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Abstract

Radioembolization (RE) with Yttrium-90 (90Y) microspheres is an effective treatment for unresectable liver tumors. The activity of the microspheres to be administered should be calculated based on the type of microspheres. Technetium-99m macroaggregated albumin (99mTc-MAA) single photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography (SPECT/CT) is a reliable assessment before RE to ensure the safe delivery of microspheres into the target. 90Y bremsstrahlung SPECT imaging as a posttherapeutic assessment approach enables the reliable determination of absorbed dose, which is indispensable for the verification of treatment efficacy. This article intends to provide a review of the methods of optimizing 90Y bremsstrahlung SPECT imaging to improve the treatment efficacy of liver tumor RE using 90Y microspheres.
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Introduction

Radioembolization (RE) with Yttrium-90 (90Y) microspheres by hepatic arterial administration is the effective treatment for unresectable primary and metastatic liver cancers. Transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) is a conventional treatment for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). The therapeutic benefit of the hepatic arterial approach is based on the unique dual vascular supply of the liver. It should also be noted that postembolization syndrome following RE with 90Y microspheres is less intense than after TACE, as RE has a longer time to progression and less toxicity than chemoembolization. Selective internal radiotherapy (SIRT) with 90Y microspheres has been increasingly used over the past decade for RE of inoperable liver metastases of colorectal cancer (CRC), although its first clinical trials date back to the early 1960s. The physiological basis for tumor targeting in SIRT is an increased arterial vascularization of the targeted tumor compared to the normal liver parenchyma. In addition, 90Y-labeled monoclonal antibodies such as 90Y Zevalin (ibritumomab tiuxetan) can be used in targeted radionuclide therapy (TRT) for the radioimmunotherapy of malignant diseases such as non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Unresectable liver cancer causes a lot of suffering worldwide and eventual death in many patients. RE involves the infusion of 90Y microspheres into the hepatic arterial circulation, from which approximately 80-100% liver tumor blood flow is derived. 90Y RE is an effective treatment of HCC if the 90Y microspheres accumulate in the right location, at the right dose, and with the right intent.

Inadvertent delivery of 90Y microspheres into the hepatic arteries and subsequently nontarget localization—and thus offtarget irradiation—can lead to some severe complications after RE, such as acute radiation dermatitis of the abdominal wall, periumbilical and abdominal pain, gastrointestinal ulceration/bleeding, cholecystitis, pancreatitis, radiation pneumonitis, and hepatic decompensation. As the hepatic vascular anatomy and tumor-to-normal arterial blood flow ratio are highly variable between metastases and between different patients, it is essential to plan and perform, before RE with 90Y microspheres, specific treatment simulation...
before the real therapy to rule out any side effects. Technetium-99m macroaggregated albumin (99mTc-MAA) scintigraphy by single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) in combination with computed tomography (CT), that is, SPECT/CT should be recommended in a pretherapeutic assessment in order to establish dependable treatment planning, metabolic response, and a predictive dosimetric model. In addition, tumor-to-normal activity concentration ratio and the biodistribution of 90Y microspheres are two crucial parameters for confirming the effectiveness of RE with 90Y microspheres. Posttherapeutic assessment is indispensable in evaluating the abovementioned physical and physiological parameters. Posttherapy dosimetry on the basis of the 90Y bremsstrahlung SPECT imaging is a useful tool to verify absorbed dose delivery. The role of SPECT in diagnostic imaging and internal dosimetry is well established in nuclear medicine. A considerable amount of literature has been published on RE with 90Y resin or glass microspheres as an effective treatment of unresectable liver tumors or metastases, whereas there has been relatively scarce literature focused on the role of SPECT as a complementary method to this therapeutic treatment. In the present review, the role of SPECT imaging as the posttherapeutic and pretherapeutic assessment modality is evaluated for RE using 90Y microspheres. Moreover, we discussed the recently-used optimization approach for quantitative 90Y bremsstrahlung SPECT imaging.

90Y Microspheres and Activity Determination

90Y is a pure beta-emitting isotope with a physical half-life of 2.67 days. The emitted particles have a maximum energy of 2.27 MeV, a mean energy of 0.93 MeV, and an average penetration range of 2.5 mm, with a maximum 11 mm range in tissue. The 90Y can be labeled with resin or glass microspheres that have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA).[20,21] Both glass microspheres (TheraSphere, MDS Nordion, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada) and resin microspheres (SIR-Spheres, Sirtex Medical, Sydney, Australia) are used to treat hepatic primary and metastatic neoplasms.[22] In spite of the many similarities, there are some differences between the two types from the point of view of dosimetry and performance. The resin type is used adjuvant to chemotherapy with fluorouracil, as well, with fluorouracil (5-FU) as the radiosensitizing agent.[10,29] Microsphere reflux during administration is the main cause of gastroduodenal ulcer. The risk of reflux in the case of resin microspheres is greater than in glass due to an embolic tendency of resin related to its lower specific activity and the subsequent higher number of microspheres required with the same activity compared to the glass type.[23] The characteristics of both types of microspheres are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Glass microsphere (TheraSphere)</th>
<th>Resin microsphere (SIR-Spheres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific activity (Bq per sphere)</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose to tumor volume</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjuvant to chemotherapy</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean number of spheres per dose (×10⁶)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median diameter (μm)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the assumptions that 90Y glass microspheres are uniformly distributed in the liver volume and with a nominal average target dose of 150 Gy/kg, the required activity of the glass microspheres in RE could be calculated by Equation 1:

$$A_{glass} (GBq) = \frac{D(Gy) \times M(kg)}{50(Gy/kg)} GBq$$

Equation 1

where $A_{glass}$ is the activity of the 90Y glass microspheres, $D$ is the nominal target dose, and $M$ is the liver mass that was calculated from the CT data. Currently, the activity of the resin microspheres in RE is determined by the following three methods, based on the assumption that 90Y resin microspheres are nonuniformly distributed in the liver tumor volume.[24,25]

### The body surface area method

This method is the most common/widely used method to calculate activity for 90Y resin microspheres. The BSA is calculated by Equation 2:[26]

$$BSA = 0.20247 \times h(m)^{0.725} \times w(kg)^{1.425}$$

Equation 2

where $h$ and $w$ are the patient’s height and weight, respectively. The required activity of the 90Y resin microspheres was calculated by Equation 3:

$$A_{resin} (GBq) = (BSA - 0.2) + \left( \frac{V_{tumor}}{V_{tumor} + V_{liver}} \right)$$

Equation 3

where $A_{resin}$ is the activity of the 90Y resin microspheres and and the volumes of the tumor and liver, respectively.[29]

### The empirical method

The usability of the empirical method is related to the accuracy of CT or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in the differentiation of the degree of liver involvement by the tumor. According to this method, administration of 2.0 GBq for <25% involvement, 2.5 GBq for 25-50% involvement, and 3.0 GBq for >50% involvement is appropriate in liver tumor RE. One of the deficiencies of this method is its low safety margin.[27]

### Partition model method

The calculation of 90Y resin microsphere activity by using the partition model method is based on the information

---

**Table 1: Characteristics of the glass and resin 90Y microsphere agents**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Glass microsphere (TheraSphere)</th>
<th>Resin microsphere (SIR-Spheres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific activity (Bq per sphere)</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose to tumor volume</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjuvant to chemotherapy</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean number of spheres per dose (×10⁶)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
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<td>Median diameter (μm)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
obtained from $^{99m}$Tc-MAA planar or SPECT/CT imaging. This model is usually applicable for discrete and solitary hepatic tumors. The activity calculated by this method is higher than that suggested by the empirical and BSA methods, with an equivalent safety threshold.

**SPECT in Pretherapeutic Assessment**

$^{99m}$Tc-MAA scintigraphy should be performed before liver tumor RE and also prior to the activity calculation using $^{90}$Y to arrive at the accurate treatment plan and to estimate the tumor-to-normal activity ratio, as well as minimizing the radiation risk to the normal parenchymas in view of the fact that normal parenchymas have a lower tolerance for the treatment dose. The particle size and biodistribution of $^{99m}$Tc-MAA is similar to the $^{90}$Y resin microspheres [Figure 1]. $^{99m}$Tc-MAA SPECT imaging done before RE is superior to planar imaging with regard to the detection of gall bladder uptake and extrahepatic shunting to the gastrointestinal or pulmonary tract. Furthermore, SPECT combined with integrated low-dose CT increases sensitivity and specificity, and thus the detection accuracy of extrahepatic radiotracer activity, and this in turn decreases the toxicity and incidence of complications in RE. The spatial resolution and image quality of SPECT imaging are strongly depend on the type of collimator, reconstruction algorithm, and acquisition energy window. Therefore, a low-energy high-resolution (LEHR) parallel-hole collimator and iterative reconstruction algorithms such as ordered subset expectation maximization (OSEM) with a 10% or 20% energy window centered at the peak of $^{99m}$Tc (140 keV) are preferred for $^{99m}$Tc-MAA SPECT imaging.

**SPECT in posttherapeutic assessment**

The treatment efficacy of RE, according to the $^{90}$Y biodistribution image and quantitative assessment of the tumor-to-normal dose ratio, is a reliable parameter for the treatment. $^{90}$Y bremsstrahlung SPECT imaging after RE has shown great potential to provide a reliable dose evaluation, which is essential for dose verification; additionally, CT in combination with $^{90}$Y bremsstrahlung SPECT is used for attenuation and scatter correction, and this further increases quantitative accuracy. Quantitatively, $^{90}$Y bremsstrahlung SPECT imaging is one of the most challenging topics in nuclear medicine. Here, too, the image quality and quantification accuracy of the $^{90}$Y bremsstrahlung SPECT imaging strongly depend on the type of collimator, reconstruction algorithm, and acquisition energy window.

**Energy window optimizing for $^{90}$Y bremsstrahlung SPECT**

In conventional nuclear medicine imaging, gamma-emitter radioisotopes with a pronounced photopeak, such as $^{99m}$Tc, are used for imaging, and the acquisition energy window placed around the photopeak. In contrast, $^{90}$Y bremsstrahlung photons arise from the interaction of β-particles with the patient body and have a continuous and broad energy spectrum extending up to the highest beta energy emission (2.3 MeV) without a pronounced photopeak. Therefore, the choice of the acquisition energy window strongly affects the reliability of the dose and the activity estimation. Figure 2 shows a typical $^{90}$Y bremsstrahlung energy spectrum. In $^{90}$Y imaging, only the primary photons are suitable, but the scatter-to-primary ratio is significant in any energy window. The main problem in $^{90}$Y imaging is that the photons with energies less than 60 keV have attenuated.

![Figure 1: A typical gamma camera scan (a) after accumulated $^{99m}$Tc-MAA within the liver with no extrahepatic shunting and (b) bremsstrahlung scan within 1 h after $^{90}$Y microspheres were administered intra-arterially in the same patient](image1)

![Figure 2: A typical $^{90}$Y bremsstrahlung energy spectrum was obtained using a gamma camera equipped with a MEGP collimator. Three energy window widths of 50% (57-94 keV) centered at 76 keV, 30% (102-138 keV) at 120 keV, and 50% (139-232 keV) at 185 keV were set on the spectrum](image2)
in the patient body and those with energies higher than 500 keV have penetrated through or been scattered by the collimator septa; however, the optimal acquisition energy window is in the energy range. On the other hand, the highest percentage of photons with the energy range 160-300 keV arise from the backscattered compartment behind the crystal, and those with the energy range 300-2000 keV arise from penetration through or scattering by the collimator septa. A characteristic x-ray peak appeared at 75 keV due to the interaction between the bremsstrahlung photons and lead (Pb) in the collimator decreasing the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). These photons degrade image quality and quantitative accuracy. The dominant effect with a narrow energy window is noise, owing to the low count level and low system sensitivity, as an undesirable effect. On the other hand, for a wide energy window the most critical image-degrading factor is beam-hardening artifacts. It is agreed that the 100-160 keV is the optimal energy window, as this range has a lower scatter-to-primary ratio and therefore ensures the highest accuracy in dose determination. As a whole, both the single-energy window (SEW) and multiple-energy window (MEW) methods are used to choose the optimal energy window for Y bremsstrahlung SPECT imaging. Shigeki Ito et al. have shown, on the basis of the multiple-energy range (MER) method, that three energy peaks centered around 75 keV (50%), 120 keV (30%), and 185 keV (50%) provide the highest system sensitivity and the lowest imaging acquisition time suitable for clinical imaging. In addition, it should be noted that there is a trade-off relationship between sensitivity and spatial resolution, so it is expected that the more the sensitivity, the less the image quality.

Collimator and reconstruction algorithm optimizing for Y bremsstrahlung SPECT

The OSEM iterative reconstruction algorithm optimizes the quantitative accuracy of Y bremsstrahlung SPECT and eliminates streak artifact, compared with the conventional filtered backprojection (FBP) reconstruction algorithm. The collimator in SPECT is a critical component of the imaging chain and has a major impact on activity estimation. Routinely, Y bremsstrahlung SPECT imaging is performed with a high-energy general-purpose (HEGP) collimator or with a medium-energy general-purpose (MEGP) parallel-hole collimator, which is designed for high-energy isotopes such as gallium-67 (67Ga) and iodine-131 (131I), and yet a special parallel-hole collimator has never been fabricated for Y bremsstrahlung SPECT imaging [Figure 3].

Rotating slat collimators and pinhole collimators have been proposed for SPECT imaging with high-energy isotopes and isotopes with extensive energy spectra. Xing Rong et al. have proposed an optimal parallel-hole}

**Figure 3:** Typical bremsstrahlung SPECT scans after RE with 90Y microspheres were acquired with (a) a MEGP collimator and (b) a HEGP collimator. Energy window was set for 100-150 keV collimator with a small amount of septal scatter and penetration for quantitative $^{90}$Y bremsstrahlung SPECT imaging.

**Conclusion**

RE with $^{90}$Y is an effective treatment for hepatic tumors. The quantity of the administered activity for $^{90}$Y resin or glass microspheres is an influential parameter in the effectiveness of the RE. The required activity of the $^{90}$Y microspheres is determined based on the type of microspheres. $^{99m}$Tc-MAA SPECT/CT before RE constitutes appropriate pretherapy planning and enables predictive dosimetry, thus presenting as a valuable diagnostic tool regarding the biodistribution of $^{90}$Y microspheres. Y bremsstrahlung SPECT imaging after RE should be used to verify the therapy’s clinical effectiveness and to obtain a precise absorbed dose delivery pattern. Finally, the collimator, reconstruction algorithm, and acquisition energy window are important components in $^{90}$Y bremsstrahlung SPECT imaging and play key roles in image quality, quantitative accuracy, and accurate dosimetry. Therefore, the optimization of these parameters leads to improved treatment efficacy and $^{90}$Y bremsstrahlung SPECT image quality/quantity.
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