Introduction

Chikungunya virus (CHIKV), a mosquito-transmitted virus that causes serious illness and has re-emerged in Africa and Asia since 2000, causing outbreaks with millions of cases after decades of near-absence [1]. The epidemic spread to previously CHIKV-free areas, such as La Reunion Island in the Indian Ocean, probably as a consequence of the adaptive mutation of the virus to a new vector species, Aedes albopictus, the tiger mosquito [2,3,4,5]. Unlike traditional CHIKV vectors such as A. aegypti, A. albopictus can produce cold-resistant eggs and is a major invasive species of temperate countries [6], and as it also seems to better transmit the virus [7], CHIKV is now threatening to invade many new territories including the Caribbean, southeast USA and southern Europe. There is currently no commercial vaccine or efficient treatment available for this disease [1].

CHIKV infection is often debilitating and may last from weeks to months; its symptoms in humans include acute fever, rash, joint and muscle pain, chronic arthralgia and, more rarely, severe complications with a fatality rate of about 1 in 1000 [1,8,9,10]. However, CHIKV infection in humans is generally self-limiting, with a short but intense viremia lasting about one week, controlled by type-I interferons (IFNs) [8]. Specific antibodies become detectable shortly after and contribute to virus clearance [11].

CHIKV tropism in vitro, and host innate immune responses are only starting to be characterized [8,9]. In humans, the virus displays a wide cellular tropism in vitro, infecting fibroblasts, endothelial, epithelial, muscle cells, and to a lower extent, myeloid cells like macrophages [12,13]. Severe encephalopathies have been reported in CHIKV-infected humans, mostly in infants - more than half infected newborns [14], compared with ~0.1% in adults [15] - yet CHIKV neurotropism remains controversial [16,17]. It is still debated whether CHIKV may persist in some cellular reservoirs after the early viremic phase and be responsible for painful relapses that may persist for months.

Murine and macaque models that recapitulate to some extent the human disease have been developed [18,19,20,21]. These models have greatly improved our understanding of the disease, but they do not allow the visualization of infection dynamics and host antiviral and inflammatory responses at the whole body level.
**Author Summary**

Chikungunya, a re-emerging disease caused by a mosquito-transmitted virus, is an important public health problem. We developed a zebrafish model for chikungunya virus infection. For the first time, rise and death of virus-infected cells could be live imaged in the entire body of a vertebrate. We observed a widespread wave of apparition of newly infected cells during the first day after inoculation of the virus. We then found that infected cells died at a strongly organ-dependent rate, accounting for the progressive shift of virus localization. Notably, the virus persisted in the brain despite apparent recovery of infected zebrafish. We found this recovery to be critically dependent on the host type I interferon response. Surprisingly, we identified neutrophils as a major cell population expressing interferon and controlling chikungunya virus.

Recently, the zebrafish *Danio rerio* has emerged as a new model for host-pathogen interactions, largely because their small, transparent larvae are highly suited to *in vivo* imaging. Zebrafish possess an innate and adaptive immune system akin to that of mammals, but its free-swimming larva relies solely on innate immunity for the first month of its life, allowing the specific dissection of innate immune responses [22]. At the larval stage, cellular immunity consists of myeloid cells only, with neutrophils and macrophages being the main effector cells [23,24]. As in mammals, antiviral immunity is orchestrated by virus-induced IFNs, of which the zebrafish possess four (IFN-w1-4) [25,26], structurally similar to mammalian type I IFNs [27]. Zebrafish type I IFNs have been divided into two groups: I (IFN-w1 and w4) and II (IFN-w2 and w3), that signal via two different heterodimeric receptors, CRFB1/CRFB5 and CRFB2/CRFB5, respectively. As IFN-w2 is expressed only in adults and IFN-w1 has little activity, the IFN response is mediated by IFN-w1 and IFN-w3 in zebrafish larvae [26,28].

Since CHIKV infects both mammals and insects, and since other members of the alphavirus genus naturally infect salmonids [29,30], we hypothesized that the zebrafish free-swimming larva might be sensitive to CHIKV, allowing live imaging of infected cells and dynamics of host-virus relationship in the entire animal. Here we describe a new CHIKV infection model in zebrafish larvae and analyze the dynamics of infection, cell death and host responses. Type I IFNs were critical for survival of CHIKV-infected zebrafish and we identified an unexpected role for neutrophils in both the production of type I IFNs and control of CHIKV infection.

**Results**

CHIKV infects zebrafish larvae

We first asked whether zebrafish were sensitive to CHIKV infection. Larvae aged 3 days post-fertilization (dpf) were infected intravenously (Figure 1A) with ~10^6 TCID50 CHIKV, using a strain from the 2005–2006 Reunion Island outbreak (CHIKV-115) [13] or a closely related strain engineered to express GFP (CHIKV-GFP) [3]. Both CHIKV-115 and CHIKV-GFP established infection and replicated *in vivo*, with production of infectious virions peaking at 24–48 hours post-infection (hpi) (~10^8 TCID50/larva; i.e., >10^8 TCID50/gram of tissue) (Figure 1B). Using qRT-PCR with E1-specific primers, we found similar kinetics (Figure 1C). These primers amplify both the genomic and subgenomic transcripts, hence mainly reflect the level of the latter, which is more abundant in alphavirus-infected cells [31], although the ratio of genomic to subgenomic transcripts may vary widely among alphaviruses. Predictably, similar kinetics were obtained for virus-encoded, subgenomic promoter-driven GFP transcripts (Figure 1C). Symptoms, most obvious at 3 days post-infection (dpi), were mild compared to other zebrafish viral infection models [28,32,33,34], the most consistent one being opacification of the yolk (Figure S1A in Text S1). Other less frequent signs included delay in swim bladder inflation, slowing of blood flow, irregular heartbeat, edema, loss of equilibrium and sluggish response to touch (Table S1 in Text S1). These signs were generally transient and by 5 dpi, >90% of infected larvae had apparently recovered, surviving until at least 7 dpi (not shown).

CHIKV infection is cleared in most tissues but infection persists in brain parenchyma

We monitored organs and cells of live CHIKV-GFP infected zebrafish. GFP patterns varied through time (Figure 1D) and between individuals (Figure S1B in Text S1). GFP was detected in liver, jaw, gills, vascular endothelium, eyes, fins, blood cells, muscle fibers, brain, spinal cord, swim bladder and the yolk syncytial layer. Similar patterns were observed in CHIKV-115 infected zebrafish after fixation and immunohistochemistry (IHC) with a capsid-specific antibody (not shown). We quantified the distribution of infected cells in the entire organism over time to establish the kinetics of viral dissemination (Figure 1E). The amount of infected cells peaked by 1–2 dpi in most organs (jaws, fins, liver, vessels, musculature). This peak was followed by a sharp decrease both in the frequency of larvae showing infection in a given organ, and the number of infected cells per organ. By 4 dpi, CHIKV was cleared from most organs. In contrast, infection in the brain parenchyma became visible at 2 dpi in most animals and persisted at least until 5 dpi (Figures 1D and 1E), suggesting that the brain may represent a viral reservoir in zebrafish. At 7 dpi, the latest time point tested, infection in the brain was still strong (Figure S1C in Text S1); in addition, double staining of CHIKV-GFP infected larvae with anti-GFP and anti-capsid antibodies showed that almost all capsid-positive cells also expressed GFP, indicating that GFP expression was a reliable indicator of the infection, even into late stages.

Confocal imaging of IHC-labeled CHIKV-infected larvae showed infection in various cell types (Figure 2A), namely fibroblasts in fins (Figure 2B) and jaw (not shown), endothelial cells (Figure 2C), muscle fibers (Figure 2D) and hepatocytes (Figure 2E, and Figure S2 in Text S1). Infection also occurred occasionally in red blood cells (Figure S2 in Text S1) but not in macrophages or neutrophils (not shown). In zebrafish brain, CHIKV was detected in both neurons and glial cells (Figure 2F, and Figure S2 in Text S1).

**Differential infected cell survival accounts for viral persistence in brain**

To assess the dynamics of CHIKV infection and its cytopathic effects, we performed time-lapse imaging of CHIKV-GFP infected larvae (Figures 3A and 3B) and compiled the appearance and death of GFP+ cells (Figures 3C–E). 88% of newly infected cells appeared before 24 hpi in one major wave (Figure 3C). The median time of appearance of new GFP+ cells was 14±2 hpi with similar kinetics in all cell types (Figure 3D). Death of GFP+ infected cells presented apoptosis features such as membrane blebbing and cellular fragmentation (Figure 3B and Movies S1 and S2). It was frequent from 24 hpi onwards (Figure 3C), with an overall median death time of 67±4 hpi, but dependent on cell type (Figure 3E). For instance, liver cells were highly susceptible...
Figure 1. CHIKV replicates in zebrafish and disseminates to various organs. (A) Scheme of a 72 hours post-fertilization (hpf) larva, showing the site of injection in the dorsal aorta (DA) or caudal vein (CV), the caudal hematopoietic tissues (CHT) and the yolk syncitial cell (y). (B) Virus titers in zebrafish larvae infected with wild-type CHIKV-115 or with CHIKV-GFP. Data represent mean ± s.e.m of 2–5 pools of 4 larvae from 5 independent experiments. (C) qRT-PCR of viral E1 and GFP transcripts after CHIKV-GFP infection. Mean ± s.e.m of 3 pools of 10 larvae from 3 independent experiments.
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to CHIKV cytopathic effects, with a median occurrence of death at \(41 \pm 5\) hpi, implying that hepatocytes survive for \(\sim 27\) h following GFP detection, compared to a \(\sim 53\) h survival period for the general cell population. In contrast, almost all infected brain parenchyma cells survived at least until 72 hpi. These results demonstrate that the apparent shifting tropism of infection towards brain (Figure 1E) is largely due to differential cell survival.

A protective type I IFN response is induced upon CHIKV infection

Type I IFN signaling is critical for control of CHIKV in mammals [18,20]. In zebrafish larvae, CHIKV triggered high mRNA levels of \(\text{ifn}^w_1\) (NM_207640, secreted isoform transcript) and \(\text{ifn}^w_3\) (NM_001111083), and of various IFN-induced genes including \(\text{viperin/vig-1/rsad2}\) (NM_001025556) (Figures 4A–C and not shown). \(\text{ifn}^w_1\) and \(\text{viperin}\) levels, peaking at 17–24 hpi, remained high for at least 4 days, correlating with viral burden. These levels were higher than previously observed with fish viruses in zebrafish [28,32,34]. \(\text{ifn}^w_3\) induction was less prominent in breadth and duration. To assess the role of the IFN response, we knocked down receptors for all IFN\(^w_s\) with antisense morpholino oligonucleotides (MO) directed to the CRFB1 [NM_001079681] and CRFB2 [NM_001077626] subunits [26]. When IFN receptor expression was impaired (CRFB1+2 MO), the disease was particularly severe (Table S1 in Text S1), as measured by a disease score (defined in Table S2 in Text S1) (Figure 4D). Among CRFB1+2 morphant fish, >90% died from infection (Figure 4E), while virus burden was increased up to 100-fold when compared to infected control morphants (Figure 4F). Upstream of IFN signaling, sensing of CHIKV through the cytosolic pathway was important as knockdown of MAVS (IPS-1/CARDIF/VISA) (NM_001080584) (Figure S3 in Text S1) also led to an increase in disease severity and mortality, as well as in virus burden (Figures 4D–F), consistent with results obtained in mice [35,36]. As expected, knockdown of CRFB1 and CRFB2 did not affect \(\text{ifn}^w_1\) production but blocked \(\text{viperin}\) expression, whereas in MAVS morphants, both \(\text{ifn}^w_1\) and \(\text{viperin}\) levels were significantly reduced (Figure S3 in Text S1). Altogether, these results show that the type I IFN pathway controls CHIKV replication and pathogenesis in zebrafish.

![Figure 2. Cellular tropism of CHIKV.](https://www.plospathogens.org/article-figures/)

**Figure 2. Cellular tropism of CHIKV.** (A) Scheme of imaged regions in (B–F). (B–F) Confocal images of IHC-processed zebrafish at 24 hpi with CHIKV-GFP (B–E) or at 72 hpi with CHIKV-115 (F). As for all images, anterior to left, dorsal to top; scale bars, 50 \(\mu\)m. GFP staining in green (B, F) DsRed staining in red in (E), capsid staining in red (B, F) or green (C–E); nuclei counterstained in blue. The \(\text{fabp10}:\text{DsRed}\) transgene labels hepatocytes, and \(\text{HuC:GFP}\), post-mitotic neurons. Arrows show infection in fin fibroblasts (B), endothelial cells (C), a muscle fiber (D), hepatocytes (E) and neurons (F). doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003619.g002
Zebrafish *ifn*<sup>1</sup>:mCherry transgene labels IFN-producing cells

To identify the source of IFN, we first performed whole-mount in situ hybridization (WISH) using an antisense probe for *ifn*<sup>1</sup> at the peak of the response. In CHIKV-infected larvae, *ifn*<sup>1</sup> expression was detected in the liver and in scattered cells with a morphology and distribution evoking leukocytes (Figure 4G). To better visualize the spatiotemporal dynamics of IFN production, we designed a transgenic IFN<sup>1</sup> reporter zebrafish, in which the *ifn*<sup>1</sup> promoter drives expression of the mCherry red fluorescent protein. In uninfected 3–6 dpf transgenic larvae, mCherry was detected in very few (10–30) cells, all with leukocyte morphology and mostly residing in the caudal hematopoietic tissue (CHT), but upon CHIKV infection, the number of mCherry<sup>+</sup> cells dramatically increased (Figure 5A). Starting from 2 dpi, two main populations of mCherry<sup>+</sup> cells were detected: hepatocytes and motile leukocytes (Figure 5A and Movie S3). The mCherry<sup>+</sup> leukocytes were dispersed throughout the body.
except the CNS, mostly in the anterior region and the CHT, and persisted until at least 4 dpi. This pattern of expression of the reporter transgene was similar to that of the endogenous \textit{ifn} \textit{w} \textit{1} gene (Figure 4G), but appearing later, apparently due to the time required for protein expression and maturation, since at 24 hpi mCherry fluorescence was still low despite \textit{mCherry} mRNA expression (Figure 5B). Thus, the reporter transgene is faithful but somewhat delayed compared to endogenous \textit{ifn} \textit{w} \textit{1}. Notably though, viral GFP and mCherry were not detected in the same cells, suggesting that IFN release occurs mostly in uninfected or non-productively infected cells.
Figure 5. *Ifnγ*-expressing cells are leukocytes and hepatocytes. (A) Distribution of *ifnγ*-expressing cells revealed by the *ifnγ:mCherry* reporter transgene. IHC, mCherry stained in red, GFP in green, nuclei in blue. Confocal imaging, reconstructed composite images of maximal projections to cover the whole body. Representative examples of CHIKV-GFP-infected fish at different time points after infection. Below is an uninfected control at the equivalent of 72 hpi. Arrows indicate some mCherry+ leukocytes, L = liver. Scale bar, 100 μm. (B) qRT-PCR of mCherry (normalized to *ef1a*) upon CHIKV-GFP infection in *ifnγ:mCherry* fish. Fold induction to uninfected fish at 12 hpi; data for one pool of 10 larvae per time point. (C) Expression profile of FACS-sorted cells from CHIKV-infected *ifnγ:mCherry* fish (3 dpi). qRT-PCR, fold induction compared to entire uninfected fish (No Virus). Data representative of 2 independent experiments.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003619.g005
Neutrophils are the main IFN-producing leukocyte population upon CHIKV infection

We further characterized IFN-producing cells. We FACS-sorted mCherry⁺ cells from infected ifn₁:mCherry zebrafish at 3 dpi and analyzed their mRNA expression profile (Figure 5C). As expected, expression of ifn₁ was highest in sorted mCherry⁺ cells. These cells did not notably co-express ifn₃. Among leukocyte genes, the macrophage marker c-fms/csf1r (NM_131672) was increased in mCherry⁺ cells, but the strongest enrichment was for myeloperoxidase (mpx, NM_212779), a specific neutrophil marker in zebrafish [23,24]. The hepatocyte marker fabp1a (NM_001044712) was also expressed, consistent with some hepatocytes producing IFN. Sorted mCherry⁻ cells expressed lower but significant ifn₁ levels – especially if compared to naïve larvae, which express it to an extremely low level -, likely due to the aforementioned delay. Both mCherry⁺ and mCherry⁻ expressed the IFN-inducing transcription factors ifi3 (NM_001111083) and ifi7 (NM_200677), with the latter being enriched among mCherry⁺ cells.

To confirm the involvement of neutrophils, we crossed neutrophil reporter mpx:GFP with ifn₁:mCherry zebrafish. In double transgenic CHIKV-infected zebrafish, either uninfected or CHIKV-GFP-infected larvae were stained with Sudan Black B to reveal myeloperoxidase granules. Total neutrophil numbers per individual zebrafish were quantified using a stereomicroscope. (F, G) Uninfected or CHIKV-GFP-infected larvae were stained with Sudan Black B to reveal myeloperoxidase granules. Total neutrophil numbers per individual zebrafish were quantified using a stereomicroscope. N=5 fish per group (**P < 0.001; *P < 0.01; *P < 0.05; ns - not significant). doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003619.g006

Figure 6. ifn₁-expressing leukocytes are mostly neutrophils, which increase in an IFN-dependent manner. (A–E) IHC of anterior region of mpx:GFP/ifi3:mCherry double transgenic fish. (A–B) Confocal imaging of an uninfected (A) and CHIKV-115-infected (B) larva at 48 hpi, maximal projection, scale bar 50 μm, mcherry staining in red, GFP staining in green, nuclei in blue (e: eye; L: liver; h: heart); on the right, single color and merged images of the detailed square. (C) Percentage of neutrophils (GFP⁺) among mCherry⁺ leukocytes, per field. (D) Number of mCherry⁺ neutrophils (GFP⁺) per field. For (C–E), N = 3 (No Virus) or N = 5–7 (CHIKV). (F) Uninfected or CHIKV-GFP-infected larvae were stained with Sudan Black B to reveal myeloperoxidase granules. Total neutrophil numbers per individual zebrafish were quantified using a stereomicroscope. (F, G) Uninfected or CHIKV-GFP-infected larvae were stained with Sudan Black B to reveal myeloperoxidase granules. Total neutrophil numbers per individual zebrafish were quantified using a stereomicroscope. N=5 fish per group (**P < 0.001; *P < 0.01; *P < 0.05; ns - not significant). doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003619.g006
The number of mCherry+ neutrophils strongly increased by 48 hpi and remained high until at least 96 hpi (Figure 6D, and Figure S4A in Text S1). Other mCherry+ leukocytes (mostly mpeg1+ macrophages, not shown) were also increased at 48 hpi, but in lower numbers, and notably in the CHT where they transiently made up about half the mCherry+ population (Figure 6E, and Figures S4B and S4C in Text S1). Neutrophil numbers, quantified by Sudan Black staining, peaked at 72 hpi (2001 ± 312 cells/larva compared to 943 ± 234 cells/larva in uninfected controls) (Figure 6F); both mCherry+ and mCherry− neutrophils increased (Figure 6D and not shown). Nevertheless, neutrophil distribution was not obviously perturbed: they did not accumulate at infection foci and were absent from the CNS, like in uninfected fish [24]. Interestingly, knockdown of IFN receptors blocked neutrophil foci and were absent from the CNS, like in uninfected fish [24].

Altogether, these experiments provide independent and convergent evidence consistent with neutrophils being the major population controlling CHIKV, in agreement with their predominance among ifn-l-expressing leukocytes (Figure 6C).

Finally, transient hepatocyte depletion using a Tomm22 (NM_010101724) MO [41] (Figure S7 in Text S1) also led to higher disease severity and more virus production (Figures 7M and 7O) but no increased mortality (Figure 7N), indicating that hepatocytes do not play a role as important as leukocytes in controlling CHIKV.

**Discussion**

In this study, we establish zebrafish as a new model for the study of the pathogenesis of CHIKV. The overall course of viral spread in zebrafish larvae was close to that observed in mammals, with an early peak of viremia followed by a decline, similar targeted cell types, and a critical dependence on the host IFN response for the control of the virus. In addition, the powerful in vivo imaging techniques available in zebrafish revealed new features of the infection.

We could image the onset of infection in individual cells throughout the body. Almost all new infected cells appeared during one major wave during the first 24 hours following injection of the virus, with relatively little difference between the various targeted organs. Because we could not detect cells with strong GFP expression before the rise of this first wave of infected cells, we presume it reflects the initial set of cells infected by the inoculated virions. The significant inter-individual variation that we observe may be a consequence of a larger number of susceptible cells than of inoculated virions, resulting in a stochastic initial pattern of infection. The decline of appearance of newly infected cells shortly followed the onset of the host IFN response, suggesting that by the time the initial wave of infected cells produce new infectious virions, the host response has made most other cells refractory to the virus. We also observed and quantified infected cell death events, which typically presented apoptosis characteristics. The timing of death of CHIKV+ cells was strongly organ-dependent. The differential survival of infected cells accounted for the apparent shift of tropism towards the brain parenchyma, where infection persisted even after clearance from the rest of the body.

The longer persistence of CHIKV in brains of zebrafish suggests that neurons may constitute a previously overlooked reservoir for the virus. However, this is likely to be mostly the case in infant humans, since encephalitis is a feature of chikungunya disease in newborns rather than in adults. CHIKV potential reservoirs are a matter of conjecture because many patients display chronic arthralgia in the months following CHIKV infection despite resolution of viremia, and it is unclear whether this is due to long-lasting auto-inflammation triggered by the initial infection or to immune mechanisms. Because CHIKV was suggested to persist in macrophages, not CNS [19].

In infected neonate mice, CHIKV was not found to persist in the brain. However, this is likely to be mostly the case in infant humans, since encephalitis is a feature of chikungunya disease in newborns rather than in adults. CHIKV potential reservoirs are a matter of conjecture because many patients display chronic arthralgia in the months following CHIKV infection despite resolution of viremia, and it is unclear whether this is due to long-lasting auto-inflammation triggered by the initial infection or to stimulation by persistent virus [8,9,10]. In adult macaques, CHIKV was suggested to persist in macrophages, not CNS [19]. In infected neonate mice, CHIKV was not found to persist in the brain [18,42]. Moreover, in this model, CHIKV was found to infect leptomeningeal and choroid plexus cells, but not brain parenchyma. Yet, mouse brain parenchymal cells may be infected by CHIKV, as shown after intranasal infection [43] or on primary cell cultures [17].

The zebrafish model also allowed us to dynamically image and FACS-sort the cells that are responding to the virus by expressing the ifn-l gene. Based on gene expression profile, morphology, and co-expression of the mpeg1:GFP transgene, two main populations were shown to express the ifn-l:mCherry transgene: neutrophils and...
hepatocytes. Interestingly, while both irf7 and irf3 are ISGs in fish [44], and therefore expected to be induced in all cells of infected fish, irf7 was expressed at a higher level in sorted mCherry + than mCherry - cells. This would be consistent with constitutively higher expression of irf7 by cells specialized in ifn-1 expression in zebrafish – mirroring key properties of plasmacytoid dendritic cells.

Figure 7. Neutrophils are key ifn-1-producing cells. (A–C) Effect of myeloid cell depletion (PU.1 MO, 3 independent experiments), (D–F) of macrophage depletion (metronidazole treatment of mpeg1:Gal4/UAS:NfsB-mCherry fish, 2 independent experiments), (G–I) of neutrophil-biased depletion (csf3r MO, 2 independent experiments), (J–L) of impairment of emergency granulopoiesis (nos2a MO, 2 independent experiments) and (M–O) of hepatocyte depletion (Tomm22 MO, 2 independent experiments) on CHIKV infection. (A, D, G, J and M) Disease score at 3 dpi. (B, E, H, K and N) Survival of infected zebrafish. Data pooled from the independent experiments. (C, F, I, L and O) qRT-PCR of viral E1 transcripts at 24 hpi. Mean ± s.e.m of 6 pools of 5 larvae from 2 (C, F, L) or 1 (I, O) independent experiments. (**P < 0.001; *P < 0.01; *P < 0.05; ns - not significant). doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003619.g007
of mammals [45]. The cell types, however, were different. Although not viewed as a specialized source of IFN, hepatocytes have been found to be prominent producers in some cases, for example during Thogoto virus infection of a mouse [46]. By contrast, neutrophils are so far not considered to represent an important source of IFN [47,48]. Nevertheless, in zebrafish larvae, neutrophils were found to represent 80% of Ly6c- expressing leukocytes. In this respect, it should be stressed that our main marker, mpx, is not entirely neutrophil-specific in mammals, has been shown to be strictly neutrophil-specific in zebrafish [23,24]. In addition, our depletion experiments were consistent with neutrophils being a key population controlling CHIKV infection in zebrafish, whereas macrophages and hepatocytes made a minor contribution to this control. Macrophage depletion having little consequences, no synergy of macrophages and neutrophils seems required to control CHIKV. However, until a truly neutrophil-specific depletion method becomes available in zebrafish, we cannot rule out the possibility of a significant additive contribution of a minor c-fos-dependent macrophage subpopulation to that of neutrophils; compensation mechanisms following depletion are also an important caveat to consider.

Besides IFN production, other mechanisms may be responsible for the observed protective role of myeloid cells, especially neutrophils, against CHIKV pathogenesis. The role of neutrophils in protecting against viral infections is not fully deciphered [49]. Neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) were recently shown to protect host cells from myxoma virus infection in mice [50] and to capture HIV-1 and promote its elimination through the action of myeloperoxidase and α-defensin in humans [51]. Zebrafish neutrophils, which share many functional characteristics with their human counterparts, including the production of NETs [52], avidly engulf bacteria on surfaces [53] and scavenge dying infected cells in mycobacterial disease [54], but their function during viral infection was so far unknown. It will be worth further studying the role of zebrafish (and human) neutrophils in sensing of CHIKV-infected cells and the mechanisms mediating viral clearance.

Neutrophil numbers were increased with CHIKV infection, a response we found to be dependent on the IFN response. This was contrary to our expectations, as acute IFN induction by viral infection is known to cause granulocytopenia [55], and even in fish, granulocyte numbers were found to be reduced during a viral infection [56]. Interestingly, neutrophilia has been reported in CHIKV-infected humans with a high viral load [57], suggesting that CHIKV may stimulate neutrophils in an unusual manner. Remarkably, this increase was found to depend on nos2a (zebrafish iNOS), as had been observed in a Salmonella infection model in zebrafish [40]. Depending on the experiment settings, iNOS has been found to favor [58,59] or counteract [60] neutrophil infiltration in inflamed organs in mice. It would be worth investigating the contribution of iNOS to the inflammatory response induced by CHIKV in mammals.

Comparing patterns of infection and of IFN response, it may be significant that virus persistence - dictated by survival of infected cells - was inversely correlated with local production of IFN. The organ where infected cells died fastest was the liver, which was also a major local source of IFN. Conversely, infected cells persisted much longer in the brain, an organ from which neutrophils are excluded, whereas they patrol other tissues in zebrafish [24]. Assessing the relative contribution of the cell autonomous - such as autophagy [61] - and non-cell autonomous (mostly, IFN-driven) events underlying sensitivity of the cells to the cytopathic effect of CHIKV in vivo will be one of our future goals. IFNs have been shown to induce apoptosis of virus-infected cells [62]. It is possible that infected brain neurons and glial cells persist due to the blood brain barrier (BBB) blocking IFN access to this organ. Zebrafish brain endothelial cells express BBB markers Claudin 5 and ZO-1 as early as 3 dpf and brain parenchymal vessels are impermeable to horseradish peroxidase (44 kDa) and rhodamine-dextran (10 kDa) at this stage [63]. It is therefore likely that zebrafish IFNβs (~20 kDa) cannot reach the brain parenchyma, which would prevent brain-infected cells from undergoing apoptosis. It has also been suggested that less “renewable” tissues and cells, such as post-mitotic neurons, respond to type I IFNs differently from other cell types [62].

Imaging studies detailing the dynamics of single virus-infected cells in vivo are very recent and remain scarce [64,65,66]. The zebrafish model offers the unique opportunity to visualize and characterize in real time the rise and death of infected cells, throughout the body. To our knowledge, this study represents the first analysis of the fate of single virus-infected cells in a whole organism. Combined with the ability to image IFN-producing cells and to perform host gene silencing, mutagenesis or drug screening, our work establishes the zebrafish as a new valuable host for the study of human pathogenic viruses.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

All animal experiments described in the present study were conducted at the Institut Pasteur according to European Union guidelines for handling of laboratory animals (http://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemicals/lab_animals/home_en.htm) and were approved by the Direction Sante et Veterinaire de Paris under permit #B-75-1061.

Fish lines and husbandry

Zebrafish embryos were raised as previously described [67,68]. Wild-type AB fish were initially obtained from ZIRC (Eugene, OR, USA). The following transgenic lines were used: Tg(gata1a:DsRed)2[69], Tg(clus5:EGFP)5503 [70] referred to as HuCGFP in the text, Tg(gfp:EGFP)P2222 [71], Tg(jahp10a:Red)2c [72], Tg(mpox:EGFP)5221 [73], Tg(mpeg1:EGFP)P2221 and Tg(mpox:-Gah1:EGFP)P2221 [74], and Tg(UAS:Elav:Eco:NfsB-mCherry)75 [38] referred to as UAS:Ifnβ-mCherry in the text. For imaging purposes, embryos were generally raised in 0.003% 1-phenyl-2-thiourea (PTU) from 24 hpf onwards to prevent melanin pigment formation.

Virus

CHIKV was produced on BHK cells. CHIKV-115 is a clinical strain isolated in 2003 from a young adult in La Réunion [2] and its entire genome sequence is available (#AM258990). This virus has been passaged three times since cloning. CHIKV-GFP corresponds to the CHIKV-LR 5’GFP virus generated by insertion of a GFP-encoding sequence controlled by the CHIKV subgenomic promoter between the two main genes of the CHIKV genome, using the LR backbone (#EU224268) derived from the OPY1 strain, a 2006 clinical isolate from La Réunion; GFP expression has been found to be retained in >80% infected cells for up to 8 serial passages in mammalian or mosquito cells [3]. The CHIKV-GFP virus we used previously went through two to three passages.

Generation of Ifnβ reporter transgenics

We generated two independent lines of Ifnβ reporter transgenics, Tg(ifnβ1:mCherry)B1 and Tg(ifnβ1:mCherry)B2 with indistinguishable transgene expression (not shown), and both are referred
CHIKF infection and disease score

Infections and handling of larvae were performed as described [68]. Briefly, zebrafish larvae aged 70–72 hpf were inoculated by microinjection of ~10⁵ TCID₅₀ CHIKF (~1 nl of supernatant from infected BHK cells, diluted to 10⁸ TCID₅₀/ml) into the caudal vein or aorta. Larvae were then distributed in individual wells of 24-well culture plates, kept at 28°C and regularly inspected with a stereomicroscope. Clinical signs of infection were assessed first on awake animals, which were then anesthetized for better observation. Quantitative assessment of the clinical status was based on a precise list of criteria (see Table S2 in Text S1) assessed blindly, yielding a disease score ranging from 0 (no disease sign) to 15 (dead or terminally ill).

CHIKF titration

Infected larvae were snap-frozen and kept at −80°C before homogenization in ~100 µl of medium; samples were then titrated as TCID₅₀/larva on Vero cells [13].

qRT-PCR

RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and quantitative PCR were performed as previously described [34]; externally quantified standards were included to provide absolute transcript amounts. The following pairs of primers (sense and antisense) were used: GFP: CACATTTCATCTCAAGGAGCAGC and CGTGTGGGCTGTTTAGTGTG; iifn-3: GCTGATCGTTGGAGTCACCA and AGACACTTGGTGAAGCTGTGTG; iifn-1 (secreted isoform): TGAACTCAGTCAGTTGTTCTTCT; iifn-2: GAGGATCAGGTTACTGGTGT and GTTCGTAGTGTTG; ifn-1 (secreted isoform): TGCGCCGTCCCTTTTAATGTGG; interferon gamma (secreted isoform): TGACCTCATCTCAGCTTG; irf3: TCTGCATGCAGTTTCCCAATGGT; irf7: TGACTCATCCATGTT; mpeg1: AAGTACCTTAGCCGCT) [40] (6 ng). Control morpholinos were: mouse mAb to alphavirus capsid (1:200) [78], rabbit polyclonal to DsRed (1:300, Clontech) which also labels the mCherry protein, mouse monoclonal to GFP (1:500, Invitrogen), chicken polyclonal to GFP (1:500, Abcam), mouse monoclonal (FIS 2F11/2) to gut secretory cell epitopes (1:400, Abcam). Secondary antibodies used were: Cy3-labeled goat anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG (1:300, Jackson Immunoresearch), Alexa 488-labeled goat anti-mouse or anti-chicken (1:500, Invitrogen); Nuclei were stained for 30 min at room temperature with Hoechst 33342 at 2 µg/ml (Invitrogen).

 Imaging of fixed embryos

Fixed embryos were progressively transferred into 80% glycerol before imaging. Confocal images of IHC-processed fish were taken with a Leica SPE inverted confocal microscope equipped with a 16× (NA 0.5), 63× (NA 1.30) oil immersion objectives and a 10× (NA 0.30) dry objective. Images of larvae stained by WISH or Sudan Black B were taken with a Leica MZ1616 stereomicroscope using illumination from above. Whole-body images of IHE-treated larvae were taken with a Leica Z6 APO A microscope. Images were processed with the LAS-AF (Leica), ImageJ and Adobe Photoshop softwares. Cells with amoeboid morphology were scored as “leukocytes”.

Morpholino injections

Morpholino antisense oligonucleotides (Gene Tools) were injected into 1–4-cell stage embryos as previously described [68]. cybf1 splice morpholino (CGCCAGATCATACCTGTTAAGC) (2 ng) was injected together with cybf2 splice morpholino (CATGTAATCTCAGCTTGGTGTAAC) (2 ng), knocking down all type I IFN receptors [26]. Other morpholinos: maws splice morpholino (ATTTGAAATCCATTACCGAGTCAGA) (4 ng); tom22 translation morpholino [41] (GAGAAGGCTTGGATCTGAGCAT) (2 ng); psa1 translation morpholino (GATATCAGTTACCTGCTTTGGTTG) (37) (20 ng in 2 nl); eif5y translation morpholino (GAAGCACAGCGAGGCGCTG) (4 ng); nos2a splice morpholino (ACAGTTTAAAGTACCTGCTTGGTG) (40) (6 ng). Control morpholinos with no target: #1 (GAGAAGGCTTGGATCTGAGCAT) (2–6 ng); #2 (CCTCTTACCTCAGCTTGGTGTAAC) (20 ng); #3 (CCTCTTACCTCAGCTTGGTGTAAC) (4 ng).

Embryo dissociation and FACS sorting

Embryo dissociation was performed as described elsewhere [79]. Sorted cells were collected in lysis buffer and RNA was extracted using a RNAqueous Micro kit (Ambion). Cell preparations were performed in a BL3 facility; the cell sorter, located...

Whole-Body Visualization of CHIKV Infection

For in vivo time-lapse imaging, 4–6 larvae, anaesthetized with 112 µg/ml tricaine, were laterally positioned and immobilized in ~1% low melting point agarose in the center of a 54-mm plastic bottom Petri dish, then covered with 2 ml water containing tricaine. Multiple field transmission and fluorescence imaging was performed using a Nikon Biostation IMQ, using a 10× objective (NA 0.3) and a DSqi camera. Imaging was typically performed at 26°C and Z-stacks with 10 µm steps were acquired at least every 30 minutes. Imaging sessions typically lasted 6–24 hours; control uninfected larvae were always included. Cell emergence and death data were concatenated from multiple imaging sessions covering the 0 to 72 hpi time frame.

Whole-mount immunohistochemistry

IHC was performed as described [77]. Primary antibodies used were: mouse mAb to alphavirus capsid (1:200) [78], rabbit polyclonal to DsRed (1:300, Clontech) which also labels the mCherry protein, mouse monoclonal to GFP (1:500, Invitrogen), chicken polyclonal to GFP (1:500, Abcam), mouse monoclonal (FIS 2F11/2) to gut secretory cell epitopes (1:400, Abcam). Secondary antibodies used were: Cy3-labeled goat anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG (1:300, Jackson Immunoresearch), Alexa 488-labeled goat anti-mouse or anti-chicken (1:500, Invitrogen); Nuclei were stained for 30 min at room temperature with Hoechst 33342 at 2 µg/ml (Invitrogen).
under a plastic tent within a BL2 facility, was flushed for several hours with diluted bleaching following the sorting.

Whole-mount in situ hybridization

WISH was performed as described before [80], with a hybridization temperature of 55 °C. To generate the ifn1 antisense probe, we RT-PCR amplified a 503 bp fragment of zebrafish ifn1 cDNA from CHIKV-infected larvae using a T3-modified antisense primer (GAATTCATACCCCTCACTAA AGGGAGATTGACCCTTGCGTTGCTT) and a normal sense (TCTGCGAGCTCAACTGCTTG) and PCR products were purified with QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen) and the probe was transcribed in vitro with T3 polymerase (Promega). Unincorporated nucleotides were removed by purification on NucAway spin columns (Ambion).

Sudan Black B staining

Neutrophil granules were stained as in [24], allowing neutrophils to be counted easily with a dissecting scope.

Macrodephage depletion

Metronidazole-mediated depletion was performed as described in [30]. Briefly Tg(mpeg1:Gal4FF)gl25/+/Tg(lacZ/Ifn1-mCherry)2641/2649 [30] were crossed to Tg(US–Elk1:GFP)2448 [74] to generate double-positive transgenics and single-positive sibling controls. Embryos were placed, from 48 hpf to 70 hpf, in a 10 mM Metronidazole, 0.1% DMSO solution to induce specific depletion of NfsB-mCherry-expressing macrophages. Embryos were then rinsed 3 × with embryo water.

Statistical analysis

To evaluate difference between means, a two-tailed unpaired t-test or an analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test was used, when appropriate. Normal distributions were analyzed with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Non-Gaussian data were analyzed with a Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant (symbols: ***P < 0.001; **P < 0.01; *P < 0.05). Survival data were plotted using the Kaplan-Meier estimator and log-rank tests were performed to assess differences between groups. Statistical analyses were performed using Prism software.

Supporting Information

Movie S1 Emergence of new CHIKV-GFP infected cells.

Time-lapse imaging of a CHIKV-GFP-infected larva; time post-infection (pi) shown on top left corner. Overlay of transmitted light and wide-field GFP fluorescence; 10 × objective; anterior to left, dorsal to top. Death of GFP+ cells shown with red arrows. First sequence (seconds 1–4): head view, death of epidermal cells over the eye and head mesenchymal cells; second sequence (seconds 4–8): liver region, death of hepatocytes; third sequence (seconds 8–3): tail tip region, death of fin fibroblasts; in this sequence a black arrowhead follows a leukocyte that likely engulfs a dying cell.

(MOV)

Movie S2 Death of CHIKV-GFP infected cells.

Time-lapse imaging of CHIKV-GFP infected larva; time post-infection (pi) shown on top left corner. Overlay of transmitted light and wide-field GFP fluorescence; 10 × objective; anterior to left, dorsal to top. Death of GFP+ cells shown with red arrows. First sequence (seconds 1–4): head view, death of epidermal cells over the eye and head mesenchymal cells; second sequence (seconds 4–8); liver region, death of hepatocytes; third sequence (seconds 8–3): tail tip region, death of fin fibroblasts; in this sequence a black arrowhead follows a leukocyte that likely engulfs a dying cell.

(MOV)

Movie S3 Increase of ifn1-expressing leukocytes during the first days of infection.

Time-lapse imaging of a CHIKV-GFP infected ifn1:mCherry+ larva; time post-infection (pi) shown on top left corner. Overlay of GFP (in green) and mCherry (in red) spinning-disk confocal fluorescence images; 10 × objective; anterior to left, dorsal to top. This region has been chosen for imaging because it is rich in leukocytes, since the main hematopoietic region at this stage lies immediately caudal to the urogenital opening. Note that the growth of the larva causes some movement of the imaged region towards the right and bottom of the field.

(MOV)

Text S1 File containing Figures S1–S7 and Tables S1–S2, with legends.

(PDF)
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