Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Cellular Immunology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ycimm

Research paper Macrophages and lipid metabolism

Anneleen Remmerie^{a,b}, Charlotte L. Scott^{a,b,c,*}

^a Laboratory of Myeloid Cell Ontogeny and Functional Specialization, VIB-UGent Center for Inflammation Research, Technologiepark 927, Ghent, Belgium

^b Department of Biomedical Molecular Biology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

^c Institute of Infection, Immunity and Inflammation, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

A R T I C L E I N F O

Keywords: Macrophages Lipid metabolism NAFLD PAP Atherosclerosis AMD

ABSTRACT

Distinct macrophage populations throughout the body display highly heterogeneous transcriptional and epigenetic programs. Recent research has highlighted that these profiles enable the different macrophage populations to perform distinct functions as required in their tissue of residence, in addition to the prototypical macrophage functions such as in innate immunity. These 'extra' tissue-specific functions have been termed accessory functions. One such putative accessory function is lipid metabolism, with macrophages in the lung and liver in particular being associated with this function. As it is now appreciated that cell metabolism not only provides energy but also greatly influences the phenotype and function of the cell, here we review how lipid metabolism affects macrophage phenotype and function and the specific roles played by macrophages in the pathogenesis of lipid-related diseases. In addition, we highlight the current questions limiting our understanding of the role of macrophages in lipid metabolism.

1. Introduction

Macrophages (M ϕ s), first described over a century ago by Ellie Metchnikoff, are found in most tissues of the body. Originally proposed by Van Furth to be part of the mononuclear phagocyte system, originating from bone marrow (BM) derived monocytes [1], it is now clear that tissue-resident M ϕ s are derived during embryogenesis from yolksac M ϕ s and/or fetal liver monocytes [2–6]. However, in accessible tissues, such as the liver and spleen, BM monocytes can engraft and contribute to the tissue-resident M ϕ pool during both the neonatal window and in certain tissues, such as the intestine, during adulthood [7–12].

The term macrophage is derived from the Greek words *makros* and *phagein* and literally means 'big eater'. While M ϕ s are specialised in phagocytosis, this is not their only role. Rather M ϕ s perform an array of functions during the innate immune response and in the initiation of inflammation, as well as contributing to tissue development, homeostasis and repair. While the precise contribution of origin to tissue-resident M ϕ function is an ongoing question, there is considerable evidence that origin may not be the deciding factor in determining functional lung Alveolar M ϕ s (AMs) when transferred into neonatal *Csf2rb2^{-/-}* mice [13] and similarly in the liver, the transcriptional profile of monocyte-derived Kupffer cells (KCs) was highly homologous to the profile from their embryonic counterparts [8].

Thus, it seems that the local environment into which the progenitor enters decides the fate and functions of the differentiated $M\phi$ [7]. Crucially, Mos in each tissue display unique epigenetic landscapes contributing to specialised transcriptional profiles [8,14,15] suggesting that each tissue-resident Mo population will have some functions that are specific for their tissue of residence. For example, splenic red pulp macrophages are specialised in iron acquisition and metabolism [16,17], Muscularis M\u00f6s in the intestine function to regulate gastrointestinal motility [18], Peritoneal Mds have been reported to contribute to the recruitment of B1 cells to the peritoneal cavity through their expression of CXCL13 [19], Mos in the bone, termed osteoclasts, are specialised in bone resorption [20] and microglia in the brain function in the synaptic 'pruning' crucial in the development and maintenance of the central nervous system [21]. These additional functions which benefit the organ have been dubbed 'accessory' functions [22]. One such accessory function of some tissue-resident macrophages is lipid metabolism. Although required by most macrophages to deal with the lipids they acquire through the phagocytosis of dying cells, it is clear from the transcriptional profiles of different tissue-resident macrophages that some, namely the AMs and KCs, are more highly specialised in this function than others [8,15]. This is a crucial function of these M ϕ s as defective or absent lipid metabolism can result in several pathologies including pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (PAP, see below) in the lung. Moreover, development of pathologies can also lead to the recruitment of

* Corresponding author at: Laboratory of Myeloid Cell Ontogeny and Functional Specialization, VIB-UGent Center for Inflammation Research, Technologiepark 927, Ghent, Belgium. *E-mail address:* charlotte.scott@irc.vib-ugent.be (C.L. Scott).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellimm.2018.01.020 Received 30 October 2017; Received in revised form 25 January 2018; Accepted 31 January 2018 Available online 02 February 2018 0008-8749/ © 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY/4.0/).









28

Fig. 1. Overview of Lipid Metabolism and Macrophages. (A) The 3 pathways of Lipid Metabolism are the exogenous pathway (blue), the endogenous pathway (red) and reverse cholesterol transport (black). In the exogenous pathway, chylomicrons from the intestine are released into lymph and enter the bloodstream and subsequently adipose tissue. Here, through the action of lipoprotein lipase these are degraded into free fatty acids and chylomicron remnants which go back into circulation and then enter the liver through remnant receptors to be subsequently degraded into free fatty acids and cholesterol. In the endogenous pathway, VLDLs are exported from the liver to the circulation and adipose tissue where they are degraded again through the action of lipoprotein lipase into free fatty acids and IDL, which then binds the IDL receptor converting IDL to LDL. LDLs then bind to the LDL receptor delivering cholesterol to peripheral tissues as well as returning it to the liver. In the reverse cholesterol pathway, excess cholesterol is returned via HDL to the liver to be excreted in the bile. (B) Macrophages take up LDL, VLDL and oxidised lipoproteins via macropinocytosis, phagocytosis and scavenger receptor-mediated pathways including LOX-1, SR-A1, CD36 and SR-B1. Free cholesterol and fatty acids are generated following degradation of ingested lipids in the lysosome. Such cholesterol can be utilised to form lipid rafts. Accumulation of cellular cholesterol leads to activation of several transcription factors, including PPARγ, LXRs and RXRs which subsequently regulate expression of their target genes including transporters such as ABCG1 which regulate the efflux of free cholesterol and scavenger receptors. Alternatively, passive efflux of free cholesterol can also occur. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

inflammatory monocyte-derived M\$\$\$ (moM\$\$\$\$) which take up and subsequently need to be able to process lipids potentially having dramatic effects on disease progression and patient outcome such as in atherosclerotic plaques. Thus, in this review, we will discuss the roles of both tissue-resident and recruited moM\$\$\$\$\$ in lipid metabolism in different tissues, the factors governing lipid metabolism functions in these M\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$ and the role of lipid metabolism by macrophages in the context of disease pathogenesis. Finally, we will highlight, what we believe to be the major burning questions that remain to be addressed in this area.

2. Lipid metabolism

2.1. Lipid metabolism pathways

The primary function of lipid metabolism is to deliver lipids to peripheral tissues for use or to return lipids to the liver for recycling or clearance. There are 3 main pathways of lipid metabolism: exogenous, endogenous and reverse cholesterol transport. The exogenous pathway is used to process dietary lipids. The endogenous pathway refers to the processing of lipids synthesised in the liver and the process of removing cholesterol from tissues and returning it to the liver is termed reverse cholesterol transport. These pathways are summarised in Fig. 1A. In the exogenous pathway, digested dietary fats; triglycerides (TGs) and cholesterol are packaged into chylomicrons in intestine epithelial cells. These packages are then released into the lymphatic system, acquire Apolipoprotein (Apo)B and subsequently get into the circulation. In the circulation, they acquire ApoC-II, ApoC-III and ApoE at different concentrations [23]. ApoC-II is recognised by adipose tissue where lipoprotein lipase (LPL) hydrolyses TGs releasing free fatty acids for cellular uptake and releasing chylomicron remnants into the bloodstream. These chylomicron remnants are removed from the plasma through remnant receptors on the liver [24]. In the endogenous pathway, the liver synthesises very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) packages containing TGs and cholesterol assembled with ApoB. VLDLs are hydrolysed in fat tissue by LPL into VLDL remnants (or IDLs) and free fatty acids for cellular uptake. IDLs are then hydrolysed by hepatic lipases to low density lipoproteins (LDLs). LDLs transport most cholesterol. Binding of LDLs to their receptors (LDLRs) results in their uptake and release of free cholesterol. Remaining LDLs can be bound by free ApoA secreted by the liver forming Lipoprotein A. This can then bind to the extracellular matrix thus being deposited in vessels leading to atherosclerosis under conditions of excess LDL [25].

Reverse cholesterol transport then returns cholesterol to the liver. This is crucial for homeostasis as most cells in peripheral organs cannot catabolize cholesterol [26]. The liver and intestines also secrete lipid poor ApoA-I. This is lipidated in the circulation via cholesterol efflux by transporters located in peripheral tissues and macrophages [27]. Acquiring cholesterol generates mature high-density lipoproteins (HDLs). Cholesterol is then selectively removed from the

particle by the liver. Excess cholesterol is then excreted into the bile and lipid poor HDL is hydrolysed or returned to circulation for relipidation [23,27] (Fig. 1A).

2.2. Macrophages and reverse cholesterol transport

The same mechanisms that have evolved to enable macrophages to protect the body from infection, such as phagocytosis of pathogens, also renders macrophages key players in lipid metabolism. As macrophages readily take up lipoproteins from dying cells, they have also evolved mechanisms for eliminating cholesterol from the cell. If excess cholesterol is not eliminated from macrophages, this leads to the generation of foam cells. Such foam cells are a contributing factor in the development and rupture of atherosclerotic plaques [28] (see below). Macrophages possess 4 distinct pathways for exporting free cholesterol to HDLs; 2 passive and 2 active [26]. Macrophages take up LDL, VLDL and oxidised lipoproteins, via macropinocytosis, phagocytosis and scavenger receptor-mediated pathways (Fig. 1B) [29]. Ingested lipids are digested in the lysosome, generating free cholesterol and free fatty acids. Free cholesterol can then be re-esterified in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) to cholesterol fatty acid esters enabling it to be stored in the cytosol in lipid droplets generating the 'foam' of foam cells (Fig. 1B) [30]. Alternatively, free cholesterol can be effluxed from the cell at the plasma membrane. Accumulation of cellular cholesterol leads to activation of several transcription factors (TFs) (see below) including the liver X receptor α and β (*Lxra*, *Lxrb*), the retinoid x receptor (*Rxr*) and members of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (Ppar) family including Ppara and Pparg [31,32]. Lxr and Rxr form heterodimers and these TFs upregulate the expression of the ATP-binding cassette subfamily A member 1 (ABCA1) and ABCG1 [33-35]. These transporters regulate the efflux of free cholesterol to lipid poor ApoA-I and other poorly lipidated apolipoproteins to eventually form mature HDLs [36] (Fig. 1B), although the precise contribution of ABCG1 in vivo remains controversial [33,37,38]. Alternatively, passive efflux of free cholesterol can also occur either via simple diffusion (aqueous diffusion pathway) or facilitated diffusion (SR-BI-mediated pathway) (Fig. 1B) [26].

2.3. Fatty acid oxidation

Lipid metabolism results in the generation of free fatty acids that are subsequently taken up by different cells. Additionally, as described above, macrophages can acquire lipids through scavenger receptors such as CD36 on their surface. Such lipids are then degraded in the lysosome via the action of lysosomal acid lipase into free cholesterol and fatty acids, in a process termed lipolysis [39]. While cholesterol is exported from the cell to HDLs, the fatty acid oxidation (FAO) pathway enables the fatty acids to subsequently be converted in the mitochondria into numerous products that the cell can use to generate energy such as acetyl-coenzyme A, NADH and FADH₂. Initially fatty acids in the cytosol are 'activated' via an enzyme-mediated reaction with ATP to generate fatty acid acyl-CoA [40]. These then enter the mitochondria either via passive diffusion (short-chain fatty acids) or via the carnitine shuttle whereby medium/long chain fatty acids are conjugated to carnitine via the enzyme activity of carnitine palmitoyl transferase 1A (CPT1A) and transported into the mitochondria. Once there, CPT2 removes the carnitine and β -oxidation of the fatty acid acyl-CoA occurs [40,41]. This yields large amounts of acetyl-CoA, NADH and FADH₂ that are subsequently used in the TCA cycle and electron transport chain to generate ATP.

2.4. Fatty acid synthesis

For a cell to grow and proliferate lipids are required. If the lipid levels in the cell are not sufficient then the fatty acid synthesis (FAS) pathway can be initiated in the cytoplasm to allow cells to generate lipids from precursors derived from other cell intrinsic metabolic pathways including the TCA cycle, glycolysis and the pentose-phosphate pathway [40,41]. mTOR signalling promotes FAS through the induction of sterol regulatory element binding protein (SREBP) a transcription factor (see below) which in turn induces fatty acid synthase (FASN) and acetyl CoA carboxylase (ACC) [42].

3. Macrophage function and fatty acid metabolism

As mentioned above, recent studies have highlighted that the local environment in which the macrophages reside rather than their origin likely governs their function [8,13]. In terms of function, macrophages are often divided into discrete subsets termed M1 or classically activated and M2 or alternatively activated macrophages. M1 macrophages are characterised by high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines and, because of their substantial production of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, are highly microbicidal. Consistent with this they also promote T_h1 type responses. Conversely M2 macrophages are described as predominantly anti-inflammatory and are associated with wound healing, tumour growth, helminth infections and Th2 type responses. Importantly, this classification has been made based on in vitro studies and recent work highlighting the heterogeneity amongst in vivo tissue-resident macrophage populations has demonstrated that this classification of macrophage subtypes is over-simplistic [43]. Nonetheless, while in vivo macrophages likely represent a spectrum between the defined M1 and M2 phenotypes in vitro, this classification has allowed significant advances to be made in understanding the metabolic programming of the different macrophage functions. There is accumulating evidence that different metabolic pathways are required for programming M1 and M2 Mds (for reviews see [40,41,44,45]), Here, we will focus on the role played by FAO and FAS in M_{\$\phi\$} polarization.

There appears to be a reciprocal relationship between the differentiation of M1 and M2 M ϕ s and their requirement for FAS and FAO respectively. Inflammatory signals including LPS and IFN γ , that are required to generate M1 M ϕ s, have been shown to drive FAS [46,47], while the inhibition of inflammatory signals required for the differentiation of M2 M ϕ s involves FAO [40,48,49]. Intriguingly, cellular longevity is also thought to be supported by FAO [50,51], thus it is tempting to speculate that this may also be true in tissue-resident macrophages which are renowned as long-lived self-renewing cells (Reviewed in [2,52]), however this remains to be examined. The IL4 induced M2 phenotype is dependent upon signal transducer and activator of transcription 6 (STAT6) and the peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor γ (PPAR γ) and its coactivator 1b (PGC1b) [53,54]. Moreover, epigenetic reprogramming through the activity of

demethylating enzymes such as JMJD3 plays a role in M2 polarization [45,55,56]. Inhibition of FAO, using pharmacological inhibitors such as Etomoxir, prevents M2 activation and overexpression of PGC1b prevents an M1 response following stimulation with LPS and IFNy [39,53]. The source of the fatty acids enabling FAO in M2 Mos has been shown to derive from uptake via the CD36 receptor (which is induced by IL4) and subsequent lysosomal lipolysis mediated by lysosomal acid lipase (which can be further induced by IL4) [39]. Moreover, fatty acids fueling FAO can be generated through glycolytic metabolism as M2 Mqs consume more glucose and glutamine than naïve Mos and glutamine deprivation has been shown to impair M2 polarization [57-60]. Consistent with this, it has recently been reported that α -ketoglutarate generated from glutaminolysis can promote M2 activation by augmented FAO via Jmjd3-dependent metabolic and epigenetic reprograming [60]. However, the precise role of FAO in driving M2 polarization is currently under scrutiny as genetic ablation of *Cpt2* (the enzyme which removes carnithine allowing β oxidation of the fatty acid in the mitochondria to occur), in Mos using ${\tt LysM^{CRE}xCpt2^{fl/fl}}$ mice does not impair M2 polarization in bone marrow-derived M ϕ s or peritoneal M ϕ s [61]. The discrepancy between the data using Etomoxir or genetic ablation of Cpt2 could be ascribed to off target effects of Etomoxir which is thought to inhibit CPT1a (which transports long-chain fatty acids in the mitochondria) or a role for CPT1a outside of FAO but further work is required to determine this. Alternatively, this finding may represent a shortcoming of the LysM CRE transgenic mouse model, which does not efficiently target all Møs. Importantly, while the exact role of FAO in inducing the M2 phenotype requires further study, increasing FAO in lipid laden foam cells such as those observed in atherosclerosis (see below) by enforcing CPT1a expression can reduce lipid accumulation as well as the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines [62] suggesting that inducing FAO in foam cells could be of therapeutic potential.

4. Transcription factors modulating lipid metabolism activity in Macrophages

While the M1/M2 classification holds in vitro, the situation is more complex in vivo. In recent years, it has become clear that different tissue-resident M
 populations have distinct transcriptional, epigenetic and metabolic profiles [8,14,15,44,45]. These profiles arise as a result of the complex and distinct myriad of signals these Mos receive in each tissue. These tissue-specific signals also impart 'so-called' accessory functions on these M ϕ populations [22,63], that the M ϕ s must perform in addition to more general Mo functions such as phagocytosis. Although all Mos take up and process lipids to some extent, lipid metabolism could be viewed as one such accessory function of Mds as the transcription profiles of lung alveolar Mds (AMs) and liver Kupffer cells (KCs) are both enriched for this function compared with other $M\phi$ populations [8,15]. Several transcription factors (TFs) are associated with the specific accessory functions of Mds. In terms of lipid metabolism, such TFs expressed by the different M ϕ populations include the Liver-X family of receptors (LXRs), Peroxisome proliferator-activated family of receptors (PPARs), CCAAT enhancer binding proteins (C/ EBPs) and sterol regulatory element binding proteins (SREBPs) (See Table 1). In addition, although not a TF, Micro-RNA 33 (miR-33) has also been implicated in regulating lipid metabolism in macrophages and hence will also be discussed here.

The liver X receptors (LXR α and LXR β , encoded by *Nr1h3* and *Nr1h2* respectively), form part of the nuclear receptor family of TFs. LXRs bind to DNA as heterodimers with RXRs and as such the activity of the heterodimer can be modulated by ligands of either partner. LXR β is

Cellular Immunology 330 (2018) 27-42

Table 1

Overview of TFs modulating lipid metabolism in macrophages.

TF	Role(s) in lipid metabolism	Role(s) in macrophages	Reference(s)
LXRs	Cholesterol sensors	Expressed by Splenic marginal zone Møs and Kupffer cells	65–75, 79, 80
	Reverse cholesterol transport	Reduce cellular cholesterol levels	
		Modulation of glucose metabolism	
		Limiting the production of inflammatory mediators	
		Regulates presence of splenic marginal zone Møs	
PPARγ	Required for adipocyte differentiation	Expressed by Alveolar Møs, Kupffer cells and Splenic Red Pulp Møs,	82-92
	Regulates expression of scavenger receptors involved in lipid	Required for Alveolar Mø development & maintenance	
	uptake	Implicated in M2 polarization	
	Regulates expression of cholesterol efflux genes (with LXRa)	Inhibits pro-inflammatory gene expression	
		Required for apoptotic cell engulfment	
C/EBPβ	Adipose tissue development	Expressed by most Møs	93-96,100
	Regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism	Induced in human Mos by OxLDLDrives M2 macrophage polarization	
	Regulation of b-oxidation & lipogenic genes & fatty acid synthase	Loss of C/EBP β results in an altered phenotype of alveolar M ϕ s and large peritoneal	
		Μφs	
SREBPs	Regulators of cholesterol and lipid synthesis	Induced by NF-kB activation	101
	Induces LDLR expression	Regulates lipogenesis	
		Drives Inflammasome activation	

relatively ubiquitously expressed while LXR α is more restricted in its expression being found predominantly in metabolic tissues such as the liver and adipose tissue and in tissue-resident macrophages [64]. More specifically, LXRa is highly expressed in splenic macrophages and liver Kupffer cells [8,14,15]. This is reflected in the gene expression profiles of these cells which include numerous LXRa target genes including Cd51 [8,15]. Analysis of F4/80, CD68 and CD169 expression in LXR α/β double KO mice revealed that LXRs are required for presence of splenic marginal zone macrophages but not other macrophages including liver Kupffer cells (at least based on the expression of these common $M\phi$ surface markers) despite their high expression of these TFs [65]. The main function of LXRs is as cholesterol sensors, regulating gene expression in response to specific oxysterol ligands [66]. In macrophages, such oxysterols may be derived from internalized oxLDL or generated intracellularly through modification of cholesterol [67,68]. Perhaps the best-known function of LXRs is in the regulation of reverse cholesterol transport (see above). In macrophages, LXRs reduce cellular cholesterol levels by regulating the expression of the cholesterol efflux transporters ABCA1 and ABCG1 [69-73]. In addition to inducing expression of cholesterol efflux transporters, LXR signalling can induce the expression of apolipoproteins such as ApoE and ApoC which serve as receptors for cholesterol [74,75]. The importance of apolipoproteins in macrophage cholesterol efflux is evident as mice lacking ApoE specifically in macrophages are more susceptible to atherosclerosis [76]. Increased production of lipoprotein remodeling proteins including LPL is a further mechanism by which LXRs function in macrophages to reduce the cholesterol burden [77]. Finally, it has also been reported that LXR induced expression of Idol in macrophages can induce LDLR ubiquitination leading to its degradation via the proteasome and subsequently reduced LDL cholesterol binding and uptake [78]. In addition to reducing cholesterol levels, several studies have also implicated LXRs in the modulation of glucose metabolism and in innate and adaptive immune responses. In macrophages, the latter equates to LXR activation limiting the production of inflammatory mediators such as iNOS and Cox2 [79,80].

The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) family of transcription factors are a nuclear hormone receptor superfamily, having established roles in lipid metabolism. PPARs, like LXRs are active when heterodimerised with RXRs [81]. The family includes PPAR α , associated with hepatic and cardiac lipid metabolism, the ubiquitously expressed PPAR β (also known as PPAR δ) and PPAR γ , which is highly expressed in adipose tissue, where it is essential for adipocyte

differentiation [82], and macrophages and hence will be the focus of this review. PPAR γ is highly expressed in AMs in the lung [15,83,84]. Here it is induced by CSF-2 signalling and is required for AM development and maintenance [84,85]. It is also expressed (albeit at lower levels) by splenic red pulp Mos and Kupffer cells [8,84], although the role of PPARy in these cells under homeostatic conditions remains unknown. In addition to being expressed by these tissue-resident $M\phi$ populations, it has been reported that $\ensuremath{\text{PPAR}}_\gamma$ expression is upregulated in Ly6C^{hi} monocyte derived M\u00f6s recruited to the peritoneum following thioglycollate treatment [84]. Functionally, PPARy has been suggested to control the inflammatory potential of Møs being implicated in driving M2 polarization of Mqs as well as inhibiting pro-inflammatory gene expression including IL1 β , IL6, TNF α , IL12 and iNOS [54,86,87]. This is fitting with results showing that if $\mbox{PPAR}\gamma$ is lost from Mqs recruited to the peritoneum following thioglycollate treatment, resolution of inflammation is impaired [84]. However, more recently PPAR γ has also been shown to be required for uptake of apoptotic cells by $M\phi s$ [88], providing an alternative mechanism through which PPAR γ in M ϕ s contributes to the resolution of inflammation. In addition, perhaps the best-known role of the fatty acid receptor, PPARy, is in cholesterol metabolism. PPARy has been shown to regulate lipid accumulation in Mos in atherosclerotic plaques. It achieves this by regulating expression of the scavenger receptors involved in lipid uptake, CD36 and SR-A [86,89], as well as (through activating LXR α) regulating expression of cholesterol efflux genes including the transporters ABCA1 and ABCG1 [90,91] (see above). As a result of this function, low or absent expression of PPAR γ in M ϕ s is associated with increased atherosclerosis [90,92] and insulin resistance [54].

The C/EBP family of TFs are basic region-leucine zipper (bZIP) proteins. This family of proteins have been associated with adipose tissue development [93], regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism [94] as well as M2 M ϕ polarization [95]. Although the roles of C/EBPs in lipid metabolism in M ϕ s are not as well characterised as PPARs and LXRs, we are beginning to understand the role played by one family member C/EBP β . In terms of tissue-specificity amongst M ϕ s, C/EBP β is expressed by most tissue-resident M ϕ populations [15]. However, to date it has only been suggested to be required for the presence of lung AMs and large peritoneal M ϕ s (LPMs), as C/EBP β is also required for the differentiation of Ly6C^{lo} monocytes from their Ly6C^{hi} counterparts [97], a process crucial in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis (see

below). Mice lacking C/EBPB are completely protected against diet induced obesity with reduced expression of hepatic lipogenic genes, fatty acid synthase and increased expression of β-oxidation genes in brown adipose tissue [98]. Evidence that C/EBP β may play a role in lipid metabolism in Mos comes from studies showing that C/EBPB expression is induced in human Mos primed with OxLDL to become foam cells alongside LXRa and PPARy expression [99]. Furthermore, ChIP-Seq analysis for C/EBP β in these Ox-LDL exposed human M ϕ s found an enrichment of genes associated with both the innate immune response and lipid/cholesterol transport and efflux [99]. Likewise, in mice, C/ EBP β was also found to regulate lipid metabolism genes such as PPAR γ , LXR α in peritoneal and RAW M ϕ s, although the direction of this regulation was not always consistent between the different M ϕ s [100]. It is also worth noting that this study preceded the one showing that LPMs were reduced or altered in the absence of C/EBPB [96] and hence it remains to be investigated if this difference in gene expression is truly due to the loss of C/EBP β or if it instead reflects differences in the macrophage populations present in C/EBPB -sufficient or-deficient animals.

SREBPs encoded by Srebf1 and Srebf2 are master regulators of cholesterol and lipid synthesis [101]. They belong to the family of basic-helix-loop-helix leucine zipper TFs. Intracellular cholesterol, desmosterol and oxysterols retain SREBPs in the endoplasmic reticulum, however when levels drop, SREBPs can enter the nucleus, bind to sterol regulatory elements (SREs) and induce gene transcription inducing cholesterol and fatty acid synthesis [44]. Accumulation of newly synthesized sterols then prevents further SREBPs from being cleaved and exiting the ER, providing a negative feedback loop. Loss of this feedback loop through deletion of the genes which maintain SREBP in the ER (Insig1 and Insig2) is detrimental, resulting in lipid accumulation which has been shown to cause lipotoxicity in alveolar macrophages [102]. SREBP1a is the main isoform found in macrophages. In addition to its role in lipid synthesis. SREBP1a also induces LDLR expression and is also associated with inflammatory function. In Mos, SREBP1a expression can be induced by NF-kB activation, which drives enhanced lipogenesis as well as inflammasome activation and subsequent IL1ß release [103,104]. However, SREBPs are not solely pro-inflammatory, SREBP-1 KO macrophages following TLR4 activation have been shown to be hyper-inflammatory as they are unable to synthesize anti-inflammatory unsaturated fatty acids leading to increased expression of Il1a, Cxcl1 and Cxcl9 [105]. Thus, like the other TFs discussed above, SREBPs are involved in the regulation of a myriad of processes further highlighting the importance of lipid metabolism in macrophage function.

Unlike TFs which bind to cis-regulatory DNA elements often located in or near their target genes, microRNAs (miRNAs) hybridize to cis-regulatory RNA elements mostly located in the 3' untranslated region of their target mRNAs. In terms of regulating lipid metabolism, miR-33 and its passenger strand miR-33* have been shown to play a significant role [106,107], (for review of miRNAs in lipid metabolism see [108]). miR-33 has also been implicated in determining the activation state of macrophages [109] (see below). miR-33a and miR-33b are co-expressed with their host genes Srebf1 and Srebf2 and their function is to prevent the initiation of pathways opposing those induced by SREBPs [106,107]. Thus, they repress the expression of genes involved in cholesterol efflux and FAO. This pathway holds promise as a therapeutic target. Inhibitors of miR-33 result in an increase in expression of the cholesterol transporter ABCA1 in macrophages (and in the liver) and hence cholesterol efflux suggesting an anti-atherosclerotic effect [106,107,110-115]. However, its potential use is broader than atherosclerosis as it has also recently been shown that Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb) is capable of surviving in macrophages by inducing expression of miR-33 and miR-33* inhibiting autophagy, lysosomal function and FAO, the latter providing the bacteria with a source of nutrients in the form of cholesterol and fatty acids [116]. Notably, mice lacking miR-33 in hematopoietic cells had an improved ability to clear the bacteria, while treatment of macrophages *in vitro* with miR-33 and miR-33* inhibitors also restricted *Mtb* viability [116].

Taken together, it is clear that the presence or absence of lipids can have dramatic effects on macrophage biology, affecting their gene expression profile and ultimately their functions. Thus, it is no surprise that macrophages have been implicated in the pathogenesis of several diseases in which lipid homeostasis is perturbed such as atherosclerosis, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and alveolar proteinosis. In the next section, we will review the roles played by different macrophages in some of these lipid-mediated diseases.

4.1. Atherosclerosis

Atherosclerosis, the leading cause of death in industrialized countries, is a chronic inflammatory disease. It arises as a result of an accumulation of cholesterol-laden macrophages in the artery wall leading to an imbalance in lipid metabolism and a maladaptive immune response [28]. Atherosclerosis develops when excess cholesterol-rich apolipoprotein B, in the form of LDLs and remnants accumulate along the endothelium. This accumulation forms plaques in the subendothelium of the arteries. This occurs in regions where laminar flow is disturbed or insufficient such as at curves and branching points in the vessels [28]. Such accumulation of lipid leads to the recruitment of monocytes into the subendothelial space, which subsequently differentiate into macrophages with a predominantly proinflammatory phenotype (Fig. 2). The metabolic factors driving the macrophage phenotype in atherosclerosis have recently been reviewed by Stienstra and colleagues [44] and Bories and Letinger [117] and hence will not be discussed further here. Recruitment of monocytes is proportional to disease burden and hypercholesterolemia results in monocytosis through the regulation of stem cell cycling by cholesterol in the membrane [118-120]. Evidence from the clinic suggests that this is also true in humans with low HDL correlating with increased monocyte numbers [121,122]. Monocytes can be recruited from the BM or from a splenic reservoir [123]. There are 2 subsets of monocytes in the blood, namely $\mbox{Ly6C}^{\rm hi}$ and $\mbox{Ly6C}^{\rm lo}$ in mice and CD14⁺ and CD16⁺ in humans [124]. The Ly6C^{hi}/CD14⁺ subset constitutes the majority of cells recruited to progressing plaques and hence these are thought to be the main source of the macrophages that develop in the plaque [118,119]. While Ly6C^{lo} monocytes are hypothesised to play a protective role (reviewed in [125]), the mechanisms through which monocytes are recruited to plaques have been extensively reviewed elsewhere and so will not be discussed here (for examples see [126-128]). In addition to monocytederived macrophages, macrophage-like cells derived from smooth muscle cells also accumulate in the plaque [129-132]. Furthermore, low numbers of mononuclear phagocytes (MPs) are present in the intima which may also contribute to macrophage burden in plaques, especially as lipid-laden foam cells are detected in within the first week following feeding of a cholesterol-rich diet to Ldlr^{-/-} mice [133], a time before a significant increase in monocyte recruitment is detected [134]. These cells have been identified in the intima as early as the 4th week of life [135], but earlier time-points remain to be examined. Thus it is interesting to speculate that these MPs may represent embryonically-derived tissue-resident macrophages, especially given that while Ly6C^{hi} monocytes are recruited at low levels [134,136], there is little evidence to suggest they give rise to the MPs



Plaque Growth



Cellular Immunology 330 (2018) 27-42

Fig. 2. Macrophage Niches in Atherosclerosis. (A) The onset of atherosclerosis is associated with plaque development. This generates new macrophage niches which need to be filled. This is done predominantly through the recruitment of monocytes to the developing plaque and their subsequent differentiation into macrophages. These macrophages then take up the excess fat and differentiate into lipid laden foam cells. (B) As the plaque grows, likely so too does the number of macrophage niches. These are then populated through 2 main mechanisms. The predominating mechanism is proliferation. Foam cells already present in the plaque sense the empty niches and start to proliferate thus filling up the available niches. Alternatively, new monocytes can also be recruited to the growing plaque where they will engraft and then differentiate into macrophages. (C) During plaque regression, the local environment is changed dramatically. This can have two consequences, the foam cells which are adapted to the fat-rich environment cannot adjust to the new environment and subsequently die or possibly emigrate out, resulting in niche availability which is filled through monocyte recruitment and subsequent differentiation into macrophages that are distinct from those generated in the fat-rich environment being more M2-like. Alternatively, if plastic enough to do so, the foam cell may alter its transcriptional profile rendering it more suited to this new fatpoor environment. Additionally, as the plaque regresses it is

С





in the intima prior to the onset of atherosclerosis. However, the true identity of these cells remains controversial as they appear to share features of the both the macrophage and dendritic cell lineages, thus further study is required to accurately determine the nature of these cells (reviewed in [125,137]). The exact roles of the distinct lineages of macrophages in atherosclerosis remain to be investigated (Box 1). As a result of these unknowns, in the rest of this section, we will discuss the role of macrophages in general in atherosclerosis.

Box 1

Macrophage Niches in the Atherosclerotic Plaque

The macrophage niche hypothesis was recently proposed enabling, in our opinion, the current, seemingly conflicting data on macrophage ontogeny to be reconciled [7]. This model predicts the ontogeny of different macrophage populations based on three main factors, niche availability, niche accessibility and precursor plasticity [7]. Although at this time purely speculative, it is tempting to apply the same concept to the atherosclerotic plaque (Fig. 2). The plaque could house novel niches for macrophages upon its development. Alternatively, the niches could exist prior to the onset of atherosclerosis and expand in number (and possibly be altered due to the inflammation) during atherosclerosis. Indeed a minor population of MPs are present in the intima, although whether these are *bona fide* macrophages is still debated [137]). At the onset of atherosclerotic plaque formation, Mø numbers are dramatically increased. One of the signals potentially driving this is cholesterol as hypercholesteraemia correlates with monocytosis [118-120]. The increased numbers of macrophages could derive from 3 potential sources. As the niche is accessible [7], being in the artery wall, one method of increasing $M\phi$ number is through the recruitment of monocytes to the plaque which enter the subendothelial space and differentiate into Mqs. Ly6C^{hi} monocytes have been shown to be the main contributors to the M ϕ pool [210], however, the development of atherosclerosis is only halted when recruitment of both monocyte subsets (Ly6Chi and Ly6Clo) is abolished through the inhibition of CCR5, CX3CR1 and CCL2 in the Apoe^{-/-} model [119,211]. This could suggest that both monocyte subtypes are recruited and give rise to the eventual foam cells. In addition to monocyte-derived Mds, smooth muscle cells (SMCs) have been shown to transdifferentiate into $M\phi$ -like cells thus also potentially contributing to $M\phi$ numbers [130,132,212]. Finally, proliferation of the resident MP population likely also contributes to the increased Mo number in the developing plaque as foam cells are present prior to the recruitment of monocytes upon switching to a cholesterol rich diet [133,134], however, whether these cells are maintained and proliferate in the progressing plaque remains unclear. Typically, inflammation induces death of resident macrophage populations, the so-called 'macrophage disappearance reaction'. As resident macrophages, that have been conditioned for prolonged periods of time in one niche, appear to have limited plasticity when transplanted into a second different niche [13,14], it is plausible that the resident intima MPs, see the increased lipid levels, try to deal with the lipid but fail resulting in their death and subsequently most Mds in the developing plaque derive from monocytes or SMCs. Importantly, the different contributions of the distinct Mo populations to the pathophysiology of atherosclerosis remain unknown. Based on recent data from other tissues [8,13], one could hypothesise that if the local signals driving the differ-tions in the developing plaque are the same then their functions could also be similar. Of course, all this will depend upon the plasticity of both the monocytes and SMCs as well as the putative long-lived resident Mo population, which remains to be investigated. After developing, the atherosclerotic plaque itself is a dynamic structure. As the plaque grows, this could be envisaged as an increase in the number of niches resulting in niche availability. This availability is subsequently filled via two mechanisms. Macrophages already present in a niche

proliferate to fill new niches while (albeit at a lower level) new monocytes are also recruited to fill the new niches [138,213]. This is consistent with open niches such as the liver, whereby Kupffer cells, following partial depletion, are repopulated through both local proliferation and monocyte recruitment and differentiation [8]. In addition to plaque growth creating niche availability, lipid-laden foam cells also routinely die in the plaques thus again creating niche availability which can also be filled through both mechanisms of repopulation. During plaque regression the niche hypothesis could also potentially be applied. If one considers that conditioned foam cells are plastic, then these may adapt to the new environment becoming more M2-like. However, if the foam cells are not particularly plastic and hence cannot readily adapt to the new environment, (dramatic lipid lowering seen in regression), the Mos would not be able to adapt and would subsequently die, or potentially emigrate out (discussed in [28,125]) once again creating niche availability. This availability would then be filled by recruited monocytes which would then differentiate into macrophages adapted to the new niches. For example, in the model of atherosclerotic plaque transplantation into WT mice, the rapid reversal of dyslipidaemia drives the replacement of the more M1-like macrophages in the niches with newly recruited Ly6Chi monocytes which differentiate into more M2-like macrophages [169]. Importantly, at least in this model, regression requires the *de novo* recruitment of Ly6C^{hi} monocytes and their subsequent differentiation as blocking monocyte recruitment prevents regression from occurring [169].

Macrophages build up in the developing plaques and are maintained predominantly through proliferation with limited input from monocytes [138] (Box 1, Fig. 2). In the plaque, macrophages acquire lipoproteins from the surrounding environment. This can occur through the scavenger receptors (pattern recognition receptors; PRRs) which are present on the cell surface of the macrophages. Multiple types of these scavenger receptors are present including scavenger receptor A1 (SR-A1), MARCO, CD36, SR-B1 and LOX1 which take up oxidised forms of LDL (ox-LDL) generated as a result of the increased oxidative stress in the artery wall (reviewed in [139,140]). Notably, as PRRs, these scavenger receptors do not only function in lipid uptake. For example, SR-A1 has also been implicated in modulating macrophage proliferation within the lesion, thus also regulating macrophage numbers [138]. CD36 has been implicated in inflammasome activation [141] and macrophage polarization [142] and both of these receptors are also linked to promoting apoptosis and inflammatory gene expression [143]. Alternatively, LDL, when present at hyperlipidemic concentrations, can be engulfed by macrophages via pinocytosis [144] and lipolytic enzymes present in the intima can also generate modified forms of LDL that are taken up by macrophages using scavenger receptor independent pathways [145]. Under normal conditions, lipids taken up by macrophages are typically processed and effluxed from the cells preventing the generation of foam cells (described above). However, as there appears to be little negative feedback, during dyslipidaemia excessive lipid uptake by macrophages results in defective cholesterol trafficking and lipid efflux and the generation of foam cells engorged with lipid [28], affecting macrophage phenotype and compromising immune functions.

Free cholesterol is toxic to cells, as a result free cholesterol is typically transported to the ER where it is re-esterified to form cholesterol esters that can be stored as relatively inert material in lipid droplets or effluxed from the cell via transporters such as ABCA1. Excess free cholesterol in the ER, for example due to impaired efflux, can result in defective re-esterification promoting further accumulation of free cholesterol in the cell, such as at lipid rafts in the membrane [146]. This causes enhanced inflammatory signalling at the membrane lipid rafts including TLR signalling and NFkB activation [146–148]. Inflammatory signalling is further exacerbated if cholesterol trafficking from lysosomes becomes defective. Combined, these phenomena contribute to increased ER stress in the macrophages which results in cell death by apoptosis [149–154]. Apoptotic macrophages must be cleared by other macrophages in the vicinity by efferocytosis. However, this requires intact lipid metabolism. In atherosclerosis, the dysregulated lipid metabolism in macrophages prevents effective efferocytosis and this coupled with the increase in macrophage apoptosis results in secondary necrosis [155,156]. One mechanism for this is the cleavage of the efferocytosis receptor MerTK from macrophages by ADAM17 [157–161]. The subsequent release of intracellular components into the local environment contributes to the establishment of a necrotic core in the plaque. This is a feature of advanced atherosclerotic plaques and can contribute to plaque rupture. Moreover, through the secretion of matrix metalloproteinases, macrophages are thought to further contribute to plaque rupture [162], however as mouse models do not exhibit similar rupture as observed in humans this has been difficult to validate experimentally.

While associated with plaque development, progression and rupture, macrophages are also implicated in plaque regression suggesting that macrophages may also play atheroprotective roles. Regression can be induced experimentally through drastic lipid reduction or surgical models including transplantation of an aortic plaque into a WT mouse with normal lipid levels [110,163-167]. Macrophage content is typically reduced during regression (Fig. 2), however, the phenotype of the macrophages in the plaque is also altered, adopting a more M2-like phenotype. Macrophages in the regressing plaque express elevated levels of Arg1 and Cd163 and reduced levels of pro-inflammatory genes including Tnfa [168]. This altered gene expression profile is not however black and white M2 vs M1 as seen in vitro, as some inflammatory genes are upregulated during regression including *Il1b* [168], further highlighting the shortcomings of this classification in vivo [43]. Additionally, it remains controversial if this change in gene expression profile represents a shift in macrophage phenotype or recruitment of new cells [125], however, the latter concept is supported by recent data showing monocytes are indeed recruited to regressing plaques where they differentiate into M2-like macrophages [169,170] fitting with the recently proposed macrophage niche hypothesis [7] (Box 1, Fig. 2). Taken together, it is evident that macrophages through their roles in both lipid metabolism and the immune response are central to the pathophysiology of atherosclerosis. Given that dysregulated lipid metabolism is the driving factor in foam cell development, macrophage activation and subsequent plaque rupture resulting from development of the necrotic core, further understanding the factors that affect the lipid content of macrophages and their responses to such lipid will be key in developing therapeutic interventions.

4.2. Non-Alcoholic fatty liver disease

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) represents a spectrum of liver diseases including non-alcoholic fatty liver (NAFL, isolated steatosis,) non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), fibrosis, cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Global prevalence of NAFLD ranges from 22 to 28% [171] and is currently increasing linked to the increase in obesity and type 2 diabetes [172]. The pathogenesis of NAFLD remains incompletely understood but the multiple hit theory is commonly accepted, whereby parallel hits from the gut and adipose tissue drive hepatic fat accumulation and inflammation [173]. Hepatocellular accumulation of lipids is a key event in the early stages of NAFLD while progression to NASH is fuelled by hepatic inflammation. Hepatic M\u03c6s are thought to play a key role in this progression [174]. As discussed in more detail below, this role is thought to be primarily due to their modulation of hepatic inflammation through their response to DAMPs and other signals released as a consequence of

increased hepatic fat burden [175]. However, it is worth noting that as KCs possess all the genetic machinery to take up and metabolize excess lipids [8], their involvement in NASH pathogenesis may also be related to lipid accumulation and processing. Indeed Leroux and colleagues have shown that specific lipids accumulate in KCs of mice fed a high fat diet (HFD) and that this results in altered expression of lipid metabolism associated genes [176]. Thus, this avenue of study requires further investigation.

NAFLD is commonly associated with a pro-inflammatory hepatic $M\phi$ phenotype. This is primarily associated with the presence of DAMPs and PAMPs such as LPS. FFA and cholesterol and the release of additional DAMPs by dying fat-laden hepatocytes which trigger Mo activation. In addition to these DAMPs and PAMPs, a recent study has shown that hepatocyte-derived histidine rich glycoproteins (HRG) can promote M1 responses in M\u00f3s in vitro and that HRG^{-/-} mice display attenuated liver injury and fibrosis on the methionine-choline deficient diet (MCDD), a murine model of NASH [177]. Interestingly, this attenuation also correlated with decreased hepatic $M\phi$ numbers and a more M2-like phenotype in those remaining compared with WT controls [177]. Hepatocyte HRG was also found to be significantly upregulated in NAFLD patients and this correlated with a M1-like phenotype of adjacent Mds [177] suggesting HRG is another factor driving the proinflammatory phenotype in hepatic Mds during NAFLD. Toxic lipid accumulation in M\u00f6s was also associated with a pro-inflammatory phenotype suggesting that altered lipid metabolism may also contribute to the role of KCs in modulating hepatic inflammation in NAFLD [176]. Additionally, another recent study has shown that hepatocytes triggered in vitro by fatty acids release extracellular vesicles (EVs) containing tumour necrosis factor related apoptosis inducing factor (TRAIL) in a Rho-associated, coiled-coil-containing protein kinase 1 (ROCK1) dependent manner and that these EVs induce the production of Il1b and Il6 mRNA in murine bone-marrow derived Mds [178]. Importantly. ROCK1 inhibition in vivo in a model of NASH reduced liver injury, inflammation and fibrosis [178]. While most research suggests pro-inflammatory Møs dominate in NAFLD and drive disease pathogenesis, hepatic Mos may also have anti-inflammatory properties. Moreover, promoting such M2-like M6s has been reported to be beneficial for protecting against NAFLD [177,179-183]. Taken together, these results suggest that manipulation of the M1/M2 ratio in NAFLD may be an attractive therapeutic strategy [184].

While there are numerous studies suggesting that modulating the M1/M2 balance may be beneficial in NAFLD, it remains unclear if M1 and M2 macrophages represent discrete M6 populations or in fact if one $M\varphi$ population expresses both M1 and M2 genes that can be further skewed in one direction or another. As mentioned above, the M1/M2 classification does not really hold in vivo given the myriad of signals present at any one time [43], thus the true nature of these cells remains largely unknown. Related to this, until recently it has been difficult to distinguish between bona fide Kupffer cells and other hepatic Mos that may be recruited during NAFLD. This has made it difficult to assess the role of each $M\phi$ subset in NAFLD. Indeed most strategies to look at KC function target both KCs and recruited hepatic Mos including LysM Transgenic mice and Clodronate Liposomes, the latter of which targets all phagocytic cells, making results difficult to interpret [185-187]. In addition, multiple studies have shown recently that monocytes can also give rise to *bona fide* KCs [8,12,14] suggesting that the hepatic $M\phi$ pool during NAFLD could consist of at least 3 distinct populations. While all of these express high levels of F4/80, CD64 and lack Ly6C expression, they can be distinguished on the basis of Clec4F and Tim4 expression [8]. Those M ϕ s expressing Clec4F⁺Tim4⁺, the long-lived KCs, the Clec4F⁺Tim4⁻ monocyte-derived KCs and Clec4F⁻ hepatic M\u03c6s [8]. CX3CR1 and CCR2 are two other markers which can be used to identify hepatic M\u00f3s which have recently derived from monocytes [188], although they do not distinguish between monocyte-derived KCs or other Mqs. While these populations were first identified in livers under predominantly homeostatic conditions, we have recently also shown that



Fig. 3. Role of Mds in NAFLD. There are several questions remaining regarding the role of Mds in NAFLD. One of the main questions relates to whether there are multiple subsets of KCs and other hepatic Møs present or not. Monocytes are recruited to the liver and develop into infiltrating Mds (Inf Mds) during NAFLD, but do they also contribute to the bona fide KC pool? If so are these moKCs more M1 or M2-like? Another question is where do the foam cells originate from? KCs that have become overloaded with lipid, or can these cells given their lipid metabolism enriched transcriptional profile efficiently process lipids meaning the foam cells are generated from the infiltrating Mds or is it a combination of both? Another key question is whether KCs are plastic and can change from a more M2 profile to that of an M1-like Mos and vice versa. If this is the case, how does this work and can it be manipulated to enhance M2-like cell numbers given that this appears beneficial for the patient?

all three populations can be identified during NASH in mice fed a MCDD [189] and during feeding of a high fat, high cholesterol and high sugar diet (our own unpublished observations). Thus, now it will be necessary to determine the functional contributions of each of these populations in disease pathogenesis. A number of other questions also remain (Fig. 3). As described for atherosclerosis, one crucial question lies in the plasticity of hepatic M\u00f3s [7]. Do long-term resident KCs dramatically alter their gene expression profiles upon exposure to excess fat or other signals, such as increased LPS? Lipid metabolism has been implicated in macrophage polarization but is it enough to alter a cell that has been programmed for prolonged periods in conditions without excess fat? There is no clear consensus as to whether resident Mos would be plastic or not, AMs in the lung do seem to alter their transcriptional programmes during fibrosis [190], however following paracetamol overdose, KCs have been reported to have very few changes in their gene expression profile [188], thus further investigation is warranted. Other questions include; do KCs die during NAFLD? What role do the infiltrating Mos play? These differentiate amidst the myriad of danger signals, thus, are they for that reason predominantly pro-inflammatory? Indeed, these infiltrating monocyte-derived Mos have been suggested to play a role in disease pathogenesis as blocking/ reducing their infiltration can attenuate NASH [187,191,192]. Do bona fide KCs differentiate from monocytes during NAFLD? If so, how different is their transcriptional/epigenetic profile compared with those who differentiated during homeostatic conditions? Are they also longlived? While the majority of these questions remain unanswered, there is some recent work suggesting answers to these questions. We have found that moKCs generated during NASH appear to be relatively short lived being lost upon recovery [189] while other data suggests that infiltrating monocytes may indeed have a distinct phenotype and possibly function compared with the resident KCs [187], however, the gating strategy used in this study to identify the different subsets may have led to some overlap and so further investigation using new markers is required. Crucially, we now have the tools to address these questions, meaning exciting times lie ahead for our understanding of hepatic M ϕ involvement in NAFLD.

4.3. Macular degeneration

The inability to efficiently efflux cholesterol does not only play a role in obesity-related diseases. Age related macular degeneration (AMD), the leading cause of blindness in the industrialised world in the ageing population [193], is another disease where impaired cholesterol efflux has been implicated in the pathophysiology [194–196]. AMD occurs in two forms early (or dry) and exudative (wet). Blindness largely occurs from the wet form of AMD which is characterised by development of new blood vessels underneath the retina, termed choroidal neovascularization (CNV) [197]. Wet AMD is preceded by dry AMD. Dry AMD is characterised by the presence of esterifiedcholesterol rich ApoB lipoprotein laden deposits called 'Drusen' in a layer of the retina termed Bruchs membrane. Increased size and number of Drusen is a major factor involved in AMD progression [198]. Moreover, Drusen, like atherosclerotic plaques, result in monocyte recruitment, macrophage differentiation and associated inflammation [199]. Macrophages have been implicated in all stages of AMD. While macrophages recruited during early stages of disease



Fig. 4. Role of M\u03c6s in Alveolar Proteinosis. (A) Normal alveolar macrophage development occurs within the first week of life. Local CSF-2 signalling in the alveoli induces expression of the TF *Pparg* in the CSF-2R expressing fetal liver monocytes generating pre-alveolar macrophages, which subsequently differentiate into AMs. Type II alveolar epithelial cells (AECII) produce surfactant that is crucial for normal lung function. Excess or old surfactant must be recycled and this occurs primarily via the AECII but also via AMs. Surfactant is taken up via the AMs and catabolised in the phagolysosome. (B) In the absence CSF2 signalling as a result of deficiency in CSF2, CSF2R or the presence of auto-antibodies directed against CSF2, *Pparg* expression is not induced and as a result AMs do not develop correctly and cannot process the excess lipids. This leads to a build-up of surfactant in the alveoli and macrophages, the latter of which results in the generation of foam cells. This build up leads to a reduction in the air space in the alveoli making it difficult to breathe.

have a predominantly pro-inflammatory (M1-like) phenotype, AMD progression and CNV development, in both mice and men, is associated with a pro-angiogenic (M2-like) macrophage phenotype [197,200–203]. Macrophages sample Drusen and subsequently process and export the cholesterol. It has recently been shown that impaired cholesterol efflux in macrophages due to loss of *Abca1* expression can also lead to a pro-angiogenic phenotype and thus contribute to CNV development [194]. Interestingly, *Abca1* expression is downregulated in senescent macrophages, which possess a predominantly pro-angiogenic phenotype [194]. Thus, highlighting the need for efficient cholesterol efflux by macrophages not only in obesity-driven diseases but also in limiting AMD progression.

4.4. Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis

Although not involved in metabolism of cholesterol, alveolar macrophages of the lung also play a role in lipid metabolism. Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (PAP) is a rare disease characterised by build-up of surfactant within the alveoli [204]. Pulmonary surfactant is produced by type II alveolar epithelial cells (AEC) and is essential for normal lung function. It forms mono and multi-layers that act as the interface between liquid and air, reducing surface tension and allowing efficacious gas exchange. It also contributes to host defence acting as an opsonin as well as directly killing microbes [205]. Normal levels of surfactant, which is composed of phospholipids and proteins, are crucial and regulated through its balanced production, secretion, uptake, recycling and catabolism [205]. 70% of spent surfactant is taken up by type II AECs and recycled while the remaining 30% is taken up and catabolized by AMs. This function of AMs is crucial as evidenced by the development of hereditary PAP in patients and mice lacking AMs due to deficiencies in either CSF2 (GMCSF) or Csf2r [206,207] and idiopathic PAP in patients with non-functional AMs due to neutralizing auto-antibodies

against CSF2 [208]. CSF2 signalling is crucial for the development of AMs and hence the acquisition of this surfactant catabolism function [6] (Fig. 4). Transcriptional profiling of AMs has shown that they possess a strong lipid metabolism signature within their core genes [15,84]. One way through which CSF2 signalling drives AM development is through the induction of the transcription factor Pparg which is crucial for AMs with Pparg conditional knock out mice lacking functional AMs [84,85] (Fig. 4). In the absence of CSF2 signalling or Pparg expression F4/80⁺CD64⁺ M ϕ s are found in the lung alveolar space but these do not express SiglecF or CD11c, two characteristic markers of AMs [6,84,85]. These Mos are hence not bona fide AMs and as such lack specific AM-associated functions including the ability to catabolise surfactant. This results in the build-up of surfactant within the Mos leading to the generation of foam cells with dramatically altered gene expression profiles [84,204], and, a build-up within the alveoli leading to respiratory difficulties (Fig. 4). Transplantation of AMs as well as and BM monocytes) has been shown to be sufficient to prevent PAP development in Csf2rb2^{-/-} mice [13,209], further highlighting the essential role played by AMs in PAP progression and providing a potential new therapy option for this disease.

5. Conclusions and future perspectives

In conclusion, it is evident that macrophages play key roles in lipid metabolism and in the pathogenesis of lipid-related diseases. However, it is also clear that we do not yet fully understand this. There are a number of outstanding questions in the field (see above and summarised in Box 2). Thus, we still have much to learn regarding the fascinating roles of macrophages particularly in lipid metabolism. Importantly, as our understanding of macrophages increases, both in terms of their common and tissue-specific features, we are more and

more in a position to design new tools to address such specific questions and as such exciting times lie ahead in terms for macrophage biology. **Box 2**

Burning Questions.

While it is obvious from the literature reviewed here that $M\phi s$ play predominant roles in lipid metabolism both in the steady state (AMs) and during disease pathogenesis (Atherosclerosis, NAFLD, AMD & PAP), it is also very clear that we do not yet fully understand this and as a result many pertinent questions remain to be answered by the field. For example, with the addition of recent data the role of lipid metabolism in driving $M\phi$ phenotype is being debated. Thus, the question remains what role does lipid metabolism play in regulating the phenotype of Mds in vivo? Moreover, it will be important to understand how lipid metabolism is regulated in Mos? It has been reported that senescent Mos downregulate their expression of cholesterol efflux genes. What regulates this? Furthermore, how does this translate in terms of lipid load in macrophages or the tissues in which they reside?. In addition to this, another question that remains unanswered is why is the transcriptional profile of certain Mds enriched for lipid metabolism genes compared with others? While for some this is clear (AMs and surfactant clearance), the role(s) of the other Mφs enriched for this function, such as the KCs, is/are largely unknown. Are these cells contributing to lipid clearance and recycling similar to their neighbours, the hepatocytes? Moreover, how are these cells then involved in conditions of excess lipid such as NAFLD? Are they solely driving NAFLD pathogenesis by acting as sensors of DAMPs and PAMPs? Or does their role go beyond this into lipid uptake and clearance? More importantly, is this function conserved in human KCs? And can manipulating the KCs in terms of their lipid metabolism function alter and ultimately improve patient outcome? This is something we are actively investigating in the lab. Another key and perhaps the most crucial question for the field, is the question of Mo subsets and plasticity. Many studies have reported the presence of M1 Mos at one stage of disease and M2 Mos at another. However, two things remain unclear with this characterisation. Firstly, the relevance of the use of the M1/M2 nomenclature in vivo. We know that the M1/M2 classification does not hold up in vivo and as often these $M\phi s$ are defined on the basis of one or two surface markers it is really unclear what these cells actually are. Secondly, does this reflect truly different subsets of macrophages at different disease stages or macrophage plasticity? Are these distinct subsets of Mos recruited at different stages of the disease? Perhaps resident versus recruited Møs? Or is it one subset of cells that changes it function along a spectrum based on the signals around it? How plastic are Mds? Do they adapt to changes in local lipid level or do they die and get replaced? With the so-called M
disappearance reaction occurring during most insults, one might consider that resident Mos are not actually that plastic and hence once their environment or niche is altered they cannot adjust and hence die being replaced by monocyte-derived cells, but this remains to be investigated. This also then leads to the question, how plastic are the recruited monocytes? Are they altering their function during disease progression? Or are they again replaced by a more adapted M ϕ when the environment changes?

Acknowledgments

CLS is supported by a Sir Henry Wellcome Fellowship and an FWO project grant.

References

- [1] R. van Furth, Z.A. Cohn, J.G. Hirsch, J.H. Humphrey, W.G. Spector, H.L. Langevoort, The mononuclear phagocyte system: a new classification of macrophages, monocytes, and their precursor cells, Bull. World Health Organ. 46 (1972) 845–852.
- [2] F. Ginhoux, M. Guilliams, Tissue-resident macrophage ontogeny and homeostasis, Immunity 44 (2016) 439–449, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2016.02.024.
- [3] G. Hoeffel, J. Chen, Y. Lavin, D. Low, F.F. Almeida, P. See, et al., C-myb(+) erythro-myeloid progenitor-derived fetal monocytes give rise to adult tissue-resident macrophages, Immunity 42 (2015) 665–678, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. immuni.2015.03.011.
- [4] E. Gomez Perdiguero, K. Klapproth, C. Schulz, K. Busch, E. Azzoni, L. Crozet, et al., Tissue-resident macrophages originate from yolk-sac-derived erythro-myeloid progenitors, Nature 518 (2015) 547–551, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ nature13989.
- [5] C. Schulz, E. Gomez Perdiguero, L. Chorro, H. Szabo-Rogers, N. Cagnard, K. Kierdorf, et al., A lineage of myeloid cells independent of Myb and hematopoietic stem cells, Science 336 (2012) 86–90, http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science. 1219179.
- [6] M. Guilliams, I. De Kleer, S. Henri, S. Post, L. Vanhoutte, S. De Prijck, et al., Alveolar macrophages develop from fetal monocytes that differentiate into longlived cells in the first week of life via GM-CSF, J. Exp. Med. 210 (2013) 1977–1992, http://dx.doi.org/10.1084/jem.20131199.
- [7] M. Guilliams, C.L. Scott, Does niche competition determine the origin of tissueresident macrophages? Nat. Rev. Immunol. 128 (2017) 415, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1038/nri.2017.42.
- [8] C.L. Scott, F. Zheng, P. De Baetselier, L. Martens, Y. Saeys, S. De Prijck, et al., Bone marrow-derived monocytes give rise to self-renewing and fully differentiated Kupffer cells, Nat. Commun. 7 (2016) 10321, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ ncomms10321.
- [9] C.C. Bain, A. Bravo-Blas, C.L. Scott, E. Gomez Perdiguero, F. Geissmann, S. Henri, et al., Constant replenishment from circulating monocytes maintains the macrophage pool in the intestine of adult mice, Nat. Immunol. (2014), http://dx.doi. org/10.1038/ni.2967.
- [10] C.M. Sawai, S. Babovic, S. Upadhaya, D.J.H.F. Knapp, Y. Lavin, C.M. Lau, et al., Hematopoietic Stem Cells Are the Major Source of Multilineage Hematopoiesis in Adult Animals, Immunity 45 (2016) 597–609, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. immuni.2016.08.007.
- [11] S. Tamoutounour, M. Guilliams, F. Montanana Sanchis, H. Liu, D. Terhorst, C. Malosse, et al., Origins and functional specialization of macrophages and of conventional and monocyte-derived dendritic cells in mouse skin, Immunity 39 (2013) 925–938, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2013.10.004.
- [12] L. Beattie, A. Sawtell, J. Mann, T.C.M. Frame, B. Teal, F. de Labastida Rivera, et al., Bone marrow-derived and resident liver macrophages display unique transcriptomic signatures but similar biological functions, J. Hepatol. 65 (2016) 758–768, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2016.05.037.
- [13] L. van de Laar, W. Saelens, S. De Prijck, L. Martens, C.L. Scott, G. Van Isterdael, et al., Yolk Sac Macrophages, Fetal Liver, and Adult Monocytes Can Colonize an Empty Niche and Develop into Functional Tissue-Resident Macrophages, Immunity 44 (2016) 755–768, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2016.02.017.
- [14] Y. Lavin, D. Winter, R. Blecher-Gonen, E. David, H. Keren-Shaul, M. Merad, et al., Tissue-resident macrophage enhancer landscapes are shaped by the local microenvironment, Cell 159 (2014) 1312–1326, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014. 11.018.
- [15] E.L. Gautier, T. Shay, J. Miller, M. Greter, C. Jakubzick, S. Ivanov, et al., Geneexpression profiles and transcriptional regulatory pathways that underlie the identity and diversity of mouse tissue macrophages, Nat. Immunol. 13 (2012) 1118–1128, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ni.2419.
- [16] M. Kohyama, W. Ise, B.T. Edelson, P.R. Wilker, K. Hildner, C. Mejia, et al., Role for Spi-C in the development of red pulp macrophages and splenic iron homeostasis, Nature 457 (2009) 318–321, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature07472.
- [17] M. Haldar, M. Kohyama, A.Y.-L. So, W. KC, X. Wu, C.G. Briseño, et al., Hememediated SPI-C induction promotes monocyte differentiation into iron-recycling macrophages, Cell 156 (2014) 1223–1234. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.01.069.
- [18] P.A. Muller, B. Koscsó, G.M. Rajani, K. Stevanovic, M.-L. Berres, D. Hashimoto, et al., Crosstalk between muscularis macrophages and enteric neurons regulates gastrointestinal motility, Cell 158 (2014) 300–313, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. cell.2014.04.050.
- [19] K.M. Ansel, R.B.S. Harris, J.G. Cyster, CXCL13 is required for B1 cell homing, natural antibody production, and body cavity immunity, Immunity 16 (2002) 67–76.
- [20] W.J. Boyle, W.S. Simonet, D.L. Lacey, Osteoclast differentiation and activation, Nature 423 (2003) 337–342, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01658.
- [21] C.N. Parkhurst, G. Yang, I. Ninan, J.N. Savas, J.R. Yates, J.J. Lafaille, et al., Microglia promote learning-dependent synapse formation through brain-derived neurotrophic factor, Cell 155 (2013) 1596–1609, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. cell.2013.11.030.
- [22] Y. Okabe, R. Medzhitov, Tissue biology perspective on macrophages, Nat.

Immunol. 17 (2016) 9–17, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ni.3320.

- [23] D. Gaudet, J.-P. Drouin-Chartier, P. Couture, Lipid Metabolism and Emerging Targets for Lipid-Lowering Therapy, Can. J. Cardiol. 33 (2017) 872–882, http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2016.12.019.
- [24] T.E. Willnow, Z. Sheng, S. Ishibashi, J. Herz, Inhibition of hepatic chylomicron remnant uptake by gene transfer of a receptor antagonist, Science 264 (1994) 1471–1474, http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.7515194.
- [25] B.G. Nordestgaard, M.J. Chapman, K. Ray, J. Borén, F. Andreotti, G.F. Watts, et al., Lipoprotein(a) as a cardiovascular risk factor: current status, Eur. Heart J. 31 (2010) 2844–2853, http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehq386.
- [26] M.C. Phillips, Molecular mechanisms of cellular cholesterol efflux, J. Biol. Chem. 289 (2014) 24020–24029, http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R114.583658.
- [27] A. Bhatt, A. Rohatgi, HDL Cholesterol Efflux Capacity: Cardiovascular Risk Factor and Potential Therapeutic Target, Curr. Atheroscler. Rep. 18 (2016) 2, http://dx. doi.org/10.1007/s11883-015-0554-1.
- [28] K.J. Moore, F.J. Sheedy, E.A. Fisher, Macrophages in atherosclerosis: a dynamic balance, Nat. Rev. Immunol. 13 (2013) 709–721, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ nri3520.
- [29] I. Tabas, K.E. Bornfeldt, Macrophage Phenotype and Function in Different Stages of Atherosclerosis, Circ. Res. 118 (2016) 653–667, http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCRESAHA.115.306256.
- [30] F.R. Maxfield, I. Tabas, Role of cholesterol and lipid organization in disease, Nature 438 (2005) 612–621, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature04399.
- [31] D. Duffy, D.J. Rader, Update on strategies to increase HDL quantity and function, Nat. Rev. Cardiol. 6 (2009) 455–463, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrcardio. 2009.94.
- [32] G.W. Klappacher, C.K. Glass, Roles of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma in lipid homeostasis and inflammatory responses of macrophages, Curr. Opin. Lipidol. 13 (2002) 305–312.
- [33] M.A. Kennedy, G.C. Barrera, K. Nakamura, A. Baldán, P. Tarr, M.C. Fishbein, et al., ABCG1 has a critical role in mediating cholesterol efflux to HDL and preventing cellular lipid accumulation, Cell Metab. 1 (2005) 121–131, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1016/j.cmet.2005.01.002.
- [34] N. Wang, D. Lan, W. Chen, F. Matsuura, A.R. Tall, ATP-binding cassette transporters G1 and G4 mediate cellular cholesterol efflux to high-density lipoproteins, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101 (2004) 9774–9779, http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0403506101.
- [35] H. Hara, S. Yokoyama, Interaction of free apolipoproteins with macrophages. Formation of high density lipoprotein-like lipoproteins and reduction of cellular cholesterol, J. Biol. Chem. 266 (1991) 3080–3086.
- [36] P.M. Hutchins, J.W. Heinecke, Cholesterol efflux capacity, macrophage reverse cholesterol transport and cardioprotective HDL, Curr. Opin. Lipidol. 26 (2015) 388–393, http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MOL.00000000000209.
- [37] E.J. Tarling, P.A. Edwards, ATP binding cassette transporter G1 (ABCG1) is an intracellular sterol transporter, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108 (2011) 19719–19724, http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1113021108.
- [38] K. Nakamura, M.A. Kennedy, A. Baldán, D.D. Bojanic, K. Lyons, P.A. Edwards, Expression and regulation of multiple murine ATP-binding cassette transporter G1 mRNAs/isoforms that stimulate cellular cholesterol efflux to high density lipoprotein, J. Biol. Chem. 279 (2004) 45980–45989, http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M408652200.
- [39] S.C.-C. Huang, B. Everts, Y. Ivanova, D. O'Sullivan, M. Nascimento, A.M. Smith, et al., Cell-intrinsic lysosomal lipolysis is essential for alternative activation of macrophages, Nat. Immunol. 15 (2014) 846–855, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ni. 2956.
- [40] L.A.J. O'Neill, R.J. Kishton, J. Rathmell, A guide to immunometabolism for immunologists, Nat. Rev. Immunol. 16 (2016) 553–565, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ nri.2016.70.
- [41] M.M. Mehta, S.E. Weinberg, N.S. Chandel, Mitochondrial control of immunity: beyond ATP, Nat. Rev. Immunol. (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nri.2017.66.
- [42] R.G. Jones, E.J. Pearce, MenTORing Immunity: mTOR Signaling in the Development and Function of Tissue-Resident Immune Cells, Immunity 46 (2017) 730–742, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2017.04.028.
- [43] M. Nahrendorf, F.K. Swirski, Abandoning M1/M2 for a Network Model of Macrophage Function, Circ. Res. 119 (2016) 414–417, http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCRESAHA.116.309194.
- [44] R. Stienstra, R.T. Netea-Maier, N.P. Riksen, L.A.B. Joosten, M.G. Netea, Specific and Complex Reprogramming of Cellular Metabolism in Myeloid Cells during Innate Immune Responses, Cell Metab. 26 (2017) 142–156, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1016/j.cmet.2017.06.001.
- [45] A.T. Phan, A.W. Goldrath, C.K. Glass, Metabolic and Epigenetic Coordination of T Cell and Macrophage Immunity, Immunity 46 (2017) 714–729, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.immuni.2017.04.016.
- [46] E.N. Posokhova, O.M. Khoshchenko, M.I. Chasovskikh, E.N. Pivovarova, M.I. Dushkin, Lipid synthesis in macrophages during inflammation in vivo: effect of agonists of peroxisome proliferator activated receptors alpha and gamma and of retinoid X receptors, Biochem. Mosc. 73 (2008) 296–304.
- [47] K.R. Feingold, J.K. Shigenaga, M.R. Kazemi, C.M. McDonald, S.M. Patzek, A.S. Cross, et al., Mechanisms of triglyceride accumulation in activated macrophages, J. Leukoc. Biol. 92 (2012) 829–839, http://dx.doi.org/10.1189/jlb. 1111537.
- [48] J.I. Odegaard, A. Chawla, Alternative macrophage activation and metabolism, Annu. Rev. Pathol. 6 (2011) 275–297, http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pathol-011110-130138.
- [49] D. Namgaladze, B. Brüne, Macrophage fatty acid oxidation and its roles in macrophage polarization and fatty acid-induced inflammation, Biochim. Biophys.

Acta. 2016 (1861) 1796-1807, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2016.09.002.

- [50] G.J.W. van der Windt, B. Everts, C.-H. Chang, J.D. Curtis, T.C. Freitas, E. Amiel, et al., Mitochondrial respiratory capacity is a critical regulator of CD8 + T cell memory development, Immunity 36 (2012) 68–78, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. immuni.2011.12.007.
- [51] E.L. Pearce, Metabolism in T cell activation and differentiation, Curr Opin Immunol. 22 (2010) 314–320, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2010.01.018.
- [52] E.G. Perdiguero, F. Geissmann, The development and maintenance of resident macrophages, Nat. Immunol. 17 (2016) 2–8, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ni.3341.
- [53] D. Vats, L. Mukundan, J.I. Odegaard, L. Zhang, K.L. Smith, C.R. Morel, et al., Oxidative metabolism and PGC-1beta attenuate macrophage-mediated inflammation, Cell Metab. 4 (2006) 13–24, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2006. 05.011.
- [54] J.I. Odegaard, R.R. Ricardo-Gonzalez, M.H. Goforth, C.R. Morel, V. Subramanian, L. Mukundan, et al., Macrophage-specific PPARgamma controls alternative activation and improves insulin resistance, Nature 447 (2007) 1116–1120, http://dx. doi.org/10.1038/nature05894.
- [55] T. Satoh, O. Takeuchi, A. Vandenbon, K. Yasuda, Y. Tanaka, Y. Kumagai, et al., The Jmjd3-Irf4 axis regulates M2 macrophage polarization and host responses against helminth infection, Nat. Immunol. 11 (2010) 936–944, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/ni.1920.
- [56] L. Kruidenier, C.-W. Chung, Z. Cheng, J. Liddle, K. Che, G. Joberty, et al., A selective jumonji H3K27 demethylase inhibitor modulates the proinflammatory macrophage response, Nature 488 (2012) 404–408, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ nature11262.
- [57] S.C.-C. Huang, A.M. Smith, B. Everts, M. Colonna, E.L. Pearce, J.D. Schilling, et al., Metabolic Reprogramming Mediated by the mTORC2-IRF4 Signaling Axis Is Essential for Macrophage Alternative Activation, Immunity 45 (2016) 817–830, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2016.09.016.
- [58] A.J. Covarrubias, H.I. Aksoylar, J. Yu, N.W. Snyder, A.J. Worth, S.S. Iyer, et al., Akt-mTORC1 signaling regulates Acly to integrate metabolic input to control of macrophage activation, Elife 5 (2016) R106, http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife. 11612.
- [59] A.K. Jha, S.C.-C. Huang, A. Sergushichev, V. Lampropoulou, Y. Ivanova, E. Loginicheva, et al., Network integration of parallel metabolic and transcriptional data reveals metabolic modules that regulate macrophage polarization, Immunity 42 (2015) 419–430, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2015.02.005.
- [60] P.-S. Liu, H. Wang, X. Li, T. Chao, T. Teav, S. Christen, et al., α-ketoglutarate orchestrates macrophage activation through metabolic and epigenetic reprogramming, Nat. Immunol. 18 (2017) 985–994, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ni. 3796.
- [61] M. Nomura, J. Liu, I.I. Rovira, E. Gonzalez-Hurtado, J. Lee, M.J. Wolfgang, et al., Fatty acid oxidation in macrophage polarization, Nature Immunology 17 (2016) 216–217, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ni.3366.
- [62] M.I. Malandrino, R. Fucho, M. Weber, M. Calderon-Dominguez, J.F. Mir, L. Valcarcel, et al., Enhanced fatty acid oxidation in adipocytes and macrophages reduces lipid-induced triglyceride accumulation and inflammation, Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 308 (2015) E756–69, http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo. 00362.2014.
- [63] Y. Okabe, R. Medzhitov, Tissue-specific signals control reversible program of localization and functional polarization of macrophages, Cell 157 (2014) 832–844, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.04.016.
- [64] N. A-Gonzalez, A. Castrillo, Liver X receptors as regulators of macrophage inflammatory and metabolic pathways, Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 1812 (2011) 982–994. doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2010.12.015.
- [65] N. A-Gonzalez, J.A. Guillen, G. Gallardo, M. Diaz, J.V. de la Rosa, I.H. Hernandez, et al., The nuclear receptor LXRα controls the functional specialization of splenic macrophages, Nat Immunol. 14 (2013) 831–839. doi:10.1038/ni.2622.
- [66] J.J. Repa, D.J. Mangelsdorf, The role of orphan nuclear receptors in the regulation of cholesterol homeostasis, Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 16 (2000) 459–481, http:// dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.16.1.459.
- [67] B.A. Laffitte, P. Tontonoz, Orphan nuclear receptors find a home in the arterial wall, Curr. Atheroscler. Rep. 4 (2002) 213–221.
- [68] X. Fu, J.G. Menke, Y. Chen, G. Zhou, K.L. MacNaul, S.D. Wright, et al., 27-hydroxycholesterol is an endogenous ligand for liver X receptor in cholesterol-loaded cells, J. Biol. Chem. 276 (2001) 38378–38387, http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M105805200.
- [69] A. Venkateswaran, B.A. Laffitte, S.B. Joseph, P.A. Mak, D.C. Wilpitz, P.A. Edwards, et al., Control of cellular cholesterol efflux by the nuclear oxysterol receptor LXR alpha, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97 (2000) 12097–12102, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.200367697.
- [70] A. Venkateswaran, J.J. Repa, J.M. Lobaccaro, A. Bronson, D.J. Mangelsdorf, P.A. Edwards, Human white/murine ABC8 mRNA levels are highly induced in lipid-loaded macrophages. A transcriptional role for specific oxysterols, J. Biol. Chem. 275 (2000) 14700–14707.
- [71] P. Costet, Y. Luo, N. Wang, A.R. Tall, Sterol-dependent transactivation of the ABC1 promoter by the liver X receptor/retinoid X receptor, J. Biol. Chem. 275 (2000) 28240–28245, http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M003337200.
- [72] K. Schwartz, R.M. Lawn, D.P. Wade, ABC1 gene expression and ApoA-I-mediated cholesterol efflux are regulated by LXR, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 274 (2000) 794–802, http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.2000.3243.
- [73] J.J. Repa, S.D. Turley, J.A. Lobaccaro, J. Medina, L. Li, K. Lustig, et al., Regulation of absorption and ABC1-mediated efflux of cholesterol by RXR heterodimers, Science 289 (2000) 1524–1529.
- [74] B.A. Laffitte, J.J. Repa, S.B. Joseph, D.C. Wilpitz, H.R. Kast, D.J. Mangelsdorf, et al., LXRs control lipid-inducible expression of the apolipoprotein E gene in

macrophages and adipocytes, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98 (2001) 507-512, http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.021488798.

- [75] P.A. Mak, B.A. Laffitte, C. Desrumaux, S.B. Joseph, L.K. Curtiss, D.J. Mangelsdorf, et al., Regulated expression of the apolipoprotein E/C-I/C-IV/C-II gene cluster in murine and human macrophages. A critical role for nuclear liver X receptors alpha and beta, J. Biol. Chem. 277 (2002) 31900–31908, http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M202993200.
- [76] L.K. Curtiss, ApoE in atherosclerosis: a protein with multiple hats, Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 20 (2000) 1852–1853.
- [77] Y. Zhang, J.J. Repa, K. Gauthier, D.J. Mangelsdorf, Regulation of lipoprotein lipase by the oxysterol receptors, LXRalpha and LXRbeta, J. Biol. Chem. 276 (2001) 43018–43024, http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M107823200.
- [78] N. Zelcer, C. Hong, R. Boyadjian, P. Tontonoz, LXR regulates cholesterol uptake through Idol-dependent ubiquitination of the LDL receptor, Science 325 (2009) 100–104, http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1168974.
- [79] A. Castrillo, P. Tontonoz, Nuclear receptors in macrophage biology: at the crossroads of lipid metabolism and inflammation, Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 20 (2004) 455–480, http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.20.012103.134432.
- [80] S.B. Joseph, A. Castrillo, B.A. Laffitte, D.J. Mangelsdorf, P. Tontonoz, Reciprocal regulation of inflammation and lipid metabolism by liver X receptors, Nat Med. 9 (2003) 213–219, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nm820.
- [81] K.L. Gearing, M. Göttlicher, M. Teboul, E. Widmark, J.A. Gustafsson, Interaction of the peroxisome-proliferator-activated receptor and retinoid X receptor, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90 (1993) 1440–1444.
- [82] R. Siersbaek, R. Nielsen, S. Mandrup, PPARgamma in adipocyte differentiation and metabolism–novel insights from genome-wide studies, FEBS Lett. 584 (2010) 3242–3249, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2010.06.010.
- [83] E. Mass, I. Ballesteros, M. Farlik, F. Halbritter, P. Günther, L. Crozet, et al., Specification of tissue-resident macrophages during organogenesis, Science 353 (2016) aaf4238-aaf4238. doi:10.1126/science.aaf4238.
- [84] E.L. Gautier, A. Chow, R. Spanbroek, G. Marcelin, M. Greter, C. Jakubzick, et al., Systemic analysis of PPARγ in mouse macrophage populations reveals marked diversity in expression with critical roles in resolution of inflammation and airway immunity, J. Immunol. 189 (2012) 2614–2624, http://dx.doi.org/10.4049/ jimmunol.1200495.
- [85] C. Schneider, S.P. Nobs, M. Kurrer, H. Rehrauer, C. Thiele, M. Kopf, Induction of the nuclear receptor PPAR-[gamma] by the cytokine GM-CSF is critical for the differentiation of fetal monocytes into alveolar macrophages, Nat. Immunol. 15 (2014) 1026–1037, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ni.3005.
- [86] M. Ricote, A.C. Li, T.M. Willson, C.J. Kelly, C.K. Glass, The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma is a negative regulator of macrophage activation, Nature 391 (1998) 79–82, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/34178.
- [87] M.A. Bouhlel, B. Derudas, E. Rigamonti, R. Dièvart, J. Brozek, S. Haulon, et al., PPARgamma activation primes human monocytes into alternative M2 macrophages with anti-inflammatory properties, Cell Metab. 6 (2007) 137–143, http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2007.06.010.
- [88] T. Roszer, M.P. Menéndez-Gutiérrez, M.I. Lefterova, D. Alameda, V. Núñez, M.A. Lazar, et al., Autoimmune kidney disease and impaired engulfment of apoptotic cells in mice with macrophage peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma or retinoid X receptor alpha deficiency, J. Immunol. 186 (2011) 621–631, http://dx.doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1002230.
- [89] P. Tontonoz, L. Nagy, J.G. Alvarez, V.A. Thomazy, R.M. Evans, PPARgamma promotes monocyte/macrophage differentiation and uptake of oxidized LDL, Cell 93 (1998) 241–252.
- [90] A. Chawla, W.A. Boisvert, C.H. Lee, B.A. Laffitte, Y. Barak, S.B. Joseph, et al., A PPAR gamma-LXR-ABCA1 pathway in macrophages is involved in cholesterol efflux and atherogenesis, Mol. Cell. 7 (2001) 161–171.
- [91] G. Chinetti, S. Lestavel, V. Bocher, A.T. Remaley, B. Neve, I.P. Torra, et al., PPARalpha and PPAR-gamma activators induce cholesterol removal from human macrophage foam cells through stimulation of the ABCA1 pathway, Nat. Med. 7 (2001) 53–58, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/83348.
- [92] A.C. Li, C.J. Binder, A. Gutierrez, K.K. Brown, C.R. Plotkin, J.W. Pattison, et al., Differential inhibition of macrophage foam-cell formation and atherosclerosis in mice by PPARalpha, beta/delta, and gamma, J. Clin. Invest. 114 (2004) 1564–1576, http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI18730.
- [93] T. Tanaka, N. Yoshida, T. Kishimoto, S. Akira, Defective adipocyte differentiation in mice lacking the C/EBPbeta and/or C/EBPdelta gene, EMBO J. 16 (1997) 7432–7443, http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/emboj/16.24.7432.
- [94] D.P. Ramji, P. Foka, CCAAT/enhancer-binding proteins: structure, function and regulation, Biochem. J. 365 (2002) 561–575, http://dx.doi.org/10.1042/ BJ20020508.
- [95] D. Ruffell, F. Mourkioti, A. Gambardella, P. Kirstetter, R.G. Lopez, N. Rosenthal, et al., A CREB-C/EBP cascade induces M2 macrophage-specific gene expression and promotes muscle injury repair, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 106 (2009) 17475–17480, http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0908641106.
- [96] D.W. Cain, E.G. O'Koren, M.J. Kan, M. Womble, G.D. Sempowski, K. Hopper, et al., Identification of a tissue-specific, C/EBPβ-dependent pathway of differentiation for murine peritoneal macrophages, J. Immunol. 191 (2013) 4665–4675, http:// dx.doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1300581.
- [97] A. Mildner, J. Schönheit, A. Giladi, E. David, D. Lara-Astiaso, E. Lorenzo-Vivas, et al., Genomic Characterization of Murine Monocytes Reveals C/EBPβ Transcription Factor Dependence of Ly6C(-) Cells, Immunity 46 (2017) 849–862. e7. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2017.04.018.
- [98] C.A. Millward, J.D. Heaney, D.S. Sinasac, E.C. Chu, I.R. Bederman, D.A. Gilge, et al., Mice with a deletion in the gene for CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein beta are protected against diet-induced obesity, Diabetes 56 (2007) 161–167, http://

dx.doi.org/10.2337/db06-0310.

- [99] M.E. Reschen, K.J. Gaulton, D. Lin, E.J. Soilleux, A.J. Morris, S.S. Smyth, et al., Lipid-induced epigenomic changes in human macrophages identify a coronary artery disease-associated variant that regulates PPAP2B Expression through Altered C/EBP-beta binding, PLoS Genet. 11 (2015) e1005061, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pgen.1005061.
- [100] S.M. Rahman, R.C. Janssen, M. Choudhury, K.C. Baquero, R.M. Aikens, B.A. de la Houssaye, et al., CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein β (C/EBPβ) expression regulates dietary-induced inflammation in macrophages and adipose tissue in mice, J. Biol. Chem. 287 (2012) 34349–34360, http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112. 410613.
- [101] H. Shimano, R. Sato, SREBP-regulated lipid metabolism: convergent physiology divergent pathophysiology, Nat. Rev. Endocrinol. 43 (2017) 1220, http://dx.doi. org/10.1038/nrendo.2017.91.
- [102] L. Plantier, V. Besnard, Y. Xu, M. Ikegami, S.E. Wert, A.N. Hunt, et al., Activation of sterol-response element-binding proteins (SREBP) in alveolar type II cells enhances lipogenesis causing pulmonary lipotoxicity, J. Biol. Chem. 287 (2012) 10099–10114, http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.303669.
- [103] S.-S. Im, L. Yousef, C. Blaschitz, J.Z. Liu, R.A. Edwards, S.G. Young, et al., Linking lipid metabolism to the innate immune response in macrophages through sterol regulatory element binding protein-1a, Cell Metab. 13 (2011) 540–549, http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2011.04.001.
- [104] A. Reboldi, E.V. Dang, J.G. McDonald, G. Liang, D.W. Russell, J.G. Cyster, Inflammation. 25-Hydroxycholesterol suppresses interleukin-1-driven inflammation downstream of type I interferon, Science 345 (2014) 679–684. doi:10.1126/ science.1254790.
- [105] Y. Oishi, N.J. Spann, V.M. Link, E.D. Muse, T. Strid, C. Edillor, et al., SREBP1 contributes to resolution of pro-inflammatory TLR4 signaling by reprogramming fatty acid metabolism, Cell Metab. 25 (2017) 412–427, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1016/j.cmet.2016.11.009.
- [106] K.J. Rayner, Y. Suarez, A. Dávalos, S. Parathath, M.L. Fitzgerald, N. Tamehiro, et al., MiR-33 contributes to the regulation of cholesterol homeostasis, Science 328 (2010) 1570–1573, http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1189862.
- [107] S.H. Najafi-Shoushtari, F. Kristo, Y. Li, T. Shioda, D.E. Cohen, R.E. Gerszten, et al., MicroRNA-33 and the SREBP host genes cooperate to control cholesterol homeostasis, Science 328 (2010) 1566–1569, http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science. 1189123.
- [108] C. Fernandez-Hernando, Y. Suarez, K.J. Rayner, K.J. Moore, MicroRNAs in lipid metabolism, Curr. Opin. Lipidol. 22 (2011) 86–92, http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/ MOL.0b013e3283428d9d.
- [109] M. Ouimet, H.N. Ediriweera, U.M. Gundra, F.J. Sheedy, B. Ramkhelawon, S.B. Hutchison, et al., MicroRNA-33-dependent regulation of macrophage metabolism directs immune cell polarization in atherosclerosis, J. Clin. Invest. 125 (2015) 4334–4348, http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI81676.
- [110] K.J. Rayner, F.J. Sheedy, C.C. Esau, F.N. Hussain, R.E. Temel, S. Parathath, et al., Antagonism of miR-33 in mice promotes reverse cholesterol transport and regression of atherosclerosis, J. Clin. Invest. 121 (2011) 2921–2931, http://dx.doi. org/10.1172/JCI57275.
- [111] K.J. Rayner, C.C. Esau, F.N. Hussain, A.L. McDaniel, S.M. Marshall, J.M. van Gils, et al., Inhibition of miR-33a/b in non-human primates raises plasma HDL and lowers VLDL triglycerides, Nature 478 (2011) 404–407, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1038/nature10486.
- [112] T.J. Marquart, R.M. Allen, D.S. Ory, A. Baldán, miR-33 links SREBP-2 induction to repression of sterol transporters, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 107 (2010) 12228–12232, http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1005191107.
- [113] V. Rottiers, S. Obad, A. Petri, R. McGarrah, M.W. Lindholm, J.C. Black, et al., Pharmacological inhibition of a microRNA family in nonhuman primates by a seed-targeting 8-mer antimiR, Sci. Transl. Med. 5 (2013) 212ra162–212ra162. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.3006840.
- [114] T. Horie, O. Baba, Y. Kuwabara, Y. Chujo, S. Watanabe, M. Kinoshita, et al., MicroRNA-33 deficiency reduces the progression of atherosclerotic plaque in ApoE-/- mice, e003376 e003376, J. Am. Heart Assoc. 1 (2012), http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1161/JAHA.112.003376.
- [115] N. Rotllan, C.M. Ramírez, B. Aryal, C.C. Esau, C. Fernandez-Hernando, Therapeutic silencing of microRNA-33 inhibits the progression of atherosclerosis in Ldlr-/- mice-brief report, Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 33 (2013) 1973–1977, http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.113.301732.
- [116] M. Ouimet, S. Koster, E. Sakowski, B. Ramkhelawon, C. van Solingen, S. Oldebeken, et al., Mycobacterium tuberculosis induces the miR-33 locus to reprogram autophagy and host lipid metabolism, Nat. Immunol. 17 (2016) 677–686, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ni.3434.
- [117] G.F.P. Bories, N. Leitinger, Macrophage metabolism in atherosclerosis, FEBS Lett. 184 (2017) 436, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.12786.
- [118] F.K. Swirski, P. Libby, E. Aikawa, P. Alcaide, F.W. Luscinskas, R. Weissleder, et al., Ly-6Chi monocytes dominate hypercholesterolemia-associated monocytosis and give rise to macrophages in atheromata, J. Clin. Invest. 117 (2007) 195–205, http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI29950.
- [119] F. Tacke, D. Alvarez, T.J. Kaplan, C. Jakubzick, R. Spanbroek, J. Llodra, et al., Monocyte subsets differentially employ CCR2, CCR5, and CX3CR1 to accumulate within atherosclerotic plaques, J. Clin. Invest. 117 (2007) 185–194, http://dx.doi. org/10.1172/JCI28549.
- [120] L. Yvan-Charvet, T. Pagler, E.L. Gautier, S. Avagyan, R.L. Siry, S. Han, et al., ATPbinding cassette transporters and HDL suppress hematopoietic stem cell proliferation, Science 328 (2010) 1689–1693, http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science. 1189731.
- [121] S. Tolani, T.A. Pagler, A.J. Murphy, A.E. Bochem, S. Abramowicz, C. Welch, et al.,

Hypercholesterolemia and reduced HDL-C promote hematopoietic stem cell proliferation and monocytosis: studies in mice and FH children, Atherosclerosis 229 (2013) 79–85, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2013.03.031.

- [122] A. Ganda, M. Magnusson, L. Yvan-Charvet, B. Hedblad, G. Engström, D. Ai, et al., Mild renal dysfunction and metabolites tied to low HDL cholesterol are associated with monocytosis and atherosclerosis, Circulation 127 (2013) 988–996, http://dx. doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.000682.
- [123] F.K. Swirski, M. Nahrendorf, M. Etzrodt, M. Wildgruber, V. Cortez-Retamozo, P. Panizzi, et al., Identification of splenic reservoir monocytes and their deployment to inflammatory sites, Science 325 (2009) 612–616, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1126/science.1175202.
- M.A. Ingersoll, R. Spanbroek, C. Lottaz, E.L. Gautier, M. Frankenberger, R. Hoffmann, et al., Comparison of gene expression profiles between human and mouse monocyte subsets, Blood 115 (2010) e10–9, http://dx.doi.org/10.1182/ blood-2009-07-235028.
- [125] G.J. Randolph, Mechanisms that regulate macrophage burden in atherosclerosis, Circ. Res. 114 (2014) 1757–1771, http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.114. 301174.
- [126] K.J. Moore, I. Tabas, Macrophages in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis, Cell 145 (2011) 341–355, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.04.005.
- [127] I. Tabas, K.J. Williams, J. Borén, Subendothelial lipoprotein retention as the initiating process in atherosclerosis: update and therapeutic implications, Circulation 116 (2007) 1832–1844, http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.106.676890.
- [128] R. Ross, Atherosclerosis-an inflammatory disease, N. Engl. J. Med. 340 (1999) 115–126, http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199901143400207.
- [129] F.B. Cookson, The origin of foam cells in atherosclerosis, Br J Exp Pathol. 52 (1971) 62–69.
- [130] L.S. Shankman, D. Gomez, O.A. Cherepanova, M. Salmon, G.F. Alencar, R.M. Haskins, et al., KLF4-dependent phenotypic modulation of smooth muscle cells has a key role in atherosclerotic plaque pathogenesis, Nat Med. 21 (2015) 628–637, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nm.3866.
- [131] S. Feil, B. Fehrenbacher, R. Lukowski, F. Essmann, K. Schulze-Osthoff, M. Schaller, et al., Transdifferentiation of vascular smooth muscle cells to macrophage-like cells during atherogenesis, Circ. Res. 115 (2014) 662–667, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1161/CIRCRESAHA.115.304634.
- [132] S. Allahverdian, A.C. Chehroudi, B.M. McManus, T. Abraham, G.A. Francis, Contribution of intimal smooth muscle cells to cholesterol accumulation and macrophage-like cells in human atherosclerosis, Circulation 129 (2014) 1551–1559, http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.005015.
- [133] K.E. Paulson, S.-N. Zhu, M. Chen, S. Nurmohamed, J. Jongstra-Bilen, M.I. Cybulsky, Resident intimal dendritic cells accumulate lipid and contribute to the initiation of atherosclerosis, Circ. Res. 106 (2010) 383–390, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.109.210781.
- [134] S.-N. Zhu, M. Chen, J. Jongstra-Bilen, M.I. Cybulsky, GM-CSF regulates intimal cell proliferation in nascent atherosclerotic lesions, J. Exp. Med. 206 (2009) 2141–2149, http://dx.doi.org/10.1084/jem.20090866.
- [135] P. Liu, Y.-R.A. Yu, J.A. Spencer, A.E. Johnson, C.T. Vallanat, A.M. Fong, et al., CX3CR1 deficiency impairs dendritic cell accumulation in arterial intima and reduces atherosclerotic burden, Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 28 (2008) 243–250, http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.107.158675.
- [136] J. Jongstra-Bilen, M. Haidari, S.-N. Zhu, M. Chen, D. Guha, M.I. Cybulsky, Lowgrade chronic inflammation in regions of the normal mouse arterial intima predisposed to atherosclerosis, J. Exp. Med. 203 (2006) 2073–2083, http://dx.doi. org/10.1084/jem.20060245.
- [137] M.I. Cybulsky, C. Cheong, C.S. Robbins, Macrophages and Dendritic Cells: Partners in Atherogenesis, Circ. Res. 118 (2016) 637–652, http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCRESAHA.115.306542.
- [138] C.S. Robbins, I. Hilgendorf, G.F. Weber, I. Theurl, Y. Iwamoto, J.-L. Figueiredo, et al., Local proliferation dominates lesional macrophage accumulation in atherosclerosis, Nat. Med. 19 (2013) 1166–1172, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nm.3258.
- [139] K.J. Moore, M.W. Freeman, Scavenger receptors in atherosclerosis: beyond lipid uptake, Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 26 (2006) 1702–1711, http://dx.doi. org/10.1161/01.ATV.0000229218.97976.43.
- [140] J. Kzhyshkowska, C. Neyen, S. Gordon, Role of macrophage scavenger receptors in atherosclerosis, Immunobiology 217 (2012) 492–502, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.imbio.2012.02.015.
- [141] F.J. Sheedy, A. Grebe, K.J. Rayner, P. Kalantari, B. Ramkhelawon, S.B. Carpenter, et al., CD36 coordinates NLRP3 inflammasome activation by facilitating intracellular nucleation of soluble ligands into particulate ligands in sterile inflammation, Nat. Immunol. 14 (2013) 812–820, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ni. 2639.
- [142] M. Qin, L. Wang, F. Li, M. Yang, L. Song, F. Tian, et al., Oxidized LDL activated eosinophil polarize macrophage phenotype from M2 to M1 through activation of CD36 scavenger receptor, Atherosclerosis 263 (2017) 82–91, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2017.05.011.
- [143] J.J. Manning-Tobin, K.J. Moore, T.A. Seimon, S.A. Bell, M. Sharuk, J.I. Alvarez-Leite, et al., Loss of SR-A and CD36 activity reduces atherosclerotic lesion complexity without abrogating foam cell formation in hyperlipidemic mice, Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 29 (2009) 19–26, http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/ ATVBAHA.108.176644.
- [144] H.S. Kruth, Receptor-independent fluid-phase pinocytosis mechanisms for induction of foam cell formation with native low-density lipoprotein particles, Curr. Opin. Lipidol. 22 (2011) 386–393, http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MOL. 0b013e32834adadb.
- [145] B.B. Boyanovsky, D.R. van der Westhuyzen, N.R. Webb, Group V secretory

phospholipase A2-modified low density lipoprotein promotes foam cell formation by a SR-A- and CD36-independent process that involves cellular proteoglycans, J. Biol. Chem. 280 (2005) 32746–32752, http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M502067200.

- [146] X. Zhu, J.S. Owen, M.D. Wilson, H. Li, G.L. Griffiths, M.J. Thomas, et al., Macrophage ABCA1 reduces MyD88-dependent Toll-like receptor trafficking to lipid rafts by reduction of lipid raft cholesterol, J. Lipid Res. 51 (2010) 3196–3206, http://dx.doi.org/10.1194/jlr.M006486.
- [147] D.A. Mogilenko, S.V. Orlov, A.S. Trulioff, A.V. Ivanov, V.K. Nagumanov, I.V. Kudriavtsev, et al., Endogenous apolipoprotein A-I stabilizes ATP-binding cassette transporter A1 and modulates Toll-like receptor 4 signaling in human macrophages, Faseb J. 26 (2012) 2019–2030, http://dx.doi.org/10.1096/fj.11-193946.
- [148] L. Yvan-Charvet, C. Welch, T.A. Pagler, M. Ranalletta, M. Lamkanfi, S. Han, et al., Increased inflammatory gene expression in ABC transporter-deficient macrophages: free cholesterol accumulation, increased signaling via toll-like receptors, and neutrophil infiltration of atherosclerotic lesions, Circulation 118 (2008) 1837–1847, http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.793869.
- [149] E. Thorp, G. Li, T.A. Seimon, G. Kuriakose, D. Ron, I. Tabas, Reduced apoptosis and plaque necrosis in advanced atherosclerotic lesions of Apoe-/- and Ldlr-/mice lacking CHOP, Cell Metab. 9 (2009) 474–481, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. cmet.2009.03.003.
- [150] E. Erbay, V.R. Babaev, J.R. Mayers, L. Makowski, K.N. Charles, M.E. Snitow, et al., Reducing endoplasmic reticulum stress through a macrophage lipid chaperone alleviates atherosclerosis, Nat. Med. 15 (2009) 1383–1391, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1038/nm.2067.
- [151] B. Feng, P.M. Yao, Y. Li, C.M. Devlin, D. Zhang, H.P. Harding, et al., The endoplasmic reticulum is the site of cholesterol-induced cytotoxicity in macrophages, Nat. Cell Biol. 5 (2003) 781–792, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncb1035.
- [152] O. Tufanli, P. Telkoparan Akillilar, D. Acosta-Alvear, B. Kocaturk, U.I. Onat, S.M. Hamid, et al., Targeting IRE1 with small molecules counteracts progression of atherosclerosis, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 114 (2017) E1395–E1404, http://dx.doi. org/10.1073/pnas.1621188114.
- [153] T.A. Seimon, M.J. Nadolski, X. Liao, J. Magallon, M. Nguyen, N.T. Feric, et al., Atherogenic lipids and lipoproteins trigger CD36-TLR2-dependent apoptosis in macrophages undergoing endoplasmic reticulum stress, Cell Metab. 12 (2010) 467–482, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2010.09.010.
- [154] C.M. Scull, I. Tabas, Mechanisms of ER stress-induced apoptosis in atherosclerosis, Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 31 (2011) 2792–2797, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1161/ATVBAHA.111.224881.
- [155] I. Tabas, D. Ron, Integrating the mechanisms of apoptosis induced by endoplasmic reticulum stress, Nat. Cell Biol. 13 (2011) 184–190, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ ncb0311-184.
- [156] I. Tabas, Macrophage death and defective inflammation resolution in atherosclerosis, Nat. Rev. Immunol. 10 (2010) 36–46, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ nri2675.
- [157] U. Garbin, E. Baggio, C. Stranieri, A. Pasini, S. Manfro, C. Mozzini, et al., Expansion of necrotic core and shedding of Mertk receptor in human carotid plaques: a role for oxidized polyunsaturated fatty acids? Cardiovasc. Res. 97 (2013) 125–133, http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvs301.
- [158] E.B. Thorp, Mechanisms of failed apoptotic cell clearance by phagocyte subsets in cardiovascular disease, Apoptosis 15 (2010) 1124–1136, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1007/s10495-010-0516-6.
- [159] E. Thorp, D. Cui, D.M. Schrijvers, G. Kuriakose, I. Tabas, Mertk receptor mutation reduces efferocytosis efficiency and promotes apoptotic cell accumulation and plaque necrosis in atherosclerotic lesions of apoe-/- mice, Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 28 (2008) 1421–1428, http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.108. 167197.
- [160] B. Cai, E.B. Thorp, A.C. Doran, B.E. Sansbury, M.J.A.P. Daemen, B. Dorweiler, et al., MerTK receptor cleavage promotes plaque necrosis and defective resolution in atherosclerosis, J. Clin. Invest. 127 (2017) 564–568, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1172/JCI90520.
- [161] E. Thorp, T. Vaisar, M. Subramanian, L. Mautner, C. Blobel, I. Tabas, Shedding of the Mer tyrosine kinase receptor is mediated by ADAM17 protein through a pathway involving reactive oxygen species, protein kinase C8, and p38 mitogenactivated protein kinase (MAPK), J. Biol. Chem. 286 (2011) 33335–33344, http:// dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.263020.
- [162] P. Libby, Collagenases and cracks in the plaque, J.Clin. Invest. 123 (2013) 3201–3203, http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI67526.
- [163] E.D. Reis, J. Li, Z.A. Fayad, J.X. Rong, D. Hansoty, J.G. Aguinaldo, et al., Dramatic remodeling of advanced atherosclerotic plaques of the apolipoprotein E-deficient mouse in a novel transplantation model, J. Vasc. Surg. 34 (2001) 541–547, http:// dx.doi.org/10.1067/mva.2001.115963.
- [164] B. Hewing, S. Parathath, C.K. Mai, M.I. Fiel, L. Guo, E.A. Fisher, Rapid regression of atherosclerosis with MTP inhibitor treatment, Atherosclerosis 227 (2013) 125–129, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2012.12.026.
- [165] J.E. Feig, S. Parathath, J.X. Rong, S.L. Mick, Y. Vengrenyuk, L. Grauer, et al., Reversal of hyperlipidemia with a genetic switch favorably affects the content and inflammatory state of macrophages in atherosclerotic plaques, Circulation 123 (2011) 989–998, http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.984146.
- [166] E.D. MacDougall, F. Kramer, P. Polinsky, S. Barnhart, B. Askari, F. Johansson, et al., Aggressive very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) and LDL lowering by gene transfer of the VLDL receptor combined with a low-fat diet regimen induces regression and reduces macrophage content in advanced atherosclerotic lesions in LDL receptor-deficient mice, Am. J. Pathol. 168 (2006) 2064–2073, http://dx.doi. org/10.2353/ajpath.2006.051009.

- [167] K.J. Williams, J.E. Feig, E.A. Fisher, Rapid regression of atherosclerosis: insights from the clinical and experimental literature, Nat. Clin. Pract. Cardiovasc. Med. 5 (2008) 91–102, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncpcardio1086.
- [168] J.E. Feig, Y. Vengrenyuk, V. Reiser, C. Wu, A. Statnikov, C.F. Aliferis, et al., Regression of atherosclerosis is characterized by broad changes in the plaque macrophage transcriptome, PLoS ONE 7 (2012) e39790, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0039790.
- [169] K. Rahman, Y. Vengrenyuk, S.A. Ramsey, N.R. Vila, N.M. Girgis, J. Liu, et al., Inflammatory Ly6Chi monocytes and their conversion to M2 macrophages drive atherosclerosis regression, J. Clin. Invest. 127 (2017) 2904–2915, http://dx.doi. org/10.1172/JCI75005.
- [170] P.R. Nagareddy, A.J. Murphy, R.A. Stirzaker, Y. Hu, S. Yu, R.G. Miller, et al., Hyperglycemia promotes myelopoiesis and impairs the resolution of atherosclerosis, Cell Metab. 17 (2013) 695–708, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2013. 04.001.
- [171] Z.M. Younossi, D. Blissett, R. Blissett, L. Henry, M. Stepanova, Y. Younossi, et al., The economic and clinical burden of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in the United States and Europe, Hepatology 64 (2016) 1577–1586, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ hep.28785.
- [172] P. Angulo, Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, N. Engl. J. Med. 346 (2002) 1221–1231, http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra011775.
- [173] H. Tilg, A.R. Moschen, Evolution of inflammation in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: the multiple parallel hits hypothesis, Hepatology 52 (2010) 1836–1846, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hep.24001.
- [174] Z. Wenfeng, W. Yakun, M. Di, G. Jianping, W. Chuanxin, H. Chun, Kupffer cells: increasingly significant role in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, Ann. Hepatol. 13 (2014) 489–495.
- [175] O. Krenkel, F. Tacke, Liver macrophages in tissue homeostasis and disease, Nat. Rev. Immunol. 17 (2017) 306–321, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nri.2017.11.
- [176] A. Leroux, G. Ferrere, V. Godie, F. Cailleux, M.-L. Renoud, F. Gaudin, et al., Toxic lipids stored by Kupffer cells correlates with their pro-inflammatory phenotype at an early stage of steatohepatitis, J. Hepatol. 57 (2012) 141–149, http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.jhep.2012.02.028.
- [177] M. Bartneck, V. Fech, J. Ehling, O. Govaere, K.T. Warzecha, K. Hittatiya, et al., Histidine-rich glycoprotein promotes macrophage activation and inflammation in chronic liver disease, Hepatology 63 (2016) 1310–1324, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1002/hep.28418.
- [178] P. Hirsova, S.H. Ibrahim, A. Krishnan, V.K. Verma, S.F. Bronk, N.W. Werneburg, et al., Lipid-Induced Signaling Causes Release of Inflammatory Extracellular Vesicles From Hepatocytes, Gastroenterology 150 (2016) 956–967, http://dx.doi. org/10.1053/j.gastro.2015.12.037.
- [179] J. Wan, M. Benkdane, F. Teixeira-Clerc, S. Bonnafous, A. Louvet, F. Lafdil, et al., M2 Kupffer cells promote M1 Kupffer cell apoptosis: a protective mechanism against alcoholic and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, Hepatology 59 (2014) 130–142, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hep.26607.
- [180] S. Patouraux, D. Rousseau, S. Bonnafous, C. Lebeaupin, C. Luci, C.M. Canivet, et al., CD44 is a key player in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, J. Hepatol. 67 (2017) 328–338, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2017.03.003.
- [181] X. Zhong, H. Liu, Honokiol attenuates Diet-Induced Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis by regulating macrophage polarization through activating PPARγ, J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jgh.13853.
- [182] W. Luo, Q. Xu, Q. Wang, H. Wu, J. Hua, Effect of modulation of PPAR-γ activity on Kupffer cells M1/M2 polarization in the development of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, Sci. Rep. 7 (2017) 44612, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep44612.
- [183] A.-C. Tosello-Trampont, P. Krueger, S. Narayanan, S.G. Landes, N. Leitinger, Y.S. Hahn, NKp46(+) natural killer cells attenuate metabolism-induced hepatic fibrosis by regulating macrophage activation in mice, Hepatology 63 (2016) 799–812, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hep.28389.
- [184] D. Zhou, C. Huang, Z. Lin, S. Zhan, L. Kong, C. Fang, et al., Macrophage polarization and function with emphasis on the evolving roles of coordinated regulation of cellular signaling pathways, Cell. Signal. 26 (2014) 192–197, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cellsig.2013.11.004.
- [185] N. Lanthier, O. Molendi-Coste, P.D. Cani, N. van Rooijen, Y. Horsmans, I.A. Leclercq, Kupffer cell depletion prevents but has no therapeutic effect on metabolic and inflammatory changes induced by a high-fat diet, Faseb J. 25 (2011) 4301–4311, http://dx.doi.org/10.1096/fj.11-189472.
- [186] W. Huang, A. Metlakunta, N. Dedousis, P. Zhang, I. Sipula, J.J. Dube, et al., Depletion of liver Kupffer cells prevents the development of diet-induced hepatic steatosis and insulin resistance, Diabetes 59 (2010) 347–357, http://dx.doi.org/ 10.2337/db09-0016.
- [187] D.T. Reid, J.L. Reyes, B.A. McDonald, T. Vo, R.A. Reimer, B. Eksteen, Kupffer Cells Undergo Fundamental Changes during the Development of Experimental NASH and Are Critical in Initiating Liver Damage and Inflammation, PLoS ONE 11 (2016) e0159524, http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0159524.
- [188] E. Zigmond, S. Samia-Grinberg, M. Pasmanik-Chor, E. Brazowski, O. Shibolet, Z. Halpern, et al., Infiltrating Monocyte-Derived Macrophages and Resident Kupffer Cells Display Different Ontogeny and Functions in Acute Liver Injury, J. Immunol. (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1400574.
- [189] L. Devisscher, C.L. Scott, S. Lefere, S. Raevens, E. Bogaerts, A. Paridaens, et al., Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis induces transient changes within the liver macrophage pool, Cell Immunol. (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cellimm.2017.10. 006.
- [190] A.V. Misharin, L. Morales-Nebreda, P.A. Reyfman, C.M. Cuda, J.M. Walter, A.C. McQuattie-Pimentel, et al., Monocyte-derived alveolar macrophages drive

lung fibrosis and persist in the lung over the life span, J. Exp. Med. 214 (2017) 2387–2404, http://dx.doi.org/10.1084/jem.20162152.

- [191] K. Miura, L. Yang, N. van Rooijen, H. Ohnishi, E. Seki, Hepatic recruitment of macrophages promotes nonalcoholic steatohepatitis through CCR2, Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol. 302 (2012) G1310–21, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1152/ajpgi.00365.2011.
- [192] C. Baeck, A. Wehr, K.R. Karlmark, F. Heymann, M. Vucur, N. Gassler, et al., Pharmacological inhibition of the chemokine CCL2 (MCP-1) diminishes liver macrophage infiltration and steatohepatitis in chronic hepatic injury, Gut. 61 (2012) 416–426, http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2011-300304.
- [193] D.S. Friedman, B.J. O'Colmain, B. Muñoz, S.C. Tomany, C. McCarty, P.T.V.M. de Jong, et al., Prevalence of age-related macular degeneration in the United States, Arch. Ophthalmol. 122 (2004) 564–572, http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archopht. 122.4.564.
- [194] A. Sene, A.A. Khan, D. Cox, R.E.I. Nakamura, A. Santeford, B.M. Kim, et al., Impaired cholesterol efflux in senescent macrophages promotes age-related macular degeneration, Cell Metab. 17 (2013) 549–561, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. cmet.2013.03.009.
- [195] W. Chen, D. Stambolian, A.O. Edwards, K.E. Branham, M. Othman, J. Jakobsdottir, et al., Genetic variants near TIMP3 and high-density lipoproteinassociated loci influence susceptibility to age-related macular degeneration, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 107 (2010) 7401–7406, http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 0912702107.
- [196] B.M. Neale, J. Fagerness, R. Reynolds, L. Sobrin, M. Parker, S. Raychaudhuri, et al., Genome-wide association study of advanced age-related macular degeneration identifies a role of the hepatic lipase gene (LIPC), Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 107 (2010) 7395–7400, http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0912019107.
- [197] A. Sene, R.S. Apte, Eyeballing cholesterol efflux and macrophage function in disease pathogenesis, Trends Endocrinol. Metab. 25 (2014) 107–114, http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/j.tem.2013.10.007.
- [198] S.B. Bressler, M.G. Maguire, N.M. Bressler, S.L. Fine, Relationship of drusen and abnormalities of the retinal pigment epithelium to the prognosis of neovascular macular degeneration. The Macular Photocoagulation Study Group, Arch. Ophthalmol. 108 (1990) 1442–1447.
- [199] C.A. Curcio, M. Johnson, J.-D. Huang, M. Rudolf, Aging, age-related macular degeneration, and the response-to-retention of apolipoprotein B-containing lipoproteins, Prog Retin Eye Res. 28 (2009) 393–422, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. preteyeres.2009.08.001.
- [200] J. Kelly, A. Ali Khan, J. Yin, T.A. Ferguson, R.S. Apte, Senescence regulates macrophage activation and angiogenic fate at sites of tissue injury in mice, J Clin Invest. 117 (2007) 3421–3426, http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/JCI32430.
- [201] R.S. Apte, J. Richter, J. Herndon, T.A. Ferguson, Macrophages inhibit neovascularization in a murine model of age-related macular degeneration, PLoS Med. 3 (2006) e310, http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0030310.
- [202] Y. Yang, F. Liu, M. Tang, M. Yuan, A. Hu, Z. Zhan, et al., Macrophage polarization in experimental and clinical choroidal neovascularization, Sci. Rep. 6 (2016) 30933, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep30933.
- [203] S. Zandi, S. Nakao, K.-H. Chun, P. Fiorina, D. Sun, R. Arita, et al., ROCK-isoformspecific polarization of macrophages associated with age-related macular degeneration, Cell Rep. 10 (2015) 1173–1186, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep. 2015.01.050.
- [204] B.C. Trapnell, J.A. Whitsett, K. Nakata, Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis, N. Engl. J. Med. 349 (2003) 2527–2539, http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra023226.
- [205] B. Carey, B.C. Trapnell, The molecular basis of pulmonary alveolar proteinosis, Clin Immunol. 135 (2010) 223–235, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clim.2010.02. 017.
- [206] T. Suzuki, T. Sakagami, B.K. Rubin, L.M. Nogee, R.E. Wood, S.L. Zimmerman, et al., Familial pulmonary alveolar proteinosis caused by mutations in CSF2RA, J. Exp. Med. 205 (2008) 2703–2710, http://dx.doi.org/10.1084/jem.20080990.
- [207] T. Suzuki, B.C. Trapnell, Pulmonary Alveolar Proteinosis Syndrome, Clin. Chest Med. 37 (2016) 431–440, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccm.2016.04.006.
- [208] T. Kitamura, N. Tanaka, J. Watanabe, S. Uchida, Y. Yamada Kanegasaki, et al., Idiopathic pulmonary alveolar proteinosis as an autoimmune disease with neutralizing antibody against granulocyte/macrophage colony-stimulating factor, J. Exp. Med. 190 (1999) 875–880.
- [209] T. Suzuki, P. Arumugam, T. Sakagami, N. Lachmann, C. Chalk, A. Sallese, et al., Pulmonary macrophage transplantation therapy, Nature 514 (2014) 450–454, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13807.
- [210] G. An, H. Wang, R. Tang, T. Yago, J.M. McDaniel, S. McGee, et al., P-selectin glycoprotein ligand-1 is highly expressed on Ly-6Chi monocytes and a major determinant for Ly-6Chi monocyte recruitment to sites of atherosclerosis in mice, Circulation 117 (2008) 3227–3237, http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.108.771048.
- [211] C. Combadière, S. Potteaux, M. Rodero, T. Simon, A. Pezard, B. Esposito, et al., Combined inhibition of CCL2, CX3CR1, and CCR5 abrogates Ly6C(hi) and Ly6C(lo) monocytosis and almost abolishes atherosclerosis in hypercholesterolemic mice, Circulation 117 (2008) 1649–1657, http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.107.745091.
- [212] S. Allahverdian, P.S. Pannu, G.A. Francis, Contribution of monocyte-derived macrophages and smooth muscle cells to arterial foam cell formation, Cardiovasc. Res. 95 (2012) 165–172, http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvs094.
- [213] G.J. Randolph, Proliferating macrophages prevail in atherosclerosis, Nat. Med. 19 (2013) 1094–1095, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nm.3316.