation of right bundle branch: a method of treating macroreentrant ventricular tachycardia attributed to bundle branch reentry. *Circulation* 1988;78:246–257. [PubMed: 3396163]
- S942449. Mehdirdad AA, Keim S, Rist K, Tchou P. Long-term clinical outcome of right bundle branch radiofrequency catheter ablation for treatment of bundle branch reentrant ventricular tachycardia. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 1995;18:2135–2143. [PubMed: 8771124]
- S942450. Schmidt B, Tang M, Chun KR, et al. Left bundle branch-Purkinje system in patients with bundle branch reentrant tachycardia: lessons from catheter ablation and electroanatomic mapping. *Heart Rhythm* 2009; 6:51–58. [PubMed: 19121800]
- S942451. Pathak RK, Fahed J, Santangeli P, et al. Long-term outcome of catheter ablation for treatment of bundle branch re-entrant tachycardia. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol* 2018;4:331–338. [PubMed: 30089558]
- S94431. Zipes DP, Foster PR, Troup PJ, Pedersen DH. Atrial induction of ventricular tachycardia: reentry versus triggered automaticity. *Am J Cardiol* 1979;44:1–8. [PubMed: 453035]
- S94432. Belhassen B, Rotmensch HH, Laniado S. Response of recurrent sustained ventricular tachycardia to verapamil. *Br Heart J* 1981;46:679–682. [PubMed: 7317238]
- S94433. Ohe T, Shimomura K, Aihara N, et al. Idiopathic sustained left ventricular tachycardia: clinical and electrophysiologic characteristics. *Circulation* 1988;77:560–568. [PubMed: 3342487]
- S94434. Nogami A. Idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia: assessment and treatment. *Card Electrophysiol Rev* 2002;6:448–457. [PubMed: 12438827]
- S94435. Talib AK, Nogami A, Nishiuchi S, et al. Verapamil-sensitive upper septal idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia: prevalence, mechanism, and electrophysiological characteristics. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol* 2015;1:369–380. [PubMed: 29759464]
- S94436. Nishiuchi S, Nogami A, Naito S. A case with occurrence of antidromic tachycardia after ablation of idiopathic left fascicular tachycardia: mechanism of left upper septal ventricular tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2013;24:825–827. [PubMed: 23350939]
- S94437. Komatsu Y, Nogami A, Kurosaki K, et al. Fascicular ventricular tachycardia originating from papillary muscles: Purkinje network involvement in the reentrant circuit. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2017; 10:e004549. [PubMed: 28292752]
- S94438. Gallagher JJ, Selle JG, Svenson RH, et al. Surgical treatment of arrhythmias. *Am J Cardiol* 1988;61:27A–44A.
- S94439. Suwa M, Yoneda Y, Nagao H, et al. Surgical correction of idiopathic paroxysmal ventricular tachycardia possibly related to left ventricular false tendon. *Am J Cardiol* 1989;64:1217–1220. [PubMed: 2816779]
- S944310. Thakur RK, Klein GJ, Sivaram CA, et al. Anatomic substrate for idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation* 1996;93:497–501. [PubMed: 8565167]
- S944311. Maruyama M, Terada T, Miyamoto S, Ino T. Demonstration of the reentrant circuit of verapamil-sensitive idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia: direct evidence for macroreentry as the underlying mechanism. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2001;12:968–972. [PubMed: 11513451]
- S944312. Nogami A, Naito S, Tada H, et al. Demonstration of diastolic and presystolic Purkinje potential as critical potentials on a macroreentry circuit of verapamil-sensitive idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2000;36:811–823. [PubMed: 10987604]
- S944313. Nogami A, Naito S, Tada H, et al. Verapamil-sensitive left anterior fascicular ventricular tachycardia: results of radiofrequency ablation in six patients. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 1998;9:1269–1278. [PubMed: 9869526]

- S944314. Lin D, Hsia HH, Gerstenfeld EP, et al. Idiopathic fascicular left ventricular tachycardia: linear ablation lesion strategy for noninducible or nonsustained tachycardia. *Heart Rhythm* 2005;2:934–939. [PubMed: 16171747]
- S944315. Nogami A, Tada H. Ablation of idiopathic left and right ventricular and fascicular tachycardias. In: Huang SKS, Miler JM, eds. *Catheter Ablation of Cardiac Arrhythmias*, 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders; 2015, p. 540–578.
- S944316. Tsuchiya T, Okumura K, Honda T, et al. Significance of late diastolic potential preceding Purkinje potential in verapamil-sensitive idiopathic left ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation* 1999;99:2408–2413. [PubMed: 10318662]
- S944317. Talib AK, Nogami A, Morishima I, et al. Non-reentrant fascicular tachycardia: clinical and electrophysiological characteristics of a distinct type of idiopathic ventricular tachycardia. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2016;9:e004177. [PubMed: 27729344]
- S944318. Lerman BB, Stein KM, Markowitz SM. Mechanisms of idiopathic ventricular tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 1997;8:571–583. [PubMed: 9160234]
- S944319. Lopera G, Stevenson WG, Soejima K, et al. Identification and ablation of three types of ventricular tachycardia involving the His-Purkinje system in patients with heart disease. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2004; 15:52–58. [PubMed: 15028072]
- S944320. Gonzalez RP, Scheinman MM, Lesh MD, Helmy I, Torres V, Van Hare GF. Clinical and electrophysiologic spectrum of fascicular tachycardias. *Am Heart J* 1994;128:147–156. [PubMed: 8017268]
- S944321. Rodriguez LM, Smeets JL, Timmermans C, Trappe HJ, Wellens HJ. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of idiopathic ventricular tachycardia originating in the anterior fascicle of the left bundle branch. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 1996;7:1211–1216. [PubMed: 8985810]
- S9551. de Bakker JM, Coronel R, Tasseron S, et al. Ventricular tachycardia in the infarcted, Langendorff-perfused human heart: role of the arrangement of surviving cardiac fibers. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1990;15:1594–1607. [PubMed: 2345240]
- S9552. de Bakker JM, van Capelle FJ, Janse MJ, et al. Macroreentry in the infarcted human heart: the mechanism of ventricular tachycardias with a “focal” activation pattern. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1991;18:1005–1014. [PubMed: 1894846]
- S9553. Kaltenbrunner W, Cardinal R, Dubuc M, et al. Epicardial and endocardial mapping of ventricular tachycardia in patients with myocardial infarction. Is the origin of the tachycardia always subendocardially localized? *Circulation* 1991;84:1058–1071. [PubMed: 1884439]
- S9554. Downar E, Harris L, Mickleborough LL, Shaikh N, Parson ID. Endocardial mapping of ventricular tachycardia in the intact human ventricle: evidence for reentrant mechanisms. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1988;11:783–791. [PubMed: 3351144]
- S9555. Das MK, Scott LR, Miller JM. Focal mechanism of ventricular tachycardia in coronary artery disease. *Heart Rhythm* 2010;7:305–311. [PubMed: 20117059]
- S9556. de Bakker JM, van Capelle FJ, Janse MJ, et al. Slow conduction in the infarcted human heart. “Zigzag” course of activation. *Circulation* 1993; 88:915–926. [PubMed: 8353918]
- S9557. de Bakker JM, van Capelle FJ, Janse MJ, et al. Reentry as a cause of ventricular tachycardia in patients with chronic ischemic heart disease: electrophysiologic and anatomic correlation. *Circulation* 1988;77:589–606. [PubMed: 3342490]
- S9558. Wijnmaalen AP, Schalij MJ, von der Thusen JH, Klautz RJ, Zeppenfeld K. Early reperfusion during acute myocardial infarction affects ventricular tachycardia characteristics and the chronic electroanatomic and histological substrate. *Circulation* 2010;121:1887–1895. [PubMed: 20404255]
- S9559. Piers SR, Wijnmaalen AP, Borleffs CJ, et al. Early reperfusion therapy affects inducibility, cycle length, and occurrence of ventricular tachycardia late after myocardial infarction. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2011;4:195–201. [PubMed: 21285394]
- S95510. Della Bella P, De Ponti R, Uriarte JA, et al. Catheter ablation and antiarrhythmic drugs for haemodynamically tolerated post-infarction ventricular tachycardia: long-term outcome in relation to acute electrophysiological findings. *Eur Heart J* 2002;23:414–424. [PubMed: 11846499]

- S95511. Yokokawa M, Kim HM, Baser K, et al. Predictive value of programmed ventricular stimulation after catheter ablation of post-infarction ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2015;65:1954–1959. [PubMed: 25913000]
- S95512. de Riva M, Piers SR, Kapel GF, et al. Reassessing noninducibility as ablation endpoint of post-infarction ventricular tachycardia: the impact of left ventricular function. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:853–862. [PubMed: 25969540]
- S95513. Di Biase L, Burkhardt JD, Lakkireddy D, et al. Ablation of stable VTs versus substrate ablation in ischemic cardiomyopathy: the VISTA randomized multicenter trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2015;66:2872–2882. [PubMed: 26718674]
- S95514. Yoshida K, Liu TY, Scott C, et al. The value of defibrillator electrograms for recognition of clinical ventricular tachycardias and for pace mapping of postinfarction ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2010;56:969–979. [PubMed: 20828650]
- S95515. Silberbauer J, Oloriz T, Maccabelli G, et al. Noninducibility and late potential abolition: a novel combined prognostic procedural end point for catheter ablation of postinfarction ventricular tachycardia. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:424–435. [PubMed: 24833642]
- S95516. Wolf M, Sacher F, Cochet H, et al. Long-term outcome of substrate modification in ablation of post-myocardial infarction ventricular tachycardia. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2018;11:e005635. [PubMed: 29440186]
- S95517. Kuck KH, Tilz RR, Deneke T, et al. Impact of substrate modification by catheter ablation on implantable cardioverter-defibrillator interventions in patients with unstable ventricular arrhythmias and coronary artery disease: results from the Multicenter Randomized Controlled SMS (Substrate Modification Study). *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2017;10:e004422. [PubMed: 28292751]
- S95518. Stevenson WG, Wilber DJ, Natale A, et al. Irrigated radiofrequency catheter ablation guided by electroanatomic mapping for recurrent ventricular tachycardia after myocardial infarction: the multicenter thermocool ventricular tachycardia ablation trial. *Circulation*2008;118:2773–2782. [PubMed: 19064682]
- S95519. Calkins H, Epstein A, Packer D, et al.; Cooled RF Multi Center Investigators Group. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with structural heart disease using cooled radiofrequency energy: results of a prospective multicenter study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2000;35:1905–1914. [PubMed: 10841242]
- S95520. Tanner H, Hindricks G, Volkmer M, et al. Catheter ablation of recurrent scar-related ventricular tachycardia using electroanatomical mapping and irrigated ablation technology: results of the prospective multicenter Euro-VT-study. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2010;21:47–53. [PubMed: 19656251]
- S95521. Ghanbari H, Baser K, Yokokawa M, et al. Noninducibility in postinfarction ventricular tachycardia as an end point for ventricular tachycardia ablation and its effects on outcomes: a meta-analysis. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:677–683. [PubMed: 24879789]
- S95522. Watanabe M, de Riva M, Piers SR, et al. Fast nonclinical ventricular tachycardia inducible after ablation in patients with structural heart disease: definition and clinical implications. *Heart Rhythm*2018;15:668–676. [PubMed: 29325974]
- S95523. Soejima K, Suzuki M, Maisel WH, et al. Catheter ablation in patients with multiple and unstable ventricular tachycardias after myocardial infarction: short ablation lines guided by reentry circuit isthmuses and sinus rhythm mapping. *Circulation*2001;104:664–669. [PubMed: 11489772]
- S95524. Marchlinski FE, Callans DJ, Gottlieb CD, Zado E. Linear ablation lesions for control of unmappable ventricular tachycardia in patients with ischemic and nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circulation*2000;101:1288–1296. [PubMed: 10725289]
- S95525. Wroblewski D, Houghtaling C, Josephson ME, Ruskin JN, Reddy VY. Use of electrogram characteristics during sinus rhythm to delineate the endocardial scar in a porcine model of healed myocardial infarction. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2003;14:524–529. [PubMed: 12776871]
- S95526. Reddy VY, Wroblewski D, Houghtaling C, Josephson ME, Ruskin JN. Combined epicardial and endocardial electroanatomic mapping in a porcine model of healed myocardial infarction. *Circulation*2003;107:3236–3242. [PubMed: 12796129]

- S95527. Wijnmaalen AP, van der Geest RJ, van Huls van Taxis CF, et al. Head-to-head comparison of contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging and electroanatomical voltage mapping to assess post-infarct scar characteristics in patients with ventricular tachycardias: real-time image integration and reversed registration. *Eur Heart J* 2011;32:104–114. [PubMed: 20864488]
- S95528. Verma A, Marrouche NF, Schweikert RA, et al. Relationship between successful ablation sites and the scar border zone defined by substrate mapping for ventricular tachycardia post-myocardial infarction. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2005;16:465–471. [PubMed: 15877614]
- S95529. Marchlinski FE, Haffajee CI, Beshai JF, et al. Long-term success of irrigated radiofrequency catheter ablation of sustained ventricular tachycardia: post-approval THERMOCOOL VT trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2016;67:674–683. [PubMed: 26868693]
- S95530. Reddy VY, Reynolds MR, Neuzil P, et al. Prophylactic catheter ablation for the prevention of defibrillator therapy. *N Engl J Med* 2007;357:2657–2665. [PubMed: 18160685]
- S95531. Jais P, Maury P, Khairy P, et al. Elimination of local abnormal ventricular activities: a new end point for substrate modification in patients with scar-related ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation* 2012;125:2184–2196. [PubMed: 22492578]
- S95532. Acosta J, Andreu D, Penela D, et al. Elucidation of hidden slow conduction by double ventricular extrastimuli: a method for further arrhythmic substrate identification in ventricular tachycardia ablation procedures. *Europace* 2018;20:337–346. [PubMed: 28017938]
- S95533. de Riva M, Naruse Y, Ebert M, et al. Targeting the hidden substrate unmasked by right ventricular extrastimulation improves ventricular tachycardia ablation outcome after myocardial infarction. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol* 2018;4:316–327. [PubMed: 30089556]
- S95534. Porta-Sánchez A, Jackson N, Lukac P, et al. Multicenter study of ischemic ventricular tachycardia ablation with decrement-evoked potential (DEEP) mapping with extra stimulus. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol* 2018;4:307–315. [PubMed: 30089555]
- S95535. Tilz RR, Makimoto H, Lin T, et al. Electrical isolation of a substrate after myocardial infarction: a novel ablation strategy for unmappable ventricular tachycardias—feasibility and clinical outcome. *Europace* 2014; 16:1040–1052. [PubMed: 24574495]
- S95536. Tzou WS, Frankel DS, Hegeman T, et al. Core isolation of critical arrhythmia elements for treatment of multiple scar-based ventricular tachycardias. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2015;8:353–361. [PubMed: 25681389]
- S95537. Berruezo A, Fernandez-Armenta J, Andreu D, et al. Scar dechanneling: new method for scar-related left ventricular tachycardia substrate ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2015;8:326–336. [PubMed: 25583983]
- S95538. Arenal A, Glez-Torrecilla E, Ortiz M, et al. Ablation of electrograms with an isolated, delayed component as treatment of unmappable monomorphic ventricular tachycardias in patients with structural heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003;41:81–92. [PubMed: 12570949]
- S95539. Irie T, Yu R, Bradfield JS, et al. Relationship between sinus rhythm late activation zones and critical sites for scar-related ventricular tachycardia: systematic analysis of isochronal late activation mapping. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2015;8:390–399. [PubMed: 25740836]
- S95540. Kuck KH, Schaumann A, Eckardt L, et al. Catheter ablation of stable ventricular tachycardia before defibrillator implantation in patients with coronary heart disease (VTACH): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2010;375:31–40. [PubMed: 20109864]
- S95541. Yokokawa M, Desjardins B, Crawford T, Good E, Morady F, Bogun F. Reasons for recurrent ventricular tachycardia after catheter ablation of post-infarction ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;61:66–73. [PubMed: 23122796]
- S95542. Briceño DF, Romero J, Villablanca PA, et al. Long-term outcomes of different ablation strategies for ventricular tachycardia in patients with structural heart disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Europace* 2018;20:104–115. [PubMed: 28575378]
- S95543. Di Biase L, Santangeli P, Burkhardt DJ, et al. Endo-epicardial homogenization of the scar versus limited substrate ablation for the treatment of electrical storms in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;60:132–141. [PubMed: 22766340]
- S95544. Nakahara S, Tung R, Ramirez RJ, et al. Distribution of late potentials within infarct scars assessed by ultra high-density mapping. *Heart Rhythm* 2010;7:1817–1824. [PubMed: 20682358]

- S95545. Anter E, Tschabrunn CM, Buxton AE, Josephson ME. High-resolution mapping of postinfarction reentrant ventricular tachycardia: electrophysiological characterization of the circuit. *Circulation*2016;134:314–327. [PubMed: 27440005]
- S95546. Berte B, Relan J, Sacher F, et al. Impact of electrode type on mapping of scar-related VT. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2015;26:1213–1223. [PubMed: 26198475]
- S95547. Leshem E, Tschabrunn CM, Jang J, et al. High-resolution mapping of ventricular scar: evaluation of a novel integrated multielectrode mapping and ablation catheter. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2017;3:220–231. [PubMed: 29759516]
- S95548. Tschabrunn CM, Roujol S, Dorman NC, Nezafat R, Josephson ME, Anter E. High-resolution mapping of ventricular scar: comparison between single and multielectrode catheters. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2016; 9:e003841. [PubMed: 27307518]
- S95549. Tung R, Josephson ME, Bradfield JS, Shivkumar K. Directional influences of ventricular activation on myocardial scar characterization: voltage mapping with multiple wavefronts during ventricular tachycardia ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2016;9:e004155. [PubMed: 27516464]
- S95550. Andreu D, Penela D, Acosta J, Fernandez-Armenta J, et al. Cardiac magnetic resonance-aided scar dechanneling: influence on acute and long-term outcomes. *Heart Rhythm*2017;14:1121–1128. [PubMed: 28760258]
- S95551. Ghannam M, Cochet H, Jais P, et al. Correlation between computer tomography-derived scar topography and critical ablation sites in postinfarction ventricular tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2018;29:438–445. [PubMed: 29380921]
- S95552. Martinek M, Stevenson WG, Inada K, Tokuda M, Tedrow UB. QRS characteristics fail to reliably identify ventricular tachycardias that require epicardial ablation in ischemic heart disease. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2012;23:188–193. [PubMed: 21955120]
- S95553. Komatsu Y, Daly M, Sacher F, et al. Endocardial ablation to eliminate epicardial arrhythmia substrate in scar-related ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2014;63:1416–1426. [PubMed: 24486269]
- S95554. Sapp JL, Wells GA, Parkash R, et al. Ventricular tachycardia ablation versus escalation of antiarrhythmic drugs. *N Engl J Med*2016;375:111–121. [PubMed: 27149033]
- S95555. Sarkozy A, Tokuda M, Tedrow UB, et al. Epicardial ablation of ventricular tachycardia in ischemic heart disease. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2013; 6:1115–1122. [PubMed: 24107459]
- S95556. Soejima K, Couper G, Cooper JM, Sapp JL, Epstein LM, Stevenson WG. Subxiphoid surgical approach for epicardial catheter-based mapping and ablation in patients with prior cardiac surgery or difficult pericardial access. *Circulation*2004;110:1197–1201. [PubMed: 15337702]
- S95557. Sacher F, Roberts-Thomson K, Maury P, et al. Epicardial ventricular tachycardia ablation a multicenter safety study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2010; 55:2366–2372. [PubMed: 20488308]
- S95558. Acosta J, Fernandez-Armenta J, Penela D, et al. Infarct transmuralita as a criterion for first-line endo-epicardial substrate-guided ventricular tachycardia ablation in ischemic cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm*2016;13:85–95. [PubMed: 26165946]
- S95559. Izquierdo M, Sánchez-Gómez JM, Ferrero de Loma-Osorio A, et al. Endo-epicardial versus only-endocardial ablation as a first line strategy for the treatment of ventricular tachycardia in patients with ischemic heart disease. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:882–889. [PubMed: 26056239]
- S961. McNally EM, Mestroni L. Dilated cardiomyopathy: genetic determinants and mechanisms. *Circ Res*2017;121:731–748. [PubMed: 28912180]
- S962. Ellenbogen KA, Levine JH, Berger RD, et al.; Defibrillators in Non-Ischemic Cardiomyopathy Treatment Evaluation (DEFINITE) Investigators. Are implantable cardioverter defibrillator shocks a surrogate for sudden cardiac death in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy? *Circulation*2006; 113:776–782. [PubMed: 16461817]
- S963. Kober L, Thune JJ, Nielsen JC, et al. Defibrillator implantation in patients with nonischemic systolic heart failure. *N Engl J Med*2016; 375:1221–1230. [PubMed: 27571011]
- S964. Gatzoulis KA, Vouliotis AI, Tsiachris D, et al. Primary prevention of sudden cardiac death in a nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy population: reappraisal of the role of programmed ventricular stimulation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2013;6:504–512. [PubMed: 23588627]

- S965. Aldhoon B, Tzou WS, Riley MP, et al. Nonischemic cardiomyopathy substrate and ventricular tachycardia in the setting of coronary artery disease. *Heart Rhythm* 2013;10:1622–1627. [PubMed: 23973949]
- S966. Delacretaz E, Stevenson WG, Ellison KE, Maisel WH, Friedman PL. Mapping and radiofrequency catheter ablation of the three types of sustained monomorphic ventricular tachycardia in nonischemic heart disease. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2000;11:11–17. [PubMed: 10695454]
- S967. Lopera G, Stevenson WG, Soejima K, et al. Identification and ablation of three types of ventricular tachycardia involving the His-Purkinje system in patients with heart disease. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2004;15:52–58. [PubMed: 15028072]
- S968. Soejima K, Stevenson WG, Sapp JL, Selwyn AP, Couper G, Epstein LM. Endocardial and epicardial radiofrequency ablation of ventricular tachycardia associated with dilated cardiomyopathy: the importance of low-voltage scars. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004;43:1834–1842. [PubMed: 15145109]
- S969. Gulati A, Jabbour A, Ismail TF, et al. Association of fibrosis with mortality and sudden cardiac death in patients with nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *JAMA* 2013;309:896–908. [PubMed: 23462786]
- S9610. Perazzolo Marra M, De Lazzari M, et al. Impact of the presence and amount of myocardial fibrosis by cardiac magnetic resonance on arrhythmic outcome and sudden cardiac death in nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm* 2014;11:856–863. [PubMed: 24440822]
- S9611. Piers SR, Everaerts K, van der Geest RJ, et al. Myocardial scar predicts monomorphic ventricular tachycardia but not polymorphic ventricular tachycardia or ventricular fibrillation in nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm* 2015;12:2106–2114. [PubMed: 26004942]
- S9612. Piers SR, Tao Q, van Huls van Taxis CF, Schalij MJ, van der Geest RJ, Zeppenfeld K. Contrast-enhanced MRI-derived scar patterns and associated ventricular tachycardias in nonischemic cardiomyopathy: implications for the ablation strategy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2013;6:875–883. [PubMed: 24036134]
- S9613. Piers SR, Tao Q, de Riva Silva M, et al. CMR-based identification of critical isthmus sites of ischemic and nonischemic ventricular tachycardia. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2014;7:774–784. [PubMed: 25051947]
- S9614. Piers SR, Silva Mde R, Kapel GF, Trines SA, Schalij MJ, Zeppenfeld K. Endocardial or epicardial ventricular tachycardia in nonischemic cardiomyopathy? The role of 12-lead ECG criteria in clinical practice. *Heart Rhythm* 2014;11:1031–1039. [PubMed: 24607720]
- S9615. Njeim M, Yokokawa M, Frank L, et al. Value of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in patients with failed ablation procedures for ventricular tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2016;27:183–189. [PubMed: 26445386]
- S9616. Desjardins B, Yokokawa M, Good E, et al. Characteristics of intramural scar in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy and relation to intramural ventricular arrhythmias. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2013;6:891–897. [PubMed: 23985383]
- S9617. Sasaki T, Miller CF, Hansford R, et al. Impact of nonischemic scar features on local ventricular electrograms and scar-related ventricular tachycardia circuits in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2013;6:1139–1147. [PubMed: 24235267]
- S9618. Betensky BP, Dong W, D'Souza BA, Zado ES, Han Y, Marchlinski FE. Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging and electroanatomic voltage discordance in non-ischemic left ventricle ventricular tachycardia and premature ventricular depolarizations. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol* 2017;49:11–19. [PubMed: 28236155]
- S9619. Siontis KC, Kim HM, Sharaf Dabbagh G, et al. Association of preprocedural cardiac magnetic resonance imaging with outcomes of ventricular tachycardia ablation in patients with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm* 2017;14:1487–1493. [PubMed: 28603002]
- S9620. Oloriz T, Silberbauer J, Maccabelli G, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmia in nonischemic cardiomyopathy: anteroseptal versus inferolateral scar sub-types. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2014;7:414–423. [PubMed: 24785410]
- S9621. Dinov B, Fiedler L, Schonbauer R, et al. Outcomes in catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in dilated nonischemic cardiomyopathy compared with ischemic

cardiomyopathy: results from the Prospective Heart Centre of Leipzig VT (HELP-VT) Study. *Circulation*2014;129:728–736. [PubMed: 24211823]

- S9622. Muser D, Santangeli P, Castro SA, et al. Long-term outcome after catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2016;9:e004328. [PubMed: 27733494]
- S9623. Proietti R, Essebag V, Beardsall J, et al. Substrate-guided ablation of haemodynamically tolerated and intolerated ventricular tachycardia in patients with structural heart disease: effect of cardiomyopathy type and acute success on long-term outcome. *Europace*2015;17:461–467. [PubMed: 25488957]
- S9624. Nakahara S, Tung R, Ramirez RJ, et al. Characterization of the arrhythmogenic substrate in ischemic and nonischemic cardiomyopathy implications for catheter ablation of hemodynamically unstable ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2010;55:2355–2365. [PubMed: 20488307]
- S9625. Kuhne M, Abrams G, Sarrazin JF, et al. Isolated potentials and pace-mapping as guides for ablation of ventricular tachycardia in various types of nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2010; 21:1017–1023. [PubMed: 20384656]
- S9626. Komatsu Y, Maury P, Sacher F, et al. Impact of substrate-based ablation of ventricular tachycardia on cardiac mortality in patients with implantable cardioverter-defibrillators. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2015;26:1230–1238. [PubMed: 26332030]
- S9627. Vergara P, Revisi N, Ricco A, et al. Late potentials abolition as an additional technique for reduction of arrhythmia recurrence in scar related ventricular tachycardia ablation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2012;23:621–627. [PubMed: 22486970]
- S9628. Gokoglan Y, Mohanty S, Gianni C, et al. Scar homogenization versus limited-substrate ablation in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy and ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2016;68:1990–1998. [PubMed: 27788854]
- S9629. Muser D, Liang JJ, Pathak RK, et al. Long-term outcomes of catheter ablation of electrical storm in nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy compared with ischemic cardiomyopathy. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2017;3:767–778. [PubMed: 29759543]
- S9630. Hu J, Zeng S, Zhou Q, et al. Can ventricular tachycardia non-inducibility after ablation predict reduced ventricular tachycardia recurrence and mortality in patients with non-ischemic cardiomyopathy? A meta-analysis of twentyfour observational studies. *Int J Cardiol*2016;222:689–695. [PubMed: 27521538]
- S9631. Dinov B, Arya A, Schratte A, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia and mortality in patients with nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy: can noninducibility after ablation be a predictor for reduced mortality? *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:598–605. [PubMed: 25873719]
- S9632. Watanabe M, de Riva M, Piers SRD, et al. Fast nonclinical ventricular tachycardia inducible after ablation in patients with structural heart disease: definition and clinical implications. *Heart Rhythm*2018;15:668–676. [PubMed: 29325974]
- S9633. Haqqani HM, Tschabrunn CM, Tzou WS, et al. Isolated septal substrate for ventricular tachycardia in nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy: incidence, characterization, and implications. *Heart Rhythm*2011;8:1169–1176. [PubMed: 21392586]
- S9634. Valles E, Bazan V, Marchlinski FE. ECG criteria to identify epicardial ventricular tachycardia in nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2010;3:63–71. [PubMed: 20008307]
- S9635. Schmidt B, Chun KR, Baensch D, et al. Catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia after failed endocardial ablation: epicardial substrate or inappropriate endocardial ablation? *Heart Rhythm*2010;7:1746–1752. [PubMed: 20709191]
- S9636. Tokuda M, Tedrow UB, Kojodjojo P, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in nonischemic heart disease. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2012;5:992–1000. [PubMed: 22942218]
- S9637. Piers SR, van Huls van Taxis CF, Tao Q, et al. Epicardial substrate mapping for ventricular tachycardia ablation in patients with non-ischaeamic cardiomyopathy: a new algorithm to differentiate between scar and viable myocardium developed by simultaneous integration of

- computed tomography and contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. *Eur Heart J*2013; 34:586–596. [PubMed: 23161702]
- S9638. Glashan CA, Androulakis AFA, Tao Q, et al. Whole human heart histology to validate electroanatomical voltage mapping in patients with non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy and ventricular tachycardia. *Eur Heart J*2018; 39:2867–2875. [PubMed: 29617764]
- S9639. Spears DA, Suszko AM, Dalvi R, et al. Relationship of bipolar and unipolar electrogram voltage to scar transmural and composition derived by magnetic resonance imaging in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy undergoing VT ablation. *Heart Rhythm*2012;9:1837–1846. [PubMed: 22846338]
- S9640. Hutchinson MD, Gerstenfeld EP, Desjardins B, et al. Endocardial unipolar voltage mapping to detect epicardial ventricular tachycardia substrate in patients with nonischemic left ventricular cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2011;4:49–55. [PubMed: 21131557]
- S9641. Betensky BP, Kapa S, Desjardins B, et al. Characterization of trans-septal activation during septal pacing: criteria for identification of intramural ventricular tachycardia substrate in nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2013;6:1123–1130. [PubMed: 24106241]
- S9642. Bala R, Ren JF, Hutchinson MD, et al. Assessing epicardial substrate using intracardiac echocardiography during VT ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2011;4:667–673. [PubMed: 21880675]
- S9643. Dinov B, Schratte A, Schirripa V, et al. Procedural outcomes and survival after catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in relation to electroanatomical substrate in patients with nonischemic-dilated cardiomyopathy: the role of unipolar voltage mapping. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2015; 26:985–993. [PubMed: 25996358]
- S9644. Frankel DS, Tschabrunn CM, Cooper JM, et al. Apical ventricular tachycardia morphology in left ventricular nonischemic cardiomyopathy predicts poor transplant-free survival. *Heart Rhythm*2013;10:621–626. [PubMed: 23276817]
- S971. Maron BJ, Anan TJ, Roberts WC. Quantitative analysis of the distribution of cardiac muscle cell disorganization in the left ventricular wall of patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Circulation*1981;63:882–894. [PubMed: 7193536]
- S972. Maron BJ. Contemporary insights and strategies for risk stratification and prevention of sudden death in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Circulation*2010;121:445–456. [PubMed: 20100987]
- S973. Basso C, Thiene G, Corrado D, Buja G, Melacini P, Nava A. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and sudden death in the young: pathologic evidence of myocardial ischemia. *Hum Pathol*2000;31:988–998. [PubMed: 10987261]
- S974. Almaas VM, Haugaa KH, Strom EH, et al. Increased amount of interstitial fibrosis predicts ventricular arrhythmias, and is associated with reduced myocardial septal function in patients with obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Europace*2013;15:1319–1327. [PubMed: 23426552]
- S975. Rudolph A, Abdel-Aty H, Bohl S, et al. Noninvasive detection of fibrosis applying contrast-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance in different forms of left ventricular hypertrophy relation to remodeling. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2009;53:284–291. [PubMed: 19147047]
- S976. Satoh H, Matoh F, Shiraki K, et al. Delayed enhancement on cardiac magnetic resonance and clinical, morphological, and electrocardiographical features in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Card Fail*2009;15:419–427. [PubMed: 19477402]
- S977. Rickers C, Wilke NM, Jerosch-Herold M, et al. Utility of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in the diagnosis of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Circulation*2005;112:855–861. [PubMed: 16087809]
- S978. Leonardi S, Raineri C, De Ferrari GM, et al. Usefulness of cardiac magnetic resonance in assessing the risk of ventricular arrhythmias and sudden death in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Eur Heart J*2009; 30:2003–2010. [PubMed: 19474054]
- S979. Maron BJ, Spirito P, Shen WK, et al. Implantable cardioverter-defibrillators and prevention of sudden cardiac death in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *JAMA*2007;298:405–412. [PubMed: 17652294]

- S9710. Cha YM, Gersh BJ, Maron BJ, et al. Electrophysiologic manifestations of ventricular tachyarrhythmias provoking appropriate defibrillator interventions in high-risk patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2007;18:483–487. [PubMed: 17343723]
- S9711. Fananapazir L, Chang AC, Epstein SE, McAreavey D. Prognostic determinants in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Prospective evaluation of a therapeutic strategy based on clinical, holter, hemodynamic, and electrophysiological findings. *Circulation* 1992;86:730–740. [PubMed: 1516184]
- S9712. Dukkupati SR, d'Avila A, Soejima K, et al. Long-term outcomes of combined epicardial and endocardial ablation of monomorphic ventricular tachycardia related to hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2011;4:185–194. [PubMed: 21270104]
- S9713. Santangeli P, Di Biase L, Lakkireddy D, et al. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: Safety and feasibility. *Heart Rhythm* 2010;7:1036–1042. [PubMed: 20493276]
- S9714. Ueda A, Fukamizu S, Soejima K, et al. Clinical and electrophysiological characteristics in patients with sustained monomorphic reentrant ventricular tachycardia associated with dilated-phase hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Europace* 2012;14:734–740. [PubMed: 22048994]
- S9715. Maron MS, Finley JJ, Bos JM, et al. Prevalence, clinical significance, and natural history of left ventricular apical aneurysms in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Circulation* 2008;118:1541–1549. [PubMed: 18809796]
- S9716. Inada K, Seiler J, Roberts-Thomson KC, et al. Substrate characterization and catheter ablation for monomorphic ventricular tachycardia in patients with apical hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2011; 22:41–48. [PubMed: 20807280]
- S9717. Igarashi M, Nogami A, Kurosaki K, et al. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and apical aneurysm. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol* 2018;4:339–350. [PubMed: 30089559]
- S9718. Kobayashi K, Ohata T, Ueda H, Miyamoto K. Recurrent sustained ventricular tachycardia, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, and apical aneurysm: electroanatomic map-guided surgical ablation and left ventricular restoration. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2013;146:983–985. [PubMed: 23932345]
- S9719. Shimahara Y, Kobayashi J, Fujita T, Sato S. Transapical myectomy and surgical cryoablation for refractory ventricular tachycardia due to hypertrophic cardiomyopathy with apical aneurysm. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2015; 48:334–335. [PubMed: 25274496]
- S9720. Ergul Y, Ozyilmaz I, Bilici M, Ozturk E, Haydin S, Guzeltas A. Radiofrequency ablation of fast ventricular tachycardia causing an ICD storm in an infant with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2018;41:425–428. [PubMed: 28749010]
- S9861. Haissaguerre M, Extramiana F, Hocini M, et al. Mapping and ablation of ventricular fibrillation associated with long-QT and Brugada syndromes. *Circulation* 2003;108:925–928. [PubMed: 12925452]
- S9862. Nademanee K, Hocini M, Haissaguerre M. Epicardial substrate ablation for Brugada syndrome. *Heart Rhythm* 2017;14:457–461. [PubMed: 27979714]
- S9863. Andorin A, Gourraud JB, Mansourati J, et al. The QUIDAM study: hydroquinidine therapy for the management of Brugada syndrome patients at high arrhythmic risk. *Heart Rhythm* 2017;14:1147–1154. [PubMed: 28411139]
- S9864. Vutthikraivit W, Rattanawong P, Putthapiban P, et al. Worldwide prevalence of Brugada syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Cardiol Sin* 2018;34:267–277. [PubMed: 29844648]
- S9865. Brugada P, Brugada J. Right bundle branch block, persistent ST segment elevation and sudden cardiac death: a distinct clinical and electrocardiographic syndrome. A multicenter report. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1992; 20:1391–1396. [PubMed: 1309182]
- S9866. Bayes de Luna A, Brugada J, Baranchuk A, et al. Current electrocardiographic criteria for diagnosis of Brugada pattern: a consensus report. *J Electrocardiol* 2012;45:433–442. [PubMed: 22920782]

- S9867. Viswanathan S, Aghoram R. Brugada syndrome in patients with acute febrile illness. *Indian Heart J*2018;70:416–420. [PubMed: 29961460]
- S9868. Konigstein M, Rosso R, Topaz G, et al. Drug-induced Brugada syndrome: clinical characteristics and risk factors. *Heart Rhythm*2016; 13:1083–1087. [PubMed: 27131070]
- S9869. Xu G, Gottschalk BH, Anselm DD, et al. Relation of the Brugada phenocopy to hyperkalemia (from the International Registry on Brugada Phenocopy). *Am J Cardiol*2018;121:715–717. [PubMed: 29397883]
- S98610. Hunuk B, Kepez A, Erdogan O. Prevalence of Brugada-type electrocardiogram pattern by recording right precordial leads at higher intercostal spaces. *Europace*2013;15:590–594. [PubMed: 22767008]
- S98611. Holst AG, Tango M, Batchvarov V, et al. Specificity of elevated intercostal space ECG recording for the type 1 Brugada ECG pattern. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol*2012;17:108–112. [PubMed: 22537328]
- S98612. Dendramis G. Brugada syndrome and Brugada phenocopy. The importance of a differential diagnosis. *Int J Cardiol*2016;210:25–27. [PubMed: 26922708]
- S98613. Watanabe H, Minamino T. Genetics of Brugada syndrome. *J Hum Genet*2016;61:57–60. [PubMed: 26223181]
- S98614. Postema PG, van Dessel PF, Kors JA, et al. Local depolarization abnormalities are the dominant pathophysiologic mechanism for type 1 electrocardiogram in Brugada syndrome a study of electrocardiograms, vectorcardiograms, and body surface potential maps during ajmaline provocation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2010;55:789–797. [PubMed: 20170818]
- S98615. Bueno-Orovio A, Cherry EM, Evans SJ, Fenton FH. Basis for the induction of tissue-level phase-2 reentry as a repolarization disorder in the Brugada syndrome. *Biomed Res Int*2015;2015:197586. [PubMed: 26583094]
- S98616. Lambiase PD, Ahmed AK, Ciaccio EJ, et al. High-density substrate mapping in Brugada syndrome: combined role of conduction and repolarization heterogeneities in arrhythmogenesis. *Circulation*2009;120:106–117. [PubMed: 19564561]
- S98617. Ohkubo K, Watanabe I, Okumura Y, et al. Right ventricular histological substrate and conduction delay in patients with Brugada syndrome. *Int Heart J*2010;51:17–23. [PubMed: 20145346]
- S98618. Nademane K, Veerakul G, Chandanamatha P, et al. Prevention of ventricular fibrillation episodes in Brugada syndrome by catheter ablation over the anterior right ventricular outflow tract epicardium. *Circulation*2011;123:1270–1279. [PubMed: 21403098]
- S98619. Krieger K, Steinfurt J, Lenz C, Keweloh B. Catheter ablation of Brugada syndrome: importance of repeated administration of ajmaline to unmask the entire epicardial substrate. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2017;3:1330–1332. [PubMed: 29759632]
- S98620. Chung FP, Raharjo SB, Lin YJ, et al. A novel method to enhance phenotype, epicardial functional substrates, and ventricular tachyarrhythmias in Brugada syndrome. *Heart Rhythm*2017;14:508–517. [PubMed: 28065832]
- S98621. Pappone C, Ciconte G, Manguso F, et al. Assessing the malignant ventricular arrhythmic substrate in patients with Brugada syndrome. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2018;71:1631–1646. [PubMed: 29650119]
- S98622. Zhang P, Tung R, Zhang Z, et al. Characterization of the epicardial substrate for catheter ablation of Brugada syndrome. *Heart Rhythm*2016; 13:2151–2158. [PubMed: 27453126]
- S98623. Shah AJ, Hocini M, Lamaison D, Sacher F, Derval N, Haissaguerre M. Regional substrate ablation abolishes Brugada syndrome. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2011;22:1290–1291. [PubMed: 21457386]
- S98624. Watanabe A, Fukushima Kusano K, Morita H, et al. Low-dose isoproterenol for repetitive ventricular arrhythmia in patients with Brugada syndrome. *Eur Heart J*2006;27:1579–1583. [PubMed: 16760208]
- S98625. Omiya T, Shimizu A, Ueyama T, et al. Effects of isoproterenol and propranolol on the inducibility and frequency of ventricular fibrillation in patients with Brugada syndrome. *J Cardiol*2012;60:47–54. [PubMed: 22445599]

- S98626. Shan Q, Yang B, Chen M, et al. Short-term normalization of ventricular repolarization by transcatheter ablation in a patient with suspected Brugada syndrome. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2008;21:53–57. [PubMed: 18299974]
- S98627. Nakagawa E, Takagi M, Tatsumi H, Yoshiyama M. Successful radiofrequency catheter ablation for electrical storm of ventricular fibrillation in a patient with Brugada syndrome. *Circ J*2008;72:1025–1029. [PubMed: 18503235]
- S98628. Rodriguez-Manero M, Sacher F, de Asmundis C, et al. Monomorphic ventricular tachycardia in patients with Brugada syndrome: a multicenter retrospective study. *Heart Rhythm*2016;13:669–682. [PubMed: 26538325]
- S98629. Fernandes GC, Fernandes A, Cardoso R, et al. Ablation strategies for the management of symptomatic Brugada syndrome: a systematic review. *Heart Rhythm*2018;15:1140–1147. [PubMed: 29572085]
- S98630. Brugada J, Pappone C, Berruezo A, et al. Brugada syndrome phenotype elimination by epicardial substrate ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:1373–1381. [PubMed: 26291334]
- S98631. Lim PCY, Nademane K, Lee ECY, Teo WS. Epicardial ablation utilizing remote magnetic navigation in a patient with Brugada syndrome and inferior early repolarization. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2018; 41:214–217. [PubMed: 28842979]
- S98632. Lee A, Kohler H, Wright D, Haqqani HM. Epicardial Brugada syndrome ablation unmasking inferior J waves. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2017; 40:1308–1312. [PubMed: 28436550]
- S98633. Pappone C, Brugada J, Vicedomini G, et al. Electrical substrate elimination in 135 consecutive patients with Brugada syndrome. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2017;10:e005053. [PubMed: 28500178]
- S991. Haïssaguerre M, Shoda M, Jaïs P, et al. Mapping and ablation of idiopathic ventricular fibrillation. *Circulation*2002;106:962–967. [PubMed: 12186801]
- S992. Haïssaguerre M, Shah DC, Jaïs P, et al. Role of Purkinje conducting system in triggering of idiopathic ventricular fibrillation. *Lancet*2002;359:677–678. [PubMed: 11879868]
- S993. Haïssaguerre M, Extraminiana F, Hocini M, et al. Mapping and ablation of ventricular fibrillation associated with long-QT and Brugada syndromes. *Circulation*2003;108:925–928. [PubMed: 12925452]
- S994. Bansch D, Ouyang F, Antz M, et al. Successful catheter ablation of electrical storm after myocardial infarction. *Circulation*2003;108:3011–3016. [PubMed: 14662718]
- S995. Marrouche NF, Verma A, Wazni O, et al. Mode of initiation and ablation of ventricular fibrillation storms in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2004;43:1715–1720. [PubMed: 15120835]
- S996. Bode K, Hindricks G, Piorkowski C, et al. Ablation of polymorphic ventricular tachycardias in patients with structural heart disease. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2008;31:1585–1591. [PubMed: 19067811]
- S997. Knecht S, Sacher F, Wright M, et al. Long-term follow-up of idiopathic ventricular fibrillation ablation: a multicenter study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2009; 54:522–528. [PubMed: 19643313]
- S998. Peichl P, Cihak R, Kozeluhova M, Wichterle D, Vancura V, Kautzner J. Catheter ablation of arrhythmic storm triggered by monomorphic ectopic beats in patients with coronary artery disease. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2010; 27:51–59. [PubMed: 19937101]
- S999. Van Herendael H, Zado ES, Haqqani H, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular fibrillation; importance of left ventricular outflow tract and papillary muscle triggers. *Heart Rhythm*2014;11:566–573. [PubMed: 24398086]
- S91041. Basso C, Corrado D, Markus FI, Nava A, Thiene G. Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy. *Lancet*2009;373:1289–1300. [PubMed: 19362677]
- S91042. Boulos M, Lashevsky I, Reisner S, Gepstein L. Electroanatomic mapping of arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2001; 38:2020–2027. [PubMed: 11738310]
- S91043. Te Riele AS, James CA, Philips B, et al. Mutation-positive arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy: the triangle of dysplasia displaced. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2013; 24:1311–1320. [PubMed: 23889974]

- S91044. Fernandez-Armenta J, Andreu D, Penela D, et al. Sinus rhythm detection of conducting channels and ventricular tachycardia isthmus in arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm*2014; 11:747–754. [PubMed: 24561159]
- S91045. Berruezo A, Acosta J, Fernandez-Armenta J, et al. Safety, long-term outcomes and predictors of recurrence after first-line combined endoepicardial ventricular tachycardia substrate ablation in arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy. Impact of arrhythmic substrate distribution pattern. A prospective multicentre study. *Europace*2017;19:607–616. [PubMed: 28431051]
- S91046. Sen-Chowdhry S, Syrris P, Prasad SK, et al. Left dominant arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy: an under-recognized clinical entity. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2008;52:2175–2187. [PubMed: 19095136]
- S91047. Marcus FI, McKenna WJ, Sherrill D, et al. Diagnosis of arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia: proposed modification of the task force criteria. *Circulation*2010;121:1533–1541. [PubMed: 20172911]
- S91048. Corrado D, Basso C, Leoni L, et al. Three-dimensional electroanatomic voltage mapping increases accuracy of diagnosing arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia. *Circulation*2005; 111:3042–3050. [PubMed: 15939822]
- S91049. Santangeli P, Hamilton-Craig C, Dello Russo A, et al. Imaging of scar in patients with ventricular arrhythmias of right ventricular origin: cardiac magnetic resonance versus electroanatomic mapping. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2011;22:1359–1366. [PubMed: 21736658]
- S910410. Marchlinski FE, Zado E, Dixit S, et al. Electroanatomic substrate and outcome of catheter ablation therapy for ventricular tachycardia in setting of right ventricular cardiomyopathy. *Circulation*2004;110:2293–2298. [PubMed: 15477406]
- S910411. Philips B, te Riele AS, Sawant A, et al. Outcomes and ventricular tachycardia recurrence characteristics after epicardial ablation of ventricular tachycardia in arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm*2015;12:716–725. [PubMed: 25530221]
- S910412. Al-Khatib SM, Stevenson WG, Ackerman MJ, et al. 2017 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *Heart Rhythm*2018;15:e73–e189. [PubMed: 29097319]
- S910413. Wichter T, Borggrefe M, Haverkamp W, Chen X, Breithardt G. Efficacy of antiarrhythmic drugs in patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular disease. Results in patients with inducible and noninducible ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation*1992;86:29–37. [PubMed: 1617780]
- S910414. Marcus GM, Glidden DV, Polonsky B, et al.; Multidisciplinary Study of Right Ventricular Dysplasia Investigators. Efficacy of antiarrhythmic drugs in arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy: a report from the North American ARVC Registry. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2009; 54:609–615. [PubMed: 19660690]
- S910415. Ermakov S, Gerstenfeld EP, Svetlichnaya Y, Scheinman MM. Use of flecainide in combination antiarrhythmic therapy in patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm*2017; 14:564–569. [PubMed: 27939893]
- S910416. Dalal D, Jain R, Tandri H, et al. Long-term efficacy of catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2007;50:432–440. [PubMed: 17662396]
- S910417. Verma A, Kilicaslan F, Schweikert RA, et al. Short- and long-term success of substrate-based mapping and ablation of ventricular tachycardia in arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia. *Circulation*2005; 111:3209–3216. [PubMed: 15956125]
- S910418. Philips B, Madhavan S, James C, et al. Outcomes of catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2012;5:499–505. [PubMed: 22492430]
- S910419. Garcia FC, Bazan V, Zado ES, Ren JF, Marchlinski FE. Epicardial substrate and outcome with epicardial ablation of ventricular tachycardia in arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia. *Circulation*2009;120:366–375. [PubMed: 19620503]
- S910420. Berruezo A, Fernandez-Armenta Mont L, et al. Combined endocardial and epicardial catheter ablation in arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia incorporating scar dechanneling technique. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2012;5:111–121. [PubMed: 22205683]

- S910421. Bai R, Di Biase L, Shivkumar K, et al. Ablation of ventricular arrhythmias in arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy arrhythmia-free survival after endocardial substrate based mapping and ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2011;4:478–485. [PubMed: 21665983]
- S910422. Santangeli P, Zado ES, Supple GE, et al. Long-term outcome with catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015; 8:1413–1421. [PubMed: 26546346]
- S910423. Polin GM, Haqqani H, Tzou W, et al. Endocardial unipolar voltage mapping to identify epicardial substrate in arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy/dysplasia. *Heart Rhythm*2011;8:76–83. [PubMed: 20933099]
- S910424. Fernandez-Armenta J, Penela D, Acosta J, et al. Substrate modification or ventricular tachycardia induction, mapping, and ablation as the first step? A randomized study. *Heart Rhythm*2016;13:1589–1595. [PubMed: 27180621]
- S910425. Philips B, Madhavan S, James C, et al. High prevalence of catecholamine-facilitated focal ventricular tachycardia in patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2013;6:160–166. [PubMed: 23275260]
- S910426. Sacher F, Roberts-Thomson K, Maury P, et al. Epicardial ventricular tachycardia ablation a multicenter safety study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2010;55:2366–2372. [PubMed: 20488308]
- S910427. Della Bella P, Brugada J, Zeppenfeld K, et al. Epicardial ablation for ventricular tachycardia: a European multicenter study. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2011;4:653–659. [PubMed: 21841191]
- S910428. Roberts-Thomson KC, Steven D, Seiler J, et al. Coronary artery injury due to catheter ablation in adults: presentations and outcomes. *Circulation*2009;120:1465–1473. [PubMed: 19786630]
- S91131. Gonska BD, Cao K, Raab J, Eigster G, Kreuzer H. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of right ventricular tachycardia late after repair of congenital heart defects. *Circulation*1996;94:1902–1908. [PubMed: 8873666]
- S91132. Zeppenfeld K, Schalij MJ, Bartelings MM, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia after repair of congenital heart disease: electroanatomic identification of the critical right ventricular isthmus. *Circulation*2007;116:2241–2252. [PubMed: 17967973]
- S91133. Kriebel T, Saul JP, Schneider H, Sigler M, Paul T. Noncontact mapping and radiofrequency catheter ablation of fast and hemodynamically unstable ventricular tachycardia after surgical repair of tetralogy of Fallot. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2007;50:2162–2168. [PubMed: 18036455]
- S91134. Kapel GF, Reichlin T, Wijnmaalen AP, et al. Re-entry using anatomically determined isthmuses: a curable ventricular tachycardia in repaired congenital heart disease. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015; 8:102–109. [PubMed: 25422392]
- S91135. Morwood JG, Triedman JK, Berul CI, et al. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in children and young adults with congenital heart disease. *Heart Rhythm*2004;1:301–308. [PubMed: 15851174]
- S91136. van Zyl M, Kapa S, Padmanabhan D, et al. Mechanism and outcomes of catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia in adults with repaired congenital heart disease. *Heart Rhythm*2016;13:1449–1454. [PubMed: 26961296]
- S91137. Laredo M, Frank R, Waintraub X, et al. Ten-year outcomes of monomorphic ventricular tachycardia catheter ablation in repaired tetralogy of Fallot. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis*2017;110:292–302. [PubMed: 28347634]
- S91138. Miyazaki A, Sakaguchi H, Ohuchi H, et al. Efficacy of Hemodynamic based management of tachyarrhythmia after repair of tetralogy of Fallot. *Circ J*2012;76:2855–2862. [PubMed: 22893279]
- S91139. Karamlou T, Silber I, Lao R, et al. Outcomes after late reoperation in patients with repaired tetralogy of Fallot: the impact of arrhythmia and arrhythmia surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg*2006;81:1786–1793. [PubMed: 16631673]
- S911310. Harrild DM, Berul CI, Cecchin F, et al. Pulmonary valve replacement in tetralogy of Fallot: impact on survival and ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation*2009;119:445–451. [PubMed: 19139389]

- S911311. Downar E, Harris L, Kimber S, et al. Ventricular tachycardia after surgical repair of tetralogy of Fallot: results of intraoperative mapping studies. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1992;20:648–655. [PubMed: 1512345]
- S911312. Therrien J, Siu SC, Harris L, et al. Impact of pulmonary valve replacement on arrhythmia propensity late after repair of tetralogy of Fallot. *Circulation*2001;103:2489–2494. [PubMed: 11369690]
- S911313. Sabate Rotes A, Connolly HM, Warnes CA, et al. Ventricular arrhythmia risk stratification in patients with tetralogy of Fallot at the time of pulmonary valve replacement. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015; 8:110–116. [PubMed: 25416756]
- S911314. Sandhu A, Ruckdeschel E, Sauer WH, et al. Perioperative electrophysiology study in patients with tetralogy of Fallot undergoing pulmonary valve replacement will identify those at high risk of subsequent ventricular tachycardia. *Heart Rhythm*2018;15:679–685. [PubMed: 29330130]
- S911315. Moore JP, Shannon KM, Gallotti RG, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmia for Ebstein’s anomaly in unoperated and post-surgical patients. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2018;4:1300–1307. [PubMed: 30336876]
- S911316. Kapel GFL, Laranjo S, Blom NA, et al. Impact of surgery on presence and dimensions of anatomical isthmuses in tetralogy of Fallot. *Heart*2018;104:1200–1207. [PubMed: 29305559]
- S911317. Kapel GF, Sacher F, Dekkers OM, et al. Arrhythmogenic anatomical isthmuses identified by electroanatomical mapping are the substrate for ventricular tachycardia in repaired tetralogy of Fallot. *Eur Heart J*2017; 38:268–276. [PubMed: 28182233]
- S911318. Kapel GF, Reichlin T, Wijnmaalen AP, et al. Left-sided ablation of ventricular tachycardia in adults with repaired tetralogy of Fallot: a case series. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:889–897. [PubMed: 25151630]
- S9121. Okura Y, Dec GW, Hare JM, et al. A clinical and histopathologic comparison of cardiac sarcoidosis and idiopathic giant cell myocarditis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2003;41:322–329. [PubMed: 12535829]
- S9122. Litovsky SH, Burke AP, Virmani R. Giant cell myocarditis: an entity distinct from sarcoidosis characterized by multiphasic myocyte destruction by cytotoxic T cells and histiocytic giant cells. *Mod Pathol*1996; 9:1126–1134. [PubMed: 8972471]
- S9123. Trachtenberg BH, Hare JM. Inflammatory cardiomyopathic syndromes. *Circ Res*2017;121:803–818. [PubMed: 28912184]
- S9124. Birnie DH, Sauer WH, Bogun F, et al. HRS expert consensus statement on the diagnosis and management of arrhythmias associated with cardiac sarcoidosis. *Heart Rhythm*2014;11:1305–1323. [PubMed: 24819193]
- S9125. Kandolin R, Lehtonen J, Airaksinen J, et al. Cardiac sarcoidosis: epidemiology, characteristics, and outcome over 25 years in a nationwide study. *Circulation*2015;131:624–632. [PubMed: 25527698]
- S9126. Chapelon-Abric C, Sene D, Saadoun D, et al. Cardiac sarcoidosis: diagnosis, therapeutic management and prognostic factors. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis*2017;110:456–465. [PubMed: 28566197]
- S9127. Yazaki Y, Isobe M, Hiroe M, et al. Central Japan Heart Study Group. Prognostic determinants of long-term survival in Japanese patients with cardiac sarcoidosis treated with prednisone. *Am J Cardiol*2001;88:1006–1010. [PubMed: 11703997]
- S9128. Pennell DJ. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance. *Circulation*2010; 121:692–705. [PubMed: 20142462]
- S9129. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College Of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force On Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2013;62:e147–e239. [PubMed: 23747642]
- S91210. Vasaiwala SC, Finn C, Delpriore J, et al. Prospective study of cardiac sarcoid mimicking arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2009;20:473–476. [PubMed: 19017339]

- S91211. Philips B, Madhavan S, James CA, et al. Arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/ cardiomyopathy and cardiac sarcoidosis: distinguishing features when the diagnosis is unclear. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2014; 7:230–236. [PubMed: 24585727]
- S91212. Decherer DG, Kochhäuser S, Wasmer K, et al. Electrophysiological characteristics of ventricular tachyarrhythmias in cardiac sarcoidosis versus arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm* 2013; 10:158–164. [PubMed: 23070261]
- S91213. Steckman DA, Schneider PM, Schuller JL, et al. Utility of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging to differentiate cardiac sarcoidosis from arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy. *Am J Cardiol* 2012; 110:575–579. [PubMed: 22595349]
- S91214. Schuller JL, Lowery CM, Zipse M, et al. Diagnostic utility of signal-averaged electrocardiography for detection of cardiac sarcoidosis. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* 2011; 16:70–76. [PubMed: 21251137]
- S91215. Jelic D, Joel B, Good E, et al. Role of radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in cardiac sarcoidosis: report from a multicenter registry. *Heart Rhythm* 2009; 6:189–195. [PubMed: 19187909]
- S91216. Roberts WC, McAllister HA Jr, Ferrans VJ. Sarcoidosis of the heart. A clinicopathologic study of 35 necropsy patients (group 1) and review of 78 previously described necropsy patients (group 11). *Am J Med* 1977; 63:86–108. [PubMed: 327806]
- S91217. Casella M, Pizzamiglio F, Dello Russo A, et al. Feasibility of combined unipolar and bipolar voltage maps to improve sensitivity of endomyocardial biopsy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2015; 8:625–632. [PubMed: 25829163]
- S91218. Berte B, Eyskens B, Meyfroidt G, Willems R. Bidirectional ventricular tachycardia in fulminant myocarditis. *Europace* 2008; 10:767–768. [PubMed: 18456646]
- S91219. Park H, Park H, Lee D, et al. Increased phosphorylation of Ca(21) handling proteins as a proarrhythmic mechanism in myocarditis. *Circ J* 2014; 78:2292–2301. [PubMed: 25056499]
- S91220. Zeppenfeld K, Blom NA, Bootsma M, Schalij MJ. Incessant ventricular tachycardia in fulminant lymphocytic myocarditis: evidence for origin in the Purkinje system and successful treatment with ablation. *Heart Rhythm* 2007; 4:88–91. [PubMed: 17198997]
- S91221. Tai YT, Lau CP, Fong PC, Li JP, Lee KL. Incessant automatic ventricular tachycardia complicating acute coxsackie b myocarditis. *Cardiology* 1992; 80:339–344. [PubMed: 1333360]
- S91222. Yodogawa K, Seino Y, Ohara T, Takayama H, Katoh T, Mizuno K. Effect of corticosteroid therapy on ventricular arrhythmias in patients with cardiac sarcoidosis. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol* 2011; 16:140–147. [PubMed: 21496164]
- S91223. Stees CS, Khoo MSC, Lowery CM, Sauer WH. Ventricular tachycardia storm successfully treated with immunosuppression and catheter ablation in a patient with cardiac sarcoidosis. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2011; 22:210–213. [PubMed: 20561106]
- S91224. Naruse Y, Sekiguchi Y, Nogami A, et al. Systematic treatment approach to ventricular tachycardia in cardiac sarcoidosis. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2014; 7:407–413. [PubMed: 24837644]
- S91225. Muser D, Santangeli P, Pathak RK, et al. Long-term outcomes of catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with cardiac sarcoidosis. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2016; 9:e004333. [PubMed: 27516457]
- S91226. Koplán BA, Soejima K, Baughman KL, Epstein LM, Stevenson WG. Refractory ventricular tachycardia secondary to cardiac sarcoid: electrophysiologic characteristics, mapping, and ablation. *Heart Rhythm* 2006; 3:924–929. [PubMed: 16876741]
- S91227. Kumar S, Barbhaiya C, Nagashima K, et al. Ventricular tachycardia in cardiac sarcoidosis: characterization of ventricular substrate and outcomes of catheter ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2015; 8:87–93. [PubMed: 25527825]
- S91228. Tzou WS, Rothstein PA, Cowherd M, et al. Repeat ablation of refractory ventricular arrhythmias in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy: impact of midmyocardial substrate and role of adjunctive ablation techniques. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2018; 29:1403–1412. [PubMed: 30033528]

- S91229. Sapp JL, Beeckler C, Pike R, et al. Initial human feasibility of infusion needle catheter ablation for refractory ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation* 2013;128:2289–2295. [PubMed: 24036605]
- S91230. Nguyen DT, Tzou WS, Brunnquell M, et al. Clinical and biophysical evaluation of variable bipolar configurations during radiofrequency ablation for treatment of ventricular arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm* 2016; 13:2161–2171. [PubMed: 27424078]
- S91231. Kreidieh B, Rodriguez-Manero M, Schurmann PA, Ibarra-Cortez SH, Dave AS, Valderrabano M. Retrograde coronary venous ethanol infusion for ablation of refractory ventricular tachycardia. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2016;9:e004352.
- S91232. Papageorgiou N, Providencia R, Bronis K, et al. Catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia in patients with cardiac sarcoidosis: a systematic review. *Europace* 2017;20:682–691.
- S91331. Bocchi EA, Bestetti RB, Scanavacca MI, Cunha Neto E, Issa VS. Chronic Chagas heart disease management: from etiology to cardiomyopathy treatment. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2017;70:1510–1524. [PubMed: 28911515]
- S91332. Healy C, Viles-Gonzalez JF, Saenz LC, Soto M, Ramírez JD, d'Avila A. Arrhythmias in Chagasic cardiomyopathy. *Card Electrophysiol Clin* 2015; 7:251–268. [PubMed: 26002390]
- S91333. Sosa E, Scanavacca M, d'Avila A, et al. Endocardial and epicardial ablation guided by nonsurgical transthoracic epicardial mapping to treat recurrent ventricular tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 1998;9:229–239. [PubMed: 9580377]
- S91334. Scanavacca M, Sosa E. Epicardial ablation of ventricular tachycardia in Chagas heart disease. *Card Electrophysiol Clin* 2010;2:55–67. [PubMed: 28770736]
- S91335. Scanavacca M, Sosa E, d'Avila A, De Lourdes Higuchi M. Radiofrequency ablation of sustained ventricular tachycardia related to the mitral isthmus in Chagas' disease. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2002;25:368–371. [PubMed: 11990669]
- S91336. Sarabanda AV, Gali WL, Gomes GG. Bundle branch reentry: a novel mechanism for sustained ventricular tachycardia in Chagas heart disease. *Heart Rhythm Case Rep* 2018;4:293–297. [PubMed: 30023274]
- S91337. Saenz LC, Corrales FM, Bautista W, et al. Cardiac sympathetic denervation for intractable ventricular arrhythmias in Chagas disease. *Heart Rhythm* 2016;13:1388–1394. [PubMed: 26969783]
- S91338. Soto-Becerra R, Bazan V, Bautista W, et al. Ventricular tachycardia in the setting of Chagasic cardiomyopathy: use of voltage mapping to characterize endoepicardial nonischemic scar distribution. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2017;10:e004950. [PubMed: 29133379]
- S91441. Hasselberg NE, Haland TF, Saberniak J, et al. Lamin A/C cardiomyopathy: young onset, high penetrance, and frequent need for heart transplantation. *Eur Heart J* 2018;39:853–860. [PubMed: 29095976]
- S91442. van Berlo JH, de Voogt WG, van der Kooi AJ, et al. Meta-analysis of clinical characteristics of 299 carriers of LMNA gene mutations: do lamin A/C mutations portend a high risk of sudden death? *J Mol Med (Berl)* 2005;83:79–83. [PubMed: 15551023]
- S91443. van Berlo JH, Duboc D, Pinto YM. Often seen but rarely recognised: cardiac complications of lamin A/C mutations. *Eur Heart J* 2004; 25:812–814. [PubMed: 15140529]
- S91444. Kumar S, Baldinger SH, Gandjbakhch E, et al. Long-term arrhythmic and nonarrhythmic outcomes of Lamin A/C mutation carriers. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2016;68:2299–2307. [PubMed: 27884249]
- S91445. Hasselberg NE, Edvardsen T, Petri H, et al. Risk prediction of ventricular arrhythmias and myocardial function in Lamin A/C mutation positive subjects. *Europace* 2014;16:563–571. [PubMed: 24058181]
- S91446. van Rijsingen IA, Arbustini E, Elliott PM, et al. Risk factors for malignant ventricular arrhythmias in Lamin A/C mutation carriers a European cohort study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;59:493–500. [PubMed: 22281253]
- S91447. Kumar S, Androulakis AF, Sellal JM, et al. Multicenter experience with catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia in Lamin A/C cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2016;9:e004357. [PubMed: 27506821]

- S91448. Jenni R, Oechslin E, Schneider J, Attenhofer Jost C, Kaufmann PA. Echocardiographic and pathoanatomical characteristics of isolated left ventricular non-compaction: a step towards classification as a distinct cardiomyopathy. *Heart*2001;86:666–671. [PubMed: 11711464]
- S91449. Murphy RT, Thaman R, Blanes JG, et al. Natural history and familial characteristics of isolated left ventricular non-compaction. *Eur Heart J*2005;26:187–192. [PubMed: 15618076]
- S914410. Muser D, Nucifora G, Gianfagna E, et al. Clinical spectrum of isolated left ventricular noncompaction: thromboembolic events, malignant left ventricular arrhythmias, and refractory heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2014;63:e39. [PubMed: 24576431]
- S914411. Muser D, Liang JJ, Witschey WR, et al. Ventricular arrhythmias associated with left ventricular noncompaction: electrophysiologic characteristics, mapping, and ablation. *Heart Rhythm*2017;14:166–175. [PubMed: 27890738]
- S914412. Li Y, Xue Y, Yu J, et al. Electrophysiological characteristics and radiofrequency ablation of sustained monomorphic ventricular tachycardia in adult patients with isolated ventricular noncompaction. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2018;52:117–125. [PubMed: 29582363]
- S914413. Jackson N, King B, Viswanathan K, Downar E, Spears D. Case report: ablation of diffuse inter-trabecular substrate in a patient with isolated ventricular non-compaction. *Indian Pacing Electrophysiol J*2015; 15:162–164. [PubMed: 26937110]
- S914414. Paparella G, Capulzini L, de Asmundis C, et al. Electro-anatomical mapping in a patient with isolated left ventricular non-compaction and left ventricular tachycardia. *Europace*2009;11:1227–1229. [PubMed: 19549675]
- S914415. Ritter M, Oechslin E, Sutsch G, Attenhofer C, Schneider J, Jenni R. Isolated noncompaction of the myocardium in adults. *Mayo Clin Proc*1997; 72:26–31. [PubMed: 9005281]
- S914416. Santoro F, Manuppelli V, Brunetti ND. Multiple morphology ventricular tachycardia in non-compaction cardiomyopathy: multi-modal imaging. *Europace*2013;15:304. [PubMed: 22843566]
- S914417. Ohlow MA. Congenital left ventricular aneurysms and diverticula: definition, pathophysiology, clinical relevance and treatment. *Cardiology*2006;106:63–72. [PubMed: 16612072]
- S914418. Ohlow MA. Congenital left ventricular aneurysms and diverticula: an entity in search of an identity. *J Geriatr Cardiol*2017;14:750–762. [PubMed: 29581714]
- S914419. Ohlow MA, Secknus MA, Geller JC, von Korn H, Lauer B. Prevalence and outcome of congenital left ventricular aneurysms and diverticula in an adult population. *Cardiology*2009;112:287–293. [PubMed: 18832824]
- S914420. Haegeli LM, Ercin E, Steffel J, et al. Incidence and prognosis of ventricular arrhythmias in patients with congenital left ventricular aneurysms or diverticula. *Am J Med*2015;128:653.e1–653.e6.
- S914421. Haegeli LM, Ercin E, Wolber T, et al. Arrhythmic manifestations in patients with congenital left ventricular aneurysms and diverticula. *Am J Cardiol*2011;108:1826–1830. [PubMed: 21943939]
- S914422. Ouyang F, Antz M, Deger FT, et al. An underrecognized subepicardial reentrant ventricular tachycardia attributable to left ventricular aneurysm in patients with normal coronary arteriograms. *Circulation*2003; 107:2702–2709. [PubMed: 12743007]
- S914423. Ramdjan TT, Yaksh A, Roos-Hesselink JW, de Groot NM. Endovascular catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in a patient with a surgically repaired congenital left ventricular aneurysm. *Neth Heart J*2015; 23:370–372. [PubMed: 25985760]
- S914424. Santamaria M, Cireddu M, Riva S, Trevisi N, Della Bella P. Radiofrequency catheter ablation guided by noncontact mapping of ventricular tachycardia originating from an idiopathic left ventricular aneurysm. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2007;19:49–53. [PubMed: 17605094]
- S914425. Pedrotty DM, Rame JE, Margulies KB. Management of ventricular arrhythmias in patients with ventricular assist devices. *Curr Opin Cardiol*2013;28:360–368. [PubMed: 23549232]
- S914426. Yoruk A, Sherazi S, Massey HT, et al. Predictors and clinical relevance of ventricular tachyarrhythmias in ambulatory patients with a continuous flow left ventricular assist device. *Heart Rhythm*2016;13:1052–1056. [PubMed: 26829113]

- S914427. Enriquez AD, Calenda B, Miller MA, Anyanwu AC, Pinney SP. The role of implantable cardioverter-defibrillators in patients with continuous flow left ventricular assist devices. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2013; 6:668–674. [PubMed: 23873139]
- S914428. Ambardekar AV, Allen LA, Lindenfeld J, et al. Implantable cardioverter-defibrillator shocks in patients with a left ventricular assist device. *J Heart Lung Transplant*2010;29:771–776. [PubMed: 20347337]
- S914429. Bedi M, Kormos R, Winowich S, McNamara DM, Mathier MA, Murali S. Ventricular arrhythmias during left ventricular assist device support. *Am J Cardiol*2007;99:1151–1153. [PubMed: 17437746]
- S914430. Garan AR, Levin AP, Topkara V, et al. Early post-operative ventricular arrhythmias in patients with continuous-flow left ventricular assist devices. *J Heart Lung Transplant*2015;34:1611–1616. [PubMed: 26212658]
- S914431. Birati EY, Quiaoit Y, Wald J, et al. Ventricular assist device thrombosis: a wide spectrum of clinical presentation. *J Heart Lung Transplant*2015; 34:613–615. [PubMed: 25703960]
- S914432. Raasch H, Jensen BC, Chang PP, et al. Epidemiology, management, and outcomes of sustained ventricular arrhythmias after continuous-flow left ventricular assist device implantation. *Am Heart J*2012;164:373–378. [PubMed: 22980304]
- S914433. Sacher F, Reichlin T, Zado ES, et al. Characteristics of ventricular tachycardia ablation in patients with continuous flow left ventricular assist devices. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:592–597. [PubMed: 25870335]
- S914434. Dandamudi G, Ghumman WS, Das MK, Miller JM. Endocardial catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with ventricular assist devices. *Heart Rhythm*2007;4:1165–1169. [PubMed: 17765615]
- S914435. Moss JD, Flatley EE, Beaser AD, et al. Characterization of Ventricular tachycardia after left ventricular assist device implantation as destination therapy: a single-center ablation experience. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2017;3:1412–1424. [PubMed: 29759673]
- S914436. Cantillon DJ, Bianco C, Wazni OM, et al. Electrophysiologic characteristics and catheter ablation of ventricular tachyarrhythmias among patients with heart failure on ventricular assist device support. *Heart Rhythm*2012;9:859–864. [PubMed: 22293139]
- S914437. Garan AR, Iyer V, Whang W, et al. Catheter ablation for ventricular tachyarrhythmias in patients supported by continuous-flow left ventricular assist devices. *ASAIO J*2014;60:311–316. [PubMed: 24614361]
- S914438. Herweg B, Ilercil A, Kristof-Kuteyeva O, et al. Clinical observations and outcome of ventricular tachycardia ablation in patients with left ventricular assist devices. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2012; 35:1377–1383. [PubMed: 22946711]
- S914439. Snipelisky D, Reddy YN, Manocha K, et al. Effect of ventricular arrhythmia ablation in patients with heart mate ii left ventricular assist devices: an evaluation of ablation therapy. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2017;28:68–77. [PubMed: 27766717]
- S9151. Tokuda M, Kojodjojo P, Tung S, et al. Acute failure of catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia due to structural heart disease: causes and significance. *J Am Heart Assoc*2013;2:e000072. [PubMed: 23727700]
- S9152. Roberts-Thomson KC, Seiler J, Steven D, et al. Percutaneous access of the epicardial space for mapping ventricular and supraventricular arrhythmias in patients with and without prior cardiac surgery. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2010;21:406–411. [PubMed: 19912446]
- S9153. Kumar S, Barbhuiya CR, Sobieszczyk P, et al. Role of alternative interventional procedures when endo- and epicardial catheter ablation attempts for ventricular arrhythmias fail. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015; 8:606–615. [PubMed: 25925229]
- S9154. Soejima K, Couper G, Cooper JM, Sapp JL, Epstein LM, Stevenson WG. Subxiphoid surgical approach for epicardial catheter-based mapping and ablation in patients with prior cardiac surgery or difficult pericardial access. *Circulation*2004;110:1197–1201. [PubMed: 15337702]
- S9155. Anter E, Hutchinson MD, Deo R, et al. Surgical ablation of refractory ventricular tachycardia in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2011;4:494–500. [PubMed: 21673018]

- S9156. Sarkozy A, Tokuda M, Tedrow UB, et al. Epicardial ablation of ventricular tachycardia in ischemic heart disease. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2013; 6:1115–1122. [PubMed: 24107459]
- S9157. Emaminia A, Nagji AS, Ailawadi G, Bergin JD, Kern JA. Concomitant left ventricular assist device placement and cryoablation for treatment of ventricular tachyarrhythmias associated with heart failure. *Ann Thorac Surg*2011;92:334–336. [PubMed: 21718868]
- S9158. Mulloy DP, Bhamidipati CM, Stone ML, et al. Cryoablation during left ventricular assist device implantation reduces postoperative ventricular tachyarrhythmias. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*2013;145:1207–1213. [PubMed: 22520722]
- S9159. McIlvennan CK, Babu AN, Brieke A, Ambardekar AV. Concomitant surgical cryoablation for refractory ventricular tachycardia and left ventricular assist device placement: a dual remedy but a recipe for thrombosis? *J Cardiothorac Surg*2016;11:53. [PubMed: 27067868]
- S91510. Choi EK, Nagashima K, Lin KY, et al. Surgical cryoablation for ventricular tachyarrhythmia arising from the left ventricular outflow tract region. *Heart Rhythm*2015;12:1128–1136. [PubMed: 25697752]
- S91511. Mulpuru SK, Feld GK, Madani M, Sawhney NS. A novel minimally-invasive surgical approach for ablation of ventricular tachycardia originating near the proximal left anterior descending artery. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2012;5:e95–e97. [PubMed: 23074330]
- S91512. Aziz Z, Moss JD, Jabbarzadeh M, Hellstrom J, Balkhy H, Tung R. Totally endoscopic robotic epicardial ablation of refractory left ventricular summit arrhythmia: first-in-man. *Heart Rhythm*2017;14:135–138. [PubMed: 27614027]
- S9161. Krul SPJ, Berger WR, Veldkamp MW, et al. Treatment of atrial and ventricular arrhythmias through autonomic modulation. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2015;1:496–508. [PubMed: 29759403]
- S9162. Matsunari I, Aoki H, Nomura Y, et al. Iodine-123 metaiodobenzylguanidine imaging and carbon-11 hydroxyephedrine positron emission tomography compared in patients with left ventricular dysfunction. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*2010;3:595–603. [PubMed: 20534790]
- S9163. Krittayaphong R, Bhuripanyo K, Punlee K, Kangkagate C, Chaithiraphan S. Effect of atenolol on symptomatic ventricular arrhythmia without structural heart disease: a randomized placebo-controlled study. *Am Heart J*2002;144:e10.
- S9164. Schwartz PJ, Motolese M, Pollavini G, et al.; Italian Sudden Death Prevention Group. Prevention of sudden cardiac death after a first myocardial infarction by pharmacologic or surgical antiadrenergic interventions. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*1992;3:2–16.
- S9165. Nademanee K, Taylor R, Bailey WE, Rieders DE, Kosar EM. Treating electrical storm: sympathetic blockade versus advanced cardiac life support-guided therapy. *Circulation*2000;102:742–747. [PubMed: 10942741]
- S9166. Tanaka H, Kinoshita O, Uchikawa S, et al. Successful prevention of recurrent ventricular fibrillation by intravenous isoproterenol in a patient with Brugada syndrome. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2001;24:1293–1294. [PubMed: 11523620]
- S9167. Aizawa Y, Chinushi M, Hasegawa K, et al. Electrical storm in idiopathic ventricular fibrillation is associated with early repolarization. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2013;62:1015–1019. [PubMed: 23747791]
- S9168. Bourke T, Vaseghi M, Michowitz Y, et al. Neuraxial modulation for refractory ventricular arrhythmias: value of thoracic epidural anesthesia and surgical left cardiac sympathetic denervation. *Circulation*2010;121:2255–2262. [PubMed: 20479150]
- S9169. Fudim M, Boortz-Marx R, Ganesh A, et al. Stellate ganglion blockade for the treatment of refractory ventricular arrhythmias: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2017;28:1460–1467. [PubMed: 28833780]
- S91610. Vaseghi M, Gima J, Kanaan C, et al. Cardiac sympathetic denervation in patients with refractory ventricular arrhythmias or electrical storm: intermediate and long-term follow-up. *Heart Rhythm*2014;11:360–366. [PubMed: 24291775]
- S91611. Surman TL, Stuklis RG, Chan JC. Thoracoscopic sympathectomy for long QT syndrome: literature review and case study. *Heart Lung Circ*2019; 28:486–494. [PubMed: 29525134]
- S91612. Olde Nordkamp LR, Driessen AH, Otero A, et al. Left cardiac sympathetic denervation in the Netherlands for the treatment of inherited arrhythmia syndromes. *Neth Heart J*2014;22:160–166. [PubMed: 24522951]

- S91613. Vaseghi M, Barwad P, Malavassi Corrales FJ, et al. Cardiac sympathetic denervation for refractory ventricular arrhythmias. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2017;69:3070–3080. [PubMed: 28641796]
- S91614. Ajijola OA, Lellouche N, Bourke T, et al. Bilateral cardiac sympathetic denervation for the management of electrical storm. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2012;59:91–92. [PubMed: 22192676]
- S91615. Saenz LC, Corrales FM, Bautista W, et al. Cardiac sympathetic denervation for intractable ventricular arrhythmias in Chagas disease. *Heart Rhythm*2016;13:1388–1394. [PubMed: 26969783]
- S91616. Moss AJ, McDonald J. Unilateral cervicothoracic sympathetic ganglionectomy for the treatment of long QT interval syndrome. *N Engl J Med*1971; 285:903–904. [PubMed: 5112730]
- S91617. Grimaldi R, de Luca A, Kornet L, Castagno D, Gaita F. Can spinal cord stimulation reduce ventricular arrhythmias? *Heart Rhythm*2012;9:1884–1887. [PubMed: 22877745]
- S91618. Schwartz PJ, Priori SG, Cerrone M, et al. Left cardiac sympathetic denervation in the management of high-risk patients affected by the long-QT syndrome. *Circulation*2004;109:1826–1833. [PubMed: 15051644]
- S91619. Bos JM, Bos KM, Johnson JN, Moir C, Ackerman MJ. Left cardiac sympathetic denervation in long QT syndrome: analysis of therapeutic nonresponders. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2013;6:705–711. [PubMed: 23728945]
- S91620. Hofferberth SC, Cecchin F, Loberman D, Fynn-Thompson F. Left thoracoscopic sympathectomy for cardiac denervation in patients with life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*2014;147:404–409. [PubMed: 24268954]
- S91621. Li J, Liu Y, Yang F, et al. Video-assisted thoracoscopic left cardiac sympathetic denervation: a reliable minimally invasive approach for congenital long-QT syndrome. *Ann Thorac Surg*2008;86:1955–1958. [PubMed: 19022016]
- S91622. De Ferrari GM, Dusi V, Spazzolini C, et al. Clinical management of catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia: the role of left cardiac sympathetic denervation. *Circulation*2015;131:2185–2193. [PubMed: 26019152]
- S91623. Wilde AA, Bhuiyan ZA, Crotti L, et al. Left cardiac sympathetic denervation for catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. *N Engl J Med*2008;358:2024–2029. [PubMed: 18463378]
- S91624. Krum H, Schlaich M, Whitbourn R, et al. Catheter-based renal sympathetic denervation for resistant hypertension: a multicentre safety and proof-of-principle cohort study. *Lancet*2009;373:1275–1281. [PubMed: 19332353]
- S91625. Ukena C, Bauer A, Mahfoud F, et al. Renal sympathetic denervation for treatment of electrical storm: first-in-man experience. *Clin Res Cardiol*2012;101:63–67. [PubMed: 21960416]
- S91626. Hoffmann BA, Steven D, Willems S, Sydow K. Renal sympathetic denervation as an adjunct to catheter ablation for the treatment of ventricular electrical storm in the setting of acute myocardial infarction. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2013;24:1175–1178. [PubMed: 23889693]
- S91627. Remo BF, Preminger M, Bradfield J, et al. Safety and efficacy of renal denervation as a novel treatment of ventricular tachycardia storm in patients with cardiomyopathy. *Heart Rhythm*2014;11:541–546. [PubMed: 24389229]
- S91628. Ukena C, Mahfoud F, Ewen S, et al. Renal denervation for treatment of ventricular arrhythmias: data from an international multicenter registry. *Clin Res Cardiol*2016;105:873–879. [PubMed: 27364940]
- S91741. Horowitz LN, Josephson ME, Farshidi A, Spielman SR, Michelson EL, Greenspan AM. Recurrent sustained ventricular tachycardia 3. Role of the electrophysiologic study in selection of antiarrhythmic regimens. *Circulation*1978;58:986–997. [PubMed: 709782]
- S91742. Miller JM, Kienzle MG, Harken AH, Josephson ME. Subendocardial resection for ventricular tachycardia: predictors of surgical success. *Circulation*1984;70:624–631. [PubMed: 6478565]
- S91743. Kudenchuk PJ, Kron J, Walance CG, Cutler JE, Griffith KK, McAnulty JH. Day-to-day reproducibility of antiarrhythmic drug trials using programmed extrastimulus techniques for ventricular tachyarrhythmias associated with coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol*1990; 66:725–730. [PubMed: 2399890]

- S91744. de Riva M, Piers SRD, Kapel GFL, et al. Reassessing noninducibility as ablation endpoint of post-infarction ventricular tachycardia: the impact of left ventricular function. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:853–862. [PubMed: 25969540]
- S91745. Ghanbar H, Baser K, Yokokawa M, et al. Noninducibility in postinfarction ventricular tachycardia as an end point for ventricular tachycardia ablation and its effects on outcomes: a meta-analysis. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:677–683. [PubMed: 24879789]
- S91746. Essebag V, Joza J, Nery PB, et al. Prognostic value of noninducibility on outcomes of ventricular tachycardia ablation: a VANISH substudy. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2018;4:911–919. [PubMed: 30025692]
- S91747. Watanabe M, de Riva M, Piers SRD, et al. Fast nonclinical ventricular tachycardia inducible after ablation in patients with structural heart disease: definition and clinical implications. *Heart Rhythm*2018; 15:668–676. [PubMed: 29325974]
- S91748. Frankel DS, Mountantonakis SE, Zado ES, et al. Noninvasive programmed ventricular stimulation early after ventricular tachycardia ablation to predict risk of late recurrence. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2012; 59:1529–1535. [PubMed: 22516442]
- S91749. Oloriz T, Baratto F, Nicola Trevisi N, et al. Defining the outcome of ventricular tachycardia ablation timing and value of programmed ventricular stimulation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2018;11:e005602. [PubMed: 29545359]
- S917410. Yokokawa M, Desjardins B, Crawford T, Good E, Morady F, Bogun F. Reasons for recurrent ventricular tachycardia after catheter ablation of post-infarction ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2013; 61:66–73. [PubMed: 23122796]
- S917411. Santangeli P, Marchlinski FE. Substrate mapping for unstable ventricular tachycardia. *Heart Rhythm*2016;13:569–583. [PubMed: 26410105]
- S917412. Santangeli P, Frankel DS, Marchlinski FE. End points for ablation of scar-related ventricular tachycardia. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:949–960. [PubMed: 25336365]
- S917413. Soejima K, Stevenson WG, Maisel WH, Sapp JL, Epstein LM. Electrically unexcitable scar mapping based on pacing threshold for identification of the reentry circuit isthmus: feasibility for guiding ventricular tachycardia ablation. *Circulation*2002;106:1678–1683. [PubMed: 12270862]
- S917414. Arenal A, Glez-Torrecilla E, Ortiz M, et al. Ablation of electrograms with an isolated, delayed component as treatment of unmappable monomorphic ventricular tachycardias in patients with structural heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2003;41:81–92. [PubMed: 12570949]
- S917415. Di Marco A, Oloriz Sanjuan T, Paglino G, et al. Late potentials abolition reduces ventricular tachycardia recurrence after ablation especially in higher-risk patients with a chronic total occlusion in an infarct-related artery. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2018;29:1119–1124. [PubMed: 29543365]
- S917416. Jaïs P, Maury P, Khairy P, et al. Elimination of local abnormal ventricular activities: a new end point for substrate modification in patients with scar-related ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation*2012; 125:2184–2196. [PubMed: 22492578]
- S917417. Berruezo A, Fernandez-Armenta J, Andreu D, et al. Scar dechanneling: new method for scar-related left ventricular tachycardia substrate ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:326–336. [PubMed: 25583983]
- S917418. Di Biase L, Burkhardt JD, Lakkireddy D, et al. Ablation of stable VTs versus substrate ablation in ischemic cardiomyopathy: the VISTA Randomized Multicenter Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2015; 66:2872–2882. [PubMed: 26718674]
- S917419. Göke İlan Y, Mohanty S, Gianni C, et al. Scar homogenization versus limited-substrate ablation in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy and ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2016;68:1990–1998. [PubMed: 27788854]
- S917420. Tilz RR, Makimoto H, Lin T, et al. Electrical isolation of a substrate after myocardial infarction: a novel ablation strategy for unmappable ventricular tachycardias—feasibility and clinical outcome. *Europace*2014; 16:1040–1052. [PubMed: 24574495]
- S917421. Tzou WS, Frankel DS, Hegeman T, et al. Core isolation of critical arrhythmia elements for treatment of multiple scar-based ventricular tachycardias. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:353–361. [PubMed: 25681389]

- S917422. Ren JF, Callans DJ, Michele JJ, Dillon SM, Marchlinski FE. Intracardiac echocardiographic evaluation of ventricular mural swelling from radiofrequency ablation in chronic myocardial infarction: irrigated-tip versus standard catheter. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2001;5:27–32. [PubMed: 11248772]
- S917423. Dickfeld T, Kato R, Zviman M, et al.Characterization of acute and subacute radiofrequency ablation lesions with nonenhanced magnetic resonance imaging. *Heart Rhythm*2007;4:208–214. [PubMed: 17275759]
- S917424. Singh-Moon RP, Yao X, Iyer V, Marboe C, Whang W, Hendon CP. Real-time optical spectroscopic monitoring of non-irrigated lesion progression within atrial and ventricular tissues. *J Biophotonics*2018;11. e201800144.
- S917425. Sapp JL, Soejima K, Cooper JM, Epstein LM, Stevenson WG. Ablation lesion size correlates with pacing threshold: a physiological basis for use of pacing to assess ablation lesions. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2004; 27:933–937. [PubMed: 15271012]
- S917426. Marchlinski FE, Callans DJ, Gottlieb CD, Zado E. Linear ablation lesions for control of unmappable ventricular tachycardia in patients with ischemic and nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circulation*2000; 101:1288–1296. [PubMed: 10725289]
- S917427. Bogun F, Good E, Reich S, et al.Isolated potentials during sinus rhythm and pace-mapping within scars as guides for ablation of post-infarction ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2006;47:2013–2019. [PubMed: 16697318]
- S10121. Jackson N, McGee M, Ahmed W, et al.Groin haemostasis with a purse string suture for patients following catheter ablation procedures (GITAR study). *Heart Lung Circ*2018;320; 10.1016/j.hlc.2018.03.011 [Epub ahead of print].
- S10122. Pracon R, Bangalore S, Henzel J, et al.A randomized comparison of modified subcutaneous “Z”-stitch versus manual compression to achieve hemostasis after large caliber femoral venous sheath removal. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*2018;91:105–112. [PubMed: 28303670]
- S10123. Ben-Dor I, Craig P, Torguson R, et al.MynxGrip vascular closure device versus manual compression for hemostasis of percutaneous transfemoral venous access closure: results from a prospective multicenter randomized study. *Cardiovasc Revasc Med*2018;19:418–422. [PubMed: 29656937]
- S10124. Aytemir K, Canpolat U, Yorgun H, et al.Usefulness of ‘figure-of-eight’ suture to achieve haemostasis after removal of 15-French calibre femoral venous sheath in patients undergoing cryoablation. *Europace*2016; 18:1545–1550. [PubMed: 26705565]
- S10125. Lakshmanadoss U, Wong WS, Kutinsky I, Khalid MR, Williamson B, Haines DE. Figure-of-eight suture for venous hemostasis in fully anticoagulated patients after atrial fibrillation catheter ablation. *Indian Pacing Electrophysiol J*2017;17:134–139. [PubMed: 29192589]
- S10126. Cilingiroglu M, Salinger M, Zhao D, Feldman T. Technique of temporary subcutaneous “figure-of-eight” sutures to achieve hemostasis after removal of large-caliber femoral venous sheaths. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*2011;78:155–160. [PubMed: 21681904]
- S10127. Okada M, Inoue K, Tanaka K, et al.Efficacy and safety of figure-of-eight suture for hemostasis after radiofrequency catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation. *Circ J*2018;82:956–964. [PubMed: 29375108]
- S10128. Akkaya E, Berkowitsch A, Zaltsberg S, et al.Safety and feasibility of percutaneous skin closure using purse-string suture compared with compression bandage after pulmonary vein isolation. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2017;28:1048–1057. [PubMed: 28608980]
- S10129. Shaw JA, Dewire E, Nugent A, Eisenhauer AC. Use of suture-mediated vascular closure devices for the management of femoral vein access after transcatheter procedures. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*2004;63:439–443. [PubMed: 15558775]
- S101210. Coto HA. Closure of the femoral vein puncture site after transcatheter procedures using Angio-Seal. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*2002; 55:16–19. [PubMed: 11793489]
- S101211. Dou E, Winokur RS, Sista AK. Venous access site closures using the VASCADE Vascular Closure System. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*2016; 27:1885–1888. [PubMed: 27886954]
- S101212. Robertson L, Andras A, Colgan F, Jackson R. Vascular closure devices for femoral arterial puncture site haemostasis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*2016;3:CD009541. [PubMed: 26948236]

- S101213. Jiang J, Zou J, Ma H, et al. Network meta-analysis of randomized trials on the safety of vascular closure devices for femoral arterial puncture site haemostasis. *Sci Rep* 2015;5:13761. [PubMed: 26349075]
- S101214. Della Bella P, Brugada J, Zeppenfeld K, et al. Epicardial ablation for ventricular tachycardia: a European multicenter study. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2011;4:653–659. [PubMed: 21841191]
- S101215. Dyrda K, Piers SR, van Huls van Taxis CF, Schalij MJ, Zeppenfeld K. Influence of steroid therapy on the incidence of pericarditis and atrial fibrillation after percutaneous epicardial mapping and ablation for ventricular tachycardia. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2014;7:671–676. [PubMed: 24970295]
- S101216. d'Avila A, Neuzil P, Thiagalingam A, et al. Experimental efficacy of pericardial instillation of anti-inflammatory agents during percutaneous epicardial catheter ablation to prevent postprocedure pericarditis. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2007;18:1178–1183. [PubMed: 17887979]
- S101217. Sugrue A, Killu AM, Hodge DO, et al. Significance and clinical characteristics of atrial fibrillation post epicardial access. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol* 2017;48:141–146. [PubMed: 27943113]
- S101218. Mahapatra S, LaPar DJ, Bhamidipati CM, et al. Incidence, risk factors, and consequences of new-onset atrial fibrillation following epicardial ablation for ventricular tachycardia. *Europace* 2011;13:548–554. [PubMed: 21296778]
- S10141. Stevenson WG, Wilber DJ, Natale A, et al. Irrigated radiofrequency catheter ablation guided by electroanatomic mapping for recurrent ventricular tachycardia after myocardial infarction: the multicenter Thermocool ventricular tachycardia ablation trial. *Circulation* 2008;118:2773–2782. [PubMed: 19064682]
- S10142. Siontis KC, Jame S, Sharaf Dabbagh G, et al. Thromboembolic prophylaxis protocol with warfarin after radiofrequency catheter ablation of infarct-related ventricular tachycardia. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2018;29:584–590. [PubMed: 29315941]
- S10143. Patel AA, Clyne CA, Henyan NN, et al. The use of protamine after radiofrequency catheter ablation: a pilot study. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol* 2007;18:155–158. [PubMed: 17318446]
- S10144. Ghannam M, Chugh A, Dillon P, et al. Protamine to expedite vascular hemostasis after catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation: a randomized controlled trial. *Heart Rhythm* 2018;15:1642–1647. [PubMed: 30661768]
- S10145. Calkins H, Epstein A, Packer D, et al.; Cooled RF Multi Center Investigators Group. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with structural heart disease using cooled radiofrequency energy: results of a prospective multicenter study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2000;35:1905–1914. [PubMed: 10841242]
- S10146. Reddy VY, Reynolds MR, Neuzil P, et al. Prophylactic catheter ablation for the prevention of defibrillator therapy. *N Engl J Med* 2007;357:2657–2665. [PubMed: 18160685]
- S10147. Tanner H, Hindricks G, Volkmer M, et al. Catheter ablation of recurrent scar-related ventricular tachycardia using electroanatomical mapping and irrigated ablation technology: results of the prospective multicenter Euro-VT-study. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2010;21:47–53. [PubMed: 19656251]
- S10148. Kuck KH, Schaumann A, Eckardt L, et al. Catheter ablation of stable ventricular tachycardia before defibrillator implantation in patients with coronary heart disease (VTACH): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2010;375:31–40. [PubMed: 20109864]
- S10149. Al-Khatib SM, Daubert JP, Anstrom KJ, et al. Catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia in patients with an implantable cardioverter defibrillator (CALYPSO) pilot trial. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2015;26:151–157. [PubMed: 25332150]
- S101410. Marchlinski FE, Haffajee CI, Beshai JF, et al. Long-term success of irrigated radiofrequency catheter ablation of sustained ventricular tachycardia: post-approval THERMOCOOL VT trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2016;67:674–683. [PubMed: 26868693]
- S101411. Sapp JL, Wells GA, Parkash R, et al. Ventricular tachycardia ablation versus escalation of antiarrhythmic drugs. *N Engl J Med* 2016;375:111–121. [PubMed: 27149033]

- S101412. Kuck KH, Tilz RR, Deneke T, et al.; SMS Investigators. Impact of substrate modification by catheter ablation on implantable cardioverter-defibrillator interventions in patients with unstable ventricular arrhythmias and coronary artery disease: results from the multicenter randomized controlled SMS (Substrate Modification Study). *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2017;10:e004422. [PubMed: 28292751]
- S10291. Palaniswamy C, Kolte D, Harikrishnan P, et al. Catheter ablation of postinfarction ventricular tachycardia: ten-year trends in utilization, in-hospital complications, and in-hospital mortality in the United States. *Heart Rhythm*2014;11:2056–2063. [PubMed: 25016150]
- S10292. Katz DF, Turakhia MP, Sauer WH, et al. Safety of ventricular tachycardia ablation in clinical practice: findings from 9699 hospital discharge records. *Circ. Arrhythmia Electrophysiol*2015;8:362–370.
- S10293. Latchamsetty R, Yokokawa M, Morady F, et al. Multicenter outcomes for catheter ablation of idiopathic premature ventricular complexes. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2015;1:116–123. [PubMed: 29759353]
- S10294. Pothineni NV, Deshmukh A, Padmanabhan D, et al. Complication rates of ventricular tachycardia ablation: comparison of safety outcomes derived from administrative databases and clinical trials. *Int J Cardiol*2015;201:529–531. [PubMed: 26322600]
- S10295. Peichl P, Wichterle D, Pavlu L, Cihak R, Aldhoon B, Kautzner J. Complications of catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia: a single center experience. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:684–690. [PubMed: 24958396]
- S10296. Stevenson WG, Wilber DJ, Natale A, et al. Irrigated radiofrequency catheter ablation guided by electroanatomic mapping for recurrent ventricular tachycardia after myocardial infarction: the multicenter thermocool ventricular tachycardia ablation trial. *Circulation*2008;118:2773–2782. [PubMed: 19064682]
- S10297. Calkins H, Epstein A, Packer D, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with structural heart disease using cooled radiofrequency energy: results of a prospective multicenter study. Cooled RF Multi Center Investigators Group. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2000; 35:1905–1914. [PubMed: 10841242]
- S10298. Reddy VY, Reynolds MR, Neuzil P, et al. Prophylactic catheter ablation for the prevention of defibrillator therapy. *N Engl J Med*2007; 357:2657–2665. [PubMed: 18160685]
- S10299. Kuck KH, Schaumann A, Eckardt L, et al.; VTACH Study Group. Catheter ablation of stable ventricular tachycardia before defibrillator implantation in patients with coronary heart disease (VTACH): a multicenter randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*2010;375:31–40. [PubMed: 20109864]
- S102910. Santangeli P, Muser D, Zado ES, et al. Acute hemodynamic decompensation during catheter ablation of scar-related ventricular tachycardia: incidence, predictors, and impact on mortality. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:68–75. [PubMed: 25491601]
- S102911. Santangeli P, Frankel DS, Tung R, et al. Early mortality after catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with structural heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2017;69:2105–2115. [PubMed: 28449770]
- S102912. Liang JJ, Kodali S, Schaller RD, Birati EY, Marchlinski FE, Santangeli P. Intraprocedural slow continuous ultrafiltration: a novel strategy to prevent acute hemodynamic decompensation from volume overload during VT ablation. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2018;41:1043–1044.
- S102913. Turagam MK, Vuddanda V, Atkins D, et al. Hemodynamic support in ventricular tachycardia ablation: an International VT Ablation Center Collaborative Group study. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2017; 3:1534–1543. [PubMed: 29759835]
- S102914. Muser D, Liang JJ, Castro SA, et al. Outcomes with prophylactic use of percutaneous left ventricular assist devices in high-risk patients undergoing catheter ablation of scar-related VT: a propensity-matched analysis. *Heart Rhythm*2018;15:1500–1506. [PubMed: 29753944]
- S102915. Mathuria N, Wu G, Rojas-Delgado F, et al. Outcomes of pre-emptive and rescue use of percutaneous left ventricular assist device in patients with structural heart disease undergoing catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2017;48:27–34. [PubMed: 27497847]

- S102916. Reddy YM, Chinitz L, Mansour M, et al. Percutaneous left ventricular assist devices in ventricular tachycardia ablation: multicenter experience. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2014;7:244–250. [PubMed: 24532564]
- S102917. Piccione W Jr, Goldin MD. Mitral valve dysfunction following papillary muscle cryoablation. *Ann Thorac Surg*1988;46:347–348. [PubMed: 3415379]
- S102918. Tokuda M, Tedrow UB, Kojodjojo P, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in nonischemic heart disease. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2012;5:992–1000. [PubMed: 22942218]
- S102919. Seto AH, Abu-Fadel MS, Sparling JM, et al. Real-time ultrasound guidance facilitates femoral arterial access and reduces vascular complications: FAUST (Femoral Arterial Access With Ultrasound Trial). *J Am Coll Cardiol Interv*2010;3:751–758.
- S102920. Biancari F, D'Andrea V, Di Marco C, Savino G, Tiozzo V, Catania A. Meta-analysis of randomized trials on the efficacy of vascular closure devices after diagnostic angiography and angioplasty. *Am Heart J*2010; 159:518–531. [PubMed: 20362708]
- S102921. Nikolsky E, Mehran R, Halkin A, et al. Vascular complications associated with arteriotomy closure devices in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary procedures: a meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2004; 44:1200–1209. [PubMed: 15364320]
- S102922. Jongbloed MR, Bax JJ, van der Burg AE, van der Wall EE, Schalij MJ. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia guided by intracardiac echocardiography. *Eur J Echocardiogr*2004;5:34–40. [PubMed: 15113010]
- S102923. Desimone CV, Hu T, Ebrille E, et al. Catheter ablation related mitral valve injury: the importance of early recognition and rescue mitral valve repair. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2014;25:971–975. [PubMed: 24758402]
- S1031. Cheitlin MD, Alpert JS, Armstrong WF, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for the clinical application of echocardiography: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee on Clinical Application of Echocardiography). *Circulation*1997;95:1686–1744. [PubMed: 9118558]
- S1032. Stevenson WG, Friedman PL, Kocovic D, Sager PT, Saxon LA, Pavri B. Radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia after myocardial infarction. *Circulation*1998;98:308–314. [PubMed: 9711935]
- S1033. Stevenson WG, Wilber DJ, Natale A, et al.; Multicenter Thermocool VT Ablation Trial Investigators. Irrigated radiofrequency catheter ablation guided by electroanatomic mapping for recurrent ventricular tachycardia after myocardial infarction: the multicenter thermocool ventricular tachycardia ablation trial. *Circulation*2008;118:2773–2782. [PubMed: 19064682]
- S1034. Segal OR, Chow AW, Markides V, Schilling RJ, Peters NS, Davies W. Long-term results after ablation of infarct-related ventricular tachycardia. *Heart Rhythm*2005;2:474–482. [PubMed: 15840470]
- S1035. Calkins H, Epstein A, Packer D, et al.; Cooled RF Multi Center Investigators Group. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with structural heart disease using cooled radiofrequency energy: results of a prospective multicenter study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2000;35:1905–1914. [PubMed: 10841242]
- S1036. Borger van der Burg AE, de Groot NM, van Erven L, Bootsma M, van der Wall EE, Schalij MJ. Long-term follow-up after radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia: a successful approach? *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2002;13:417–423. [PubMed: 12030520]
- S1037. Della Bella P, De Ponti R, Uriarte JA, et al. Catheter ablation and antiarrhythmic drugs for haemodynamically tolerated post-infarction ventricular tachycardia; long-term outcome in relation to acute electrophysiological findings. *Eur Heart J*2002;23:414–424. [PubMed: 11846499]
- S1038. O'Callaghan PA, Poloniecki J, Sosa-Suarez G, Ruskin JN, McGovern BA, Garan H. Long-term clinical outcome of patients with prior myocardial infarction after palliative radiofrequency catheter ablation for frequent ventricular tachycardia. *Am J Cardiol*2001;87:975–979. [PubMed: 11305989]
- S1039. Santangeli P, Frankel DS, Tung R, et al.; International VT Ablation Center Collaborative Group. Early mortality after catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with structural heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2017;69:2105–2115. [PubMed: 28449770]

- S10310. Khan HH, Maisel WH, Ho C, et al. Effect of radiofrequency catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia on left ventricular function in patients with prior myocardial infarction. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*2002;7:243–247. [PubMed: 12510135]
- S10311. Reddy VY, Reynolds MR, Neuzil P, et al. Prophylactic catheter ablation for the prevention of defibrillator therapy. *N Engl J Med*2007;357:2657–2665. [PubMed: 18160685]
- S10312. Marchlinski FE, Callans DJ, Gottlieb CD, Zado E. Linear ablation lesions for control of unmappable ventricular tachycardia in patients with ischemic and nonischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circulation*2000;101:1288–1296. [PubMed: 10725289]
- S10313. Turagam MK, Vuddanda V, Atkins D, et al. Hemodynamic support in ventricular tachycardia ablation: an International VT Ablation Center Collaborative Group Study. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2017;3:1534–1543. [PubMed: 29759835]
- S10314. Santangeli P, Frankel DS, Tung R, et al. Early mortality after catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with structural heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2017;69:2105–2115. [PubMed: 28449770]
- S10315. Yokokawa M, Desjardins B, Crawford T, Good E, Morady F, Bogun F. Reasons for recurrent ventricular tachycardia after catheter ablation of post-infarction ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2013;61:66–73. [PubMed: 23122796]
- S1041. Frankel DS, Mountantonakis SE, Zado ES, et al. Noninvasive programmed ventricular stimulation early after ventricular tachycardia ablation to predict risk of late recurrence. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2012;59:1529–1535. [PubMed: 22516442]
- S1042. Oloriz T, Baratto F, Trevisi N, et al. Defining the outcome of ventricular tachycardia ablation: timing and value of programmed ventricular stimulation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2018;11:e005602. [PubMed: 29545359]
- S1043. Calkins H, Epstein A, Packer D, et al.; Cooled RF Multi Center Investigators Group. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in patients with structural heart disease using cooled radiofrequency energy: results of a prospective multicenter study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2000;35:1905–1914. [PubMed: 10841242]
- S1044. Stevenson WG, Wilber DJ, Natale A, et al. Irrigated radiofrequency catheter ablation guided by electroanatomic mapping for recurrent ventricular tachycardia after myocardial infarction: the multicenter thermocool ventricular tachycardia ablation trial. *Circulation*2008;118:2773–2782. [PubMed: 19064682]
- S1045. Sapp JL, Wells GA, Parkash R, et al. Ventricular tachycardia ablation versus escalation of antiarrhythmic drugs. *N Engl J Med*2016; 375:111–121. [PubMed: 27149033]
- S1046. Marchlinski FE, Haffajee CI, Beshai JF, et al. Long-term success of irrigated radiofrequency catheter ablation of sustained ventricular tachycardia: post-approval THERMOCOOL VT trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2016;67:674–683. [PubMed: 26868693]
- S1047. Kuck KH, Schaumann A, Eckardt L, et al.; VTACH Study Group. Catheter ablation of stable ventricular tachycardia before defibrillator implantation in patients with coronary heart disease (VTACH): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*2010;375:31–40. [PubMed: 20109864]
- S1048. Al-Khatib SM, Daubert JP, Anstrom KJ, et al. Catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia in patients with an implantable cardioverter defibrillator (CALYPSO) pilot trial. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2015;26:151–157. [PubMed: 25332150]
- S1049. Tanner H, Hindricks G, Volkmer M, et al. Catheter ablation of recurrent scar-related ventricular tachycardia using electroanatomical mapping and irrigated ablation technology: results of the prospective multicenter Euro-VT-study. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2010;21:47–53. [PubMed: 19656251]
- S10410. Reddy VY, Reynolds MR, Neuzil P, et al. Prophylactic catheter ablation for the prevention of defibrillator therapy. *N Engl J Med*2007;357:2657–2665. [PubMed: 18160685]
- S10411. Al-Khatib SM, Stevenson WG, Ackerman MJ, et al. 2017AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *Heart Rhythm* 2018; 15:e73–e189.

- S10412. Liang JJ, Yang W, Santangeli P, et al. Amiodarone discontinuation or dose reduction following catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia in structural heart disease. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2017;3:503–511. [PubMed: 29759607]
- S10413. Moss AJ, Schuger C, Beck CA, et al.; for the MADIT-RIT Trial Investigators. Reduction in inappropriate therapy and mortality through ICD programming. *N Engl J Med*2012;367:2275–2283. [PubMed: 23131066]
- S10414. Gasparini M, Proclemer A, Klersy C, et al. Effect of long-detection interval vs standard-detection interval for implantable cardioverter-defibrillators on antitachycardia pacing and shock delivery: the ADVANCE III randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*2013;309:1903–1911. [PubMed: 23652522]
- S10415. Wilkoff BL, Fauchier L, Stiles MK, et al. 2015 HRS/EHRA/APHRS/SOLAECE expert consensus statement on optimal implantable cardioverter defibrillator programming and testing. *Heart Rhythm* 2016; 13:e50–e86.
- S10416. Slotwiner D, Varma N, Akar JG, et al. HRS Expert Consensus Statement on remote interrogation and monitoring for cardiovascular implantable electronic devices. *Heart Rhythm*2015;12:e69–e100. [PubMed: 25981148]
- S10571. Sapp JL, Wells GA, Parkash R, et al. Ventricular tachycardia ablation versus escalation of antiarrhythmic drugs. *N Engl J Med*2016; 375:111–121. [PubMed: 27149033]
- S10572. Kuck KH, Schaumann A, Eckardt L, et al.; VTACH Study Group. Catheter ablation of stable ventricular tachycardia before defibrillator implantation in patients with coronary heart disease (VTACH): a multicenter randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*2010;375:31–40. [PubMed: 20109864]
- S10573. Reddy VY, Reynolds MR, Neuzil P, et al. Prophylactic catheter ablation for the prevention of defibrillator therapy. *N Engl J Med*2007; 357:2657–2665. [PubMed: 18160685]
- S10574. Al-Khatib SM, Daubert JP, Anstrom KJ, et al. Catheter ablation for ventricular tachycardia in patients with an implantable cardioverter defibrillator (CALYPSO) pilot trial. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2015; 26:151–157. [PubMed: 25332150]
- S10575. Stevenson WG, Wilber DJ, Natale A, et al. Irrigated radiofrequency catheter ablation guided by electroanatomic mapping for recurrent ventricular tachycardia after myocardial infarction: the multicenter thermocool ventricular tachycardia ablation trial. *Circulation*2008;118:2773–2782. [PubMed: 19064682]
- S10576. Souissi Z, Boule S, Hermida JS, et al. Catheter ablation reduces ventricular tachycardia burden in patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy: insights from a north-western French multicentre registry. *Europace*2018;20:362–369. [PubMed: 28017936]
- S10577. Marchlinski FE, Haffajee CI, Beshai JF, et al. Long-term success of irrigated radiofrequency catheter ablation of sustained ventricular tachycardia: post-approval THERMOCOOL VT Trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2016;67:674–683. [PubMed: 26868693]
- S10578. Guerra F, Shkoza M, Scappini L, Flori M, Capucci A. Role of electrical storm as a mortality and morbidity risk factor and its clinical predictors: a meta-analysis. *Europace*2014;16:347–353. [PubMed: 24096960]
- S10579. Rumsfeld JS, Alexander KP, Goff DC Jr, et al. Cardiovascular health: the importance of measuring patient-reported health status: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*2013; 127:2233–2249. [PubMed: 23648778]
- S105710. Anker SD, Agewall S, Borggrefe M, et al. The importance of patient-reported outcomes: a call for their comprehensive integration in cardiovascular clinical trials. *Eur Heart J*2014;35:2001–2009. [PubMed: 24904027]
- S105711. US Food and Drug Administration. Medical Device Development Tools. Qualified Tools. Available at: <https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/ScienceandResearch/MedicalDeviceDevelopmentToolsMDDT/default.htm>. Accessed November 18, 2018.
- S105712. Stehlik J, Estep JD, Selzman CH, et al.; ROADMAP Study Investigators. Patient-reported health-related quality of life is a predictor of outcomes in ambulatory heart failure patients treated with left ventricular assist device compared with medical management: results from the ROADMAP Study (Risk Assessment and Comparative Effectiveness of Left Ventricular Assist Device and Medical Management). *Circ Heart Fail*2017; 10:e003910. [PubMed: 28611126]

- S105713. Gula LJ, Doucette S, Leong-Sit P, et al. Quality of life with ablation or medical therapy for ventricular arrhythmias: a substudy of VANISH. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2018;29:421–434. [PubMed: 29316012]
- S105714. Pokorney SD, Friedman DJ, Calkins H, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia: lessons learned from past clinical trials and implications for future clinical trials. *Heart Rhythm*2016;13:1748–1754. [PubMed: 27050910]
- S105715. Santangeli P, Muser D, Zado ES, et al. Acute hemodynamic decompensation during catheter ablation of scar-related ventricular tachycardia: incidence, predictors, and impact on mortality. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:68–75. [PubMed: 25491601]
- S105716. Vergara P, Tzou WS, Tung R, et al. Predictive score for identifying survival and recurrence risk profiles in patients undergoing ventricular tachycardia ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2018;11:e006730. [PubMed: 30562104]
- S11181. Calkins H, Awtry EH, Bunch TJ, Kaul S, Miller JM, Tedrow UB. COCATS 4 Task Force 11: Training in arrhythmia diagnosis and management, cardiac pacing, and electrophysiology. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2015; 65:1854–1865. [PubMed: 25777638]
- S11182. Zipes DP, Calkins H, Daubert JP, et al. 2015 ACC/AHA/HRS advanced training statement on clinical cardiac electrophysiology (a revision of the ACC/AHA 2006 update of the clinical competence statement on invasive electrophysiology studies, catheter ablation, and cardioversion). *Heart Rhythm*2016;13:e3–e37. [PubMed: 26392325]
- S11183. Aliot EM, Stevenson WG, Almendral-Garrote JM, et al. EHRA/HRS expert consensus on catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias: developed in a partnership with the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), a Registered Branch of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC), and the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS); in collaboration with the American College of Cardiology (ACC) and the American Heart Association (AHA). *Heart Rhythm*2009;6:886–933. [PubMed: 19467519]
- S11184. Dubin AM, Walsh EP, Franklin W, et al. Task Force 4: Pediatric cardiology fellowship training in electrophysiology. SPCTPD/ACC/AAP/AHA. *Circulation*2015;132:e75–e80. [PubMed: 25769637]
- S11185. Walsh EP, Bar-Coehn Y, Batra AS, et al. Recommendations for advanced fellowship training in clinical pediatric and congenital electrophysiology: a report from the training and credentialing committee of the Pediatric and Congenital Electrophysiology Society. *Heart Rhythm*2013;10:775–781. [PubMed: 23611645]
- S11186. Maury P, Defaye P, Klug D, et al. Position paper concerning the competence, performance and environment required in the practice of complex ablation procedures. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis*2019;112:67–73. [PubMed: 30166064]
- S11187. Tracy CM, Crossley GH, Bunch TJ, et al. 2017 ACC/HRS lifelong learning statement for clinical cardiac electrophysiology specialists: a report of the ACC Competency Management Committee. *Heart Rhythm*2018;15:e17–e34. [PubMed: 29191710]
- S11188. Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education. Clinical competency committees: a guidebook for programs. Available at <https://www.acgme.org/Portals/0/ACGMEClinicalCompetencyCommitteeGuidebook.pdf>. Accessed November 18, 2018.
- S11189. Fazel R, Gerber TC, Balter S, et al. Approaches to enhancing radiation safety in cardiovascular imaging: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*2014;130:1730–1748. [PubMed: 25366837]
- S111810. Picano E, Vano E, Rehani MM, et al. The appropriate and justified use of medical radiation in cardiovascular imaging: a position document of the ESC Associations of Cardiovascular Imaging, Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions and Electrophysiology. *Eur Heart J*2014;35:665–672. [PubMed: 24401558]
- S1121. Haines DE, Beheiry S, Akar JG, et al. Heart Rhythm Society expert consensus statement on electrophysiology laboratory standards: process, protocols, equipment, personnel, and safety. *Heart Rhythm*2014;11:e9–e51. [PubMed: 24814989]
- S1131. Deneke T, Shin D-I, Lawo T, Börsche L, et al. Catheter ablation of electrical storm in a collaborative hospital network. *Am J Cardiol*2011;108:233–239. [PubMed: 21529742]

- S1132. Della Bella P, Baratto F, Tsiachris D, et al. Management of ventricular tachycardia in the setting of a dedicated unit for the treatment of complex ventricular arrhythmias: long-term outcome after ablation. *Circulation* 2013; 127:1359–1368. [PubMed: 23439513]
- S1133. Deneke T, Müller P, Krug J, et al. Catheter ablation in patients with electrical storm: benefit of a network of cooperating clinics. *Herzschrittmacherther Elektrophysiol* 2014; 25:105–108. [PubMed: 24842775]
- S12141. Aliot EM, Stevenson WG, Almendral-Garrote JM, et al. EHRA/HRS expert consensus on catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias: developed in a partnership with the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC), and the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS); in collaboration with the American College of Cardiology (ACC) and the American Heart Association (AHA). *Heart Rhythm* 2009; 6:886–933. [PubMed: 19467519]
- S12142. Pedersen CT, Kay GN, Kalman J, et al. EHRA/HRS/APHS expert consensus on ventricular arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm* 2014; 11:e166–e196. [PubMed: 25179489]
- S12143. Al-Khatib SM, Stevenson WG, Ackerman MJ, et al. 2017 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *Heart Rhythm* 2018; 15:e73–e189. [PubMed: 29097319]
- S12144. Reddy VY, Reynolds MR, Neuzil P, et al. Prophylactic catheter ablation for the prevention of defibrillator therapy. *N Engl J Med* 2007; 357:2657–2665. [PubMed: 18160685]
- S12145. Kuck KH, Schaumann A, Eckardt L, et al.; VTACH Study Group. Catheter ablation of stable ventricular tachycardia before defibrillator implantation in patients with coronary heart disease (VTACH): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2010; 375:31–40. [PubMed: 20109864]
- S12146. Kuck KH, Tilz RR, Deneke T, et al.; SMS Investigators. Impact of substrate modification by catheter ablation on implantable cardioverter-defibrillator interventions in patients with unstable ventricular arrhythmias and coronary artery disease: results from the multicenter randomized controlled SMS (Substrate Modification Study). *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2017; 10:e004422. [PubMed: 28292751]
- S12147. Sapp JL, Wells GA, Parkash R, et al. Ventricular tachycardia ablation versus escalation of antiarrhythmic drugs. *N Engl J Med* 2016; 375:111–121. [PubMed: 27149033]
- S12148. Di Biase L, Burkhardt JD, Lakkireddy D, et al. Ablation of stable VTs versus substrate ablation in ischemic cardiomyopathy: the VISTA randomized multicenter trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2015; 66:2872–2882. [PubMed: 26718674]
- S12149. Acosta J, Penela D, Andreu D, et al. Multielectrode vs. point-by-point mapping for ventricular tachycardia substrate ablation: a randomized study. *Europace* 2018; 20:512–519. [PubMed: 28069835]
- S121410. Di Biase L, Tung R, Szili-Torok T, et al. MAGNETIC VT study: a prospective, multicenter, post-market randomized controlled trial comparing VT ablation outcomes using remote magnetic navigation-guided substrate mapping and ablation versus manual approach in a low LVEF population. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol* 2017; 48:237–245. [PubMed: 28064433]
- S121411. Pokorney SD, Friedman DJ, Calkins H, et al. Catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia: lessons learned from past clinical trials and implications for future clinical trials. *Heart Rhythm* 2016; 13:1748–1754. [PubMed: 27050910]
- S121412. Tung R, Vaseghi M, Frankel DS, et al. Freedom from recurrent ventricular tachycardia after catheter ablation is associated with improved survival in patients with structural heart disease: an international VT ablation center collaborative group study. *Heart Rhythm* 2015; 12:1997–2007. [PubMed: 26031376]
- S121413. Carbucicchio C, Santamaria M, Trevisi N, et al. Catheter ablation for the treatment of electrical storm in patients with implantable cardioverter-defibrillators: short- and long-term outcomes in a prospective single-center study. *Circulation* 2008; 117:462–469. [PubMed: 18172038]

- S121414. Yokokawa M, Kim HM, Baser K, et al. Predictive value of programmed ventricular stimulation after catheter ablation of post-infarction ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2015;65:1954–1959. [PubMed: 25913000]
- S121415. Siontis KC, Kim HM, Stevenson WG, et al. Prognostic impact of the timing of recurrence of infarct-related ventricular tachycardia after catheter ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2016;9:e004432. [PubMed: 27923805]
- S121416. Parkash R, Nault I, Rivard L, et al. Effect of baseline antiarrhythmic drug on outcomes with ablation in ischemic ventricular tachycardia: a VANISH substudy (ventricular tachycardia ablation versus escalated antiarrhythmic drug therapy in ischemic heart disease). *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2018;11:e005663. [PubMed: 29305400]
- S121417. Gula LJ, Doucette S, Leong-Sit P, et al. Quality of life with ablation or medical therapy for ventricular arrhythmias: a substudy of VANISH. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2018;29:421–434. [PubMed: 29316012]
- S121418. Coyle K, Coyle D, Nault I, et al. Cost effectiveness of ventricular tachycardia ablation versus escalation of antiarrhythmic drug therapy: the VANISH trial. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2018;4:660–668. [PubMed: 29798795]
- S121419. Calkins H, Bigger JT Jr, Ackerman SJ, et al. Cost-effectiveness of catheter ablation in patients with ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation*2000; 101:280–288. [PubMed: 10645924]
- S12241. Yokokawa M, Good E, Chugh A, et al. Intramural idiopathic ventricular arrhythmias originating in the intraventricular septum: mapping and ablation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2012;5:258–263. [PubMed: 22407415]
- S12242. Enriquez A, Supple GE, Marchlinski FE, Garcia FC. How to map and ablate papillary muscle ventricular arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm*2017; 14:1721–1728. [PubMed: 28668624]
- S12243. Yokokawa M, Morady F, Bogun F. Injection of cold saline for diagnosis of intramural ventricular arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm*2016;13:78–82. [PubMed: 26325530]
- S12244. Yokokawa M, Jung DY, Hero AO III, Baser K, Morady F, Bogun F. Single- and dual-site pace mapping of idiopathic septal intramural ventricular arrhythmias. *Heart Rhythm*2016;13:72–77. [PubMed: 26325532]
- S12245. Sivagangabalan G, Pouliopoulos J, Huang K, et al. Simultaneous biventricular noncontact mapping and ablation of septal ventricular tachycardia in a chronic ovine infarct model. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2009;2:441–449. [PubMed: 19808501]
- S12246. Anter E, Kleber AG, Rottmann M, et al. Infarct-related ventricular tachycardia: redefining the electrophysiological substrate of the isthmus during sinus rhythm. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2018;4:1033–1048. [PubMed: 30139485]
- S12247. Wang Y, Cuculich PS, Zhang J, et al. Noninvasive electroanatomic mapping of human ventricular arrhythmias with electrocardiographic imaging. *Sci Transl Med*2011;3:98ra84.
- S12248. Sapp JL, Dawoud F, Clements JC, Horacek BM. Inverse solution mapping of epicardial potentials: quantitative comparison with epicardial contact mapping. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2012;5:1001–1009. [PubMed: 22923272]
- S12249. Cuculich PS, Zhang J, Wang Y, et al. The electrophysiological cardiac ventricular substrate in patients after myocardial infarction: noninvasive characterization with electrocardiographic imaging. *J Am Coll Cardiol*2011;58:1893–1902. [PubMed: 22018301]
- S122410. Zhang J, Cooper DH, Desouza KA, et al. Electrophysiological substrate in relation to VT: noninvasive high-resolution mapping and risk assessment with ECGI. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*2016;39:781–791. [PubMed: 27197804]
- S122411. Zhang J, Sacher F, Hoffmayer K, et al. Cardiac electrophysiological substrate underlying the ECG phenotype and electrogram abnormalities in Brugada syndrome patients. *Circulation*2015;131:1950–1959. [PubMed: 25810336]
- S122412. Vijayakumar R, Silva JNA, Desouza KA, et al. Electrophysiological substrate in congenital Long QT syndrome: noninvasive mapping with electrocardiographic imaging (ECGI). *Circulation*2014;130:1936–1943. [PubMed: 25294783]
- S122413. Zhang J, Hocini M, Strom M, et al. The electrophysiological substrate of early repolarization syndrome: noninvasive mapping in patients. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2017;3:894–904. [PubMed: 29130071]

- S122414. Nguyen DT, Olson M, Zheng L, Barham W, Moss JD, Sauer WH. Effect of irrigant characteristics on lesion formation after radiofrequency energy delivery using ablation catheters with actively cooled tips. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2015;26:792–798. [PubMed: 25864402]
- S122415. Nguyen DT, Tzou WS, Sandhu A, et al. Prospective multicenter experience with cooled radiofrequency ablation using high impedance irrigant to target deep myocardial substrate refractory to standard ablation. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*2018;4:1176–1185. [PubMed: 30236391]
- S122416. Sapp JL, Beeckler C, Pike R, et al. Initial human feasibility of infusion needle catheter ablation for refractory ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation*2013;128:2289–2295. [PubMed: 24036605]
- S122417. John RM, Connell J, Termin P, et al. Characterization of warm saline enhanced radiofrequency ablation lesions in the infarcted porcine ventricular myocardium. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol*2014;25:309–316. [PubMed: 24151953]
- S122418. Kay GN, Epstein AE, Bubien RS, Anderson PG, Dailey SM, Plumb VJ. Intracoronary ethanol ablation for the treatment of recurrent sustained ventricular tachycardia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*1992;19:159–168. [PubMed: 1729328]
- S122419. Kreidieh B, Rodríguez-Mañero M, Schurmann P, Ibarra-Cortez SH, Dave AS, Valderrabano M. Retrograde coronary venous ethanol infusion for ablation of refractory ventricular tachycardia. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2016;9:e004352.
- S122420. Loo BW Jr, Soltys SG, Wang L, et al. Stereotactic ablative radiotherapy for the treatment of refractory cardiac ventricular arrhythmia. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*2015;8:748–750. [PubMed: 26082532]
- S122421. Cuculich PS, Schill MR, Kashani R, et al. Noninvasive cardiac radiation for ablation of ventricular tachycardia. *N Engl J Med*2017; 377:2325–2336. [PubMed: 29236642]
- S122422. Prakosa A, Arevalo HJ, Deng D, et al. Personalized virtual-heart technology for guiding the ablation of infarct-related ventricular tachycardia. *Nat Biomed Eng*2018;2:732–740. [PubMed: 30847259]




Figure 1. Monomorphic (A), pleomorphic (B), and polymorphic (C) VT. Reproduced with permission of the Heart Rhythm Society (S2.3.1). VT = ventricular tachycardia.




Figure 2. Congenital heart disease and sustained VT. For further discussion of ICD candidacy, please see *PACES/HRS Expert Consensus Statement on the Recognition and Management of Arrhythmias in Adult Congenital Heart Disease* (S4.7.14) and *2012 ACCF/AHA/HRS Focused Update of the 2008 Guidelines for Device-Based Therapy of Cardiac Rhythm Abnormalities* (S4.7.30). ACA = aborted cardiac arrest; CHD = congenital heart disease; DORV = double outlet right ventricle; ICD = implantable cardioverter defibrillator; TOF = tetralogy of Fallot; VT = ventricular tachycardia.




Figure 3. Examples of 12-lead ECGs of premature ventricular complexes from different LV sites, as corroborated by successful focal ablation. (A) shows 12-lead ECG patterns of common ventricular arrhythmia origins in patients without SHD [1–9] from the left ventricle. All leads are displayed at the same amplification and sweep speed. These locations are illustrated in (B) based on 3D reconstruction of a cardiac computed tomography using the MUSIC software that was developed at the University of Bordeaux. The reconstruction shows an anterolateral view of the left ventricle, aorta, and left atrium. Also shown are the coronary arteries (red), the coronary venous system (blue), and the phrenic nerve (green). AIV = anterior interventricular vein; AL PAP = anterolateral papillary muscle; AMC = aortomitral continuity; GCV = great cardiac vein; ant. MA = anterior mitral valve annulus; PM PAP = posteromedial papillary muscle; R/L = right-left; SHD = structural heart disease; SoV = sinus of Valsalva.




Figure 4. Examples of 12-lead ECGs of premature ventricular complexes from different right ventricular sites, as corroborated by successful focal ablation. All leads are displayed at the same amplification and sweep speed. (A) shows the 12-lead ECG pattern of common origins of right ventricular arrhythmias in patients without SHD [1–6]. The locations are detailed in a 3D reconstruction of the computed tomography using the MUSIC software that was developed at the University of Bordeaux. The reconstruction shown in (B) illustrates the septal view of the right ventricle. Indicated are the pulmonary artery, the tricuspid valve annulus, and the right ventricular apex. ECGs = electrocardiograms; PA = pulmonary artery; RVOT = right ventricular outflow tract; SHD = structural heart disease; TVA = tricuspid valve annulus.




Figure 5. Entrainment responses from components of reentrant VT circuit. CL = cycle length; PPI = postpacing interval; VT = ventricular tachycardia. Adapted with permission from Elsevier (S8.3.2.1).




Figure 6. Pacing from the protected isthmus of a VT circuit. Entrainment mapping during VT. The VT CL is 620 ms, and pacing is performed at a CL of 580 ms. A low-voltage electrogram is located in diastole on the recordings of the ablation catheter (Map). The stimulus-QRS interval is 230 ms and matches with the electrogram-QRS interval. The postpacing interval (PPI) is equal to the VT CL. The stimulus-QRS/VT CL ratio is 0.37, indicating that the catheter is located in the common pathway. CL = cycle length; PPI = postpacing interval; VT = ventricular tachycardia.




Figure 7. Anatomical boundaries of the LV summit, with the inaccessible [1] and accessible [2] parts. Shown are the left anterior descending artery (LAD), the circumflex artery (Cx), the great cardiac vein (GCV), the anterior interventricular vein (AIV) and the first and second diagonal branch of the LAD (D1, D2).




Figure 8.

Intraoperative imaging during ablation of papillary muscle arrhythmias. (A): Anatomical map of the left ventricle (CARTO, Biosense Webster) showing contact of the ablation catheter (Abl) with the posteromedial papillary muscle (PMPAP). (B): Intracardiac echocardiogram showing real-time visualization of the ablation catheter during ablation on the anterolateral papillary muscle (ALPAP).




Figure 9.

Overview of the workflow for catheter ablation of VT in patients with IHD. Not all of these steps might be required, and steps can be performed in a different sequence. For instance, repeat VT induction can be deferred in patients with hemodynamic instability. In addition, the operator might have to adapt to events that arise during the case, for instance, to take advantage of spontaneous initiation of stable VT during substrate mapping and switch to activation mapping. IHD = ischemic heart disease; PES = programmed electrical stimulation; SR = sinus rhythm; VT = ventricular tachycardia.




Figure 10.

Epicardial substrate ablation in a patient with Brugada syndrome and appropriate ICD shocks for VF. Image integration of a preacquired CT with the electroanatomical epicardial substrate map is shown in (A). Purple represents bipolar voltage >1.5 mV. Fractionated potentials (arrows) are tagged with black dots, and a representative example is displayed. Widespread fractionated potentials were recorded from the epicardial aspect of the RVOT extending down into the basal RV body. Ablation lesions are tagged with red dots. Some fractionated potentials could not be ablated due to the proximity of the acute marginal branches of the right coronary artery. Panel (B) shows the significant transient accentuation of the Brugada ECG pattern during the application of radiofrequency energy at one of these sites. CT = computed tomography; ECG = electrocardiogram; ICD = implantable cardioverter defibrillator; PA = pulmonary artery; RA = right atrium; RCA = right coronary artery; RFA = radiofrequency ablation; RV = right ventricle; RVOT = right ventricular outflow tract; VF = ventricular fibrillation.




Figure 11.

Right ventricular voltage maps from cases of moderate (upper row) and advanced (lower row) arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC) are shown. Purple represents a voltage >1.5 mV in the bipolar maps (left and right) and >5.5 mV in the unipolar maps (center); red represents a voltage <0.5 mV in the bipolar maps and <3.5 mV in the unipolar maps. Moderate ARVC is defined as having a bipolar/unipolar low-voltage area ratio of <0.23 and is associated with epicardial arrhythmogenic substrate area (ASA) (defined by the presence of electrograms with delayed components of >10 cm²). Advanced ARVC displays a bipolar/unipolar endocardial low-voltage area of >0.23 , which is associated with an epicardial arrhythmogenic substrate area of >10 cm² (S9.10.4.5). Adapted with permission from Oxford University Press (S9.10.4.5).




Figure 12. Anatomical isthmuses (AI) in repaired tetralogy of Fallot according to the surgical approach and variation of the malformation. RV = right ventricle; TA = tricuspid annulus; VSD = ventricular septal defect.




Figure 13. Factors influencing outcomes post VA ablation. ICD = implantable cardioverter defibrillator; LVAD = left ventricular assist device; VA = ventricular arrhythmia; VT = ventricular tachycardia.

Table 1
 ACC/AHA Recommendation System: Applying Class of Recommendation and Level of Evidence to Clinical Strategies, Interventions, Treatments, and Diagnostic Testing in Patient Care*

CLASS (STRENGTH) OF RECOMMENDATION	Benefit >>> Risk
<p>CLASS I (STRONG)</p> <p>Suggested phrases for writing recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Is recommended ■ Is indicated/useful/effective/beneficial ■ Should be performed/administered/other ■ Comparative-Effectiveness Phrases †: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Treatment/strategy A is recommended/indicated in preference to treatment B ○ Treatment A should be chosen over treatment B 	
<p>CLASS IIa (MODERATE)</p> <p>Suggested phrases for writing recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Is reasonable ■ Can be useful/effective/beneficial ■ Comparative-Effectiveness Phrases †: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Treatment/strategy A is probably recommended/indicated in preference to treatment B ○ It is reasonable to choose treatment A over treatment B 	
<p>CLASS IIb (WEAK)</p> <p>Suggested phrases for writing recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ May/might be reasonable ■ May/might be considered ■ Usefulness/effectiveness is unknown/unclear/uncertain or not well established 	Benefit = Risk
<p>CLASS III: No Benefit (MODERATE) (Generally, LOE A or B use only)</p> <p>Suggested phrases for writing recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Is not recommended 	Benefit = Risk

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Is not indicated/useful/effective/beneficial ■ Should not be performed/administered/other 	Risk > Benefit
CLASS III: Harm (STRONG)	
Suggested phrases for writing recommendations:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Potentially harmful ■ Causes harm ■ Associated with excess morbidity/mortality ■ Should not be performed/administered/other 	
LEVEL (QUALITY) OF EVIDENCE †	
LEVEL A	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ High-quality evidence‡ from more than 1 RCTs ■ Meta-analyses of high-quality RCTs ■ One or more RCTs corroborated by high-quality registry studies 	
LEVEL B-R (Randomized)	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Moderate-quality evidence‡ from 1 or more RCTs ■ Meta-analyses of moderate-quality RCTs 	
LEVEL B-NR (Nonrandomized)	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Moderate-quality evidence‡ from 1 or more well-designed, well-executed nonrandomized studies, observational studies, or registry studies ■ Meta-analyses of such studies 	
LEVEL C-LD (Limited Data)	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Randomized or nonrandomized observational or registry studies with limitations of design or execution ■ Meta-analyses of such studies ■ Physiological or mechanistic studies in human subjects 	
LEVEL C-EO (Expert Opinion)	
Consensus of expert opinion based on clinical experience	

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

COR and LOE are determined independently (any COR may be paired with any LOE).

A recommendation with LOE C does not imply that the recommendation is weak. Many important clinical questions addressed in guidelines do not lend themselves to clinical trials. Although RCTs are unavailable, there may be a very clear clinical consensus that a particular test or therapy is useful or effective.

* The outcome or result of the intervention should be specified (an improved clinical outcome or increased diagnostic accuracy or incremental prognostic information).

[†] For comparative-effectiveness recommendations (COR I and IIa; LOE A and B only), studies that support the use of comparator verbs should involve direct comparisons of the treatments or strategies being evaluated.

[‡] The method of assessing quality is evolving, including the application of standardized, widely used, and preferably validated evidence grading tools; and for systematic reviews, the incorporation of an Evidence Review Committee.

COR indicates Class of Recommendation; EO, expert opinion; LD, limited data; LOE, Level of Evidence; NR, nonrandomized; R, randomized; and RCT, randomized controlled trial.

Reproduced with permission of the American College of Cardiology (ACC) and the American Heart Association (AHA) (S1.2.2).

Table 2

Definitions

Clinical Characteristics

Clinical ventricular tachycardia (VT): VT that has occurred spontaneously based on analysis of 12-lead ECG QRS morphology.

Hemodynamically unstable VT: causes hemodynamic compromise requiring prompt termination.

Idiopathic VT: used to indicate VT that is known to occur in the absence of clinically apparent SHD.

Idioventricular rhythm: three or more consecutive beats at a rate of up to 100 per minute that originate from the ventricles independent of atrial or atrioventricular (AV) nodal conduction. Although various arbitrary rates have been used to distinguish it from VT, the mechanism of ventricular rhythm is more important than the rate. Idioventricular rhythm can be qualified as “accelerated” when the rate exceeds 40 bpm.

Incessant VT: continuous sustained VT that recurs promptly despite repeated intervention for termination over several hours.

Nonclinical VT: VT induced by PES that has not been documented previously.

Nonsustained VT: terminates spontaneously within 30 seconds.

PVC: premature ventricular complex; it is an early ventricular depolarization with or without mechanical contraction. We recommend avoiding the use of the terms “ventricular premature depolarization” and “premature ventricular contraction” to standardize the literature and acknowledge that early electrical activity does not necessarily lead to mechanical contraction.

Presumptive clinical VT: similar to a spontaneous VT based on rate, limited ECG, or electrogram data available from ICD interrogation, but without the 12-lead ECG documentation of spontaneous VT.

PVC burden: the amount of ventricular extrasystoles, preferably reported as the % of beats of ventricular origin of the total amount of beats over a 24-hour recording period.

Repetitive monomorphic VT: continuously repeating episodes of self-terminating nonsustained VT.

Sustained VT: continuous VT for 30 seconds, or which requires an intervention for termination (such as cardioversion).

VT: a tachycardia (rate >100 bpm) with 3 or more consecutive beats that originates from the ventricles independent of atrial or AV nodal conduction.

VT storm: three or more separate episodes of sustained VT within 24 hours, each requiring termination by an intervention.

VT Morphologies

Monomorphic VT: a similar QRS configuration from beat to beat (Figure 1A). Some variability in QRS morphology at initiation is not uncommon, followed by stabilization of the QRS morphology.

Monomorphic VT with indeterminate QRS morphology: preferred over **ventricular flutter**; it is a term that has been applied to rapid VT that has a sinusoidal QRS configuration that prevents identification of the QRS morphology.

Multiple monomorphic VTs: more than one morphologically distinct monomorphic VT, occurring as different episodes or induced at different times.

Pleomorphic VT: has more than one morphologically distinct QRS complex occurring during the same episode of VT, but the QRS is not continuously changing (Figure 1B).

Polymorphic VT: has a continuously changing QRS configuration from beat to beat, indicating a changing ventricular activation sequence (Figure 1C).

Right bundle branch block (RBBB)- and left bundle branch block (LBBB)-like VT configurations: terms used to describe the dominant deflection in V1, with a dominant R wave described as “RBBB-like” and a dominant S wave with a negative final component in V1 described as “LBBB-like” configurations.

Torsades de pointes: a form of polymorphic VT with continually varying QRS complexes that appear to spiral around the baseline of the ECG lead in a sinusoidal pattern. It is associated with QT prolongation.

Unmappable VT: does not allow interrogation of multiple sites to define the activation sequence or perform entrainment mapping; this could be due to hemodynamic intolerance that necessitates immediate VT termination, spontaneous or pacing-induced transition to other morphologies of VT, or repeated termination during mapping.

Ventricular fibrillation (VF): a chaotic rhythm defined on the surface ECG by undulations that are irregular in both timing and morphology, without discrete QRS complexes.

Clinical Characteristics

PVC Morphologies

Monomorphic PVC: PVCs felt reasonably to arise from the same focus. Slight changes in QRS morphology due to different exit sites from the same focus can be present.

Multiple morphologies of PVC: PVCs originating from several different focal locations.

Predominant PVC morphology: the one or more monomorphic PVC morphologies occurring most frequently and serving as the target for ablation.

Mechanisms

Focal VT: a point source of earliest ventricular activation with a spread of activation away in all directions from that site. The mechanism can be automaticity, triggered activity, or microreentry.

Scar-related reentry: arrhythmias that have characteristics of reentry that originate from an area of myocardial scar identified from electrogram characteristics or myocardial imaging. Large reentry circuits that can be defined over several centimeters are commonly referred to as “macroreentry.”

AV = atrioventricular; ECG = electrocardiogram; ICD = implantable cardioverter defibrillator; LBBB = left bundle branch block; PES = programmed electrical stimulation; PVC = premature ventricular complex; RBBB = right bundle branch block; SHD = structural heart disease; VT = ventricular tachycardia.

Anatomical terminology

Table 3

Term	Definition
RV inflow	The part of the RV containing the tricuspid valve, chordae, and proximal RV.
RV outflow tract (RVOT)	The conus or infundibulum of the RV, derived from the bulbus cordis. It is bounded by the supraventricular crest and the pulmonary valve.
Tricuspid annulus	Area immediately adjacent to the tricuspid valve, including septal, free wall, and para-Hisian regions.
Moderator band	A muscular band in the RV, typically located in the mid to apical RV, connecting the interventricular septum to the RV free wall, supporting the anterior papillary muscle. It typically contains a subdivision of the right bundle branch (RBB).
RV papillary muscles	Three muscles connecting the RV myocardium to the tricuspid valve via the tricuspid chordae tendineae, usually designated as septal, posterior, and anterior papillary muscles. The septal papillary muscle is closely associated with parts of the RBB.
Supraventricular crest	Muscular ridge in the RV between the tricuspid and pulmonary valves, representing the boundary between the conus arteriosus and the rest of the RV. The exact components and terminology are controversial; however, some characterize it as being composed of a parietal band that extends from the anterior RV free wall to meet the septal band, which extends from the septal papillary muscle to meet it.
Pulmonary valves	The pulmonary valve includes three cusps and associated sinus, variously named right, left, and anterior; or anterolateral right, anterolateral left, and posterior sinuses. The posterior-right anterolateral commissure adjoins the aorta (junction of the right and left aortic sinuses). Muscle is present in each of the sinuses, and VA can originate from muscle fibers located within or extending beyond the pulmonary valve apparatus.
Sinuses of Valsalva, aortic cusps, aortic commissures	The right (R), left (L), and noncoronary aortic valve cusps are attached to the respective SV. The left sinus of Valsalva (LSV) is posterior and leftward on the aortic root. The noncoronary sinus of Valsalva (NCSV) is typically the most inferior and posterior SV, located posterior and rightward, superior to the His bundle, and anterior and superior to the paraseptal region of the atria near the superior AV junctions, typically adjacent to atrial myocardium. The right sinus of Valsalva (RSV) is the most anterior cusp and may be posterior to the RVOT infundibulum. VAs can also arise from muscle fibers at the commissures (connections) of the cusps, or from myocardium accessible to mapping and ablation from this location, especially from the RSV/LSV junction.
LV outflow tract (LVOT)	The aortic vestibule, composed of an infra-valvular part, bounded by the anterior mitral valve leaflet, but otherwise not clearly distinguishable from the rest of the LV; the aortic valve; and a supra-valvular part.
LV ostium	The opening at the base of the LV to which the mitral and aortic valves attach.
Aortomitral continuity (AMC); aortomitral curtain, or mitral/aortic intervalvular fibrosa	Continuation of the anteromedial aspect of the mitral annulus to the aortic valve; a curtain of fibrous tissue extending from the anterior mitral valve leaflet to the left and noncoronary aortic cusps. The AMC is connected by the left and right fibrous trigones to ventricular myocardium, the right fibrous trigone to the membranous ventricular septum.
Mitral valve annulus	Area immediately adjacent to the mitral valve. This can be approached endocardially, or epicardially, either through the coronary venous system or percutaneously.
LV papillary muscles	Muscles connecting the mitral valve chordae tendineae to the LV, typically with posteromedial and anterolateral papillary muscles. Papillary muscle anatomy is variable and can have single or multiple heads.
LV false tendon (or LV moderator band)	A fibrous or fibromuscular chord-like band that crosses the LV cavity, attaching to the septum, papillary muscles, trabeculations, or free wall of the LV. They may contain conduction tissue and may impede catheter manipulation in the LV.
Posterior-superior process	The posterior-superior process of the LV is the most inferior and posterior aspect of the basal LV, posterior to the plane of the tricuspid valve. VAs originating from the posterior-superior process of the LV can be accessed from the right atrium, the LV endocardium, and the coronary venous system.
Endocardium	Inner lining of the heart.
Purkinje network	The specialized conduction system of the ventricles, which includes the His bundle, RBB and left bundle branches (LBB), and the ramifications of these, found in the subendocardium. The Purkinje system can generate focal or reentrant VTs, typically manifesting Purkinje potentials preceding QRS onset.
Interventricular septum	Muscular wall between the LV and RV.

Term	Definition
Membranous ventricular septum	The ventricular septum beneath the RSV and NCSV, through which the penetrating His bundle reaches the ventricular myocardium.
LV summit	Triangular region of the most superior part of the LV epicardial surface bounded by the left circumflex coronary artery, the left anterior descending artery, and an approximate line from the first septal coronary artery laterally to the left AV groove. The great cardiac vein (GCV) bisects the triangle. An area superior to the GCV is considered to be inaccessible to catheter ablation due to proximity of the coronary arteries and overlying epicardial fat.
Crux of the heart (crux cordis)	Epicardial area formed by the junction of the AV groove and posterior interventricular groove, at the base of the heart, approximately at the junction of the middle cardiac vein and coronary sinus (CS) and near the origin of the posterior descending coronary artery.
Epicardium	The outer layer of the heart—the visceral layer of the serous pericardium.
Epicardial fat	Adipose tissue variably present over the epicardial surface around coronary arteries, LV apex, RV free wall, left atrial appendage, right atrial appendage, and AV and interventricular grooves.
Pericardial space or cavity	The potential space between the parietal and visceral layers of serous pericardium, which normally contains a small amount of serous fluid. This space can be accessed for epicardial procedures.
Parietal pericardium	The layer of the serous pericardium that is attached to the inner surface of the fibrous pericardium and is normally apposed to the visceral pericardium, separated by a thin layer of pericardial fluid.
Fibrous pericardium	Thick membrane that forms the outer layer of the pericardium.
Subxiphoid area	Area inferior to the xiphoid process; typical site for percutaneous epicardial access.
Phrenic nerve	The right phrenic nerve lays along the right atrium and does not usually pass over ventricular tissue. The course of the left phrenic nerve on the fibrous pericardium can be quite variable and may run along the lateral margin of the LV near the left obtuse marginal artery and vein; inferior, at the base of the heart; or anterior over the sternocostal surface over the L main stem coronary artery or left anterior descending artery.
Coronary sinus (CS) and branches	The CS and its branches comprise the coronary venous system with the ostium of the CS opening into the right atrium. Tributaries of the CS, which runs along the left AV groove, may be used for mapping. These include the anterior interventricular vein (AIV), which arises at the apex and runs along the anterior interventricular septum, connecting to the GCV that continues in the AV groove to the CS; the communicating vein located between aortic and pulmonary annulus; various posterior and lateral marginal branches or perforator veins; and the middle cardiac vein that typically runs along the posterior interventricular septum from the apex to join the CS or empty separately into the right atrium. The junction of the GCV and the CS is at the vein or ligament of Marshall (or persistent left superior vena cava, when present), and the valve of Vieussens (where present).

Anatomical terminology (S2.4.1–S2.4.9). See also Figures 3, 4, 7, and 8.

AIV = anterior interventricular vein; AMC = aortomitral continuity; AV = atrioventricular; CS = coronary sinus; GCV = great cardiac vein; LBB = left bundle branch; LSV5left sinus of Valsalva; LV5left ventricle; LVOT5left ventricular outflow tract; NCSV5noncoronary sinus of Valsalva; RBB5right bundle branch; RSV = right sinus of Valsalva; RV = right ventricle; RVOT5 right ventricular outflow tract; SV = sinus of Valsalva; VA = ventricular arrhythmia; VT = ventricular tachycardia.

Table 4

The PAAINESD Score, developed to predict the risk of periprocedural hemodynamic decompensation

Variable	Points
Pulmonary disease (COPD)	5
Age >60	3
General anesthesia	4
Ischemic cardiomyopathy	6
NYHA class III/IV	6
EF <25%	3
VT storm	5
Diabetes mellitus	3

The PAAINESD Score, developed to predict the risk of periprocedural hemodynamic decompensation, has values that range from 0 to 35 points (or 0 to 31 [PAINESD] when the modifiable intraprocedural variable “general anesthesia” is excluded) (S5.1.3.2).

COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; EF = ejection fraction; NYHA = New York Heart Association; VT = ventricular tachycardia.

Table 5

Types of bundle branch reentrant tachycardia

	Type A	Type B (Interfascicular tachycardia)	Type C
ECG morphology	LBBB pattern	RBBB pattern	RBBB pattern
Anterograde limb	RBB	LAF or LPF	LBB
Retrograde limb	LBB	LPF or LAF	RBB

LAF = left anterior fascicle; LBB = left bundle branch; LBBB = left bundle branch block; LPF = left posterior fascicle; RBB = right bundle branch; RBBB = right bundle branch block.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 6

Fascicular ventricular tachycardias

I. Verapamil-sensitive fascicular reentrant VT**1. Left posterior type**

- i. Left posterior septal fascicular reentrant VT
- ii. Left posterior papillary muscle fascicular reentrant VT

2. Left anterior type

- i. Left anterior septal fascicular reentrant VT
- ii. Left anterior papillary muscle fascicular reentrant VT

3. Upper septal type

II. Nonreentrant fascicular VT

VT = ventricular tachycardia.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 7

Select recent radiofrequency catheter ablation studies in patients post myocardial infarction with a focus on substrate-based ablation strategies

Study	N	EF (%)	Prior CABG (%)	Inclusion	Access mapping catheter	Mapping strategy	Ablation strategy	Procedural endpoint	RF time procedural duration complications	VT recurrence and burden (follow-up)
Jais et al. (2012) (S9.5.5.31) Two centers observational	70	35 ± 10	NR	1) Sustained VT resistant to AAD therapy and requiring external cardioversion or ICD therapies 2) SHD with ischemic or nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy Exclusions: 1) VA attributable to an acute or reversible cause 2) Repetitive PVCs or nonsustained VT without sustained VT	Retrograde in 61 pts (87%) Transseptal in 32 pts (46%); epicardial access in 21 pts (31%) Dual access encouraged 3.5-mm external irrigated ablation catheter; mapping catheter in 50% endocardial procedures and in all epicardial procedures	1) PES and activation mapping of induced stable VTs 2) Substrate mapping for LAVAs — sharp high-frequency electrograms often of low amplitude, occurring during or after the far-field ventricular electrogram, sometimes fractionated or multicomponent, poorly coupled to the rest of the myocardium	1) Ablation of LAVA in SR 2) Ablation of tolerated VTs guided by entrainment and activation mapping 3) Remapping (in stable patients) with further ablation if residual LAVA or persistent inducibility	1) Complete LAVA elimination — achieved in 47 of 67 pts with LAVA (70.1%) 2) Noninducibility — achieved in 70%, similar if LAVA eliminated or not	RF time 23 ± 11 min Procedure time 148 ± 73 min Complications 6 pts (8.6%): tamponade or bleeding managed conservatively (3), RV perforation requiring surgical repair (1); 3 pts died within 24 h due to low-flow state (2) plus arrhythmia recurrence (1), PEA (1)	Combined endpoint of VT recurrence or death occurred in 39 pts (55.7%); 45% of pts with LAVA elimination and 80% of those without VT recurrence in 32 (46%); 32% of pts with LAVA elimination and 75% of those without 7 cardiac deaths (10%) over 22 months of median follow-up
Di Biase et al. (2015) (S9.5.5.13) VISTA trial Multicenter RCT	118	Group 1: 33 ± 14 Group 2: 32 ± 10	34%	1) Post-MI stable AAD 2) Recurrent refractory VT (symptomatic or requiring ICD therapy) Exclusion: syncope, cardiac arrest, prior failed ablation, renal failure, end-stage heart failure	Endocardial when clinical VTs were inducible after endocardial ablation + no CABG Group 1: 11.7% Group 2: 10.3% 3.5-mm tip	1) Substrate mapping (BV 1.5 mV) + Group 1 2) PES and activation mapping/pace mapping for clinical and stable nonclinical VT (unstable VT not targeted)	Group 1: Clinical VT ablation, linear lesion to isthmus Group 2: Extensive substrate ablation targeting any abnormal potential (=fractionated and/or LP)	Group 1: Noninducibility of clinical VT — achieved in 100% Group 2: 1) Elimination of abnormal potentials 2) No capture from within the scar (20 mA) 3) Noninducibility of clinical VT — achieved in 100%	Group 1: RF time 35 ± 27 min Procedural time 4.6 ± 1.6 h Group 2: RF time 68 ± 27 min (P < .001) Procedural time 24.2 ± 1.3 h (P = .13) Complications 5%	VT recurrence at 12 months Group 1: 48.3% Group 2: 15.5% P < .001 Mortality at 12 months Group 1: 15% Group 2: 8.6% P = .21
Tilz et al. (2014) (S9.5.5.35) Single center post-observational	12 12/117 pts with post-MI VT	32 ± 13	—	1) Presence of a circumscribed dense scar (BV <1.5 mV, area <100 cm ²) 2) Recurrent unmappable VT	Endocardial 3.5-mm tip	1) PES 2) Substrate mapping: area of BV <1.5 mV + double, fractionated or LP 3) PES after ablation	Circumferential linear lesion along BZ (BV <1.5 mV) to isolate substrate within area — achieved in 50% Max. 40 RF lesion	1) Lack of abnormal EGMs within area 2) No capture within area — achieved in 50% 3) Max. 40 RF lesion	RF time 53 ± 15 min Procedure time 195 ± 64 min No complication	VT recurrence 33% Median follow-up 497 days

Study	N	EF (%)	Prior CABG (%)	Inclusion	Access mapping catheter	Mapping strategy	Ablation strategy	Procedural endpoint	RF time procedural duration complications	VT recurrence and burden (follow-up)
Tzou et al. (2015) (S9.5.5.36) Two centers observational	44 Post-MI 32 MI 44/566 pts with SHD	31 ± 13	—	3) Post-MI Exclusion: patchy scar/multiple scars 1) SHD 2) AAD refractory VT 3) Intention to achieve core isolation	Endocardial Epicardial post-MI 6% 3.5-mm tip Selected patients: multi-electrode catheters for exit block evaluation	1) BV mapping 2) PES 3) Activation mapping 4) Substrate mapping Dense scar BV <0.5 mV; BZ BV 0.5–1.5 mV/voltage channels/fractionated/LP; pace-match, S-QRS >40 ms 5) PES after core isolation	1) Circumferential linear lesion to isolate core (=confluent area of BV <0.5 mV area and regions with BV <1 mV harbouring VT-related sites 2) Targeting fractionated and LP within core. 3) Targeting VT-related sites outside core (2 and 3 in 59%)	Noninducibility of any VT (no predefined endpoint) — observed in 92% 1) No capture of the ventricle during pacing inside core 2) Dissociation of isolated potentials — core isolation achieved in 70% post-MI 3) Noninducibility — achieved in 84%	RF lesions 111 ± 91 Procedure time 326 ± 121 min Complications 2.2% No death VT recurrence 14% Follow-up 17.5 ± 9 months	
Silberbauer et al. (2014) (S9.5.5.15) One center observational	160	28 ± 9.5 inducible after RFCA 34 ± 9.2 endpoint reached	22.5%	1) Post-MI 2) AAD refractory VT 3) First VT ablation at the center	Endocardial Combined endoepicardial (20%) — Clinical findings — Prior ablation — Research protocol 3.5-mm tip/4-mm tip	1) Substrate mapping: BV <1.5 mV + LP (=continuous, fragmented bridging to components after QRS offset/inscribing after QRS, no voltage cutoff) + early potentials (EP = fragmented <1.5 mV) Pace-match 2) PES 3) Activation mapping 4) PES after substrate ablation	1) Ablation mappable VT 2) Ablation of all LP LP present at baseline Endocardium 100/160 pts Epicardium 19/32 pts	1) Abolition of all LP — achieved at endocardium in 79 pts (49%), at epicardium 12/32 pts (37%) 2) Noninducibility of any VT — achieved in 88%	RF time endocardial median ≈25 min epicardial ≈6 min Procedure time Median 210–270 min Complications 3.1% In-hospital mortality 2.5% VT recurrence 32% after median 82 (16–192) days VT recurrence according to endpoint 1+2 achieved (16.4%) Endpoint 2 achieved (46%) No endpoint achieved (47.4%)	
Wolf et al. (2018) (S9.5.5.16) One center observational	159	34 ± 11	25%	1) Post-MI 2) First VT ablation 3) Recurrent, AAD refractory episodes VT	Endocardial Combined endoepicardial — Epicardial access was encouraged — Epicardial ablation 27/46 pts 3.5-mm tip (70	1) PES 2) Activation mapping 3) Substrate mapping: BV mapping (<1.5 mV) + LAVA (=sharp high-frequency EGMs, possibly of low amplitude, distinct from the far-	1) Ablation of mappable VT 2) Ablation of LAVA (until local no capture) LAVA present at baseline Endocardium 141/157 pts Epicardium 36/46 pts	1) Abolition of LAVA — achieved in 93/146 pts (64%) 2) Noninducibility — achieved in 94/110 tested pts	RF time 36 ± 20 min Procedure time 250 ± 78 min Complications 7.5% (4 surgical interventions) Procedure-related mortality 1.3% VT-free survival 55% during 47 months (33–82) Outcome according to endpoints: LAVA abolished vs not abolished 63% vs 44% VT-free survival at 1 year 73%	

Study	N	EF (%)	Prior CABG (%)	Inclusion	Access mapping catheter	Mapping strategy	Ablation strategy	Procedural endpoint	RF time procedural duration complications	VT recurrence and burden (follow-up)
Berruazo et al. (2015) (S9.5.5.37) One center observational	101 Post-MI 75	36 ± 13	—	1) Scar-related VT	<p>pts)</p> <p>Multielectrode catheters (89 pts)</p> <p>Endocardial Combined endoepicardial (27/101 pts, among post-MI not provided)</p> <p>— Endo no substrate/suggestive epi</p> <p>— CE-MRI</p> <p>— VT ECG</p> <p>3.5-mm tip</p>	<p>field EGM occurring anytime during or after the far-field EGM</p> <p>4) PES</p> <p>1) Substrate mapping: BV (<1.5 mV) + EGMs with delayed components: identification of entrance (shortest delay) of conducting channels</p> <p>2) PES</p> <p>3) Activation mapping + pace-match</p>	<p>1) Scar dechanneling targeting entrance</p> <p>2) Short linear lesions (eg, between scar and mitral annulus)</p> <p>3) Ablation of VT-related sites — performed in 45%</p>	<p>1) Scar dechanneling — Achieved in 85 pts (84.2%)</p> <p>— Noninducible after 1) 55 pts (54.5%)</p> <p>2) Noninducibility — achieved in 78%</p>	<p>RF time</p> <p>24 ± 10 min only scar dechanneling (31 ± 18 min + additional RFCA)</p> <p>Procedure time</p> <p>227 ± 69 min</p> <p>Complications</p> <p>6.9%</p> <p>No death</p>	<p>VT recurrence</p> <p>27% after a median follow-up of 21 months (11–29)</p> <p>1-year VT-free survival according to endpoint: scar dechanneling complete vs incomplete (≈82% vs ≈65%)</p>
Porta-Sánchez et al. (2018) (S9.5.5.34) Multicenter observational	20	33 ± 11	—	1) Post-MI 2) Recurrent VT	<p>Endocardial</p> <p>3.5-mm tip 4 pts</p> <p>Multielectrode catheters 16 pts</p>	<p>1) Substrate mapping: annotation of LP (=fractionated/ isolated after QRS offset) and assessment if LP showed additional delay of >10 ms after RV extrastimuli (S1 600 ms, S2 VERP + 20 ms) defined as DEEP</p> <p>2) PES</p> <p>3) Additional mapping</p>	<p>1) Targeting areas with DEEP</p> <p>2) Ablation of VT-related sites discretion of operator</p>	<p>1) Noninducibility — achieved in 80% after DEEP ablation</p> <p>— Remains 80% after additional ablation in those inducible</p>	<p>RF time</p> <p>30.6 ± 21.4 min</p> <p>Procedure time</p> <p>and complications not reported</p>	<p>VT recurrence</p> <p>25% at 6-month follow-up</p>
de Riva et al. (2018) (S9.5.5.35) One center observational	60	33 ± 12	30%	1) Post-MI 2) Sustained VT	<p>Endocardial</p> <p>Epicardial 10%</p> <p>— Endocardial failure</p> <p>— Epicardial substrate suspected</p> <p>3.5-mm tip catheter</p>	<p>1) PES</p> <p>2) Substrate mapping: systematic assessment of presumed infarct area independent of BV during SR and RV extrastimuli</p> <p>Pacing (S1 500 ms, S2 VPR + 50ms): EDP (evoked delayed potentials) = low voltage (<1.5 mV) EGM with conduction delay >10</p>	<p>1) Targeting EDPs only</p> <p>2) Ablation of VT-related sites based on activation/pace mapping</p>	<p>1) Elimination of EDPs — achieved in all</p> <p>2) Noninducibility of targeted VT (fast VT with VTCL ≈ VERP not targeted)</p> <p>— Achieved in 67% after EDP ablation</p> <p>— Achieved in 90% after additional ablation</p>	<p>RF time</p> <p>15 min (10–21)</p> <p>Procedure time</p> <p>173 min (150–205)</p> <p>Complications</p> <p>3.3%</p> <p>One procedure-related death</p>	<p>VT recurrence</p> <p>22% at median follow-up of 16 months (8–23)</p> <p>Subgroup of patients with EDPs in normal-voltage areas at baseline (hidden) compared to historical matched group without EDP</p>

Study	N	EF (%)	Prior CABG (%)	Inclusion	Access mapping catheter	Mapping strategy	Ablation strategy	Procedural endpoint	RF time procedural duration complications	VT recurrence and burden (follow-up)
						ms or block in response to S2 3) Activation and pace mapping				mapping VT-free survival at 1 year 89% vs 73%

Included studies: post myocardial infarction (or data for patients post myocardial infarction provided).

AAD = antiarrhythmic drug; BV = bipolar voltage; BZ = border zone; CABG = coronary artery bypass grafting; CE-MRI = contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging; DC = delayed component; DEEP = decremental evoked potential; ECC = electrocardiogram; EDP = evoked delayed potential; EF = ejection fraction; EGM = electrogram; ICD = implantable cardioverter defibrillator; LAVA = local abnormal ventricular activity; MI = myocardial infarction; PEA = pulseless electrical activity; PES = programmed electrical stimulation; pts = patients; PVC = premature ventricular complex; RCT = randomized controlled trial; RF = radiofrequency; RFCA = radiofrequency catheter ablation; RV = right ventricle; SHD = structural heart disease; SR = sinus rhythm; VT = ventricular tachycardia.

Table 8

Catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias in cardiac sarcoidosis

Study	N	LVEF, %	Concurrent immunosuppressive therapy, n (%)	VTs induced, mean ± SD	Mapping, Endo n/Epi n	Ablation, Endo n/Epi n	Patients undergoing repeated procedures, n (%)		VT Recurrence, n (%)	VT Burden decrease, n (%)	Major complications	Follow-up, months
							Mapping, Endo n/Epi n	Ablation, Endo n/Epi n				
Koplan et al. (S9.12.26)	8	35 ± 15	5 (63)	4 ± 2	6/2	8/2	1 (13)	1 (13)	6 (75)	4 (44)	NR	6
Jelic et al. (S9.12.15)	9	42 ± 14	8 (89)	5 ± 7	8/1	NR	3 (33)	3 (33)	4 (44)	9 (100)	NR	20
Naruse et al. (S9.12.24)	14	40 ± 12	12 (86)	3 ± 1	14/0	14/0	4 (29)	4 (29)	6 (43)	NR	NR	33
Dechering et al. (S9.12.12)	8	36 ± 19	NR	4 ± 2	NR	NR	NR	NR	1 (13)	7 (88)	NR	6
Kumar et al. (S9.12.27)	21	36 ± 14	12 (57)	Median 3 (range 1–8)	21/8	21/5	11 (52)	11 (52)	15 (71)	16 (76)	4.7%	24
Muser et al. (S9.12.25)	31	42 ± 15	22 (71)	Median 3 (range 1–5)	31/11	31/8	9 (29)	9 (29)	16 (52)	28 (90)	4.5%	30

LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; N = number; NR = not reported; VT = ventricular tachycardia.

Table 9
 Postprocedural care in prospective studies of ventricular tachycardia catheter ablation

Study	Postprocedure NIPS	AAD type	AAD duration	Follow-up	ICD programming	Anticoagulation post ablation	Bleeding and thromboembolic events (ablation arm)
Calkins 2000 (S10.1.4.5)	No	Patients were continued on the type of antiarrhythmic therapy they had received before ablation.	At least the first 3 months after hospital discharge	Evaluation at 1, 3, 6, 9, 12, and 24 months after ablation	Not specified	Not specified	Four of 146 (2.7%) stroke or TIA, 4 (2.7%) episodes of pericardial tamponade
SMASH-VT 2007 (S10.1.4.6)	No	No patient received an AAD (other than beta blockers) before the primary endpoint was reached.	N/A	Followed in the ICD clinic at 3, 6, 9, 12, 18, and 24 months; echocardiography at 3 and 12 months	Not specified	Oral anticoagulation 4–6 weeks, aspirin if fewer than 5 ablation lesions	One pericardial effusion without tamponade, managed conservatively; 1 deep venous thrombosis
Stevenson 2008 (S10.1.4.1)	No	The previously ineffective AAD was continued for the first 6 months, after which time drug therapy was left to the discretion of the investigator.	Six months, after which time drug therapy was left to the discretion of the investigator	Echocardiogram and neurologist examination before and after ablation; office visit at 2 and 6 months, with ICD interrogation where applicable	Not specified	Three months with either 325 mg/day aspirin or warfarin if ablation had been performed over an area over 3 cm in length.	Vascular access complications in 4.7%; no thromboembolic complications
Euro-VT 2010 (S10.1.4.7)	No	Drug management during follow-up was at the discretion of the investigator.	Drug management during follow-up was at the discretion of the investigator.	At 2, 6, and 12 months, with ICD interrogation where applicable	Investigators were encouraged to program ICD detection for slow VT for at least 20 beats or 10 seconds to allow nonsustained VT to terminate before therapy is triggered.	Not specified	No major bleeding or thromboembolic complications
VTACH 2010 (S10.1.4.8)	No	Discouraged	Discouraged	Every 3 months from ICD implantation until completion of the study	VF zone with a cutoff rate of 200–220 bpm and a VT zone with a cutoff CL of 60 ms above the slowest documented VT and ATP followed by shock.	Not specified	One transient ischemic ST-segment elevation; 1 TIA
CALYPSO 2015 (S10.1.4.9)	No	Discouraged	Discouraged	At 3 and 6 months	Investigators were required to ensure that VT detection in the ICD is programmed at least 10 beats below the rate of the slowest documented VT.	At the discretion of the treating physician, anticoagulation recommended with aspirin or warfarin for 6–12 weeks	
Marchlinski 2016 (S10.1.4.10)	Not required	Not dictated by the study protocol	Not dictated by the study protocol	At 6 months and at 1, 2, and 3 years	Not dictated by the study protocol	Per clinical conditions and physician preference	Cardiac perforation (n51), pericardial effusion (n53)

Study	Postprocedure NIPS	AAD type	AAD duration	Follow-up	ICD programming	Anticoagulation post ablation	Bleeding and thromboembolic events (ablation arm)
VANISH 2016 (S10.1.4.11)	No	Continued preprocedure antiarrhythmic medications	Not specified	A 3-month office visit, echo, ICD check; a 6-month office visit, ICD check; every 6 months thereafter, an office visit, ICD check	VT detection at 150 bpm or with a 10–20 bpm margin if the patient was known to have a slower VT. ATP was recommended in all zones. The protocol was modified to recommend prolonged arrhythmia detection duration for all patients.	Intravenous heparin (without bolus) 6 hours after sheath removal, then warfarin if substrate-mapping approach used or if more than 10 minutes of RF time	Major bleeding in 3 patients; vascular injury in 3 patients; cardiac perforation in 2 patients
SMS 2017 (S10.1.4.12)	No	At the discretion of the investigator	At the discretion of the investigator	At 3, 6, 9, and 12 months, and at 3- or 6-month intervals until completion of the study or until 33-month follow-up was reached	VF zone at 200–220 bpm, detection 18 of 24 beats, shock only; VT zone detection at least 16 consecutive beats, ATP, and shocks. Where VT rates were exclusively 220 bpm, VT zone at 160–180 bpm was recommended; where VT rates were 220 bpm, VT zone with a CL 60 ms above the slowest VT was recommended	Aspirin (250 mg/day) or warfarin as necessitated by the underlying heart disease	Two tamponades requiring pericardiocentesis

AAD = antiarrhythmic drug; ATP = antiarrhythmic pacing; CL = cycle length; ICD = implantable cardioverter defibrillator; NIPS = noninvasive programmed stimulation; RF = radiofrequency; TIA = transient ischemic attack; VF = ventricular fibrillation; VT = ventricular tachycardia.

Table 10
Major complications of ventricular arrhythmia ablation in patients with structural heart disease

Complication	Incidence	Mechanisms	Presentation	Prevention	Treatment	Ref.
In-hospital mortality	0%–3%	VT recurrence, heart failure, complications of catheter ablation	Not applicable	Correct electrolyte disturbances and optimize medical status before ablation	—	S10.2.9.1,S10.2.9.6–S10.2.9.9
Long-term mortality	3%–35% (12–39 months of follow-up)	VT recurrence and progression of heart failure	Cardiac nonarrhythmic death (heart failure) and VT recurrence	Identification of patients with indication for heart transplantation	—	S10.2.9.6–S10.2.9.9
Neurological complication (stroke, TIA, cerebral hemorrhage)	0%–2.7%	Emboli from left ventricle, aortic valve, or aorta; cerebral bleeding	Focal or global neurological deficits	Careful anticoagulation control; ICE can help detection of thrombus formation, and of aortic valve calcification; TEE to assess aortic arch	Thrombolytic therapy	S10.2.9.1,S10.2.9.6–S10.2.9.9
Pericardial complications: cardiac tamponade, hemopericardium, pericarditis	0%–2.7%	Catheter manipulation, RF delivery, epicardial perforation	Abrupt or gradual fall in blood pressure; arterial line is recommended in ablation of complex VT	Contact force can be useful, careful in RF delivery in perivenous foci and RVOT	Pericardiocentesis; if necessary, surgical drainage, reversal heparin; steroids and colchicine in pericarditis	S10.2.9.1,S10.2.9.6–S10.2.9.9
AV block	0%–1.4%	Energy delivery near the conduction system	Fall in blood pressure and ECG changes	Careful monitoring when ablation is performed near the conduction system; consider cryoablation	Pacemaker; upgrade to a biventricular pacing device might be necessary	S10.2.9.1,S10.2.9.6–S10.2.9.8
Coronary artery damage/MI	0.4%–1.9%	Ablation near coronary artery, unintended coronary damage during catheter manipulation in the aortic root or crossing the aortic valve	Acute coronary syndrome; confirmation with coronary catheterization	Limit power near coronary arteries and avoid energy delivery, 5 mm from coronary vessel; ICE is useful to visualize the coronary ostium	Percutaneous coronary intervention	S10.2.9.1,S10.2.9.6–S10.2.9.9
Heart failure/pulmonary edema	0%–3%	External irrigation, sympathetic response due to ablation, and VT induction	Heart failure symptoms	Urinary catheter and careful attention to fluid balance and diuresis, optimize clinical status before ablation, reduce irrigation volume if possible (decrease flow rates or use closed irrigation catheters)	New/increased diuretics	S10.2.9.6–S10.2.9.9
Valvular injury	0%–0.7%	Catheter manipulation, especially retrograde crossing the aortic valve and entrapment in the mitral valve; energy delivery to subvalvular structures, including papillary muscle	Acute cardiovascular collapse, new murmurs, progressive heart failure symptoms	Careful catheter manipulation; ICE can be useful for identification of precise location of energy delivery	Echocardiography is essential in the diagnosis; medical therapy, including vasodilators and dobutamine before surgery; IABP is useful in acute mitral regurgitation and is contraindicated in aortic regurgitation	S10.2.9.6–S10.2.9.9

Complication	Incidence	Mechanisms	Presentation	Prevention	Treatment	Ref.
Acute periprocedural hemodynamic decompensation, cardiogenic shock	0%–11%	Fluid overloading, general anesthesia, sustained VT	Sustained hypotension despite optimized therapy	Close monitoring of fluid infusion and hemodynamic status -Optimize medical status before ablation -pLVAD -Substrate mapping preferred, avoid VT induction in higher-risk patients	Mechanical HS	S10.2.9.6–S10.2.9.10
Vascular injury: hematomas, pseudoaneurysm, AV fistulae	0%–6.9%	Access to femoral arterial and catheter manipulation	Groin hematomas, groin pain, fall in hemoglobin	Ultrasound-guided access	Ultrasound-guided compression, thrombin injection, and surgical closure	S10.2.9.1, S10.2.9.6–S10.2.9.9
Overall major complications with SHD	3.8%–11.24%					S10.2.9.1, S10.2.9.6–S10.2.9.9
Overall all complications	7%–14.7%					S10.2.9.2, S10.2.9.7, S10.2.9.11

AV = atrioventricular; ECG = electrocardiogram; HS = hemodynamic support; IABP = intra-aortic balloon pump; ICE = intracardiac echocardiography; MI = myocardial infarction; pLVAD = percutaneous left ventricular assist device; RF = radiofrequency; RVOT = right ventricular outflow tract; SHD = structural heart disease; TEE = transesophageal echocardiography; TIA = transient ischemic attack; VT = ventricular tachycardia.